Honam Mathematical J. **39** (2017), No. 4, pp. 485–491 https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2017.39.4.485

### ENVELOPES OF SUBMODULES

DAWOOD HASSANZADEH-LELEKAAMI

**Abstract.** We introduce and investigate envelope of submodules. We show that the proper envelopes of certain submodules is a union of prime submodules.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

We always assume that A is a commutative ring with nonzero identity and B is a unitary A-module. Also,  $\mathfrak{a}$  denotes a proper ideal of A. We define the envelope of a submodule N of an A-module B with respect to an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of A to be the set of all elements m of B such that there exists an element r of  $R \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  and  $rm \in N$ . This is a generalization of torsion subset T(B) of B. We use this notion to provide some results on prime submodules. For example, we show that the envelope of some submodule respect to some ideal is a union of prime submodules.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 2.1.** Let N be a submodule of B. We define the envelope of N with respect to  $\mathfrak{a}$  as following

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = \{ m \in B \mid \exists r \in R \setminus \mathfrak{a}; rm \in N \} = \bigcup_{r \notin \mathfrak{a}} (N :_B r).$$

We say N is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed if  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = N$ .

Envelope of a submodule is no longer a submodule in general. For example, let  $A = B = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $N = \mathfrak{a} = 6\mathbb{Z}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $2, 3 \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  but  $2+3 \notin E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ . However, if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of A, then it is easy to see that  $E_{\mathfrak{p}}(N)$  is submodule. Moreover, if  $E_{\mathfrak{p}}(N) \neq B$ , then

Received January 3, 2017. Accepted September 28, 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13C13, 13C12.

Key words and phrases. Envelope of submodules, Prime submodules.

it is a prime submodule of B (see [5]). The next proposition provides simple conditions under which envelope  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  of N is submodule.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$  and let it is a principal ideal of *A*. Then for each submodule *L* of *B*,  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$  is a submodule of *B*.

Proof. Suppose that  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}) = Ax$  for some  $x \in A$  and  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$  is not a submodule of B. Then there exist  $m_1, m_2 \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$  such that  $m_1 + m_2 \notin E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$ . By definition, there are elements  $s_1, s_2 \in A \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $s_1m_1 \in L$  and  $s_2m_2 \in L$ . Hence,  $s_1s_2(m_1 + m_2) \in L$  and  $m_1 + m_2 \notin E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$ . Therefore,  $s_1s_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ . This implies that  $s_1, s_2 \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Since  $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ , there are positive integers  $t_1, t_2$  such that  $s_1 = ax^{t_1}$  and  $s_2 = bx^{t_2}$ , for some  $a, b \notin E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a})$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $t_1 \geq t_2$ . Since  $b \notin E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a})$  and  $s_1 \in A \setminus \mathfrak{a}$ , the element  $bs_1$  does not belong to  $\mathfrak{a}$  and therefore  $bs_1(m_1+m_2) \in L$ . This yields that  $m_1+m_2 \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$ , a contradiction.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  be the ring of integers and  $\mathfrak{a} = 4\mathbb{Z}$  be the principal ideal generated by  $4 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}) = \sqrt{4\mathbb{Z}} = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . By Theorem 2.2, for each A-module M and each submodule L of M,  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$  is a submodule of M.

In the next theorem, we show that the envelope of some submodules of a flat modules is submodule. This theorem is a generalization of a useful and well-known result in the theory of prime submodules (see [3, Theorem 3]).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed ideal of A for some ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of A and let B be a flat A-module. Then  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{q}B) = \mathfrak{q}B$ .

*Proof.* We prove the theorem in three steps.

**Step 1:** We claim that  $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q} :_A x)$  for each element  $x \in A \setminus \mathfrak{a}$ . It is obvious that  $\mathbf{q} \subseteq (\mathbf{q} :_A x)$ . So, let  $y \in (\mathbf{q} :_A x)$ . Then  $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Thus  $y \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}$ , since  $x \in A \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed.

