ON LEFT DERIVATIONS OF BCH-ALGEBRAS

KYUNG HO KIM AND YONG HOON LEE*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notion of left derivations of BCH algebras and investigate some properties of left derivations in a BCH-algebra. Moreover, we introduce the notions of fixed set and kernel set of derivations in a BCH-algebra and obtained some interesting properties in medial BCH-algebras. Also, we discuss the relations between ideals in a medial BCH-algebras.

1. Introduction

In 1966, Imai and Iseki introduced two classes of abstract algebras, BCK-algebra and BCI-algebras [6]. It is known that the class of BCI-algebras is a generalization of the class of BCK-algebras In 1983, Hu and Li [3] introduced the notion of a BCH-algebra, which is a generalization of the notions of BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras. They have studied a few properties of these algebras. In this paper, we introduce the notion of left derivations of BCH algebras and investigate some properties of left derivations in a BCH-algebra. Moreover, we introduce the notions of fixed set and kernel set of derivations in a BCH-algebra and obtained some interesting properties in medial BCH-algebras. Also, we discuss the relations between ideals in a medial BCH-algebras.

Received November 4, 2016. Revised April 24, 2017. Accepted April 26, 2017. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G25, 06B10, 06D99, 06B35, 06B99. Key words and phrases: BCH-algebra, derivation, left derivation, isotone, fixed set, medial.

^{*} Corresponding author.

[©] The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2017.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2. Preliminary

By a *BCH-algebra*, we mean an algebra (X, *, 0) with a single binary operation "*" that satisfies the following identities, for any $x, y, z \in X$,

(BCH1) x * x = 0,

(BCH2) $x \le y$ and $y \le x$ imply x = y, where $x \le y$ if and only if x * y = 0.

(BCH3) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y.

In a BCH-algebra X, the following identities are true, for all $x, y \in X$,

(BCH4) (x * (x * y)) * y = 0,

(BCH5) x * 0 = 0 implies x = 0,

(BCH6) 0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y),

(BCH7) x * 0 = x,

(BCH8) (x * y) * x = 0 * y,

(BCH9) x * y = 0 implies 0 * x = 0 * y,

 $(BCH10) x * (x * y) \le y.$

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a BCH-algebra. we define a partial order \leq by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0, for every $x, y \in X$.

In a BCH-algebra X,, the following identity hold:

(BCH11) $x \le y$ implies $x * z \le y * z$ but $x \le y$ implies $z * y \le z * x$ does not hold.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let I be a nonempty subset of a BCH-algebra X. Then I is called an ideal of X if it satisfies:

- $(1) \ 0 \in I$,
- (2) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

DEFINITION 2.3. A BCH-algebra is said to be medial if it satisfies

$$(x * y) * (z * w) = (x * z) * (y * w)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

In a medial BCH-algebra X, the following identity hold:

(BCH12)
$$x * (x * y) = y$$
, for all $x, y \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let X be a BCH-algebra. Then the set $X_+ = \{x \in X | 0 * x = 0\}$ is called a BCH-part of X.

DEFINITION 2.5. A *BCH*-algebra X is said to be *commutative* if for all $x, y \in X$, y * (y * x) = x * (x * y), i.e., $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$. For a *BCH*-algebra X, we denote $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let X be a BCH-algebra. A map $d: X \to X$ is a left-right derivation (briefly, (l,r)-derivation) of X if it satisfies the identity

$$d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$. If d satisfies the identity

$$d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then d is a right-left derivation (briefly, (r, l)-derivation) of X. Moreover, if d is both an (l, r) and (r, l)-derivation of X, then d is a derivation of X.

3. Left derivations of BCH-algebras

In what follows, let X denote a BCH-algebra unless otherwise specified.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X be a BCH-algebra. By a left derivation of X, we mean a self-map d satisfying

$$d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (y * d(x))$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a BCH-algebra with Cayley table as follows:

Define a self-map $d: X \to X$ by

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x = 0, 1\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 2 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that d is a left derivation of a BCH-algebra X.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a BCH-algebra with Cayley table as follows:

Define a self-map $d: X \to X$ by

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 3\\ 1 & \text{if } x = 2\\ 2 & \text{if } x = 1\\ 3 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that d is a left derivation of a BCH-algebra X.

