# CLASSIFICATION OF FREE ACTIONS OF FINITE GROUPS ON 3-DIMENSIONAL NILMANIFOLDS 

Daehwan Koo, Myungsung Oh, and Joonkook Shin


#### Abstract

We study free actions of finite groups on 3-dimensional nilmanifolds with the first homology $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. By the works of Bieberbach and Waldhausen, this classification problem is reduced to classifying all normal nilpotent subgroups of almost Bieberbach groups of finite index, up to affine conjugacy.


## 1. Introduction

The classifying finite group actions on a 3-dimensional nilmanifold can be understood by the works of Bieberbach, L. Auslander and Waldhausen [5, 6, 16]. Free actions of cyclic, abelian and finite groups on the 3 -torus were studied in [7], [10] and [4], respectively. In [4], the authors generalized the result of [10] by changing the finite abelian groups condition into the finite groups condition. Our motivation is analogous to this situation.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the 3 -dimensional Heisenberg group; i.e., $\mathcal{H}$ consists of all $3 \times 3$ real upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries 1 . Thus $\mathcal{H}$ is a simply connected, 2-step nilpotent Lie group, and it fits an exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow 1
$$

where $\mathbb{R}=\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$, the center of $\mathcal{H}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}$ has the structure of a line bundle over $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We take a left invariant metric coming from the orthonormal basis

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

for the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{H}$. This is, what is called, the Nil-geometry and its isometry group is $\operatorname{Isom}(\mathcal{H})=\mathcal{H} \rtimes O(2)[13,14]$. All isometries of $\mathcal{H}$ preserve orientation and the bundle structure.

We say that a closed 3 -dimensional manifold $M$ has a Nil-geometry if there is a subgroup $\pi$ of $\operatorname{Isom}(\mathcal{H})$ so that $\pi$ acts properly discontinuously and freely

[^0]with quotient $M=\mathcal{H} / \pi$. The simplest such a manifold is the quotient of $\mathcal{H}$ by the lattice consisting of integral matrices. For each integer $p>0$, let
\[

\Gamma_{p}=\left\{\left[$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & l & \frac{n}{p} \\
0 & 1 & m \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}
$$| | l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .\right.
\]

Then $\Gamma_{1}$ is the discrete subgroup of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of all matrices with integer entries and $\Gamma_{p}$ is a lattice of $\mathcal{H}$ containing $\Gamma_{1}$ with index $p$. Clearly

$$
\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\mathcal{H} / \Gamma_{p} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=\Gamma_{p} /\left[\Gamma_{p}, \Gamma_{p}\right]=\mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

Note that these $\Gamma_{p}$ 's produce infinitely many distinct nilmanifolds

$$
\mathcal{N}_{p}=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma_{p}
$$

covered by $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.
It is interesting that if a finite group acts freely on the (standard) 3-dimensional nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ with the first homology $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, then it is cyclic (see [2]). Free actions of finite abelian groups on the 3-dimensional nilmanifold with the first homology $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ were classified in [1]. In this paper we study free actions of finite groups deleting an abelian condition on 3-dimensional nilmanifolds with the first homology $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ by utilizing the method used in [1] and classify all such group actions, up to topological conjugacy. This classification problem is reduced to classifying all normal nilpotent subgroups of almost Bieberbach groups of finite index, up to affine conjugacy. This work contains the results of [1] as corollaries.

Let $G$ be a finite group acting freely on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$. Then clearly, $M=\mathcal{N}_{p} / G$ is a topological manifold, and $\pi=\pi_{1}(M) \subset \operatorname{TOP}(\mathcal{H})$ is isomorphic to an almost Bieberbach group. Let $\pi^{\prime}$ be an embedding of $\pi$ into $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})$. Such an embedding always exists. Since any isomorphism between lattices extends uniquely to an automorphism of $\mathcal{H}$, we may assume the subgroup $\Gamma_{p}$ goes to itself by the embedding $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the quotient group $G^{\prime}=\pi^{\prime} / \Gamma_{p}$ acts freely on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma_{p}$. Moreover, $M^{\prime}=\mathcal{N}_{p} / G^{\prime}$ is an infra-nilmanifold. Thus, a finite free topological action $\left(G, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$ gives rise to an isometric action $\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$ on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{N}_{p} / G$ and $\mathcal{N}_{p} / G^{\prime}$ are sufficiently large, see [6, Proposition 2]. By works of Waldhausen and Heil $[5,16], M$ is homeomorphic to $M^{\prime}$.

