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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish a new refinement of the arithmeticgeometric mean inequality. Applying this result in information theory, we obtain a more precise upper bound for Shannon's entropy.


## 1. Introduction

For $n \geq 2$, let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be nonnegative real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$. We denote by $A_{n}$ and $G_{n}$ the weighted arithmetic and geometric means of the positive real numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, that is,

$$
A_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad G_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p_{i}}
$$

It is well-known that

$$
A_{n} \geq G_{n}
$$

is called the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality.
The arithmetic-geometric mean inequality has found much interest among many mathematicians, and there are numerous new extensions, refinements, and applications of it. In 2003, Mercer [3] proved the following interesting refinement of arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c:=\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}\left(x_{i}-A_{n}\right)^{2}}{x_{i}+\max \left(x_{i}, A_{n}\right)} \leq \log \left(A_{n}\right)-\log \left(G_{n}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality occurring if and only if all $x_{i}$ are equal.
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As is well known, some classic inequality such as AM-GM inequality [4], Jensen's inequality [6], Hölder inequality [8] play an important role in information sciences. Moreover, the Jensen's inequality is also an important cornerstone in information theory.

In 2009, Simic [6] obtained the following bound for the entropy $(H(X):=$ $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log \frac{1}{p_{i}}\right)$ by using refinement of Jensen's inequality,

$$
0 \leq \mu \log \left(\frac{2 \mu}{\mu+\nu}\right)+\nu \log \left(\frac{2 \nu}{\mu+\nu}\right) \leq \log n-H(X)
$$

where the probability distribution $F$ is given by $P(X=i)=p_{i}, p_{i}>0,1 \leq$ $i \leq n$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1$ and where $\mu=\min _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}\right)$ and $\nu=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left(p_{i}\right)$.

In 2012, Ţăpuş and Popescu [7] proved the following refinement of the Simic's result by using another refinement of Jensen's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X) \leq \log n-\max _{1 \leq \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{n-1} \leq n} \log \left[\left(\frac{n-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{k}}}\right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{k}}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{k}}^{p_{\mu_{k}}}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For some related results, the reader is referred to papers $[1,2,5]$ and references therein.

Recently, Parkash and Kakkar [4] obtained some inequalities, based on the arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequality. They applied these inequalities to the entropy. Also the above bounds of the entropy become the particular cases of this result.

In this paper, we establish a new refinement of the inequality (1). Applying this result in information theory, we obtain a more precise upper bound for Shannon's entropy. In particular, our result refines the above bounds of the entropy.

## 2. Main results

In order to prove our main results, we need the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. Let $f_{a}(x):=\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{a(x+\max \{x, a\})}+\log x, a>0$. Then $f_{a}$ is a concave function on $(0,+\infty)$.

Proof. In the case of $x \geq a, f_{a}(x)=\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2 a x}+\log x$. Direct computing yields

$$
f_{a}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{a-x}{x^{3}} \leq 0 .
$$

In the case of $0<x<a, f_{a}(x)=\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{a(x+a)}+\log x$. Simple computations lead to

$$
f_{a}^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{5 a x^{2}-x^{3}-a^{3}-3 a^{2} x}{x^{2}(x+a)^{3}}=\frac{(x-a)\left(a^{2}+4 a x-x^{2}\right)}{x^{2}(x+a)^{3}}<0 .
$$

Summing up, the function $f_{a}(x)$ is concave for $x>0$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f_{a}$ be as defined in Lemma 2.1 and let $k \in\{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $s_{k}:=\max _{1 \leq \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{k} \leq n}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\mu_{i}}\right) f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\mu_{i}}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\mu_{i}} f_{A_{n}}\left(x_{\mu_{i}}\right)\right]$.
Then we have

$$
0 \leq s_{2} \leq s_{3} \leq \cdots \leq s_{n-1}
$$

Proof. It is clear that $s_{2} \geq 0$. Now we will show that for any $k \in\{2, \ldots, n-2\}$, $s_{k} \leq s_{k+1}$. Let us consider that the maximum of the expression

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\mu_{i}}\right) f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\mu_{i}}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\mu_{i}} f_{A_{n}}\left(x_{\mu_{i}}\right)
$$

is obtained for $\mu_{i}=\nu_{i}, \nu_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, i=\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Then it is enough to prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}}\right) f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}} x_{\nu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}} f_{A_{n}}\left(x_{\nu_{i}}\right) \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}}\right) f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}} x_{\nu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}} f_{A_{n}}\left(x_{\nu_{i}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\nu_{k+1} \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash\left\{\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{k}\right\}$. The above inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\nu_{k+1}} f_{A_{n}}\left(x_{\nu_{k+1}}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}}\right) f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}} x_{\nu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}}}\right) \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}}\right) f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}} x_{\nu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}}\right)^{-1}$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{p_{\nu_{k+1}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}}} f_{A_{n}}\left(x_{\nu_{k+1}}\right)+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}}} f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}} x_{\nu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{\nu_{i}}}\right) \\
\leq & f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}} x_{\nu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_{\nu_{i}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which follows from Jensen's inequality for the concave function $f_{A_{n}}(x)$. The lemma is proved.