DEFINITION 3.4. A self-map d of a BCH-algebra X is said to be regular if d(0) = 0.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let d be a left derivation of X. If 0 * x = 0, for every $x \in X$, then d is regular.

Proof. Let 0 * x = 0, for all $x \in X$. Then we have

$$d(0) = d(0 * x) = (0 * d(x)) \land (x * d(0))$$

= $0 \land (x * d(0)) = (x * d(0)) * ((x * d(0)) * 0)$
= $(x * d(0)) * ((x * d(0)) = 0$

Hence d is regular.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let d be a left derivation of X. If there exists $a \in X$ such that a * d(x) = 0, for all $x \in X$, then d is regular.

Proof. Let
$$a*d(x) = 0$$
 for all $x \in X$. Then
$$0 = a*d(a*x) = a*((a*d(x)) \land (x*d(a)))$$
$$= a*(0 \land (x*d(a)))$$
$$= a*((x*d(a))*((x*d(a))*0))$$
$$= a*0$$
$$= a.$$

Hence we have

$$d(0) = d(a)$$
= $d(a * 0)$
= $(a * d(0)) \wedge (0 * d(a))$
= $0 \wedge (0 * d(a))$
= 0 .

Hence d is regular.

PROPOSITION 3.7. Let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then, for all $x \in X$,

- $(1) x \le d(x),$
- (2) $x \le d(d(x))$.

Proof. (1) Let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then we have

$$0 = d(x * x) = (x * d(x)) \land (x * d(x))$$

= $(x * d(x)) * ((x * d(x)) * (x * d(x))) = (x * d(x)) * 0$
= $x * d(x)$,

which implies $x \leq d(x)$, for all $x \in X$.

(2) From (1),
$$x \le d(x) \le d(d(x))$$
, for all $x \in X$.

THEOREM 3.8. Let d be a left derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X. Then d(x * y) = x * d(y), for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Then we have

$$d(x*y) = (x*d(y)) \land (y*d(x)) = (y*d(x))*((y*d(x))*(x*d(y))) = x*d(y).$$

PROPOSITION 3.9. Let X be a BCH-algebra. Then

$$d_n(d_{n-1}(...(d_2(d_1(x)))...)) \le x$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $d_1, d_2, ..., d_n$ are regular left derivations of X.

Proof. For n = 1,

$$d_1(x) = d_1(x*0) = (x*d_1(0)) \land (0*d_1(x)) = x \land (0*d_1(x)) \le x,$$

by (BCH10). Hence we have $d_1(x) \le x$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_n(d_{n-1}(...(d_2(d_1(x)))...)) \leq x$. For simplicity, let

$$D_n = d_n(d_{n-1}(...(d_2(d_1(x)))...)).$$

Then

$$d_{n+1}(D_n) = d_{n+1}(D_n * 0) = (D_n * d_{n+1}(0)) \wedge (0 * d_{n+1}(D_n))$$

= $(0 * d_{n+1}(D_n)) * ((0 * d_{n+1}(D_n)) * D_n) \le D_n.$

Hence $d_{n+1}(D_n) \leq D_n$, that is, $D_{n+1} \leq D_n \leq x$ by assumption, which implies $D_{n+1} \leq x$.

THEOREM 3.10. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let d be a left derivation of X. Then d is regular if and only if d(x) = x, for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Let d be regular. Then we have d(0) = 0. Hence,

$$d(x) = d(x * 0)$$

$$= (x * d(0)) \wedge (0 * d(x))$$

$$= (x * 0) \wedge (0 * d(x))$$

$$= (0 * d(x)) * ((0 * d(x)) * x)$$

$$= x$$

Hence d(x) = x for $x \in X$. Conversely, assume that d(x) = x for all $x \in X$. Then it is clear that d(0) = 0. This implies that d is regular. \square

PROPOSITION 3.11. Let d be a left derivation of X. Then we have

- (1) x * d(x) = y * d(y),
- $(2) d(x*y) \le x*d(y),$
- (3) d(d(x) * x) = 0, for every $x, y \in X$.

