Effects of electron beam treatment on cotton linter for the preparation of nanofibrillated cellulose

Le Van Hai and Yung Bum Seo †

Received April 7, 2016; Received in revised form April 15, 2016; Accepted April 18, 2016

ABSTRACT

Nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) was prepared from cotton linter after electron beam irradiation to investigate its effects on the manufacturing efficiency of the NFC preparation and the property changes by the treatment. Mechanical device (Super Masscolloider) was used to prepare the NFC and its passing frequency for each NFC preparation was recorded. More electron beam irradiation resulted in less passing frequency. Alpha cellulose content, molecular weight, crystallinity index, and thermal decomposition behavior of each treatment were lowered by electron beam treatment (10 and 100 kGy) and grinding process. NFC films were prepared to investigate their mechanical properties. There were little changes in tensile properties of the NFC films.

Keywords: nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC), electron beam treatment, grinding, NFC film, mechanical properties

1. Introduction

Nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) has gained much attention and interest of scientists and researchers because they are biodegradable, renewable, environmentally friendly and abundantly available almost everywhere in the world. There are many research papers concerning the application of nanocellulose in the area such as pharmaceutical, cosmetics, bio-composite, paper coating and others¹⁻⁴. Missoum et al.⁵⁾ have used SEM, FE–SEM, AFM, TEM and other methods to determine the mor– phology of nano–size fibers. Many studies sug– gested that nanofibrillated cellulose has at least one dimension under 100 nm. However, according to Siró and Plackett⁶⁾, nanofibers have a wide va– riety of widths that could be from 5 to 120 nm. Recently, Kolakovic⁷⁾ also noted that nanofibrillat– ed cellulose from different cellulose sources could have width size ranging from 3 to 120 nm.

The crystallinity index, degree of polymerization

[•] Dept. of Biobased Materials, College of Agriculture and Life Science, Chungnam Natl. Univ. Daejeon 34134, Republic of Korea

[†] 교신저자(Corresponding Author): E-mail: ybseo@cnu.ac.kr

(DP) and alpha cellulose content are all related to the strength properties of cellulose materials. Re– searchers have discussed the decreased crystallin– ity indices and sizes of nanofibrillated cellulose^{8–10}. For the crystallinity index in percent, decrease of 5 to 7 point compared to the starting materials has been widely agreed.

The CED (cupriethylene diamine) viscosity or degree of polymerization is also one of the important factors that contribute to the strength properties of materials. From Seo et. al.¹¹⁾, a lower degree of polymerization means lower strength properties of the cellulose materials. Researchers have been concerned that nanofibrillated cellulose produced by mechanical methods could lead to decrease in DP and viscosity. Zimmermann et. al.¹²⁾ mentioned in detail that nanofibrillated cellulose materials had DP decreases between 15–63%.

In this study, the effect of electron beam treatment on cotton linter for producing cotton linter nanofibrillated cellulose (NFC) was investigated. It was known that the electron beam irradiation on cellulose fibers caused to decrease cellulose molecular weight, strength properties, thermal decomposition temperature, and crystallinity¹¹⁾. Therefore, the electron beam irradiation on the cotton linter may lead to an energy saving way for preparing NFC. In addition, the effects of grinding and electron beam irradiation on the alpha cellu– lose contents, fiber morphology, CED viscosities and crystallinity indices were investigated. Films of cotton–NFC were produced for the evaluation of their mechanical properties.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

The cotton linter for the study was donated by KOMSCO (Korea Minting and Security Printing & ID Card Operating Corp.). Three different types of pre-treatment of the cotton linter were used including the cotton linter control sample, and 10 and 100 kGy electron beam treated samples (Table 1). Electron beams were applied to the samples at the facility of EB Tech Co. located in Daejun, Korea. The electron accelerator of ELV-4 type manufactured by EB Tech Co. was used for the irradiation with 1.0 MeV of energy.

