

The Big Vitamin D Mistake

Dimitrios T. Papadimitriou^{1,2}

¹Third Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, Attikon University Hospital, University of Athens School of Medicine, Athens;

²Pediatric-Adolescent Endocrinology and Diabetes, Athens Medical Center, Athens, Greece

Since 2006, type 1 diabetes in Finland has plateaued and then decreased after the authorities' decision to fortify dietary milk products with cholecalciferol. The role of vitamin D in innate and adaptive immunity is critical. A statistical error in the estimation of the recommended dietary allowance (RDA) for vitamin D was recently discovered; in a correct analysis of the data used by the Institute of Medicine, it was found that 8895 IU/d was needed for 97.5% of individuals to achieve values ≥ 50 nmol/L. Another study confirmed that 6201 IU/d was needed to achieve 75 nmol/L and 9122 IU/d was needed to reach 100 nmol/L. The largest meta-analysis ever conducted of studies published between 1966 and 2013 showed that 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels < 75 nmol/L may be too low for safety and associated with higher all-cause mortality, demolishing the previously presumed U-shape curve of mortality associated with vitamin D levels. Since all-disease mortality is reduced to 1.0 with serum vitamin D levels ≥ 100 nmol/L, we call public health authorities to consider designating as the RDA at least three-fourths of the levels proposed by the Endocrine Society Expert Committee as safe upper tolerable daily intake doses. This could lead to a recommendation of 1000 IU for children < 1 year on enriched formula and 1500 IU for breastfed children older than 6 months, 3000 IU for children > 1 year of age, and around 8000 IU for young adults and thereafter. Actions are urgently needed to protect the global population from vitamin D deficiency.

Key words: Vitamin D deficiency, Recommended dietary allowance, Institute of Medicine, Type 1 diabetes

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of type 1 diabetes (T1D) has been doubling every 20 years. In Finland, the recommendation for daily vitamin D supplementation was gradually reduced from 4000-5000 IU in 1964 to 400 IU in 1992. Concomitantly, T1D increased by 350% in those aged 1-4 years, 100% in those aged 5-9 years, and 50% in those aged 10-14 years [1]. However,

since 2006, T1D has plateaued and decreased after an increase in serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH]D) after the authorities' decision to fortify all dietary milk products with cholecalciferol [2]. Moreover, the worldwide association of ultraviolet (UV)-B light and vitamin D status with T1D and multiple sclerosis is now more than evident.

MAIN BODY

Vitamin D and Immunomodulation

The role of vitamin D in innate and adaptive immunity is critical. It has been shown that the redirection of human autoreactive T-cells upon interaction with dendritic cells can be modulated by an analog of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ [3]. In a recent plenary session entitled "Cell Therapy in Type 1 Diabetes" that closed the 2016 meeting of the European Society for

Received: November 18, 2016 Accepted: May 3, 2017

Corresponding author: Dimitrios T. Papadimitriou, MD, PhD
58 Kifissias Ave., Athens 15125, Greece

Tel: +30-210-363-8536, Fax: +30-210-610-6406

E-mail: info@pedoendo.gr

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Paediatric Endocrinology in Paris, Bart O. Roep [3] announced the initiation of phase 1 clinical trials in humans in 2016 with the following protocol: dendritic cells will be isolated from the patient's peripheral blood, cultured with calcitriol, and then re-injected in an abdominal intradermal position to 'teach' the rest of the immune cells not to attack β -cells anymore. In a large birth cohort study, T1D incidence was reduced by 78% with 2000 IU of cholecalciferol per day [4]. Moreover, T1D autoantibodies can be "negativated" with oral calcitriol [5]. Vitamin D levels >100 nmol/L (40 ng/mL with a conversion factor of $\times 2.5$) improve insulin secretion [6] and prevent β -cell destruction by suppressing macrophage adhesion and migration through downregulation of endoplasmic reticulum stress and scavenger receptor-A1 [7].