Proof. (1) Since x * x = 0 for all $x \in X$, we have $d(0) = d(x * x) = (x*d(x)) \wedge (x*d(x)) = x*d(x)$. Similarly, d(0) = y*d(y), which implies x*d(x) = y*d(y).

(2) Let d be a left derivation of a BCH-algebra of X and $x, y \in X$. Then

$$\begin{split} d(x*y) &= (x*d(y)) \wedge (y*d(x)) \\ &= (y*d(x))*((y*d(x))*(x*(d(y)))) \\ &\leq x*d(y). \end{split}$$

(3) Let d be a left derivation of a BCH-algebra of X and $x \in X$. Then

$$d(d(x)*x) = (d(x)*d(x)) \wedge (x*d(d(x))) = 0 \wedge (x*d(d(x))) = 0.$$

PROPOSITION 3.12. Let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then $d: X \to X$ is an identity map if it satisfies d(x) * y = x * d(y), for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Since d is regular, we have d(0) = 0. Let x * d(y) = d(x) * y for all $x, y \in X$. Then d(x) = d(x) * 0 = x * d(0) = x * 0 = x. Thus d is an identity map.

PROPOSITION 3.13. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra X and let d be a regular left derivation of X. Define $d^2(x) = d(d(x))$, for all $x \in X$. Then $d^2 = d$.

Proof. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra X and let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then we have for all $x \in X$,

$$d^{2}(x) = d(d(x)) = d(d(x * 0))$$

$$= (d(x) * d(0)) \wedge (d(0) * d(d(x)))$$

$$= (d(x) * 0) \wedge (0 * d(d(x)))$$

$$= d(x) \wedge (0 * d(d(x)))$$

$$= (0 * d(d(x))) * [(0 * d(d(x))) * d(x)] = d(x).$$

DEFINITION 3.14. Let X be a BCH-algebra. A self-map d on X is said to be *isotone* if $x \leq y$ implies $d(x) \leq d(y)$, for $x, y \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.15. Let X be a medial commutative BCH-algebra and let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) d is an isotone derivation of X,
- (2) $x \le y$ implies d(x * y) = d(x) * y.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \leq y$. Then we have d(x * y) = d(x * y) = d(0) = 0. Since d is isotone, we get d(x) * d(y) = 0. Thus

$$d(x * y) = 0 = d(x) * d(y)$$

$$= d(x) * (d(y) \land y)$$

$$= d(x) * (y * (y * d(y)))$$

$$= d(x) * (d(y) * (d(y) * y))$$

$$= d(x) * y.$$

(2)
$$\Rightarrow$$
 (1). Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \leq y$. Thus $d(x) * d(y) = d(x) * (d(y) \land y)$
 $= d(x) * (y * (y * d(y)))$
 $= d(x) * (d(y) * (d(y) * y))$
 $= d(x) * y = d(x * y)$
 $= d(0) = 0$.

Hence $d(x) \leq d(y)$, which implies that d is an isotone derivation of X.

Proposition 3.16. Let X be a medial commutative BCH-algebra and let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) d(x * y) = d(x) * y,
- (2) d(x * y) = d(x) * d(y), for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let X be a medial commutative BCH-algebra and let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then we have

$$d(x * y) = d(x) * y = d(x) * (y \land d(y)) = d(x) * ((dy) * (d(y) * y))$$

= $d(x) * (y * (y * d(y)) = d(x) * d(y).$

Hence d(x * y) = d(x) * d(y).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then we have

$$d(x * y) = d(x) * d(y)$$

$$= d(x) * (d(y) \wedge y)$$

$$= d(x) * (y \wedge d(y))$$

$$= d(x) * y,$$

which implies d(x * y) = d(x) * y.

