Honam Mathematical J. 39 (2017), No. 4, pp. 655–663 https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2017.39.4.655

# A STUDY ON  $\kappa$ -AP,  $\kappa$ -WAP SPACES AND THEIR RELATED SPACES

Myung Hyun Cho<sup>\*</sup> and Junhui Kim<sup>†</sup>

Abstract. In this paper we define  $AP_c$  and  $AP_{cc}$  spaces which are stronger than the property of approximation by points(AP). We investigate operations on their subspaces and study function theorems on  $AP_c$  and  $AP_{cc}$  spaces. Using those results, we prove that every continuous image of a countably compact Hausdorff space with AP is AP. Finally, we prove a theorem that every compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space is  $\kappa$ -pseudoradial, and prove a theorem that the product of a compact  $\kappa$ -radial space and a compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space is a  $\kappa$ -WAP space.

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed as Hausdorff, the cardinality of any set X is denoted by  $|X|$ .  $\aleph_0$  is the first countably infinite cardinal and  $\aleph_1$  is the first uncountable cardinal. Undefined notions and terminologies can be found in [4].

Approximation by points (AP) and weak approximation by points (WAP) were introduced by A. Pultr and A. Tozzi in 1993 ([7]) and studied by A. Bella  $([1])$  and P. Simon  $([8])$  etc.

A space  $X$  is said to have the property of *Approximation by Points*  $([7])$ , for short, AP, if for every non-closed subset A of X and for every point  $x \in \overline{A} \backslash A$ , there exists a subset F of A such that  $\overline{F} = F \cup \{x\}$ . A space  $X$  is said to have the property of Weak Approximation by Points, for short,  $WAP$ , if for every non-closed subset  $A$  of  $X$ , there exist a point  $x \in \overline{A} \backslash A$  and a subset F of A such that  $\overline{F} = F \cup \{x\}$ . Such a

Received October 17, 2017. Accepted November 24, 2017.

<sup>2010</sup> AMS subject classification. 54A20, 54C05, 54D55.

Kew words and phrases. Fréchet-Urysohn, AP,  $AP_c$ ,  $AP_{cc}$ ,  $\kappa$ -AP, WAP,  $\kappa$ -WAP, radial, κ-radial, pseudo-radial, κ-pseudo-radial.

<sup>?</sup> The first author's research was supported by Wonkwang University Research Grant, 2017.

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author

set  $F$  is said to be *almost closed*. In a space with a unique non-isolated point, any non-closed set is almost closed. So any space with a unique non-isolated point is AP.

W. Hong ([5]) introduced many properties by generalizing AP and WAP. Specially, he defined approximation by countable points (ACP) and countably approximation by points, for short, countably AP.

Here, we state the well-known classical definitions concerned with AP and WAP. A space  $X$  is called *compact* (resp. *countably compact*) if every (resp. countable) open cover  $X$  has a finite subcover. A space X is called Fréchet-Urysohn if for any subset A of X and any  $x \in \overline{A}$ there is a sequence S in A which converges to x. A space X is called sequential if for any non-closed subset A of X there is a sequence  $S$  in A which converges to some  $x \in \overline{A} \backslash A$ . We say that a space X has *countable* tightness, i.e.,  $t(X) \leq \aleph_0$ , if whenever  $A \subseteq X$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$ , there exists a countable subset B of A such that  $x \in \overline{B}$ .

A. Bella and J. Gerlits ([2]) studied radiality, pseudoradiality, and semiradiality, which are some kind of approximations by points defined in view of convergence of transfinite sequences. The definitions are as follows. A space  $X$  is said to be *radial* if for every non-closed subset  $A$ of X and for every point  $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , there exists a transfinite sequence which converges to x. A space X is said to be *pseudoradial* if for every non-closed subset A of X, there exist a point  $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$  and a transfinite sequence which converges to  $x$ . We say that a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is  $\kappa$ -closed whenever  $B \subseteq A$  and  $|B| \leq \kappa$  imply  $B \subseteq A$ . A space X is said to be *semiradial* if for every non- $\kappa$ -closed subset A of X, there exists a transfinite sequence  $S$  in  $A$  which converges to a point outside  $A$  and satisfies  $|S| \leq \kappa$ .