Definition 1.1. Let groups $G_{i}$ act on manifolds $M_{i}$, for $i=1,2$. The action $\left(G_{1}, M_{1}\right)$ is topologically conjugate to $\left(G_{2}, M_{2}\right)$ if there exists an isomorphism $\theta: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ and a homeomorphism $h: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ such that

$$
h(g \cdot x)=\theta(g) \cdot h(x)
$$

for all $x \in M_{1}$ and all $g \in G_{1}$. When $G_{1}=G_{2}$ and $M_{1}=M_{2}$, topologically conjugate is the same as weakly equivariant.

For $\mathcal{N}_{p} / G$ and $\mathcal{N}_{p} / G^{\prime}$ being homeomorphic implies that the two actions $\left(G, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$ and $\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$ are topologically conjugate. Consequently, a finite free
action $\left(G, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$ is topologically conjugate to an isometric action $\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$. Such a pair $\left(G^{\prime}, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$ is not unique. However, by the result obtained by Lee and Raymond [9], all the others are topologically conjugate.

## 2. Criteria for affine conjugacy

In this section, we develop a technique for finding and classifying all possible finite group actions on 3-dimensional nilmanifolds with the first homology $\mathbb{Z}^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. The problem will be reduced to a purely group-theoretic one. We quote most of Introduction and Section 2 of [1] in this section for the reader's conveniences.

Let $\Gamma$ be any lattice of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$ be the center of $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}=\Gamma \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\Gamma / \Gamma \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$ are lattices of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{H} / \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$, respectively. Therefore, the lattice $\Gamma$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, that is, there is an exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2} \rightarrow 1
$$

Let $a, b$ and $c$ be elements of $\Gamma$ such that the images of $a$ and $b$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ generate $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $c$ generates the center $\mathbb{Z}$. Then it is known that such $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups, for some $k$ :

$$
\Gamma_{k}=\left\langle a, b, c \mid[b, a]=c^{k},[c, a]=[c, b]=1\right\rangle, \quad k \neq 0
$$

where $[b, a]=b^{-1} a^{-1} b a$. This group is realized as a uniform lattice of $\mathcal{H}$ if one takes

$$
a=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], b=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], c=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & \frac{1}{k} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Remark that $\Gamma_{k}$ is equal to $\Gamma_{-k}$.
The following proposition gives a characterization of an almost Bieberbach group (see [8]).

Proposition 2.1. An abstract group $\pi$ is the fundamental group of a 3-dimensional infra-nilmanifold if and only if $\pi$ is torsion-free and contains $\Gamma_{k}$ for some $k>0$ as a maximal normal nilpotent subgroup of finite index.

It is well known that all 3-dimensional infra-nilmanifolds are Seifert manifolds (see [9,12]). Assume that $M$ is a 3 -dimensional infra-nilmanifold. Then $M$ has a Seifert bundle structure; namely, $M$ is a circle bundle over a 2-dimensional orbifold with singularities. It is known that there are 15 classes of distinct closed 3-dimensional manifolds $M$ with a Nil-geometry up to Seifert local invariant [3, Proposition 6.1].

Note that if $M=\mathcal{H} / \pi$ is a 3 -dimensional infra-nilmanifold, then there is a diffeomorphism $f$ between $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and an isomorphism $\varphi$ between $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$, where $\pi^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of

$$
\operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{3} \rtimes \operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{R})
$$

such that $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ and $\left(\pi^{\prime}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ are weakly equivariant. Therefore, an infranilmanifold $M=\mathcal{H} / \pi$ is diffeomorphic to an affine manifold $M^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}^{3} / \pi^{\prime}$.

