Comparison of the Effects of Sufentanil and Fentanyl Intravenous Patient Controlled Analgesia after Lumbar Fusion
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Objective: Postoperative pain is one of the major complaints of patients after lumbar fusion surgery. The authors evaluated the effects of intravenous patient controlled analgesia (IV-PCA) using fentanyl or sufentanil on postoperative pain management and pain-related complications.

Methods: Forty-two patients that had undergone surgery with lumbar instrumentation and fusion at single or double levels constituted the study cohort. Patients were equally and randomly allocated to a sufentanil group (group S) or a fentanyl group (group F) for patient controlled analgesia (PCA). Group S received sufentanil at a dose of 4 µg/kg IV-PCA and group F received fentanyl 24 µg/kg IV-PCA. A numeric rating scale (NRS) of postoperative pain was applied before surgery, and immediately and at 1, 6, and 24 hours (hrs) after surgery. Oswestry disability index (ODI) scores were obtained before surgery and one month after surgery. Opioid-related side effects were also evaluated.

Results: No significant intergroup difference was observed in NRS or ODI scores at any of the above-mentioned time points. Side effects were more frequent in group F. More specifically, nausea, vomiting rates were significantly higher (p=0.04), but pruritus, hypotension, and headache rates were non-significantly different in the two groups.

Conclusion: Sufentanil displayed no analgesic advantage over fentanyl postoperatively. However, sufentanil should be considerable for patients at high risk of GI issues, because it had lower postoperative nausea and vomiting rates than fentanyl.
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INTRODUCTION

Intravenous patient controlled analgesia (IV-PCA) is a commonly used straightforward and effective method of pain control after surgery⁴,⁵,⁸,¹⁸. Fentanyl is a potent synthetic opioid analgesic with a rapid onset and short duration of action, and is a strong agonist of µ-opioid receptors. Fentanyl is 80 to 100 times more potency than morphine and 40 to 50...
times more potent than pharmaceutical grade heroin. However, despite its excellent analgesic effect, nausea and vomiting caused by high dosages prevents its clinical usage in some patients. On the other hand, sufentanil is 5 to 10 times more potent than its parent fentanyl, and thus, smaller dosages are required to relieve pain. In addition, fentanyl provides high quality analgesia during the immediate postoperative period.

It has been well demonstrated that fentanyl and sufentanil based PCA significantly reduces pain score versus controls. However, no previous study has compared the analgesic and side effects of these two drugs.

This double blind-randomized control study was conducted in patients that underwent lumbar fusion surgery to compare fentanyl and sufentanil based IV-PCA with respect to pain relief and side effects.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This randomized, double-blind control-trial study was approved by the ethics committee for human research at Inha university hospital. Forty-two patients aged from 30 to 70 years that underwent lumbar fusion at one or two levels in an university hospital from October 2014 to July 2015 were enrolled in the study. At time of enrollment all patients were of American Society of Anesthesiologists status (ASA) I-III and scheduled to undergo elective lumbar fusion surgery due to degenerative spinal disease. Patients with a history of drug allergy or psychiatric disease, or with an acute traumatic fracture, infection, tumor, previous history of malignancy, or bleeding tendency were excluded. In addition, patients with postoperative complications that increased postoperative pain with pain evaluations deemed unreliable because of neurologic disease were excluded. All operations were performed by one neurosurgeon (JYK).

The 42 patients were randomly allocated to two groups, that is, a fentanyl group (group F; n=21) or a sufentanil group (group S; n=21), using random-maker software (R-console; R foundation for statistical computing 2014). Patients in group F received IV-PCA with fentanyl (24 µg/kg) mixed with normal saline to a total volume of 60 mL, whereas patients in group S received IV-PCA sufentanil (4 µg/kg) mixed with normal saline also to a total volume of 60 mL. One observer was responsible for the group allocations, and the operator and anesthesiologist were unaware of group allocations.

All patients underwent lumbar pedicle screw insertion with or without interbody fusion at 1 or 2 levels. The numeric rating scale (NRS) for pain was applied before surgery, and then immediately and at 1, 6, and 24 hrs after surgery. The Oswestry disability index (ODI) was applied preoperatively and at 1 month after surgery. NRS scores were rated using a 10-point scale, as follows, low pain 1–3, moderate pain 4–7, and severe pain 8-10. Numbers of patients in these categories were compared.

Groups S and F were compared with respect to side effects associated with IV-PCA, that is nausea, vomiting, pruritus, headache, hypotension, sedation, and respiratory depression. Demographic and clinical parameters, which included age, sex, height, weight, number of operation levels, duration of IV-PCA, and operation time were assessed. The primary outcome was severity of postoperative pain and side effects were viewed as secondary outcomes.

Data was collected and analyzed using SPSS version 14.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results are presented as means±standard deviation (SDs). The independent sample t-test, the Chi-square test, or the Mann-Whitney U test were used to group variables. Statistical significance was accepted for p values<0.05.

**RESULTS**

Group characteristics and clinical parameters are summarized in Table 1. Demographic data, including, mean age, gender, height, weights, operation levels, duration of IV-PCA, and operation time were no different in group F and S.

A comparison of group NRS scores showed that before surgery, immediate after surgery, and at 1, 6, and 24 hrs after surgery median pain scores were similar (Table 2, Fig. 1). Mean preoperative ODI scores in groups F and S were 22.4±
8.9 and 24.0±7.0, resp. At one month postoperatively these decreased to 12.5±7.9 and 14.0±7.6, respectively, which was not a significant difference (Table 3, Fig. 2).

Frequencies of pain severities after surgery in the two groups are summarized in Table 4 and Fig. 3. The majority of patients in both groups had severe pain immediately after surgery, but most had only low pain at 24 hours after surgery. Pain severities reduced with time in both groups, and no intergroup difference was observed at any time point (p>0.05).