The Statistical Error in the Estimation of the Recommended Dietary Allowance of Vitamin D

Veugeliers and Ekwaru [8], in a correct reanalysis of the data used by the Institute of Medicine, proved that 8895 IU/d are needed for 97.5% of individuals to achieve values ≥ 50 nmol/L. Heaney et al. [9] confirmed that finding, reporting that 6201 IU/d were needed to achieve the Endocrine Society's recommendation of 75 nmol/L and 9122 IU/day to reach 100 nmol/L.

What Serum Vitamin D Levels Should We Aim for?

Garland et al. [10] published the largest meta-analysis ever conducted of all studies published between January 1, 1966 and January 15, 2013 dealing with all-cause mortality related to serum 25(OH)D, showing that 25(OH)D levels <75 nmol/L may be too low for safety and associated with higher all-cause mortality, demolishing the U-shape curve of vitamin D levels and mortality that had been assumed until then.

Call to Public Health Authorities

Since all-disease (autoimmune diseases, metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, cancer) mortality risk is reduced to 1.0 with serum vitamin D levels ≥ 100 nmol/L [10], we call all responsible public health authorities to consider designating as the recommended dietary allowance (i.e., the average daily level of intake sufficient to meet the nutrient requirements of nearly all healthy people, presuming minimal sun exposure) intake levels corresponding to those proposed by the Endocrine Society Expert Committee (2011) as safe upper tolerable daily intake doses for patients at risk for vitamin D deficiency

(<50 nmol/L): 2000 IU for those <1 year of age, 4000 IU for those aged 1-18 years, and 10 000 IU for those aged >18 years.

Since 10 000 IU/d is needed to achieve 100 nmol/L [9], except for individuals with vitamin D hypersensitivity, and since there is no evidence of adverse effects associated with serum 25(OH)D levels <140 nmol/L, leaving a considerable margin of safety for efforts to raise the population-wide concentration to around 100 nmol/L, the doses we propose could be used to reach the level of 75 nmol/L or preferably 100 nmol/L. Of course, these recommended doses can be individualized based on dietary and sun exposure habits and the latitude of the country, and they can also be adjusted according to body mass index, age, and skin color, with obese, elderly, and dark-skinned people needing higher doses.

Explanation of the Pandemic of Vitamin D Deficiency

Only 20% of our vitamin D reserve is meant to come from the diet. The remaining 80% is expected to be produced in our skin from the UV-B of the sun. In contrast to the context of the recommendations of the 1960s of 4000 to 5000 IU/d to avoid rickets, our diet today is poor in wild fish ($\times 10$ richer in vitamin D), wild eggs, and fresh milk. Children are playing and people are working indoors all day long, and powerful sun-protective cosmetics are used to prevent melanoma. Even sunny countries such as Greece present a high prevalence of vitamin D deficiency, as the angle of the sun rays from autumn to spring do not result in sufficient vitamin D production with usual sun exposure.

Optimal Vitamin D Supplementation

With the target for vitamin D set at 100 nmol/L, the dose, frequency, and duration of supplementation will be important factors for healthy subjects committed to optimizing their nutritional status. Since in the case of vitamin D, serum levels depend on dietary intake (20%) and sun exposure (80%), a practical approach would be to recommend at least the three-fourths of the upper tolerable dose proposed by the Endocrine Society to be taken as a supplement all year long except for circumstances such as vacations in which one engages in sun-bathing. This could translate to, for instance, 1000 IU for children <1 year on enriched formula and 1500 IU for those older than 6 months who are breastfed, 3000 IU for children >1 year of age, and up to 8000 IU for young adults and thereafter, with non-pediatric doses adapted to the body mass index with the

target set to 100 nmol/L instead of 50 nmol/L. More importantly, according to the Endocrine Society's clinical practice guidelines, doses up to 1000 IU/d for infants up to 6 months, 1500 IU/d for infants from 6 months to 1 year, 2500 IU/d for children aged 1-3 years, 3000 IU/d for children aged 4-8 years, and 4000 IU/d for everyone over 8 years can be given safely without medical supervision just to prevent vitamin D deficiency, while higher doses may be needed to correct hypovitaminosis D.

