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Abstract The generalized Hough transform (GHough) can be used effectively for detecting and extracting an arbitrary-shaped 2-D model in an input image. However, the main drawbacks of the GHough are both heavy computation and an excessive storage requirement. Thus, most of the researches so far have focused on reducing both the time and space requirement of the GHough. But it is still not clear how well their improved algorithms will perform under various noise in an input image. Thus, this paper proposes a new framework that can measure the performance of the GHough quantitatively. For this purpose, we view the GHough as a detector in signal detection theory and the ROC curve will be used to specify the performance of the GHough. Finally, we show that we can evaluate the GHough under various noise conditions in an input image.
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요 약 일반화된 허프변환은 임의의 형태의 2차원 모델을 입력영상에서 탐지 및 추출하는데 사용되어지는 효과적인 방법이다. 그러나 일반화된 허프변환의 단점으로 실행시간이 오래 걸린다는 것과 과도한 메모리 사용을 들 수 있다. 그래서 현재까지의 대부분의 연구는 일반화된 허프변환의 실행시간과 메모리 사용량을 줄이는데 집중되어왔다. 그러나 실행시간과 메모리 사용을 줄이기 위해 개선된 알고리즘에 입력 영상에 존재하는 노이즈를 고려할 경우 어떤 성능을 제공하는가는 여전히 불분명하다. 그러므로 본 논문은 일반화된 허프변환의 성능 평가를 위한 새로운 프레임워크를 제안한다. 이를 위해 일반화된 허프변환을 신호탐지 이론의 탐지기로 간주하며 ROC 커브를 사용해서 일반화된 허프변환의 성능을 정의한다. 마지막으로 입력 영상에서의 노이즈를 고려한 정량적인 성능 평가가 가능함을 보인다.

키워드 : 허프변환, 일반화된 허프변환, 모양 추출, 물체 인식, 반응자 작용 특성

1. Introduction

With increased computer speed and advanced technology in artificial intelligence, the image recognition is gaining momentum again. The image recognition technology has evolved over time, and many studies are being done in such area as motion detection, biometric recognition, and object tracking [1-5]. For those high level technology to be successful, the Hough transform (HT) is a low level technique that can be used at the preprocessing stage of those algorithms. The HT is mainly used to detect such parametric curves as straight lines, circles, and
ellipses[6]. Ballard proposed the generalized Hough transform (GHough) that can detect an arbitrarily scaled and rotated model[7]. The classical survey by Illingworth and Kittler and the recent survey by Mukhopadhyay and Chaudhuri discuss the variants and extensions of both HT and the GHough[8,9]. The GHough is an efficient method when the rotation and scale parameter of a given model is known in advance. However, the GHough requires both heavy computation and an excessive storage of 4-dimensional accumulator array due to the enumeration scheme used in the algorithm. Thus, most of the researches so far have been focused on improving the time and storage requirement of the GHough. A randomized generalized Hough transform was proposed to reduce the execution time of the GHough. Multiple points with their geometric constraints were used to reduce the parameter space from 4-D to 2-D[10–12]. Even though those approaches have been successful in improving both the time and storage requirement of the GHough, it is not still clear how well their proposed algorithms will perform under various noise that can exist in an input image. Thus, this paper propose a framework that allows for measuring the performance of the GHough. This can be done by viewing the GHough as a detector in signal detection theory[13]. This paper consists of the following : (1) We first introduce the theory of hypothesis testing (2) Two important conditional probability functions of the cell counts in the parameter space of the GHough will be derived (3) The ROC(Receiver Operating Characteristic) curve will be used to specify the performance of the GHough under various noise conditions.

2. Generalized Hough Transform (GHough)

The GHough works in 2 phases : model encoding and detection. For the encoding of a given shape, the GHough selects an arbitrary reference point \((x_r, y_r)\) inside the model and stores the displacement vector \(\mathbf{d}_i\) into the R-table using a gradient angle \(\phi_i\) at each \(\mathbf{p}_i\) as an index. Fig. 1 shows the model encoding scheme of the GHough. In the second phase of the GHough, voting is done into a 2-dimensional accumulator array \(A\). That is, for each edge pixel \(\mathbf{P}_i\) in an input image, the edge gradient \(\Phi_i\) is computed and used to look up the R-table. For each \((r, \alpha)\) value stored there, the location of the potential reference point \((x_r, y_r)\) is computed using the following equation:

\[
x_r = x + r \cos \alpha, \quad y_r = y + r \sin \alpha
\]  (1)

and the \(A(x_r, y_r)\) is incremented. Once all the edge pixels in an input image are processed, the accumulator array \(A\) is searched to find local maxima which is considered to be the model found. In order to adapt to variations in scale \(s\) and rotation \(\theta\) of the model, the above equation (1) can be modified as

\[
x_r = x + rs \cos (\alpha + \theta), \quad y_r = y + rs \sin (\alpha + \theta)
\]  (2)

and the accumulator array \(A\) needs to become 4-dimensional.

