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Abstract. In this paper, we introduce and investigate an interesting subclass $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$ of analytic and bi-univalent functions of complex order in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. For functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$ we investigate the coefficient estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$. The results presented in this paper would generalize and improve some recent works of [1],[5],[9].

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$. Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we shall denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Some of the important and well-investigated subclasses of the univalent function class $\mathcal{S}$ include (for example) the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$ and the class $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ of convex functions of order $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{U}$.
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For two functions $f$ and $g$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and write

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, with

$$
w(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|w(z)|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

such that

$$
f(z)=g(w(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$
f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) .
$$

It is well known that every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$, defined by

$$
f^{-1}(f(z))=z \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w \quad\left(|w|<r_{0}(f) ; r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\ldots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$ given by (1.1). For a brief history and interesting examples of functions which are in (or which are not in) the class $\Sigma$, together with various other properties of the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ one can refer the work of Srivastava et al. [20] and references therein. In fact, the study of the coefficient problems involving bi-univalent functions was reviewed recently by Srivastava et al. [20]. Various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ were introduced and non-sharp estimates on the first two coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ in the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) were found in several recent investigations (see, for example, [1] - [9], [11] - [13], [16] - [19] and [21] - [24]). The aforecited all these papers on the subject were actually motivated by the pioneering work of Srivastava et al. [20]. However, the problem to find the coefficient bounds on $\left|a_{n}\right|(n=3,4, \ldots)$ for functions $f \in \Sigma$ is still an open problem.

Let $\varphi$ be an analytic and univalent function with positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\varphi(0)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $\varphi$ maps the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ onto a region starlike with respect
to 1 , and symmetric with respect to the real axis. The Taylor's series expansion of such function is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\ldots \text { with } B_{1}>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this paper we assume that the function $\varphi$ satisfies the above conditions one or otherwise stated.

We now introduce the function class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma, \delta, \varphi)$ of Mocanu-convex functions of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$ of Ma-Minda type as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma, \delta, \varphi):= & \{f: f \in \mathcal{A} \text { and } \\
& \left.1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right) \prec \varphi(z)(\delta \geq 0)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A function $f$ is bi-Mocanu-convex function of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$ in $\mathbb{U}$ of Ma-Minda type if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are Mocanu-convex functions of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$ in $\mathbb{U}$ of Ma-Minda type. The class is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \delta, \varphi)$. For $\gamma=1$, the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma, \delta, \varphi)$ leads to the class $\mathcal{M}(\delta, \varphi)$ of Mocanu-convex functions in $\mathbb{U}$ of Ma-Minda type. A function $f$ is bi-Mocanu-convex in $\mathbb{U}$ of Ma-Minda type if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are Mocanu-convex in $\mathbb{U}$ of Ma-Minda type (see [1]). The class is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\delta, \varphi)$. For $\delta=0$ and $\delta=1$, the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma, \delta, \varphi)$ reduces respectively, to the familiar classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\gamma, \varphi)$ of Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$ in $\mathbb{U}$ (see [15]). Also, a function $f$ is bi-starlike and bi-convex of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$ of Ma-Minda type in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are, respectively, Ma-Minda starlike and Ma-Minda convex of complex order $\gamma(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\})$ in $\mathbb{U}$. These classes are denoted respectively by $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \varphi)$ (see for more details [5]). Furthermore the classes $\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(1, \varphi)$ $:=\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(1, \varphi):=\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\varphi)$ are, respectively bi-starlike of Ma-Minda type in $\mathbb{U}$ and bi-convex of Ma-Minda type in $\mathbb{U}$ (see [1]) and for its other subclasses one can refer the reference therein.

Recently Srivastava et al. [18] introduced a general class of bi-univalent functions for investigating the extensions, generalizations and improvements of the various subclasses of bi-univalent functions which were considered by a number of earlier researchers (see, $[1,3,6,20,24,23]$ and others). With this motivation in this paper we define the following unified subclass of bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ :

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \delta \geq 0$, if the following subordinations hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{z \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right) \prec \varphi(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{w \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(w)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{w \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right) \prec \varphi(w), \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z)=(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z), \quad \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(w)=(1-\lambda) g(w)+\lambda w g^{\prime}(w) \quad(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$.