From the Proposition 3.15 and 3.16, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.17. Let X be a medial commutative BCH-algebra and let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) d is an isotone derivation of X,
- (2) $x \le y$ implies d(x * y) = d(x) * y,
- (3) d(x * y) = d(x) * d(y), for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 3.18. Let X be a medial commutative BCH-algebra and let d be a regular left derivation of X. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) d is an isotone derivation of X,
- (2) d(x * y) = d(x) * d(y),
- (3) $d(x \wedge y) = d(x) \wedge d(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). It follows from Theorem 3.17.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. Let d(x * y) = d(x) * d(y), for all $x, y \in X$. Then we have

$$d(x \wedge y) = d(y * (y * x))$$

$$= d(y) * d(y * x)$$

$$= d(y) * (d(y) * d(x))$$

$$= d(x) \wedge d(y).$$

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$. Let $d(x \wedge y) = d(x) \wedge d(y)$ and $x \leq y$. Then x * y = 0, for all $x, y \in X$. Hence we have

$$d(x) = d(x * 0)$$

$$= d(x * (x * y))$$

$$= d(y \land x)$$

$$= d(x) * (d(x) * d(y))$$

$$\leq d(y).$$

Hence $d(x) \leq d(y)$, which implies that d is an isotone derivation of X.

Definition 3.19. An ideal I of X is said to be d-invariant if $d(I) \subset I$.

Proposition 3.20. Let d be a left derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X. Then d is regular if and only if every ideal of X is d-invariant.

Proof. Let d is regular. Then by Theorem 3.10, d(x) = x for all $x \in X$. Let $y \in d(A)$, where A is an ideal of X. Then we have y = d(x) for some $x \in A$. Thus

$$y * x = d(x) * x$$
$$= x * x$$
$$= 0 \in A.$$

This implies that $y \in A$ and $d(A) \subset A$. Conversely, let every ideal of X be d-invariant. Then $d(\{0\}) \subset \{0\}$, and so d(0) = 0, which implies that d is regular.

THEOREM 3.21. In a medial BCH-algebra X, a self-map d is a left derivation of X if and only if it is a (r, l)-derivation of X.

Proof. Let d be a left derivation of a medal BCH-algebra X. First, we show that d is a (r, l)-derivation of X. Then

$$d(x * y) = x * d(y)$$

$$= (d(x) * y) * [(d(x) * y) * (x * d(y))]$$

$$= (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Conversely, let d be a (r, l)-derivation of X. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x*y) &= (x*d(y)) \land (d(x)*y) \\ &= (d(x)*y)*[(d(x)*y)*(x*d(y))] \\ &= x*d(y) = (y*d(x))*[(y*d(x))*(x*d(y))] \\ &= (x*d(y)) \land (y*d(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, d is a left derivation of X.

Let d be a left derivation of X. Define a set $Fix_d(x)$ by

$$Fix_d(X) = \{ x \in X \mid d(x) = x \}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.22 Let d be a left derivation of X. Then $Fix_d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X.

Proof. Let $x, y \in Fix_d(X)$. Then d(x) = x and d(y) = y, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x*y) &= (x*d(y)) \land (y*d(x))) = (x*y) \land (y*x) \\ &= (y*x)*((y*x)*(x*y)) \\ &= x*y, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $x * y \in Fix_d(X)$.

PROPOSITION 3.23. Let d be a left derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X. If $x, y \in Fix_d(X)$, then $x \wedge y \in Fix_d(X)$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in Fix_dX$, Then d(x) = x and d(y) = y, and so we have

$$\begin{split} d(x \wedge y) &= d(y * (y * x)) = (y * d(y * x)) \wedge ((y * x) * d(y)) \\ &= y * d(y * x) = (y * [(y * d(x)) \wedge (x * d(y))]) \\ &= y * (y * d(x)) = y * (y * x) \\ &= x \wedge y, \end{split}$$

which implies $x \wedge y \in Fix_d(X)$.

PROPOSITION 3.24. Let d be a left derivation of X. If $x \in Fix_d(X)$, then we have $(d \circ d)(x) = x$.

Proof. Let $x \in Fix_d(X)$. Then we have

$$(d \circ d)(x) = d(d(x)) = d(x) = x.$$

This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.25. Let d be a left derivation of X. If there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $x \leq y$ and $y \in Fix_d(X)$, then d is regular.