Table 1. Cotton linter sample information

Sample	Description	CED viscosity (cP)	
Cotton	Cotton linter	50.1	Cotton linter from
LC	control		KOMSCO. (Korea
Cotton	Electron	5.5	minting, security
L10	beam 10 kGy		printing, ID card
Cotton	Electron	2.2	operating corpora-
L100	beam 100 kGy		tion)

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Electron beam treatment and sample preparation

The cotton linter control and 10 kGy samples were too tough to be ground, and thereby, pre-treated by a valley beater until freeness of 100 CSF was reached before being ground by the Super Masscolloider (Masuko Sangyo Co. Ltd., Japan)¹³⁾. The cotton linter treated with electron beam energy of 100 kGy was disintegrated without the valley beater treatment. The samples were diluted to a consistency of around 1-1.3% before being ground by a Super Masscolloider. The cellulose materials were ground at 2000 rpm at an input current of 2.0 A. Many samples of different passes were prepared, and when most of the sample fibril width became under 100 nm. the sample was used as NFC and the number of passes recorded were used as the energy to make NFC.

2.2.2 Nanofibrillated cellulose morphology

An ITplus optical microscope (Sometech Co., Ltd., Korea) and FE-SEM (field emission scanning electron microscope) were used for determining the morphology of the fibers. Fifty fibers were

randomly measured to analyze the widths of the fibers according to the researchers¹⁴⁾. An x-ray diffractometer (XPERT-PRO) was used to measure the crystallinity of the raw materials and NFC samples. The measurements were carried out over a range of 3° to 40° with a step size of 0.1° . The XRD was operated at 40 kV and 30 mA.

2.2.3 Property measurement

Alpha cellulose content, CED viscosity, crystallinity index, and tensile strength of the samples were measured according to the methods described in Table 2. Films from different cotton linter NFC sources were produced on a 12 x 12 cm glass mold for tensile strength testing. The pre-calculated amount of the vacuumed NFC solution for making 30g/m² basis weight film was poured over the flat glass, and air-dried to make NFC film. Transparent films were prepared and their mechanical properties were measured according to the standard methods.

Table 2. Testing methods applied for this study

Test name/ Equipment	Used methods/ Standard
Alpha cellulose content	Korea standard M 7044
CED viscosity	T230 om-89
Crystallinity	X-Ray diffraction/ Segal method
Valley beater	Modified (T 200 om-89)
Tensile strength	Т 404/Т 494

Results and discussion

3.1 Morphology of the nanofibrillated cellulose

Before grinding, cotton fibers had widths of approximately 14 µm. Fig. 1 showed that the morphology of the fibers changed from micro to nano size due to the grinding process, and they were observed by using a optical microscope and FE-SEM, respectively. The width of NFC from Cotton LC was in the range of 30-70 nm. We estimated that the cotton linter could be turned into NFC by 21 passes through the Super Masscolloider.

Cotton linter subjected to the 10 kGy electron beam treatment were ground to nano-sized fibrillated cellulose materials by 19 passes of the Super Masscolloider. The fiber morphology change was shown in Fig. 2. The width of the NFC from Cotton L10 was in the range of 30-70 nm, again.

In the case of the 100 kGy treated cotton linter. it was not necessary to pretreat the cotton linter with the valley beater. The Cotton L100 was disintegrated and then transferred to the grinder for NFC production. The final fiber width of the NFC from the Cotton L100 was in the range of 50-70 nm



(a) Optical microscope

Fig. 1. Cotton linter control (Cotton LC). Fiber (a) and its NFC (b) image.



(a) Optical microscope

(b) FE-SEM

Fig. 2. Cotton linter: 10 kGy electron beam treated (Cotton L10). Fiber (a) and its NFC (b) image.



(b) FE-SEM

Fig. 3. Cotton linter: 100 kGy electron beam treated (Cotton L100) Fiber (a) and its NFC (b) image.

The Cotton L100 were a lot easier to make NFC (15 time passes of the Super Masscolloider) and faster to convert from micro size to nanofibrillated materials. The results indicate that the electron beam treated cotton linters were much easier to make NFC. Table 3 showed how many passes were needed through the Super Masscolloider to convert cotton linter materials to NFCs.

3.2 Alpha cellulose, viscosity and crystallinity index

Table 3 shows the results of the alpha cellulose, viscosity and crystallinity index of the cotton linter. The alpha cellulose content of the samples were decreased due to the grinding process. For Cotton LC, the alpha cellulose content dropped by only two units due to grinding; however, those of the 10 kGy (Cotton L10) and the 100 kGy (Cotton L100) treated samples showed large decreases. The alpha cellulose content of the cotton L100 showed a sharply drop from 61.7% to 28.5%, a 70% lower than the starting material by grinding process. It turned out that the grinding process for NFC preparation caused remarkable decrease of alpha cellulose content for the electron beam treated samples, and caused their ground samples to be dissolved effectively in the 17.5% NaOH solution. This is because the cellulose that was not dissolved in 17.5% NaOH solution, was measured as alpha cellulose.