The relations Fréchet-Urysohn  $\rightarrow$  radial  $\rightarrow$  semiradial  $\rightarrow$  pseudoradial always hold. But in general the arrows cannot be reversed even for compact spaces. For more details on pseudoradial and related spaces, see [6]. AP and WAP spaces are closely related to radial and pseudoradial spaces. Likewise, it will be turned out that  $\kappa$ -AP and  $\kappa$ -WAP spaces are closely related to  $\kappa$ -radial and  $\kappa$ -pseudoradial spaces.

This paper is organized as follows: This section is to provide some notions and definitions which we will need afterwards. In section 2, we define  $AP_c$  and  $AP_{cc}$  spaces which are stronger than the property of approximation by points (AP). We investigate operations on their subspaces and we also study function theorems on  $AP_c$  and  $AP_{cc}$  spaces. Using those results, we prove that every continuous image of a countably compact Hausdorff space with AP is AP.

Section 3 is devoted to studying  $\kappa$ -radiality and  $\kappa$ -pseudoradiality which streng-then radiality and pseudoradiality. We modify a theorem by A. Bella ([1]) that every compact WAP space is pseudoradial to get a theorem [Theorem 3.4] that every compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space is  $\kappa$ pseudoradial. We also modify a theorem by A. Bella  $(1)$  that the product of a compact semiradial space and a compact WAP space is a WAP space to get a theorem [Theorem 3.9] that the product of a compact  $\kappa$ -radial space and a compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space is a  $\kappa$ -WAP space.

## 2.  $AP_c$  and  $AP_{cc}$  Spaces

A space X is said to be  $\kappa$ -AP ([3]) if for every non-closed subset A of X and for every point  $x \in \overline{A} \backslash A$ , there exists a subset F of A such that  $F = F \cup \{x\}$  and  $|F| \leq \kappa$ . Such a set F is called  $\kappa$ -almost closed.

A space X is said to be  $\kappa$ -WAP ([3]) if for every non-closed subset A of X, there exist a point  $x \in \overline{A} \backslash A$  and a subset F of A such that  $\overline{F} = F \cup \{x\}$  and  $|F| \leq \kappa$ .

We say that a subset A of a space X is  $\kappa$ -AP-closed if for every  $F \subseteq A$ with  $|F| \leq \kappa$  the relation  $|\overline{F} \backslash A| \neq 1$  holds. It is clear that X is a  $\kappa$ -WAP space if and only if every  $\kappa$ -AP-closed subset of X is closed. Recall that a subset A of a space X is  $\kappa$ -closed if whenever  $B \subseteq A$  and  $|B| \leq \kappa$ imply  $\overline{B} \subseteq A$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A space X is said to be  $AP_c$  provided that for any non-closed subset A of X and  $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , there exists a subset F of A such that  $\overline{F} = F \cup \{x\}$  and  $\overline{F}$  is compact.

**Definition 2.2.** A space X is said to be  $AP_{cc}$  provided that for any non-closed subset A of X and  $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , there exists a subset F of A such that  $\overline{F} = F \cup \{x\}$  and  $\overline{F}$  is countably compact.

Theorem 2.3. The following statements hold:

- (1) every subspace of an  $AP_c$  space is an  $AP_c$  space;
- (2) every subspace of an  $AP_{cc}$  space is an  $AP_{cc}$  space;
- (3) every Fréchet-Urysohn space is an  $AP_c$  space;
- (4) every  $AP_c$  space is an  $AP_{cc}$  space; and
- (5) every  $AP_{cc}$  space is an AP space.

*Proof.* (1) Let Y be a subspace of an  $AP_c$  space X and let A be a non-closed subset of Y. If  $x \in \overline{A}^Y \setminus A$ , then there exists a subset F of A such that  $\overline{F} = F \cup \{x\}$  and  $\overline{F}$  is compact since X is AP<sub>c</sub>. Then it follows from  $x \in Y$  that  $\overline{F}^Y = \overline{F}$ . Therefore  $\overline{F}^Y$  is compact.