Importance of Vitamin D Supplementation

Such a strategy relies on adequate supplementation among pregnant and lactating women, and on timely supplementation of every newborn before seroconversion towards autoimmune targets occurs. The benefits for individuals' general health status, apart from the obvious gains in skeletal health, cannot be fully foreseen, but may very well be surprisingly greater than expected given the impact of vitamin D deficiency on metabolic syndrome itself. Improvements in vitamin D status may help reduce the public health burden of metabolic syndrome and of potential subsequent health conditions, including type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease.

CONCLUSION

Unfortunately, medicine took a very long time to realize that vitamin D is not simply a vitamin that prevents rickets. For that purpose, 400-600 IU/d may be enough. However, we know today that vitamin D is a powerful nuclear receptor-activating hormone of critical importance, especially to the immune system. With the available data mentioned above, the proposed doses would probably suffice to maintain vitamin D levels around or over 75-100 nmol/L, with practically zero risk of toxicity. Undeniably, further studies are needed to clarify the optimal supplementation of vitamin D, although it is uncertain whether a universal recommended dietary allowance is feasible. Meanwhile, actions are urgently needed to protect the global population from the threats posed by vitamin D deficiency.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author has no conflicts of interest associated with the material presented in this paper.

ORCID

Dimitrios T. Papadimitriou <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6083-3560>

REFERENCES

1. Karvonen M, Pitkaniemi J, Tuomilehto J. The onset age of type 1 diabetes in Finnish children has become younger. The Finnish Childhood Diabetes Registry Group. *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22(7):1066-1070.
2. Makinen M, Simell V, Mykkanen J, Ilonen J, Veijola R, Hyoty H, et al. An increase in serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations preceded a plateau in type 1 diabetes incidence in Finnish children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2014;99(11):E2353-E2356.
3. van Halteren AG, van Etten E, de Jong EC, Bouillon R, Roep BO, Mathieu C. Redirection of human autoreactive T-cells upon interaction with dendritic cells modulated by TX527, an analog of 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D(3). *Diabetes* 2002;51(7):2119-2125.
4. Hypponen E, Laara E, Reunanen A, Jarvelin MR, Virtanen SM. Intake of vitamin D and risk of type 1 diabetes: a birth-cohort study. *Lancet* 2001;358(9292):1500-1503.
5. Papadimitriou DT, Marakaki C, Fretzayas A, Nicolaidou P, Papadimitriou A. Negativation of type 1 diabetes-associated autoantibodies to glutamic acid decarboxylase and insulin in children treated with oral calcitriol. *J Diabetes* 2013;5(3):344-348.
6. Riek AE, Oh J, Darwech I, Moynihan CE, Bruchas RR, Bernal-Mizrachi C. 25(OH) vitamin D suppresses macrophage adhesion and migration by downregulation of ER stress and scavenger receptor A1 in type 2 diabetes. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2014;144 Pt A:172-179.
7. Kampmann U, Mosekilde L, Juhl C, Moller N, Christensen B, Rejnmark L, et al. Effects of 12 weeks high dose vitamin D3 treatment on insulin sensitivity, beta cell function, and metabolic markers in patients with type 2 diabetes and vitamin D insufficiency: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Metabolism* 2014;63(9):1115-1124.
8. Veugelers PJ, Ekwaru JP. A statistical error in the estimation of the recommended dietary allowance for vitamin D. *Nutrients* 2014;6(10):4472-4475.
9. Heaney R, Garland C, Baggerly C, French C, Gorham E. Letter to Veugelers, P.J. and Ekwaru, J.P., A statistical error in the estimation of the recommended dietary allowance for vitamin D.

- Nutrients 2014, 6, 4472-4475; doi:10.3390/nu6104472. Nutrients 2015;7(3):1688-1690.
10. Garland CF, Kim JJ, Mohr SB, Gorham ED, Grant WB, Giovannucci EL, et al. Meta-analysis of all-cause mortality according to serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Am J Public Health 2014;104(8):e43-e50.