Ten of the 21 patients in group F and 6 of the 21 patients in group S exhibited an adverse effect related to opioid (p=0.21).

Nausea and vomiting were the most common adverse effects in both groups. Six patients were affected in group F and 1 patient in group S. (p=0.04) Two patients in group F and 3 in group S showed pruritus after IV-PCA (p=0.64), and one patient in each group developed a headache and hypotension. No patient exhibited sedation or respiratory depression (Table 5).
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DISCUSSION

Lumbar fusion surgery is one of the most commonly performed spinal surgical procedures, especially in the elderly. Treatment success rates are high, but postoperative pain is common and severe. Most patients suffer severe pain at rest during the first 12 hrs after surgery, and at 48–72 hrs after surgery pain eases when resting, but remains severe during movement. Despite the benefits of lumbar fusion surgery, immediate postoperative pain is one of the common complaints, and after lumbar fusion surgery patients may experience severe postoperative pain if analgesia is not managed appropriately. Some previous studies have shown less postoperative pain is associated with a lower risk of developing chronic pain, shorter hospital stays, faster recoveries, better patient and physician satisfaction, better cardiac, respiratory, and gastrointestinal functions, and lower incidences of thromboembolic complications.

Although operator skill and successful fusion rates are important, postoperative pain control should not be overlooked by surgeons. Appropriate postoperative pain management is essential for early ambulation, reduced hospital stay, avoidance of additional analgesics, and for improving patient outcomes. In particular, in elderly patients, postoperative pain can provoke respiratory and cardiovascular complications, and thus, careful pain management is critical. IV-PCA is one of the best modalities for controlling postoperative pain after lumbar fusion for two reasons. First, IV-PCA needs no additional procedure for pain control. Second, both fentanyl and sufentanil, which are highly lipophilic opioids, are rapidly absorbed into the blood stream so it show very rarely procedure related neurologic deficits immediately after surgery. Sufentanil is an opioid that stands apart from other opioids because of its rapid onset and analgesic potency, for example, as compared to fentanyl, intravenous sufentanil is 5–10 times more potent, and in the extradural space 3–5 times more potent. This efficacy is mainly due to its high lipid solubility and ability to cross the blood-brain barrier. In fact, sufentanil is a more powerful analgesic than any other clinical used opioids.

While planning this study, we expected sufentanil would provide powerful pain relief due to its pharmacologic potency, but no significant difference was observed between group NRS scores before surgery (p=0.32), immediately after surgery (p=0.41), or at 1 (p=0.84), 6 (p=0.69), or 24 hours after surgery (p=0.21). In fact, both fentanyl and sufentanil IV-PCA provided satisfactorily postoperative pain relief. IV-PCA is one of the most frequently used means of providing pain relief after short-stay elective surgeries. In such patients, postoperative pain is experienced episodically immediately after surgery and decreases after 24 hours.

Table 4. Frequencies of different pain severities of pain after surgery in the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NRS</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before surgery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After surgery</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 1 hr</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 6 hr</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After 24 hr</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p$-values were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test. NRS: numeric rating scale

Table 5. The incidences of adverse effect in the two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse effect</th>
<th>Group F (n=21)</th>
<th>Group S (n=21)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/vomiting</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritis</td>
<td>2 (9.5)</td>
<td>3 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiration depression</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%). $p$-values were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test.
Like other opioids, sufentanil induces characteristic opioid side effects, although types and severities of side effects differ. Some studies have reported sufentanil has a lower tendency than fentanyl to induce nausea and vomiting, whereas other have reported it has a greater tendency in the present study, nausea and vomiting was the most frequent side effect or their opioid; 6 patients (28.6%) in both group F and one patient (4.8%) in group S, and this represented a significant difference ($p=0.04$). Pruritus is also a commonly described complication of sufentanil, and in the present study, 2 patients (9.5%) in group F and 3 patients (14.3%) in group S had pruritus ($p=0.64$). Nevertheless, in all affected patients, pruritus rapidly disappeared after an antihistamine injection. Furthermore, the incidence of pruritus was not high, which was expected because it is normally encountered after a central block, rarely after general administration. Despite the sufentanil induced release of histamine from basophils, the nature of post-opioid pruritus does not appear to be directly related to this phenomenon; naloxone has been reported to cause the gradual subsidence of post-opioid pruritus, but at a much slower rate than other side effects. Previous comparative studies on the incidence of pruritus after fentanyl or sufentanil via IV or epidural PCA found no significant difference between the two drugs. Headache and hypotension occurred in 1 case each in their study groups (4.8%). Bradycardia is a commonly addressed complication associated with a single dose of sufentanil, but it is usually caused rapid administration. No case of bradycardia, sedation, or respiratory distress was observed in the present study.

The low sample size of the present study is an obvious limitation. However, the study was powered sufficiently to assess meaningfully differences in primary outcome measures, but on the other hand the low sample size meant that our analysis of secondary outcome measures (nausea and vomiting, hypotension, and pruritus) was at significant risk of type II errors. Therefore, we recommend larger-scale multicenter studies be conducted to assess pain scores and to determine the incidences of nausea and vomiting, hypotension and pruritus in patients on IV-PCA after lumbar fusion surgery.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, our findings reveal that fentanyl and sufentanil IV-PCA after lumbar fusion surgery were not significantly different in terms of postoperative pain control; if fact both successfully achieved pain control. Nausea and vomiting were less frequent in the sufentanil group, and sufentanil at only 17% of the dosage of fentanyl achieved the same analgesic effect with fewer complications. The study suggests sufentanil be considered a valid alternative to fentanyl for postoperative pain control in patients with GI issues.
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