(2) It can be proved similarly.

(3) Let  $A$  be a non-closed subset of a Fréchet-Urysohn space  $X$  and let  $x \in \overline{A} \backslash A$ . Since X is Fréchet-Urysohn, there exists a sequence S in A which converges to the point x. Then the subspace  $S \cup \{x\}$  is compact. Therefore the space X is  $AP<sub>c</sub>$ .

(4) and (5) They are immediate from the definitions.

 $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.4.** The following are equivalent for a Hausdorff space  $X:$ 

 $(1)$  X is Fréchet-Urysohn;

 $(2)$  X is  $AP_c$ ; and

(3) X is  $AP_{cc}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to show the implication  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  to complete the proof.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ . Since X is AP<sub>cc</sub>, there exists a subset F of A such that  $\overline{F} = F \cup \{x\}$  and  $\overline{F}$  is countably compact. Since every subspace of an AP space is AP [10, Proposition 2.1 (1)], the subspace  $\overline{F}$  is AP. Since every countably compact AP space is Fréchet-Urysohn [10, Theorem 2.2],  $\overline{F}$  is Fréchet-Urysohn. So there exists a sequence  $S$  in  $F$  (hence in  $A$ ) which converges to x. Therefore  $X$  is Fréchet-Urysohn.  $\Box$ 

When  $X$  is a sequential Hausdorff space, the following statements are equivalent ([5], Corollary 2.4):

X is Fréchet-Urysohn  $\Leftrightarrow$  X is ACP  $\Leftrightarrow$  X is countably AP. Therefore we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a sequential Hausdorff space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- $(1)$  X is Fréchet-Urysohn;
- $(2)$  X is  $AP_c$ ;
- (3) X is  $AP_{cc}$ ;
- $(4)$  X is ACP; and
- $(5)$  X is countably AP.

V. V. Tkachuk and I. V. Yaschenko ([10]) have proved that every countably compact Hausdorff space with AP is Fréchet-Urysohn. So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. Every countably compact Hausdorff space with AP is  $AP_c$  and  $AP_{cc}$ .

The following is an improvement of the result in [10] that every closed continuous image of an AP space is AP.

Theorem 2.7. The following statements hold:

- (1) every continuous image of an  $AP_c$  space is  $AP_c$ ; and
- (2) every continuous image of an  $AP_{cc}$  space is  $AP_{cc}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that X is an AP<sub>c</sub> space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous onto map. Let  $A \subseteq Y$  and  $y \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ . Take  $B = f^{-1}(A)$ . Since  $f^{-1}(y) \cap \overline{B} \neq \emptyset$ , B is a non-closed subset of X. Hence for some  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ , there exists a subset C of B such that  $\overline{C} = C \cup \{x\}$  and  $\overline{C}$  is compact. Let  $F = f(C)$ . Then  $\overline{F} = F \cup \{y\}$ . Furthermore,  $\overline{F}$  is compact because  $\overline{F} = f(\overline{C})$  and f is continuous.

(2) can be proved similarly.

 $\Box$ 

Remark 2.8. Notice that there exists an AP space whose continuous image is not AP. The space  $X = \omega_1 + 1$  with interval topology is not AP, and it is a continuous image of a discrete space D of cardinality  $\omega_1$ which is  $AP([9])$ .

However we have the following.

Theorem 2.9. Every continuous image of a countably compact Hausdorff space with AP is AP.

Proof. Let X be a countably compact Hausdorff space with AP, and let  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuous map from the space X onto a space Y. Then, by Corollary 2.6, X is  $AP_c$ . Theorem 2.7 implies that Y is  $AP_c$ , and hence it is AP.  $\Box$ 

### 3.  $\kappa$ -Radial and  $\kappa$ -Pseudoradial spaces

A space X is called  $\kappa$ -radial ([3]) if for every non-closed subset A of X and for every point  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$ , there exists a transfinite sequence  ${x_{\alpha} \in A : \alpha < \kappa}$  which converges to p.