**Step 2:** Let  $x \in A \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  and consider the exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{(\mathfrak{q}:_A x)}{\mathfrak{q}} \xrightarrow{f} \frac{A}{\mathfrak{q}} \xrightarrow{g} \frac{A}{\mathfrak{q}}$$

where f is the canonical injection mapping and g is the mapping obtained by taking quotients under multiplication by x. Since, B is flat we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{(\mathfrak{q}:_A x)}{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes B \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1_B} \frac{A}{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes B \xrightarrow{g \otimes 1_B} \frac{A}{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes B.$$

486

Since  $\frac{A}{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes B \cong \frac{B}{\mathfrak{q}B}$  and  $Img(f \otimes 1_B) = Ker(g \otimes 1_B)$  we have

$$\mathfrak{q}:_A x)B = (\mathfrak{q}B:_B x).$$

**Step 3:** Suppose that  $m \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{q}B)$ . Then there exists  $r \in A \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $m \in (\mathfrak{q}B :_B r)$ . On account of Step 2, we have  $m \in (\mathfrak{q} :_A r)B$ . From Step 1 we conclude that  $m \in \mathfrak{q}B$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Let *L* be a submodule of *B*. Then *L* is called *prime* if *L* is proper and if  $tl \in L$  (where  $(t, l) \in A \times B$ ), then  $t \in Ann(B/L)$  or  $l \in L$ . If *L* is prime, then ideal  $\mathfrak{p} := Ann(B/L)$  is prime and *L* is said to be  $\mathfrak{p}$ -prime (see [3, 6]). If *B* has no prime submodule, then *B* is said to be primeless.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let N be a submodule of B. Then the following statements hold.

- 1. If N is a prime submodule and  $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq (N :_A B)$ , then  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = N$ . In particular,  $E_{(N:_AB)}(N) = N$  if and only if N is a prime submodule.
- 2. If N is a primary submodule and  $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \sqrt{(N:_A B)}$ , then  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = N$ . In particular,  $E_{\sqrt{(N:_A B)}}(N) = N$  if and only if N is a primary submodule.

*Proof.* It is easy.

Lemma 2.5 shows that every p-primary (also p-prime) submodule is pclosed. As we mentioned, Theorem 2.4 is a generalization of [3, Theorem 3]. Using the notion of envelope of submodule we provide a new proof of [3, Theorem 3].

**Corollary 2.6.** Let B be a flat A-module and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of A such that  $\mathfrak{p}B \neq B$ . If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideal of A, then  $\mathfrak{q}B$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary submodule of B. In particular,  $\mathfrak{p}B$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -prime submodule.

*Proof.* The ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -closed ideal of A by Lemma 2.5. According to Theorem 2.4 we have  $\mathfrak{q}B = E_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{q}B)$ . It is easy to verify that  $E_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{q}B)$  (resp.  $E_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{p}B)$ ) is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}$ -prime) submodule of B.

Since each projective module is flat, the next corollary is a generalization of [1, Theorem 2.2].

**Corollary 2.7.** Let *B* be a projective *A*-module. Then either qB = B or qB is a p-primary submodule of *B* for every p-primary ideal q of *A*.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{q}B \neq B$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -closed ideal of A. Since each projective module is flat, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that  $E_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{q}B) = \mathfrak{q}B$ . Now, the result follows from Lemma 2.5(2).