Theorem 2.3. Let $c, A_{n}, G_{n}$ be as defined the above, the following estimates hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \leq c+s_{2} \leq c+s_{3} \leq \cdots \leq c+s_{n-1} \leq \log \left(A_{n}\right)-\log \left(G_{n}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality occurring if and only if all $x_{i}$ 's are equal.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
c \leq c+s_{2} \leq c+s_{3} \leq \cdots \leq c+s_{n-1}
$$

We proceed now to prove the last inequality of (3). Choose arbitrary $x_{\mu_{i}} \in$ $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}, 1 \leq \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{n-1} \leq n$, with corresponding weights $p_{\mu_{i}} \in\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$, and let $x_{\mu_{n}}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \backslash\left\{x_{\mu_{1}}, \ldots, x_{\mu_{n-1}}\right\}$. By the inequality (1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(A_{n}\right)= & \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right)=\log \left(p_{\mu_{n}} x_{\mu_{n}}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}\right) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{A_{n}} \frac{p_{\mu_{n}}\left(x_{\mu_{n}}-A_{n}\right)^{2}}{x_{\mu_{n}}+\max \left(x_{\mu_{n}}, A_{n}\right)}+\frac{1}{A_{n}} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}\right)\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}}-A_{n}\right)^{2}}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}}+\max \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}}, A_{n}\right)} \\
& +\log \left(x_{\mu_{n}}^{p_{\mu_{n}}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}\left(x_{i}-A_{n}\right)^{2}}{x_{i}+\max \left(x_{i}, A_{n}\right)}-\frac{1}{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{p_{\mu_{i}}\left(x_{\mu_{i}}-A_{n}\right)^{2}}{x_{\mu_{i}}+\max \left(x_{\mu_{i}}, A_{n}\right)} \\
& +\log \left(G_{n}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} \log x_{\mu_{i}}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}\right) f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}}\right) \\
= & \log \left(G_{n}\right)+c+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}\right) f_{A_{n}}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} x_{\mu_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{i}} f_{A_{n}}\left(x_{\mu_{i}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{\mu_{i}}, i=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ are arbitrary, the last inequality of (3) follows. The theorem is proved.

## By using Theorem 2.3, we get the following proposition.

## Proposition 2.4. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X) \leq \log n-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left(1-n p_{k}\right)^{2}}{1+\max \left(1, n p_{k}\right)}-\max _{1 \leq \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{n-1} \leq n}\{L(\mu)+M(\mu)\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L(\mu):=\log \left[\left(\frac{n-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{k}}}\right)^{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{k}}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{k}}^{p_{\mu_{k}}}\right]
$$

and

$$
M(\mu):=\frac{\left(n-1-n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{k}}\right)^{2}}{n\left(n-1+\max \left(n-1, n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\mu_{k}}\right)\right)}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left(1-n p_{\mu_{k}}\right)^{2}}{1+\max \left(1, n p_{\mu_{k}}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Applying the last inequality of (3) with $x_{i}=1 / p_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, after some calculations the desired result follows.

Remark 2.5. It is easy to see that $g(x):=\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{a(x+\max \{x, a\})}, a>0$ is convex for $x>0$. Hence, by Jensen's inequality, $M(\mu) \leq 0$.

The next proposition demonstrates that the estimation is better than (2).
Proposition 2.6. The estimation (4) is better than (2), i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{1 \leq \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{n-1} n}\{L(\mu)\} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left(1-n p_{k}\right)^{2}}{1+\max \left(1, n p_{k}\right)}+\underset{1 \leq \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{n-1} \leq n}{ }\{L(\mu)+M(\mu)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let us consider that the maximum of $L(\mu)$ is obtained for $\mu_{i}=\nu_{i}$, $\nu_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, i=\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and let $p_{\nu_{n}}=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\} \backslash\left\{p_{\nu_{1}}, \ldots, p_{\nu_{n-1}}\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left(1-n p_{k}\right)^{2}}{1+\max \left(1, n p_{k}\right)}+\max _{1 \leq \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{n-1} \leq n}\{L(\mu)+M(\mu)\} \\
& -\max _{1 \leq \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{n-1} \leq n}\{L(\mu)\} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left(1-n p_{k}\right)^{2}}{1+\max \left(1, n p_{k}\right)}+M(\nu) \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left(1-n p_{k}\right)^{2}}{1+\max \left(1, n p_{k}\right)}+\frac{\left(n-1-n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu_{k}}\right)^{2}}{n\left(n-1+\max \left(n-1, n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu_{k}}\right)\right)} \\
& -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left(1-n p_{\nu_{k}}\right)^{2}}{1+\max \left(1, n p_{\nu_{k}}\right)} \\
= & \frac{\left(n-1-n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu_{k}}\right)^{2}}{n\left(n-1+\max \left(n-1, n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu_{k}}\right)\right)}+\frac{\left(1-n p_{\nu_{n}}\right)^{2}}{n\left(1+\max \left(1, n p_{\nu_{n}}\right)\right)} \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

this completes the proof.
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