A space X is called  $\kappa$ -pseudoradial ([3]) if for every non-closed subset A of X, there exist a point  $p \in \overline{A} \setminus A$  and a transfinite sequence  $\{x_{\alpha} \in A\}$  $A: \alpha < \kappa$  which converges to p.

By definitions, we have the following diagram.

### 660 Myung Hyun Cho? and Junhui Kim†



We introduce a definition which is an extension of sequential compactness: a space X is called  $\kappa$ -sequentially compact if every transfinite sequence has a convergent transfinite subsequence with length  $\leq \kappa$ .

**Theorem 3.1** ([1]). Every compact WAP space is pseudoradial.

**Theorem 3.2** ([1]). Every compact AP space is radial.

**Theorem 3.3** ([3]). Every countably compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space is  $\kappa$ sequentially compact.

We have two improvements of the above theorems as follows:

**Theorem 3.4.** Every compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space is  $\kappa$ -pseudoradial.

*Proof.* Let X be a compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space and let A be a non-closed subset of X. Since X is  $\kappa$ -WAP, there exist  $B \subseteq A$  and  $x \in X \backslash A$ such that  $\overline{B}\setminus A = \{x\}$  and  $|B| \leq \kappa$ . Select a minimal family  $\{U_{\xi} : \xi \in$  $\kappa_0$ }( $\kappa_0 \leq \kappa$ ) of open subsets in the subspace  $\overline{B}$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{\xi \in \kappa_0} \overline{U}_{\xi} =$ { $x$ }. By the minimality,  $\bigcap_{\nu \in \xi} \overline{U}_{\nu} \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\xi \in \kappa_0$ . Choose a point  $x_{\xi} \in \bigcap_{\nu \in \xi} \overline{U}_{\nu} \setminus \{x\}.$  Since  $\overline{B}$  is compact, it is easy to check that the well-ordered net  $\langle x_{\xi} : \xi \in \kappa_0 \rangle$  so obtained converges to x. By construction, the set  $F = \{x_{\xi} : \xi \in \kappa_0\}$  is a subset of A. So the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.5.** Every compact  $\kappa$ -AP space is  $\kappa$ -radial.

*Proof.* Let X be a compact  $\kappa$ -AP space. Let A be a non-closed subset of X and  $x \in \overline{A} \backslash A$ . Then there exists  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $\overline{B} \backslash A = \{x\}$ and  $|B| \leq \kappa$ . With a similar argument in Theorem 3.4, there exists a well-ordered net  $\langle x_{\xi} : \xi \in \kappa_0 \rangle$ ,  $\kappa_0 \leq \kappa$ , of A which converges to x. Hence X is  $\kappa$ -radial.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.6** ([1]). Every compact scattered AP space is Fréchet-Urysohn.

Corollary 3.7. For every infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , every compact scattered  $\kappa$ -AP space is  $\aleph_0$ -radial.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.6.

**Theorem 3.8** ([1]). The product of compact semiradial space and compact WAP space is a WAP space.

Finally, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.9.** The product of compact  $\kappa$ -radial space and compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space is a  $\kappa$ -WAP space.