The following is the list for 15 kinds of the 3-dimensional almost Bieberbach groups imbedded in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})=\mathcal{H} \rtimes\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \rtimes \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})\right)([1$, pp. 799-801]). We shall use

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & =\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], I\right), \quad t_{2}=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], I\right) \\
t_{3} & =\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{k} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], I\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $I$ is the identity in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})=\mathbb{R}^{2} \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. In each presentation, $n$ is any positive integer and $t_{3}$ is central except $\pi_{3}$ and $\pi_{4}$. Note that $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are fixed, but $k$ in $t_{3}$ varies for each $\pi_{i, j}$. For example, $k=n$ for $\pi_{1} ; k=2 n$ for $\pi_{2}$, etc.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{1}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{n}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{2}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{2 n}, \alpha^{2}=t_{3}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{2}^{-1}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{3}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha\right|\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{2 n},\left[t_{3}, t_{1}\right]=\left[t_{3}, t_{2}\right]=1, \alpha t_{3} \alpha^{-1}=t_{3}^{-1}, \\
& \left.\quad \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}, \alpha t_{2}=t_{2}^{-1} \alpha t_{3}^{-n}, \alpha^{2}=t_{1}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{4}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha, \beta\right|\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{4 n}, \quad\left[t_{3}, t_{1}\right]=\left[t_{3}, t_{2}\right]=\left[\alpha, t_{3}\right]=1, \\
& \quad \beta t_{3} \beta^{-1}=t_{3}^{-1}, \alpha t_{1}=t_{1}^{-1} \alpha t_{3}^{2 n}, \alpha t_{2}=t_{2}^{-1} \alpha t_{3}^{-2 n}, \alpha^{2}=t_{3}, \beta^{2}=t_{1}, \\
& \left.\quad \beta t_{1} \beta^{-1}=t_{1}, \beta t_{2}=t_{2}^{-1} \beta t_{3}^{-2 n}, \alpha \beta=t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}^{-1} \beta \alpha t_{3}^{-(2 n+1)}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{5,1}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{4 n-2}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1}, \alpha^{4}=t_{3}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{5,2}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{4 n}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1}, \alpha^{4}=t_{3}^{3}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{5,3}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{4 n}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1}, \alpha^{4}=t_{3}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{6,1}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{3 n}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}^{-1}, \alpha^{3}=t_{3}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{6,2}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{3 n}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}^{-1}, \alpha^{3}=t_{3}^{2}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{6,3}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{3 n-2}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}^{-1}, \alpha^{3}=t_{3}^{2}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{6,4}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{3 n-1}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}^{-1}, \alpha^{3}=t_{3}\right\rangle, \\
\pi_{7,1}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{6 n}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1} t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1}, \alpha^{6}=t_{3}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{7,2}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{6 n-2}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1} t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1}, \alpha^{6}=t_{3}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{7,3}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{6 n}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1} t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1}, \alpha^{6}=t_{3}^{5}\right\rangle \\
\pi_{7,4}= & \left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha \mid\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right]=t_{3}^{6 n-4}, \alpha t_{1} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1} t_{2}, \alpha t_{2} \alpha^{-1}=t_{1}^{-1}, \alpha^{6}=t_{3}^{5}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(G, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$ be a free affine action of a finite group $G$ on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{p} / G$ is an infra-nilmanifold. Let $\pi=\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{p} / G\right)$ and $\Gamma_{p}=\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$.

Then $\pi$ is an almost Bieberbach group. In fact, since the covering projection $\mathcal{N}_{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{p} / G$ is regular, $\Gamma_{p}$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\pi \subset \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})=\mathcal{H} \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ be an almost Bieberbach group, and let $N_{1}, N_{2}$ be subgroups of $\pi$. We say that $\left(N_{1}, \pi\right)$ is affinely conjugate to $\left(N_{2}, \pi\right)$, denoted by $N_{1} \sim N_{2}$, if there exists an element $(t, T) \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $(t, T) \pi(t, T)^{-1}=\pi$ and $(t, T) N_{1}(t, T)^{-1}=N_{2}$.

Our classification problem of free finite group actions $\left(G, \mathcal{N}_{p}\right)$ with

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}_{p} / G\right) \cong \pi
$$

can be solved by finding all normal nilpotent subgroups $N$ of $\pi$ each of which is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$, and classify $(N, \pi)$ up to affine conjugacy. This procedure is a purely group-theoretic problem and can be handled by affine conjugacy.