Proof. Let x, y be such that $x \leq y$ and d(y) = y. Then

$$d(0) = d(x * y)$$

$$= (x * d(y)) \land (y * d(x))$$

$$= (x * y) \land (y * d(x))$$

$$= 0 \land (y * d(x))$$

$$= (y * d(x)) * (y * d(x))$$

$$= 0.$$

Hence d is regular.

PROPOSITION 3.26. Let d be a left derivation of a medial commutative BCH-algebra X. If $x \leq y$ and $y \in Fix_d(X)$, then $x \in Fix_d(X)$.

Proof. Let x, y be such that $x \leq y$ and d(y) = y. Then

$$\begin{split} d(x) &= d(x \wedge y) \\ &= d((y*(y*x))) \\ &= d(x*(x*y)) \\ &= (x*d(x*y)) \wedge ((x*y)*d(x)) \\ &= (x*((x*d(y)) \wedge (y*d(x)))) \wedge ((x*y)*d(x)) \\ &= (x*((x*y))) \wedge (y*d(x)))) \wedge (0*d(x)) \\ &= (x*(0 \wedge (y*d(x))) \wedge (0*d(x)) \\ &= x \wedge (0*d(x)) \\ &= x. \end{split}$$

Hence $x \in Fix_d(X)$.

THEOREM 3.27. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let d be a left derivation of X. If $Fix_d(X) \neq \phi$, then d is regular.

Proof. Let $y \in Fix_d(X)$. Then we get d(y) = y and

$$d(0) = d(0 \land y)$$

$$= d(y * (y * 0))$$

$$= (y * d(y * 0)) \land ((y * 0) * d(y))$$

$$= (y * d(y)) \land (y * d(y))$$

$$= (y * y) \land (y * y)$$

$$= 0 * 0 = 0.$$

Hence d is regular.

In the following, we will consider a left derivation d with $Fix_d(X) \neq \phi$. THEOREM 3.28. Let d be a left derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X. If X is commutative, then $Fix_d(X)$ is an ideal of X.

Proof. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let d be a left derivation of X. Then from Theorem 3.27, d is regular, and so $0 \in Fix_d(X)$. Let $x * y \in Fix_d(X)$ and $y \in Fix_d(X)$. Then we get d(x * y) = x * y and

d(y) = y. Thus we have

$$\begin{split} d(x) &= d(x \wedge y) = d(y * (y * x)) \\ &= d(x * (x * y)) \\ &= (x * d(x * y)) \wedge ((x * y) * d(x)) \\ &= (x * (x * y)) \wedge ((x * y) * d(x)) \\ &= (y * (y * x)) \wedge ((x * y) * d(x)) \\ &= x \wedge ((x * y) * d(x)) \\ &= ((x * y) * d(x)) * [((x * y) * d(x)) * x] \\ &= x \end{split}$$

THEOREM 3.29. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let d_1 and d_2 be two isotone regular left derivations on X. Then $d_1 = d_2$ if and only if $Fix_{d_1}(X) = Fix_{d_2}(X)$.

Proof. It is clear that $d_1 = d_2$ implies $Fix_{d_1}(X) = Fix_{d_2}(X)$. Conversely, let $Fix_{d_1}(X) = Fix_{d_2}(X)$ and $x \in X$. By Proposition 3.13, $d_1(x) \in Fix_{d_1}(X) = Fix_{d_2}(X)$ and so

$$d_2(d_1(x)) = d_1(x).$$

Similarly, we can have $d_1(d_2(x)) = d_2(x)$. Since d_1 and d_2 are isotone, we have $d_2(d_1(x)) \leq d_2(x) = d_1(d_2(x))$ and so $d_2(d_1(x)) \leq d_1(d_2(x))$. Symmetrically, we can also have $d_1(d_2(x)) \leq d_2(d_1(x))$, which implies that

$$d_1(d_2(x)) = d_2(d_1(x)).$$

It follows that $d_1(x) = d_2(d_1(x)) = d_1(d_2(x)) = d_2(x)$, i.e., $d_1 = d_2$.