*Proof.* Let X be a compact  $\kappa$ -radial space and let Y be a compact  $\kappa$ -WAP space. By way of contradiction, assume that  $X \times Y$  is not  $\kappa$ -WAP. Then there exists a non-closed subset A of  $X \times Y$  such that for every  $(x, y) \in \overline{A} \backslash A$  and for every subset F of A with  $|F| \leq \kappa, \overline{F} \neq F \cup \{(x, y)\},\$ i.e., there is a  $\kappa$ -AP-closed set  $A \subseteq X \times Y$  which is not closed. Let  $\kappa$  be the minimal cardinal such that the set  $A$  is not  $\kappa$ -closed and choose a set  $B \subseteq A$  satisfying  $|B| = \kappa$  and  $\overline{B} \setminus A \neq \emptyset$ . Select a point  $(x, y) \in \overline{B} \setminus A$ . Since  $\{x\} \times Y$  is  $\kappa$ -WAP and  $A \cap (\{x\} \times Y)$  is  $\kappa$ -AP-closed (and hence it is closed in  $\{x\} \times Y$ , there exists a closed neighbourhood V of  $(x, y)$  in  $X\times Y$  such that  $V\cap [A\cap (\{x\}\times Y)] = \emptyset$  (because of  $(x, y) \notin A\cap (\{x\}\times Y)$ ). Let  $\pi_X : X \times Y \to X$  be a projection map on X. Since  $\pi_X^{-1}(x) =$  ${x} \times Y$  and  $(x, y) \in V$ ,  $V \cap \pi_X^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $A \cap (\{x\} \times Y) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $A \cap \pi_X^{-1}(x) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\pi_X^{-1}(x) \nsubseteq A$  and so  $x \notin \pi_X(A)$ . Since  $(x, y) \in \overline{B} \backslash A$ , it follows from the continuity of  $\pi_X$  that  $x \in \overline{\pi_X(B)}$ . Hence  $x \in \overline{\pi_X(B)} \setminus \pi_X(A)$ . Also since  $|B| = \kappa, |\pi_X(B)| \leq |B| = \kappa$ . Hence  $\pi_X(A)$  is not  $\kappa$ -closed.

Now since X is  $\kappa$ -radial, there exists a transfinite sequence  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  $\kappa$  in  $\pi_X(A)$  which converges to a point  $\hat{x} \in X \setminus \pi_X(A)$ . For each  $\alpha \in \kappa$ , choose  $y_{\alpha} \in Y$  such that  $(x_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}) \in A$ . Since Y is compact and the set  $\{y_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is infinite, there exists a complete accumulation point p of  $\{y_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  in Y. Since the point  $(\hat{x}, p) \notin A$ , we get  $p \notin \pi_Y(A)$  as before. For any  $\alpha \in \kappa$ , we denote  $C_{\alpha} = \{y_{\beta} : \beta \in \alpha\}$  and put  $C = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \kappa} C_{\alpha}$ . Since  $\pi_Y(A)$  is  $\kappa$ -closed,  $\{y_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\} \subseteq \pi_Y(A)$ , and  $\left|\{y_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}\right| < \kappa$ , we have  $\overline{\{y_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}} \subseteq \pi_Y(A)$ , i.e.,  $C_\alpha \subseteq \pi_Y(A)$  for every  $\alpha \in \kappa$ . Hence  $C = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \kappa} C_{\alpha} \subseteq \pi_Y(A)$ . Moreover, since  $p \in \overline{C} \setminus \pi_Y(A)$ ,  $p \in \overline{C} \setminus C$  and thus C is not closed in Y. Hence by  $\kappa$ -WAP of Y, there exist a point  $\hat{y} \in C \backslash C$  and a subset D of C such that  $D = D \cup {\hat{y}}$  and  $|D| \leq \kappa$ . Clearly, we can write  $\overline{D} = \{\hat{y}\} \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \kappa} \overline{D} \cap C_{\alpha})$ . Since  $\hat{y} \notin C$ ,  $\hat{y} \notin C_{\alpha}$ for every  $\alpha \in \kappa$ . So for each  $\alpha \in \kappa$ ,  $\hat{y} \notin \overline{D} \cap C_{\alpha}$  and  $\overline{D} \cap C_{\alpha}$  is closed in Y. Hence for each  $\alpha \in \kappa$ , choose a closed neighborhood  $U_{\alpha}$  of  $\hat{y}$  in the subspace  $\overline{D}$  satisfying  $U_{\alpha} \cap \overline{D} \cap C_{\alpha} = \emptyset$  and let  $V_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\beta \in \alpha} U_{\beta}$ . Since the subspace  $\overline{D}$  is compact and  $\overline{D}\backslash\{y\}$  is *κ*-closed, it follows that every