The following proposition [1, Proposition 3.1] is a working criterion for determining all normal nilpotent subgroups of $\pi$ isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of an almost Bieberbach group $\pi$ and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then $N$ can be represented by a set of generators

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{n_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{n_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

where $d_{1}, d_{2}$ are divisors of $p ; K$ is determined by $t_{3}^{K}=\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right] ; 0 \leq m<d_{2}$, $0 \leq n_{i}<\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}(i=1,2)$.
"Realization" for the action of $G$ on $\mathcal{H} / N$ as an action of $G$ on $\mathcal{H} / \Gamma_{p}=$ $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ can be done by the following procedure. To describe the natural affine action of $G$ on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{H} / N$ as an action of $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$, we must make the nilmanifold $\mathcal{H} / N$ the nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ whose fundamental group is $\Gamma_{p}$ and describe the action on the universal covering level. In other words, the action of $G$ should be defined on $\mathcal{H}$ as affine maps (this is really explaining the liftings of a set of generators of $G$ in $\pi$ ), and simply say that our action is the affine action modulo the lattice $\Gamma_{p}$. It is quite easy to achieve this.

Let $N=\left\langle t_{1}{ }^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{n_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{n_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of an almost Bieberbach group $\pi$ which is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then we can find an automorphism

$$
\mu=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n_{2}}{d_{1} d_{2} K} \\
\frac{n_{1}}{2 d_{2}}-\frac{n_{1}}{d_{1} d_{2} K}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{m}{d_{1} d_{2}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})
$$

such that $\mu N \mu^{-1}=\Gamma_{p}$, using the following relations:

$$
\mu\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{n_{1}}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{1}, \quad \mu\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{n_{2}}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{2}, \quad \mu\left(t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{3}^{\frac{K}{p}}
$$

Therefore, the conjugation by $\mu \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})$ maps $\pi$ into another almost Bieberbach group in such a way that $N$ maps onto $\Gamma_{p}$. Suppose $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\}$
generates the quotient group $G$ when projected down via $\pi \rightarrow G$, then

$$
\left\{\mu \alpha_{1} \mu^{-1}, \ldots, \mu \alpha_{k} \mu^{-1}\right\}
$$

describes the action of $G$ on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$.

## 3. Free actions of finite groups on the nilmanifold

In this section, we shall find all possible finite groups acting freely (up to topological conjugacy) on the 3 -dimensional nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 7)$. This, as in other parts of calculations, was done by using the program Mathematica [17] and handchecked.

Now we shall find all possible finite groups acting freely (up to topological conjugacy) on the 3 -dimensional nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{2}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of an almost Bieberbach group $\pi_{2}, \pi_{5, i}(i=1,2,3)$ or $\pi_{7, j}(j=1,2,3,4)$ which is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then $N$ can be represented by one of the following sets of generators

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, & N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\
N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, & N_{4}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

where $d_{1}, d_{2}$ are divisors of $p ; 0 \leq m<\bar{d}=g c d\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right), \frac{p m}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the case of $\pi_{2}, \frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}+\frac{m^{2}}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_{1}$ is a common divisor of $m$ and $d_{2}$ in the case of $\pi_{5, i}$, $\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}+\frac{m\left(m-d_{1}\right)}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d_{1}$ is a common divisor of $m$ and $d_{2}$ in the case of $\pi_{7, j}$.
Proof. Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{2}$ isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad\left(0 \leq m<d_{2}, 0 \leq \ell, r<\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}\right)
$$

Note that we obtained the normalizer $N_{\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ of $\pi_{2}$ in [1]: for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right)=\left\{\left.\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{r}{2} & * \\
0 & 1 & \frac{s}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \right\rvert\,\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\right\}
$$

Let $\bar{d}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$. Then there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\bar{d}=s d_{1}+t d_{2}$. Also there exist $q, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m=\bar{d} q+w(0 \leq w<\bar{d})$. It is not hard to see

$$
N \sim\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{w} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

by using

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{s q}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-s q & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right)
$$