Let d be a left derivation of X. Define a Kerd by

$$Kerd = \{x \mid d(x) = 0\}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.30. Let d be a regular left derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X. Then Kerd is an ideal of X.

Proof. Clearly, $0 \in Kerd$. Let $x * y \in Kerd$ and $y \in Kerd$. Then we have 0 = d(x * y) = x * d(y) = x * 0 = x, and so d(x) = d(0) = 0. This implies $x \in Kerd$. Hence Kerd is an ideal of X.

DEFINITION 3.31. Let X be a BCH-algebra and let I be a proper ideal of X. Then I is said to be prime if $a \land b \in I$ implies $a \in I$, or $b \in I$, for any $a, b \in X$.

Theorem 3.32. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra. Then for any left derivation d, Kerd is a prime ideal of X.

Proof. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let d be a left derivation of X. Then Kerd is an ideal of X by Theorem 3.30. Let $x \wedge y \in Kerd$. Then $d(x \wedge y) = 0$. Hence $d(x) = d(x \wedge y) = 0$, which implies $x \in Kerd$. This show that Kerd is a prime ideal of X.

Theorem 3.33. Let d be a regular left derivation of a medial BCH-algebra X. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $Ker(d) = \{0\},\$
- (2) d is one-to-one,
- (3) d is the identity derivation.

Proof. $((1)\Longrightarrow(2))$ Suppose that $Ker(d)=\{0\}$ and d(x)=d(y), for any $x,y\in X$. Since $x\leq d(x)$, it follows from (BCH11) that $d(x*y)=x*d(y)\leq d(x)*d(y)=0$, so that d(x*y)=0. Hence $x*y\in Ker(d)$, and so x*y=0, and $x\leq y$. Similarly, we have $y\leq x$. This implies x=y. Therefore d is one-to-one.

 $((2)\Longrightarrow(3))$ Suppose that d is one-to-one. For every $x\in X$, we have

$$d(d(x) * x) = d(x) * d(x) = 0 = d(0)$$

and so d(x) * x = 0, i.e., $d(x) \le x$. Since $x \le d(x)$ for every $x \in X$, d(x) = x. Hence d is an identity derivation.

$$((3) \Longrightarrow (1))$$
 It is obvious.

DEFINITION 3.34. Let X be a BCH-algebra and let d_1, d_2 be two self-maps of X. Define $d_1 \circ d_2 : X \to X$ by

$$(d_1 \circ d_2)(x) = d_1(d_2(x))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $Der_r(X)$ be the set of all isotone regular derivations of a BCHalgebra X. Then $Der_r(X)$ is a poset with the partial order defined by

$$d_1 \leq d_2$$
 if and only if $d_1(x) \leq d_2(x)$ for all $x \in X$,

for any $d_1, d_2 \in Der_r(X)$ and identity map id_X is the least element in $Der_r(X)$.

THEOREM 3.35. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra. Then $Der_r(X)$ is a semilattice with $d_1 \vee d_2 = d_1 \circ d_2$ for every $d_1, d_2 \in Der_r(X)$.

Proof. Let $d_1, d_2 \in Der_r(X)$. Then $x \leq d_2(x)$ implies $d_1(x) \leq d_1(d_2(x))$, for all $x \in X$. Also, $d_2(x) \leq d_1(d_2(x))$, for all $x \in X$. That is, $d_1 \leq d_1 \circ d_2$ and $d_2 \leq d_1 \circ d_2$, hence $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a upper bound of d_1 and d_2 .

Suppose that d is a upper bound of d_1 and d_2 . Then $d_1(x) \leq d(x)$ and $d_2(x) \leq d(x)$, for all $x \in X$. These imply $d_1(d_2(x)) \leq d_1(d(x)) \leq d(d(x)) = d(x)$, for all $x \in X$, and $d_1 \circ d_2 \leq d$. Hence $d_1 \circ d_2$ is the least upper bound of d_1 and d_2 .