 $\Box$ 

### 662 Myung Hyun Cho? and Junhui Kim†

 $V_{\alpha}\setminus\{\hat{y}\}\$ is not empty. (If  $V_{\alpha}\setminus\{\hat{y}\}=\emptyset$  for some  $\alpha \in \kappa$ , then  $V_{\alpha}=\{\hat{y}\}\$ and so  $\bigcap_{\beta \in \alpha} U_{\beta} = \{\hat{y}\}\$ . By  $\kappa$ -closedness of  $\overline{D}\setminus \{y\}$ ,  $\bigcap_{\beta \in \alpha} U_{\beta} \setminus \{\hat{y}\}\neq \emptyset$ for every  $\beta \in \alpha$ . Picking a point  $y_{\beta} \in \bigcap_{\beta \in \alpha} U_{\beta} \setminus {\hat{y}}$  and taking into account the compactness of  $\overline{D}$ , it is easy to check that the transfinite sequence so obtained converges to  $\hat{y}$ . Hence  $\hat{y} \in \overline{D} \subseteq C$ . This is a contradiction.) Now picking a point  $y'_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha} \setminus {\hat{y}}$  for every  $\alpha \in \kappa$ , we obtain a transfinite sequence converging to  $\hat{y}$ . Next, fix a function  $f: \kappa \to \kappa$  such that  $y'_\alpha \in \overline{\{y_\beta : \alpha \in \beta \in f(\alpha)\}}$  for any  $\alpha \in \kappa$ . Using the fact that A is  $\lt \kappa$ -closed, we can select a point  $x'_\alpha \in \overline{\{x_\beta : \alpha \in \beta \in f(\alpha)\}}$ in such way that  $(x', y') \in A$  for any  $\alpha \in \kappa$ . To finish, observe that the transfinite sequence  $F = \{(x'_\alpha, y'_\alpha) : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  must converges to the point  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \notin A$ . Every point in the closure of F and distinct from  $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  is actually in the closure of an initial segment of  $F$  and hence in  $A$ . Thus we have  $\overline{F}\setminus A = (\hat{x}, \hat{y})$  and  $|F| \leq \kappa$ , in contradiction with the fact that A is  $\kappa$ -AP-closed.  $\Box$ 

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referees for valuable suggestions and comments to improve the results of this paper.

#### References

- [1] A. Bella, On spaces with the property of weak approximation by points, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 35 (1994), no. 2, 357-360.
- [2] A. Bella and J. Gerlits, On a condition for the pseudo radiality of a product, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 33 (1992), no. 2, 311-313.
- [3] M. H. Cho, M. A. Moon, and J. Kim, New Cardinal Functions Related to Almost Closed Sets, Honam Math. J. 35 (2013), no.3, 541-550.
- [4] R. Engelking, General Topology, Revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [5] W. C. Hong, *Generalized Fréchet-Urysohn Spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), no. 2, 261-273.
- [6] P. J. Nyikos, Convergence in Topology, in Recent Progress in General Topology, Hušek and van Mill ed., North Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [7] A. Pultr and A. Tozzi, Equationally closed subframes and representation of quotient spaces, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég. 34 (1993), no. 3, 167-183.
- [8] P. Simon, On accumulation points, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég. 35 (1994), no. 4, 321-327.
- [9] V. V. Tkachuk, A Cp-Theory Problem Book: Special Features of Function Spaces, Springer, 2014.
- [10] V. V. Tkachuk and I. V. Yaschenko, Almost closed sets and topologies they determine, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 42(2) (2001), 393-403.

Myung Hyun Cho Department of Mathematics Education Wonkwang University 460 Iksan-daero, Iksan-si, Jeonbuk 54538 Republic of Korea E-mail: mhcho@wonkwang.ac.kr

Junhui Kim Department of Mathematics Education Wonkwang University 460 Iksan-daero, Iksan-si, Jeonbuk 54538 Republic of Korea E-mail: junhikim@wonkwang.ac.kr