Note that the relation

$$
t_{1}\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) t_{1}^{-1}=\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}\left(-\frac{p m}{d_{1} d_{2}}\right)} \in N
$$

shows $\frac{p m}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Let

$$
\mu=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & z \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \mu^{-1} & =\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -d_{1} & d_{1} m-\frac{\ell}{K}+d_{1} v-m u \\
0 & 1 & -m \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \\
\mu\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \mu^{-1} & =\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -\frac{r}{K}-d_{2} u \\
0 & 1 & -d_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mu=\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}$ for the case of $\pi_{2}, \pi_{5, i}$ or $\pi_{7, j}$, respectively. Since $N$ is a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{2}, \pi_{5, i}$ or $\pi_{7, j}$, the following two relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \mu^{-1}=\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{x} \in N, \\
\mu\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \mu^{-1}=\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)^{-1}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{y} \in N
\end{gathered}
$$

show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=\frac{2 p \ell}{K d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{p m}{d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad y=\frac{2 p r}{K d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad\left(\text { for } \pi_{2} \text { and } \pi_{5, i}\right) \\
x=\frac{2 p \ell}{K d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{p m}{d_{2}}+\frac{p\left(m-d_{1}\right)}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad y=\frac{2 p r}{K d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{p}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad\left(\text { for } \pi_{7, j}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, the following two relations

$$
\alpha\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \alpha^{-1} \in N, \quad \alpha\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \alpha^{-1} \in N
$$

show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}+\frac{m^{2}}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{m}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad\left(\text { for } \pi_{5, i}\right) \\
\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}+\frac{m\left(m-d_{1}\right)}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{m}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad\left(\text { for } \pi_{7, j}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore we can get $\frac{2 p \ell}{K d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{2 p r}{K d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $0 \leq \ell, r<\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}$ by Proposition 2.3, we have $\ell=0$ or $\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$ and $r=0$ or $\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$.

Remark. In the case of $\pi_{2}$, the condition $\frac{p m}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ in Lemma 3.1 is crucial to determine the number of affinely non-conjugacy classes when $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $p$ are given. In fact, for $\bar{d}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ and $p=k D$ where $k \in \mathbb{N}, D$ is the least common
multiple of $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$, we have $\frac{p m}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\frac{k m}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $q=(\bar{d}, k)$. Then $\frac{k m}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\frac{k^{\prime} m}{d^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$
k=q k^{\prime}, \bar{d}=q \bar{d}^{\prime},\left(k^{\prime}, \bar{d}^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

Thus $\bar{d}^{\prime}$ is a divisor of $m$. Since $0 \leq m<\bar{d}=q \bar{d}^{\prime}$, we can get $m=0, \bar{d}^{\prime}, \ldots$, $(q-1) \bar{d}^{\prime}$.

Let $N^{m}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ and $N^{m^{\prime}}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m^{\prime}} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ be normal nilpotent subgroups of an almost Bieberbach group $\pi$ which are isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. If $N^{m}$ is affinely conjugate to $N^{m^{\prime}}$, then there exists

$$
\mu=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}(\pi)
$$

satisfying either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(t_{1}{ }^{d_{1}} t_{2}{ }^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m^{\prime}} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, \quad \mu\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, \quad \mu\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m^{\prime}} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1) or (3.2), we obtain the following relations respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b d_{2}=0, \quad d d_{2}=d_{2}, \quad a d_{1}+b m=d_{1}, \quad c d_{1}+d m=m^{\prime}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
b d_{2}=d_{1}, \quad d d_{2}=m^{\prime}, \quad a d_{1}+b m=0, \quad c d_{1}+d m=d_{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these two relations and the normalizer $N_{\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}(\pi)$ of each almost Bieberbach group $\pi$, we can get either

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{3.5}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad m=m^{\prime}
$$

or

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{3.6}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b \\
c & 0
\end{array}\right], m=m^{\prime}=0, b c=1
$$

So, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Let $N^{m}$ and $N^{m^{\prime}}$ be normal nilpotent subgroups of an almost Bieberbach group $\pi$ which are isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$ and whose sets of generators are

$$
N^{m}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N^{m^{\prime}}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m^{\prime}} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

If $m \neq m^{\prime}$, then $N^{m}$ is not affinely conjugate to $N^{m^{\prime}}$.