The viscosity was also decreased by the NFC grinding process but in different way. The viscosity of the Cotton LC sample dropped largely by the grinding process, but those of the electron beam treated samples were minimal. We think that the grinding process for high molecular weight materials such as Cotton LC, may consume more energy to lower the molecular weight while for low molecular weight materials such as electron beam treated materials, consume more energy for fibril separation.

The crystallinity indices of the NFCs were decreased due to the grinding process and electron beam treatment. The cotton linter initially had high crystallinity index (82.5%). The electron beam irradiation to the cotton linter lowered the index largely for Cotton L100 case. The grinding process using the Super Masscolloider caused further drop of the crystallinity of all samples by 3–5 points. The 100 kGy electron beam irradiation combined with grinding for preparation of NFC caused the cotton linter a total of 17% drop from its original crystallinity index.

3.3 Strength properties of NFC films

Table 4 shows the strength properties of the NFC films produced by the casting method. The tensile strength and the Young's modulus of each sample from cotton linter were not largely different, but showed fluctuations. Cotton L20–NFC was add–

Name	α-cellulose content (%)	Viscosity (cP)	Crystallinity index (%)	Remarks
Cotton LC	99.1 + 0.4	50.1 + 0.4	82.5	Control sample
Cotton L10	97.2 + 0.3	5.5 + 0.2	81.6	EB10kGy
Cotton L100	61.7 + 1.0	2.3 + 0.1	70.5	EB 100 kGy
Cotton LC-NFC	97.2 + 1.3	20.1 + 0.3	79.4	21 passes
Cotton L10-NFC	87.8 + 0.5	5.3 + 0.1	75.3	19 passes
Cotton L100-NFC	28.5 + 1.5	2.1 + 0.1	65.5	15 passes

Table 3. Alpha cellulose contents and viscosities

NFC: Nanofibrillated cellulose, EB: electron beam treatment.

Samples	Basis weight (g/m ²)	Peak stress (MPa)	Strain at break (%)	Young's modulus (GPa)	Tensile index (Nm/g)
Cotton LC-NFC	32.5	56.8	1.25	8.68±1.22	55.1±2.8
Cotton L10-NFC	30.5	53.3	1.27	10.91 ± 1.81	44.8 ± 3.5
Cotton L20–NFC	31.5	63.1	1.33	8.55 ± 2.14	54.1 ± 2.7
Cotton L100-NFC	31.2	63.7	0.95	11.80 ± 1.67	50.9 ± 1.5

Table 4. Tensile strength of the film samples prepared with the cotton linters-NFC samples

ed for adding more information. It was expected that Cotton L100-NFC sample, which had the lowest CED viscosity or the lowest DP, might give the lowest tensile properties but did not. In the contrary, The Cotton L100-NFC sample gave the highest Young's modulus and stress at peak of all. It is hard to explain the results because low DP sample did not lower the tensile strength property. One possible explanation for this behavior is as followed. The NFCs used for film samples were strong enough not to break in this tensile test even though electron beam treatment and grinding process had been applied to them. If that was the case, the film broke in tensile test only when the bonding between NFCs were broken. The bonding between NFCs might not be much different between samples because they were grinded to the NFC in similar dimension and shape. Therefore, significant tensile strength difference might not be shown in the table. The NFC samples gave high Young's modulus in the table compared to paper materials. The Cotton L10 sample gave the lowest tensile properties of all, which might come from variations in film preparation.

3.4 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)

Thermal decomposition temperature of raw cellulose materials were around 360-370oC in Table 5. Due to electron beam treatment, the thermal degradation temperature of cotton linters decreased from 369 to 360oC, which was 9oC difference. Thermal degradation temperature of Cotton L was lowered from 369oC initially to 350oC for its NFC by the grinding treatment, which was 19oC difference. That means that the grinding treatment was more effective to decrease the cellulose degradation temperature than the electron beam treatment up to 100 kGy.

Fig. 4 shows mass loss curves of TGA for cotton linter and its NFC, respectively. For cotton linter, electron beam treatment of 100 kGy gave a large mass loss among others (Fig. 4.(a)) while its NFC gave little mass loss (Fig. 4.(b)). We believe that it was because for the NFC cases, different passes of grinding were applied until similar shape NFCs were obtained. For Cotton L100–NFC, the low– est number of passes had been applied (15 passes) without refining. Again it was shown that grind– ing treatment was very effective over electron beam treatment (100kGy) in thermal degradation temperature.