Fig. 1. Model Encoding Scheme of the GHough

3. Hypothesis Testing

To develop an evaluation method for the GHough, we briefly introduce the hypothesis testing. Let us imagine that we measure a single quantity \(x\) and choose a classification, based on the outcome of the
measurement of \( x \), of one of two possible hypotheses \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \). Then, the outcome of the measurement \( x \) is a random variable with density functions \( p_0(x) \) and \( p_1(x) \), respectively. Then, \( x \) can fall into two regions \( R_0(x \leq x_0) \) and \( R_1(x > x_0) \), such that the hypothesis \( H_0 \) is chosen when \( x \) lies in region \( R_0 \) and the hypothesis \( H_1 \) is chosen otherwise. Fig. 2 shows the two regions.

![Fig. 2. Probability density functions \( p_0 \) and \( p_1 \) conditioned under hypothesis \( H_0 \) and \( H_1 \), respectively](image)

Regardless of what regions we select for \( R_0 \) and \( R_1 \) with a threshold \( x_0 \), we can always consider the following quantities:

\[
P(D_0|H_0) = \int_{R_0} p_0(x) \, dx
\]

(3)

\[
P_F = P(D_1|H_0) = \int_{R_1} p_0(x) \, dx
\]

(4)

\[
P(D_0|H_1) = \int_{R_0} p_1(x) \, dx
\]

(5)

\[
P_D = P(D_1|H_1) = \int_{R_1} p_1(x) \, dx
\]

(6)

The quantities \( (4) \) and \( (6) \) are called the "probability of false alarm" \( (P_F) \), and the "probability of detection" \( (P_D) \), respectively. A specific threshold \( x_0 \) determines both \( P_F \) and \( P_D \) of a detector.

4. Receiver Operating Characteristic(ROC)

The Receiver Operating Characteristic(ROC) curve is a plot of \( P_D \) versus \( P_F \) for varying threshold selected[14]. Fig. 3 shows a typical Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve for the distribution shown in Fig. 2. What the curve tells us is that, if we set the threshold such that the \( P_F \) is equal to 0.1, the detection rate \( (P_D) \) we can achieve is 0.7. So, for varying threshold selected, which is implicit in the curve, we can measure the performance of a detector by plotting the probability of detection for varying levels of false alarm probability.

![Fig. 3. A sample ROC curve that shows the relationship between \( P_D \) and \( P_F \).](image)

5. Conditional Probability Functions

In order to obtain the probabilities \( (P_F \) and \( P_D) \) for the evaluation of the GHough, we need two conditional probability functions: a probability function of a cell count when an instance of an object exists in an image \( (p_1) \) and a probability function of a cell count when no instance of an object exists \( (p_0) \). These conditional probability functions were derived and used to set the scientific threshold for the GHough considering both Type I and Type II error[15]. Here, we recap the important steps for the derivation of \( p_0 \) and \( p_1 \) and extend the work to obtain \( P_F \) and \( P_D \) for a complete evaluation of the GHough using the ROC curves.

5.1 Spreading Effect in Parameter Space

In this section, we are interested in finding the effects due to the uncertainties in measuring image features in a given image. Suppose an image contains
an instance of the model which is rotated by $\theta$ and scaled by $s$. If the image were ideal with no noise, then it would have a point $P_I$ with gradient angle $\Phi_I$ which is a correct instance of the $i^{th}$ model point $p_i$ with a gradient angle $\phi_i$. Thus, the GHough would vote into a point in 2-dimensional translation space $P_{0,s}$ corresponding to a rotation $\theta$ and a scale $s$. This point $t$ in $P_{0,s}$ is given as

$$t = P_I + sR_\theta d_i$$

(7)

Thus, the R-table is indexed using the angle $\Phi_I - \theta$ and the displacement vector $d_i$’s are retrieved and each $d_i$’s needs to be rotated by $\theta$ and scaled by $s$ to handle the rotation and scale appropriately.

As we can see, this region is related to the length of the $i^{th}$ displacement vector $d_i$ and the amount of positional and angular uncertainty($\epsilon_p$ and $\epsilon_a$). The region corresponding to an arbitrarily shaped model is difficult to obtain. However, we can get the worst case area of spread from the circle whose radius is $r$ in Fig. 5. Note that the distance between $A$ and $B$ is equal to $r$.