Proposition $3.3\left(\pi_{2}\right)$. Let $N_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{2}$ in Lemma 3.1 and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then we have the following:
(1) $N_{1} \sim N_{2}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=p$.
(2) $N_{1} \sim N_{3}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{2}=p$.
(3) $N_{1} \sim N_{4}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=d_{2}=p$.
(4) $N_{2} \sim N_{3}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=d_{2}$.
(5) $N_{2} \sim N_{4}$ if and only if either $m=0, d_{2}=p$, or $2 m=d_{2}, 2 d_{1}=p$.
(6) $N_{3} \sim N_{4}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=p$.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $N_{1}$ is affinely conjugate to $N_{2}$. Then there exists

$$
\mu=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right)
$$

satisfying either (3.1) or (3.2). From (3.5), we can get $x=-\frac{d_{1}}{2 p}$ and $y=-\frac{m}{2 p}$. Since $\mu \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$, we have $2 x=-\frac{d_{1}}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 y=-\frac{m}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $d_{1}, d_{2}$ are divisors of $p$ and $0 \leq m<\bar{d}$ by Lemma 3.1. Thus we have $d_{1}=p$ and $m=0$. Similarly, from (3.6), we can get $d_{1}=d_{2}=p$ and $m=0$. Conversely, suppose that $d_{1}=p$ and $m=0$. Then $N_{1} \sim N_{2}$ by using

$$
\mu=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right) .
$$

(4) Suppose that $N_{2}$ is affinely conjugate to $N_{3}$. Note that

$$
N_{3} \sim\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{-\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{-\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle .
$$

Then there exists $\mu \in N_{\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ satisfying either (3.1) or (3.2). From (3.5), we can get $x=\frac{d_{1}}{2 p}$ and $y=\frac{m+d_{2}}{2 p}$. Since $\mu \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right)$, we have $2 x=\frac{d_{1}}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 y=\frac{m+d_{2}}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $d_{1}, d_{2}$ are divisors of $p$ and $0 \leq m<d_{2}$. Thus we have $d_{1}=d_{2}=p$ and $m=0$. Next, from (3.6), a similar calculation shows that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad d_{1}=d_{2}, \quad m=0
$$

The converse is easy by using

$$
\mu=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{2}\right)
$$

The other cases can be done similarly.
The following theorem can be obtained easily by using Proposition 3.3. From now on, we shall denote affine conjugacy classes by AC classes.

Notation. ${ }^{\xi}\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\rangle$ means the subgroup generated by conjugations of $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ by $\xi$.

Theorem $3.4\left(\pi_{2}\right)$. Table 2 gives a complete list of all free actions (up to topological conjugacy) of finite groups $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{2}$.

Table 2

| Generators ${ }^{\mu_{i}}\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, \alpha\right\rangle$ | AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups $\begin{aligned} & N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\ & N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\ & N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\ & N_{4}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \end{aligned}$ | Conditions $(m \geq 0)$ <br> if $m=0$, then $d_{1} \neq p$ <br> if $m=0$, then $d_{2} \neq p, d_{1} \neq d_{2}$ <br> if $m \neq 0$, then either $2 m \neq d_{2}$ or $2 d_{1} \neq p$, <br> if $m=0$, then $d_{1} \neq p, d_{2} \neq p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{m}{2 d_{2}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{m}{d_{1} d_{2}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{2}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{m}{2 d_{2}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rr}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{m}{d_{1} d_{2}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{3}
\end{aligned}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 \\
\frac{m}{2 d_{2}}-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{m}{d_{1} d_{2}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right),
$$

Here $I$ is the identity in $\mathcal{H}$.
The realization for the action of $G=\pi_{2} / N_{i}$ on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{H} / N_{i}$, as an affine action on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$, is easy provided that we follow the "Realization" procedure. The generators of the group $G=\pi_{2} / N_{i}$ can be obtained from $t_{1}, t_{2}, \alpha \in \pi_{2}$. For example, we observe that $N_{2}$ in $\pi_{2}$ is not equal to $\Gamma_{p}$, but isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. To obtain an action of $G=\pi_{2} / N_{2}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$, one has to conjugate the representation of $\pi_{2}$ so that $N_{2}$ becomes $\Gamma_{p}$ by means of an automorphism $\mu_{2} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$, where

$$
\mu_{2}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{m}{2 d_{2}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{m}{d_{1} d_{2}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})
$$