It is shown in [3, Theorem 2] that if B is a faithful Noetherian Amodule, then for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of A there is a prime submodule N of B such that  $(N :_A B) = \mathfrak{p}$ . McCasland and Moore proved this result for finitely generated (not necessarily Noetherian) modules in [6, Theorem 3.3]. Also, it is proved in [4, Lemma, p.3746] using a different method. We are going to present a generalization of this result.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let *B* be a finitely generated *A*-module and  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a prime ideal of *A*. Then for every  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of *A* containing  $\operatorname{Ann}(B)$ , there is an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed submodule *Q* of *B* such that  $(Q :_A B) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \operatorname{Ann}(B)$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed ideal of A. We claim that  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$  is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed. Let  $x \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}})$ . Then there exists  $y \in A \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  such that

$$x \in \sqrt{(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}:_A Ay)} = (\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}:_A \sqrt{Ay}) = \sqrt{(\mathfrak{q}:_A Ay)}.$$

Hence,  $x^n \in (\mathfrak{q} :_A Ay)$  for some integer *n*. This yields that  $x \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ , since  $\mathfrak{q}$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed ideal of *A*. Therefore,  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$  is  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed.

From [3, Proposition 8], we have

(1) 
$$(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B:_A B) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

We claim that  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B)$  is the desired  $\mathfrak{a}$ -closed submodule of B such that  $(E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B) :_A B) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Obviously, we have  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B :_A B) \subseteq (E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B) :_A B)$ . Now, let  $g \in (E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B) :_A B)$  and  $B = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ . Then  $gb_i \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B)$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . So, there are  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $r_igb_i \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $r := r_1 \cdots r_n \in R \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  and  $rgb_i \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we infer that  $rg \in (\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B :_A B)$ . This implies that  $g \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B :_A B)$ . Consequently, By (1) we have

$$(E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B):_{A}B) = E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}B:_{A}B) = E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

This completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.9.** Let B be a nonzero finitely generated A-module. Then for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of A containing  $\operatorname{Ann}(B)$ , there exists a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -prime submodule of B.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \operatorname{Ann}(B)$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ -closed. There exists a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -closed submodule Q of B by Theorem 2.8 such that  $(Q:_A B) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}$ . Lemma 2.5 implies that Q is prime.

It is shown in [2, Theorem 3.3] that if the torsion set T(B) of a module B is a proper set, then it is a union of prime submodules. We are going to use the notion of envelope to provide a similar result.

488

**Lemma 2.10.** Let *L* be a submodule of *B* such that  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L) \neq B$ , where  $\mathfrak{a} := (L:_A B)$  and

$$S = \{ N \le B \mid N \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L) \text{ and } N = \bigcup_{a \in D} (L :_B a) \text{ for some } D \subseteq A \}.$$

Then each maximal element of S is a prime submodule of B.

*Proof.* Let P be a maximal element of S. Then there exists a subset D of A such that

$$P = \bigcup_{b \in D} (L :_B b).$$

We are going to show that P is a prime submodule of B. Suppose that  $(r,m) \in A \times B$  such that  $m \notin P$  and  $rm \in P$ . We must show that  $r \in (P :_A B)$ .

First, suppose that  $rb \notin \mathfrak{a}$  for each element  $b \in D$ . Let  $Q := \bigcup_{b \in D} (L :_B rb)$ . Then, by definition,  $P \subseteq Q \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$ . We claim that Q is a submodule of B. If  $s \in A$  and  $q \in Q$ , then it is easy to see that  $sq \in Q$ . Hence, suppose that  $m_1, m_2 \in Q$ . Then there are  $b_1, b_2 \in D$  such that  $m_i \in (L :_B rb_i)$  for each i = 1, 2. Therefore,  $rm_i \in (L :_B b_i) \subseteq P$  for each i = 1, 2, because  $b_i \notin \mathfrak{a}$ . This implies that

$$rm_1 + rm_2 \in P$$
.

So, there exists  $b_3 \in D$  such that  $rm_1 + rm_2 \in (L :_B b_3)$ . Thus,

$$m_1 + m_2 \in (L:_B rb_3) \subseteq Q.$$

This implies that Q is a submodule of B and by maximality of P we have  $Q = P \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L) \neq B$ .