It is interesting to note that the special values of $\delta, \gamma, \lambda$ and $\varphi$, the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$ unifies the following known and new classes:

1. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}\left(\gamma, 0, \delta, \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}\right)=S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \delta, \alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)$
2. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}\left(\gamma, 0, \delta,\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma, \beta}^{*}(\gamma, \delta) \quad(0<\beta \leq 1)$
3. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}\left(1,0, \delta, \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{\Sigma}(\alpha, \delta) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ [9, Definition 3.1., p.1500]
4. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}\left(1,0, \delta,\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{\beta, \delta}(0<\beta \leq 1) \quad$ [9, Definition 2.1., p.1497]
5. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(1,0, \delta, \varphi)=\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\delta, \varphi) \quad[1, \mathrm{p} .348]$
6. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, 0,0, \varphi)=\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi)$ [5, p.50]
7. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(1,0,0, \varphi)=S_{\Sigma}^{*}(\varphi) \quad[1, \mathrm{p} .345]$
8. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}\left(1,0,0, \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)$
9. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}\left(1,0,0,\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta}\right)=\mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\beta) \quad(0<\beta \leq 1)$
10. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, 0,1, \varphi)=\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \varphi) \quad$ [5, p.50]
11. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(1,0,1, \varphi)=\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\varphi) \quad$ [1, p.345]
12. $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}\left(1,0,1, \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}\right)=\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)$.

In this paper we introduce the unified bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$ as defined above and obtain the coefficient estimates for Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions belonging $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$. Some interesting applications of the results presented here are also discussed.

In order to derive our results, we shall need the following lemma:
Lemma 2.1.(see [14]) If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ for each $i$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the family of all functions $p$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, for which

$$
\Re\{p(z)\}>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where

$$
p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

## 2. Coefficient Estimates for the Function Class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$

In this section we find the estimates for the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in the unified bi-univalent function class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$.
Theorem 2.2. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma\left(2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}\right) B_{1}^{2}+(1+\delta)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right|}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B 1\right|\right]}{2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \delta, \varphi)$, there exists two analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{z \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right)=\varphi(r(z)) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{w \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(w)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{w \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right)=\varphi(s(z)) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the functions $p$ and $q$ by

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+r(z)}{1-r(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\ldots
$$

and

$$
q(z)=\frac{1+s(z)}{1-s(z)}=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+q_{3} z^{3}+\ldots
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.5) r(z) & =\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1} z+\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(p_{3}+\frac{p_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}-p_{2}\right)-\frac{p_{1} p_{2}}{2}\right) z^{3}+\ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and
(2.6) $s(z)=\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1}$

$$
=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1} z+\left(q_{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(q_{3}+\frac{q_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}-q_{2}\right)-\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{2}\right) z^{3}+\ldots\right) .
$$

It is clear that $p$ and $q$ are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and $p(0)=1=q(0)$. Also $p$ and $q$ have positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$, and hence $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2$. In the view of (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{z \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(z)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(z)}\right)-1\right)=\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left((1-\delta) \frac{w \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}(w)}+\delta\left(1+\frac{w \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(w)}\right)-1\right)=\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6) together with (1.3), it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} z+\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\ldots \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right)=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} w+\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}\right) w^{2}+\ldots \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in \Sigma$ is of the form (1.1), a computation shows that its inverse $g=f^{-1}$ has the expression given by (1.2). It follows from (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\gamma}(1+\delta)(\lambda+1) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.12) $\frac{1}{\gamma}\left[2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda) a_{3}-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{\gamma}(1+\delta)(\lambda+1) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\gamma}[4((1+2 \delta)(1 & \left.\left.+2 \lambda)-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}\right) a_{2}^{2}-2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda) a_{3}\right]  \tag{2.14}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.11) and (2.13), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-q_{1} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{8}{\gamma^{2}}(1+\delta)^{2}(\lambda+1)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=B_{1}^{2}\left(p_{1}^{2}+q_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.12), (2.14) and (2.16) yield

$$
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\gamma^{2} B_{1}^{3}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)}{4\left[\gamma\left(2(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}\right) B_{1}^{2}+(1+\delta)^{2}(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right]} .
$$