PROPOSITION 3.36. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let d_1 , d_2 be two left derivations of X. Then $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a left derivation of X.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Then we have

$$(d_1 \circ d_2)(x * y) = d_1(d_2(x * y))$$

$$= d_1((x * d_2(y)) \land (y * d_2(x)))$$

$$= d_1((y * d_2(x)) * ((y * d_2(x)) * (x * d_2(y))))$$

$$= d_1(x * d_2(y)) = x * d_1(d_2(y))$$

$$= (y * d_1(d_2(x))) * [(y * (d_1(d_2(x))) * (x * d_1(d_2(y))))]$$

$$= (x * (d_1 \circ d_2)(y)) \land (y * (d_1 \circ d_2)(x)).$$

Hence $d_1 \circ d_2$ is a left derivation of X.

DEFINITION 3.37. Let X be a BCH-algebra and let d_1, d_2 be two self-maps of X. Define $d_1 \wedge d_2 : X \to X$ by

$$(d_1 \wedge d_2)(x) = d_1(x) \wedge d_2(x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.38. Let X be a medial BCH-algebra and let d_1 and d_2 be two left derivations of X. Then $d_1 \wedge d_2$ is a left derivation of X.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. Then we have

$$(d_1 \wedge d_2)(x * y) = d_1(x * y) \wedge d_2(x * y)$$

$$= (x * d_1(y)) \wedge (y * d(x))$$

$$= x * d_1(y)$$

$$= (x * (d_1 \wedge d_2)(y)) \wedge (y * (d_1 \wedge d_2)(x)).$$

Hence $d_1 \wedge d_2$ is a left derivation of X.

Der(X) denote the set of all left derivations of X.

Proposition 3.39. Let $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in Der(X)$. Then

$$d_1 \wedge (d_2 \wedge d_3) = (d_1 \wedge d_2) \wedge d_3.$$

Proof. Let d_1, d_2 and d_3 be left derivations on X. Then

$$((d_1 \wedge d_2) \wedge d_3(x * y) = (d_1 \wedge d_2)(x * y) \wedge d_3(x * y)$$

$$= d_3(x * y) * (d_3(x * y) * (d_1 \wedge d_2)(x * y))$$

$$= (d_1 \wedge d_2)(x * y)$$

$$= (x * d_2(y)) * ((x * d_2(y)) * (x * d_1(y))$$

$$= x * d_1(y).$$

Similarly, we have

$$d_1 \wedge (d_2 \wedge d_3)(x * y) = d_1(x \wedge y) \wedge (d_2 \wedge d_3)(x * y)$$

$$= d_1(x * y) \wedge ((d_2(x * y) \wedge d_3(x * y))$$

$$= (x * d_1(y)) \wedge ((x * d_3(y)) * ((x * d_3(y)) * x * d_2(y))))$$

$$= x * d_1(y).$$

This implies that $(d_1 \wedge (d_2 \wedge d_3))(x * y) = ((d_1 \wedge d_2) \wedge d_3)(x * y)$. Put y = 0, we have $(d_1 \wedge (d_2 \wedge d_3))(x) = ((d_1 \wedge d_2) \wedge d_3)(x)$. This implies $d_1 \wedge (d_2 \wedge d_3) = (d_1 \wedge d_2) \wedge d_3$.

THEOREM 3.40. Let X be a BCH-algebra. Then $(Der(X), \wedge)$ is a semigroup.

References

- [1] M. A. Chaudhry, On BCH-algebras, Math. Japonica. 36 (4) (1991, 665–676.
- [2] M. A. Chaudhry and H. Fakhar-ud-din, *Ideals and Filter in BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica. 44 (1996) no. 1, 101–112.
- [3] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, On BCH-algebra, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 11 (2) (1983), 313–320.
- [4] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, On proper BCH-algebra, Math. Japon. 30 (4) (1985), 659–661.
- [5] K. Iseki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, Proc. Japon Acad 42 (1966), 26–29.
- [6] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV, Proc. Japan ACademy. 42 (1966), 19–22.

Kyung Ho Kim

Department of Mathematics Korea National University of Transportation Chungju 380-702, Korea E-mail: ghkim@ut.ac.kr

Yong Hoon Lee

Department of Mathematics Dankook University Cheonan 330-714, Korea *E-mail*: yonghoon@dankook.ac.kr