Fig. 5. The region of spread for an arbitrary model

Since the $r$ is given as

$$r = 2 \parallel sd_{\text{max}} \parallel \sin(\epsilon_a/2) + \epsilon_p$$

the worst case area of spread is

$$G_{\text{spread}} = \pi \left[2 \parallel sd_{\text{max}} \parallel \sin(\epsilon_a/2) + \epsilon_p\right]^2$$

(11)

Where $d_{\text{max}}$ is the length of the longest displacement vector in the model.

Since the votes into the parameter tend to spread into a region instead of a point in the parameter space, we must sum all the votes cast into a moving circle with radius $r$. Thus, at the peak detection stage, we assume that the GHough will find a maximum sum of cells inside the moving circle of radius $r$ to get the local maxima.

Even though we use the moving circle of radius $r$ at the peak detection stage of the GHough, $P_I$ can vote into the moving circle if the gradient angles in the model and an image match. That is, the $P^h$ image point can vote only if the angle $\Phi_I^{th} - \theta$ matches with
the indexing into the R-table will not always occur. In order to compute the probability that \( I \text{th} \) image point casts a vote into \( G_{\text{spread}} \), let us assume that \( 2e_a \leq q \). That is, the quantization size \( q \) of a gradient angle is sufficiently large to handle the possible angular error. Then, there are 3 cases (Events) to consider as shown in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 6 Non-intersecting sub-intervals I, II, and III of the R-Table bin](image)

Thus, we define the following events:

- \( A_1 \) : \( \Phi_I \) lies in the interval I,
- \( A_2 \) : \( \Phi_I \) lies in the interval II, and
- \( A_3 \) : \( \Phi_I \) lies in the interval III.

Also, if we let \( A \) be the event “Indexing occurs.” Then, the probability that the \( I \)th image point casts a vote is given by

\[
P_{\text{vote}} = P(A \left| A_1 \right) P(A_1) + P(A \left| A_2 \right) P(A_2) + P(A \left| A_3 \right) P(A_3)
\]

(13)

Note that \( P(A \left| E_0 \right) P_{E_2} \) depends on exactly where \( \Phi_I \) lies in the interval II. Depending on the value of \( k \) in Fig. 6, there are two cases:

1. \( \Phi_I \) lies close to the end point of the interval I in which case the probability that an indexing occurs is close to 1
2. \( \Phi_I \) lies close to the end point of quantization interval \( q \) in which case the probability that an indexing occurs is close 1/2. Thus, by averaging, the probability \( P_{\text{vote}} \) that an \( I \)th image point casts a vote into the area \( G_{\text{spread}} \) is given as:

\[
P_{\text{vote}} \approx \frac{q - 2e_a}{q} + 2\left( \frac{3}{4} \right) \frac{e_a}{q}
\]

(14)

Thus, the probability that \( k_1 \) model points vote into the moving circle is

\[
P(k_1) = \left( \left\lfloor \frac{f \times n}{k_1} \right\rfloor \right) P_{\text{vote}}^k \left( 1 - P_{\text{vote}} \right)^{\left\lfloor \frac{f \times n}{k_1} \right\rfloor - k_1}
\]

(15)

Where \( n, N \) are the number of model points, a number of image points (Edges) and \( f \) is the degree of occlusion (0 ≤ \( f \) ≤ 1). These are the votes cast by real instances of \( \left\lfloor \frac{f \times n}{k_1} \right\rfloor \) model points in a given image.

Also, since there are \( n \) displacement vectors stored in the R-Table, whose size is \( \left\lfloor \frac{2\pi}{q} \right\rfloor \), a number of votes cast into the moving circle on the average is given by

\[
\beta(n; N, f, q) = \frac{n}{\left\lfloor \frac{2\pi}{q} \right\rfloor} (N - \left\lfloor \frac{f \times n}{k_1} \right\rfloor)
\]

(16)

Thus, the probability that \( k_2 \) non-model points cast a vote into the moving circle is

\[
P(k_2) = \left( \beta(n; N, f, q) \right)^{k_2} P_{\text{spread}}^k \left( 1 - P_{\text{spread}} \right)^{\beta(n; N, f, q) - k_2}
\]

(17)

Where \( P_{\text{spread}} = \frac{G_{\text{spread}}}{1 \times 1} \)

Here, we assume an image size is \( 1 \times 1 \) unit-square and \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are also assumed to be independent, \( p_1 \) can be obtained as:

\[
p_1(k) = P(k_1) \otimes P(k_2)
\]

(18)

Where \( \otimes \) is the convolution operator[16]. For even
moderate values of $n$ and $N$, the computation of both $P(A1)$ and $P(k2)$ becomes cumbersome, so we approximate them using the Poisson approximation:

$$P(k_1) \approx \frac{\mu^{k_1}}{k_1!} e^{-\mu}, \quad \text{and} \quad P(k_2) \approx \frac{\nu^{k_2}}{k_2!} e^{-\nu}$$

Where $\mu = f \times n \mid P_{\text{ode}}$, and $\nu = \beta(n, N, f, q) P_{\text{spread}}$.