Thus the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as asserted in (2.1), follows using the Lemma 2.1 that $\left|p_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{2}\right| \leq 2$. By subtracting (2.12) from (2.14) and a computation using (2.11) finally lead to

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\gamma B_{1}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)+\gamma\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right) p_{1}^{2}}{8(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)-4(1+3 \delta)(1+\lambda)^{2}}+\frac{B_{1} \gamma\left(p_{2}-q_{2}\right)}{8(1+2 \delta)(1+2 \lambda)} .
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 once again, we readily get the estimate given in (2.2).

## 3. Consequences and Corollaries

Taking $\delta=0$ and $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 2.2, we have the following coefficient estimates for bi-starlike functions of complex order.
Corollary 3.1.([5]) If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\Sigma}^{*}(\gamma, \varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma B_{1}^{2}+\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right|}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq|\gamma|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B 1\right|\right]
$$

Taking $\delta=1$ and $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 2.2, we have the following coefficient estimates for bi-convex functions of complex order.

Corollary 3.2.([5]) If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|2\left[\gamma B_{1}^{2}+2\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right]\right|}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B 1\right|\right]}{2}
$$

Remark 3.3. For $\gamma=1$, putting $\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta}(0<\beta \leq 1)$ and $\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 3.1 we have results as in [1, Remark 2.2] and taking $\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}$ $(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ in Corollary 3.2 the estimates coincide with [1, Remark 2.3].

Taking $\lambda=0$ in Theorem 2.2, we have the following coefficient estimates for bi-Mocanu-convex functions of complex order $\gamma$ of Ma-Minda type.
Corollary 3.4. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \delta, \varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|(\delta+1)\left[\gamma B_{1}^{2}+(\delta+1)\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right]\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B 1\right|\right]}{\delta+1}
$$

Remark 3.5. For $\gamma=1$, Corollary 3.4 reduces to estimates in [1, Theorem 2.3, p.348]. If we set $\gamma=1$ in Corollary 3.4, then for $\varphi(z)=\frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ and $\varphi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\beta} \quad(0<\beta \leq 1)$, it respectively reduces to [9, Theorem 3.2, p.1500] and [9, Theorem 2.2, 1498].

Remark 3.6. Taking $\delta=0$, we have the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, 0, \varphi) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$ as defined below:

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, if the following subordinations hold:

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\lambda) f(z)+\lambda z f^{\prime}(z)}-1\right) \prec \varphi(z)
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\lambda) g(w)+\lambda w g^{\prime}(w)}-1\right) \prec \varphi(w)
$$

where $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$. A function in the class $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$ is called both bi- $\lambda-$ convex functions and bi- $\lambda$-starlike functions of complex order $\gamma$ of Ma-Minda type.

For functions in the class $\mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$, the following coefficient estimation holds.
Corollary 3.7.([5]) If $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma\left(1+2 \lambda-\lambda^{2}\right) B_{1}^{2}+(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B 1\right|\right]}{1+2 \lambda-\lambda^{2}}
$$

Remark 3.8. Taking $\delta=1$, we have the class $\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, 1, \varphi) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$ as defined below:

A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi), 0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, if the following subordinations hold:

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+(1+2 \lambda) z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)+\lambda z^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z)+\lambda z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}-1\right) \prec \varphi(z)
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+(1+2 \lambda) w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)+\lambda w^{3} g^{\prime \prime \prime}(w)}{w g^{\prime}(w)+\lambda w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}-1\right) \prec \varphi(w)
$$

where $g(w)=f^{-1}(w)$.
For functions in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$, the following coefficient estimation holds.
Corollary 3.9. If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \lambda, \varphi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|\gamma\left(2+4 \lambda-4 \lambda^{2}\right) B_{1}^{2}+4(1+\lambda)^{2}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|\gamma|\left[B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B 1\right|\right]}{2+4 \lambda-4 \lambda^{2}}
$$

Remark 3.10. Furthermore, various other interesting corollaries and consequences of our results could be derived similarly by specializing $\varphi$.
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