Thus we can see that $\mu_{2} N_{2} \mu_{2}^{-1}=\Gamma_{p}$, and the following three elements of Aff( $\mathcal{H}$ )

$$
\mu_{2} t_{1} \mu_{2}^{-1}=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{1}{d_{1}} & -\frac{m\left(p+(1+p) d_{1}\right)}{2 p d_{1}^{2} d_{2}} \\
0 & 1 & -\frac{m}{d_{1} d_{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{2} t_{2} \mu_{2}^{-1}=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2 p d_{2}} \\
0 & 1 & \frac{1}{d_{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{2} \alpha \mu_{2}^{-1}=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{p} \\
\frac{m}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

describe actions of $\pi_{2} / N_{2}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$. That is, these act on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{2} t_{1} \mu_{2}^{-1} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x+\frac{1}{d_{1}} & z+\frac{y}{d_{1}}-\frac{m\left(p+(1+p) d_{1}\right)}{2 p d_{1}{ }^{2} d_{2}} \\
0 & 1 & y-\frac{m}{d_{1} d_{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
& \mu_{2} t_{2} \mu_{2}^{-1} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x & z+\frac{1}{2 p d_{2}} \\
0 & 1 & y+\frac{1}{d_{2}} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
& \mu_{2} \alpha \mu_{2}^{-1} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -x & z-\frac{y}{p}+\frac{m x}{d_{2}}-\frac{1}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}} \\
0 & 1 & -y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The other cases can be done similarly.
Note that $\pi_{2} / N$ is abelian if and only if $N \supset\left[\pi_{2}, \pi_{2}\right]=\left\langle t_{1}^{2}, t_{2}^{2}, t_{3}^{2 n}\right\rangle$. Thus we obtain the following result, which is the same as Theorem 3.3 of [1].

Corollary 3.5. The following table gives a complete list of all free actions (up to topological conjugacy) of finite abelian groups $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{2}$.

| Group G | Generators | AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{4 n}{p}}$ | $\langle\alpha\rangle$ | $\frac{2 n}{p} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\right\rangle$ |
|  | $\xi_{2}\langle\alpha\rangle$ | $\frac{n}{p} \in \mathbb{N}, p \neq 1, \quad N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2} t_{3}^{\frac{n}{p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\right\rangle$ |
|  | ${ }^{\xi_{3}}\langle\alpha\rangle$ | $N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1} t_{3}^{\frac{n}{p}}, t_{2} t_{3}^{\frac{n}{p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{8 n}{p}}$ | ${ }^{\eta_{1}}\left\langle t_{1}, \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\frac{4 n}{p} \in \mathbb{N}, p \in 2 \mathbb{N}, \quad L_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{2}, t_{2}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n}{p}}\right\rangle$ |
|  | ${ }^{2}\left\langle t_{1}, \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\frac{2 n}{p} \in \mathbb{N}, p \in 2 \mathbb{N}+2, L_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{2}, t_{2} t_{3}^{\frac{2 n}{p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n}{p}}\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{16 n}{n}}$ | ${ }^{\zeta}\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, \alpha\right\rangle$ | $\frac{8 n}{p} \in \mathbb{N}, p \in 4 \mathbb{N}, \quad N=\left\langle t_{1}^{2}, t_{2}^{2}, t_{3}^{\frac{8 n}{p}}\right\rangle$ |

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{2}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), & \xi_{3}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
\eta_{1}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), & \eta_{2}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right),
\end{array}
$$

$$
\zeta=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) .
$$

Here $I$ is the identity in $\mathcal{H}$.
From now on, for the remaining cases we will omit to state a corollary which is the same as the theorem in [1] respectively. The following example shows that a free action of a finite group on the nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{2}$ has more affinely non-conjugate classes than a free abelian group action on $\mathcal{N}_{2}$ which yields an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{2}$.