Thus,

$$P_1(k) = \frac{\mu^{k_1}}{k_1!} e^{-\mu} \times \frac{\nu^{k_2}}{k_2!} e^{-\nu} \quad (19)$$

The $p_0$ can also be obtained by setting $f = 0$ in $P(k_2)$ (i.e., no object exists in an image), and is given as

$$p_0(k) = \binom{\beta(n, N, f, q)}{k} P_{\text{spread}}^k (1 - P_{\text{spread}})^{n\beta(n, N, f, q) - k}$$

which we approximate as

$$p_0(k) \approx \left[ \frac{\beta(n, N, f, q) P_{\text{spread}}}{\mu} \right]^k e^{-\beta(n, N, f, q) P_{\text{spread}}} \quad (20)$$

Given $p_0$ and $p_1$, we can evaluate the performance of the GHough under varying conditions using the ROC curves.

6. Performance Evaluation of the GHough

We plot the ROC curves for the GHough to see how it performs by varying the number of edge pixels ($N$), the amount of uncertainty in measuring edge position ($\epsilon_p$), edge gradient direction($\epsilon_e$), and the degree of occlusion of the model ($f$). For the convenience of this evaluation, we set the quantization size $q$ of the R-table to 5 degrees and assumed the model consists of $n = 20$ points and the maximum length of the displacement vector in the model was set to 0.25.
see, the performance of the GHough degrades more rapidly compared to the case of positional uncertainty $\epsilon_p$ in Fig. 9(a). With the false alarm probability of 0.1, the GHough can only maintain the detection probability of 0.77 when there is angular uncertainty of 4 degrees.

(4) Varying Degree of Occlusion:

Fig. 9(c) shows the ROC curves as a function of varying degree of occlusion ($f$). In this case, the GHough performs better compared to the case of positional uncertainty $\epsilon_p$, but the detection probability decreases below 0.9, which is very poor if the half of the model is occluded in a given image ($f = 0.5$).

(2) Varying Degree of Positional Uncertainty:

Fig. 9(a) shows the ROC curves as a function of varying positional uncertainty $\epsilon_p$. With the false alarm probability of 0.1, the GHough can only maintain the detection probability of 0.98, but as the positional uncertainty increases, the detection probability falls down up to 0.93.

(3) Varying Degree of Angular Uncertainty:

Fig. 9(b) shows the ROC curves as a function of varying degree of angular uncertainty $\epsilon_\theta$. As we can see, the performance of the GHough degrades more rapidly compared to the case of positional uncertainty $\epsilon_p$ in Fig. 9(a). With the false alarm probability of 0.1, the GHough can only maintain the detection probability of 0.77 when there is angular uncertainty of 4 degrees.

Fig. 8. (a) shows the false alarm probability for various threshold when $N$ varies (b) shows the ROC curves when $N$ is 1,000, 2,000, 3,000, 4,000 points, respectively.

(2) Varying Degree of Positional Uncertainty:

Fig. 9(a) shows the ROC curves as a function of varying positional uncertainty $\epsilon_p$. With the false alarm probability of 0.1, the GHough can only maintain the detection probability of 0.98, but as the positional uncertainty increases, the detection probability falls down up to 0.93.

(3) Varying Degree of Angular Uncertainty:

Fig. 9(b) shows the ROC curves as a function of varying degree of angular uncertainty $\epsilon_\theta$. As we can see, the performance of the GHough degrades more rapidly compared to the case of positional uncertainty $\epsilon_p$ in Fig. 9(a). With the false alarm probability of 0.1, the GHough can only maintain the detection probability of 0.77 when there is angular uncertainty of 4 degrees.

(4) Varying Degree of Occlusion:

Fig. 9(c) shows the ROC curves as a function of varying degree of occlusion $f$. In this case, the GHough performs better compared to the case of positional uncertainty $\epsilon_p$, but the detection probability decreases below 0.9, which is very poor if the half of the model is occluded in a given image ($f = 0.5$).
7. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a framework for the evaluation of the G-Hough by viewing it as a detector in signal detection theory. We derived the two conditional probability functions ($P_0$ and $P_1$) of the peak (cell counts) in the accumulator array of the G-Hough. Those functions were expressed by incorporating the uncertainties in measuring the position and the gradient angle of an edge, the number of edges, and the degree of occlusion of the model. Finally, both the false alarm probability ($P_F$), and the detection probability ($P_D$) were obtained to specify the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) of the G-Hough under various noise levels. Our proposed evaluation framework can also be used by other G-Hough variants to specify the performance quantitatively.
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