Fig. 4.(a) Thermal decomposition mass loss of the cotton linter irradiated by electron beam.



- Fig. 4. (b) Thermal decomposition mass loss of the NFC from cotton linter irradiated by electron beam.
- Table 5. Thermal decomposition temperature of the electron beam irradiated cotton linters and their NFCs

	Thermal decompositi	ion temperature. oC
Samples	Raw cellulose	NFC
Cotton L	369	350
Cotton L–10	366	349
Cotton L-20	366	348
Cotton L-100	360	345

Conclusions

Electron beam pre-treatment facilitated the production of NFC by lowering process energy. Cotton linter that was irradiated by electron beam in different energy levels up to 100 kGy were all successfully ground into nano-sized fibrillated cellulose materials in the width of 30-70 nm. The electron beam treatment caused the degradation of cellulose as indicated by the low alpha cellulose content, low molecular weight, low crystallinity index, and low thermal degradation temperature. Electron beam treatment on cotton linter made easier to produce NFC by lowering grinding passes. The tensile properties of NFC film was not much affected by the electron beam treatment significantly.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by research fund of Chungnam National University (reference number 2014-1196-01).

Literature Cited

- Özgür Seydibeyoğlu M., and Oksman K., Novel nanocomposites based on polyurethane and micro fibrillated cellulose, Composite Science Technology 68:908-914 (2008).
- Besbes I., Vilar M.R., and Boufi S., Nanofibrillated cellulose from Alfa, Eucalyptus and Pine fibres: Preparation, characteristics and reinforcing potential, Carbohydrate Polymers 86:1198-1206 (2011).
- Lavoine N., Desloges I., Dufresne A., and Bras J., Microfibrillated cellulose – its barrier properties and applications in cellulosic materials: a review, Carbohydrate Polymers 90:735-64 (2012).
- Al-Turaif H., Relationship between tensile properties and film formation kinetics of epoxy resin reinforced with nanofibrillated cellulose, Progress in Organic Coatings 76:477 - 481 (2013).
- Missoum K., Belgacem, M.N., and Bras, J., Nanofibrillated cellulose surface modification: A review, Materials 6, 1745–1766 (2013).
- Siró I., and Plackett D., Microfibrillated cellulose and new nanocomposite materials: a review. Cellulose 17:459-494 (2010).
- Kolakovic, R., Nanofibrillar cellulose in drug delivery, Dissertation, Division of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Helsinki, Finland (2013).
- Bilbao-sainz C., Bras J., and Williams T., HPMC reinforced with different cellulose nano-particles, Carbohydrate Polymers

86:1549-1557 (2011).

- Yousefi H., Faezipour M., and Hedjazi S., Comparative study of paper and nanopaper properties prepared from bacterial cellulose nanofibers and fibers/ground cellulose nanofibers of canola straw, Industrial Crops and Products 43:732 – 737 (2013).
- Winuprasith T., and Suphantharika M., Microfibrillated cellulose from mangosteen (Garcinia mangostana L.) rind: Preparation, characterization, and evaluation as an emulsion stabilizer, Food Hydrocolloids 32:383-394 (2013).
- Seo Y.B., Lee M.W., Park D.H., and Park H.J., Use of a Low-Energy Electron Beam for Degree of Polymerization Control of Cotton Linter, Industrial Engineering and Chemical Research 52:692-695 (2013).

- Zimmermann T., Bordeanu N., and Strub E., Properties of nanofibrillated cellulose from different raw materials and its reinforcement potential, Carbohydrate Polymers 79:1086 – 1093 (2010).
- Pääkkö M.I., Ankerfors M., Kosonen H., Nykänen A., Ahola S., Osterberg M., Ruokolainen J., Laine J., Larsson P.T., Ikkala O., and Lindström T.. Enzymatic hydrolysis combined with mechanical shearing and high-pressure homogenization for nanoscale cellulose fibrils and strong gels, Biomacromolecules 8(6):1934– 41 (2007).
- Siqueira G., Bras J., and Follain N., Thermal and mechanical properties of bio-nanocomposites reinforced by Luffa cylindrical cellulose nanocrystals, Carbohydrate Polymers 91:711-7 (2013).