Since  $rm \in P$ , there is  $c \in D$  such that  $rm \in (L:_B c)$ . Thus,

γ

$$m \in (L:_B rc) \subseteq Q \subseteq P,$$

a contradiction. Hence, we assume that  $rb \in \mathfrak{a}$  for some  $b \in D$ . This yields that

$$bB \subseteq \mathfrak{a}B \subseteq L.$$

Therefore,  $rB \subseteq (L:_B b) \subseteq P$ . So, P is a prime submodule of B.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.11.** Let *L* be a submodule of an *A*-module *B* and let  $\mathfrak{a} := (L :_A B)$ . If  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L) \neq B$ , then  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$  is a union of prime submodules of *B*.

*Proof.* Let  $m \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L)$  and

$$S_m := \{ N \le B | m \in N \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L) \text{ and } N = \bigcup_{a \in D} (L :_B a) \text{ for some } D \subseteq A \}.$$

#### Dawood Hassanzadeh-lelekaami

By definition, there exists  $r \in A \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $rm \in L$ . Thus,

 $m \in (L:_B r) \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{a}}(L).$ 

Hence,  $S_m \neq \emptyset$ . Now, an easy application of Zorn's Lemma shows that  $S_m$  has a maximal element  $P_m$ . By Lemma 2.10,  $P_m$  is a prime submodule of B. This completes the proof.

**Example 2.12.** Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $N = 6\mathbb{Z} \times 12\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = 12\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  is a proper subset of M, since  $(5,7) \in M \setminus E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ . Also, note that,  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  is not a submodule of M, since  $(2,0), (0,3) \in E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  but  $(2,3) \notin E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$ . By Theorem 2.11,  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  is a union of prime submodules. Indeed, it is easy to see that 2M and 3M are prime submodules of M and  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = 2M \cup 3M$ .

We Conclude the paper with some results on primeless modules. It is shown in [7, Lemma 1.3], if A is an integral domain and B is a primeless A-module, then B is torsion. Using Theorem 2.11, we can extend this result.

**Corollary 2.13.** *B* is primeless if and only if  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}B) = B$  for each ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of *A*. In particular, if *B* is primeless, then it is torsion.

*Proof.* Let B be primeless. Then by Theorem 2.11,  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}B) = B$  for each ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of A. Now, suppose that  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}B) = B$  for each ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of A and P be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -prime submodule of B. Then

$$B = E_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{p}B) \subseteq E_{\mathfrak{p}}(P) = P \subsetneqq B,$$

a contradiction.

**Corollary 2.14.** Let A be a one-dimensional Noetherian integral domain. Then B is torsion divisible if and only if  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}B) = B$  for each ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of A.

*Proof.* Let  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}B) = B$  for each ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of A. Then it is primeless by Corollary 2.13. It follows from [7, Proposition 1.4] that B is torsion divisible.

On the other hand, if *B* is torsion divisible, then *B* is primeless by [7, Proposition 1.4]. So, Corollary 2.13 implies that  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}B) = B$  for each ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of *A*.

#### References

 M. Alkan and Y. Tiras, Projective modules and prime submodules, Czechoslovak Math. J. 56 (2006), no. 2, 601–611.

490

#### Envelopes of Submodules

- [2] D. D. Anderson and S. Chun, The set of torsion elements of a module, Comm. Algebra 42 (2014), 1835–1843.
- [3] Chin-Pi Lu, Prime submodules of modules, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 33 (1984), no. 1, 61–69.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Spectra of modules, Comm. Algebra 23 (1995), no. 10, 3741–3752.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, Saturations of submodules, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 6, 2655–2673.
- [6] R. L. McCasland and M. E. Moore, *Prime submodules*, Comm. Algebra 20 (1992), no. 6, 1803–1817.
- [7] R. L. McCasland, M. E. Moore, and P. F. Smith, On the spectrum of a module over a commutative ring, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), no. 1, 79–103.

Dawood Hassanzadeh-lelekaami Department of Basic Sciences, Arak University of Technology, P. O. Box 38135-1177, Arak, Iran. E-mail: Dhmath@arakut.ac.ir and lelekaami@gmail.com