Example. Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{2}$ isomorphic to $\Gamma_{2}$. Then $p=2$ and $d_{1}, d_{2}$ are divisors of $p, 0 \leq m<\bar{d}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right), \frac{p m}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 3.1. Thus the possible pairs of $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ are $(1,1),(1,2),(2,1)$, or $(2,2)$. By Proposition 3.3, we have the following results:
(i) When $d_{1}=d_{2}=1$ : Since $0 \leq m<d_{2}$, we have $m=0$. Then, the possible normal nilpotent subgroups are

$$
N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}^{n}\right\rangle, \quad N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2} t_{3}^{\frac{n}{2}}, t_{3}^{n}\right\rangle, \quad N_{4}=\left\langle t_{1} t_{3}^{\frac{n}{2}}, t_{2} t_{3}^{\frac{n}{2}}, t_{3}^{n}\right\rangle
$$

Since $N \supset\left[\pi_{2}, \pi_{2}\right]=\left\langle t_{1}^{2}, t_{2}^{2}, t_{3}^{2 n}\right\rangle$, we can conclude that $\pi_{2} / N_{i}(i=1,2,4)$ is abelian.
(ii) When $d_{1}=1, d_{2}=2$, the possible normal nilpotent subgroups are

$$
N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}^{2}, t_{3}^{2 n}\right\rangle, \quad N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}^{2} t_{3}^{n}, t_{3}^{2 n}\right\rangle
$$

Note that $\pi_{2} / N_{1}$ is abelian and $\pi_{2} / N_{2}$ is nonabelian.
(iii) When $d_{1}=2, d_{2}=1$, there exist 2 affinely non-conjuate normal subgroups

$$
N_{1}^{\prime}=\left\langle t_{1}^{2}, t_{2}, t_{3}^{2 n}\right\rangle, \quad N_{3}^{\prime}=\left\langle t_{1}^{2} t_{3}^{n}, t_{2}, t_{3}^{2 n}\right\rangle
$$

It is easy to see that $N_{1}^{\prime} \sim N_{1}$ and $N_{3}^{\prime} \sim N_{2}$ in the case (ii).
(iv) When $d_{1}=2, d_{2}=2$, there exists only one normal subgroup $N_{1}$ of $\pi_{2}$,

$$
N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{2}, t_{2}^{2}, t_{3}^{4 n}\right\rangle
$$

Note that $\pi_{2} / N_{1}$ is nonabelian.
Lemma 3.6. Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of an almost Bieberbach group $\pi_{3}$ and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then $N$ can be represented by one of the following sets of generators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\
& N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{s}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2 d_{1}$ is a divisor of $p, s=2 \ell$ if $p=4 k d_{1}$, or $s=2 \ell+\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$ if $p=$ $2(2 k-1) d_{1}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{3}$ and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad\left(0 \leq m<d_{2}, 0 \leq \ell, r<\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}\right)
$$

Since $N$ is a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{3}$, the following two relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \alpha^{-1}=\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\ell}\right)\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)^{-\frac{2 m}{d_{2}}}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{x} \in N, \\
\alpha\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \alpha^{-1}=\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)^{-1}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 d_{1}}} \in N
\end{gathered}
$$

show that

$$
x=\frac{2 p r m}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}{ }^{2}}-\frac{2 p \ell}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{p m}{2 d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{2 m}{d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \frac{p}{2 d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Thus $d_{2}$ is a divisor of $2 m$ and $2 d_{1}$ is a divisor of $p$. Since $0 \leq m<d_{2}$, we have $m=0$ or $d_{2}=2 m$.
(i) When $m=0$ :

Since $\frac{2 p \ell}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq \ell<\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}$, we have $\ell=0$ or $\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$. Therefore $N$ can be represented by one of the following sets of generators

$$
N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

(ii) When $m=\frac{d_{2}}{2}$ :

In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 p r m}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}{ }^{2}}-\frac{2 p \ell}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{p m}{2 d_{1} d_{2}}=\frac{p r}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{2 p \ell}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{p}{4 d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \leq \frac{p r}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}<1$ and $0 \leq \frac{2 p \ell}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}<2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2<\frac{p r}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{2 p \ell}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}<1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), it is easy to show that $N$ can be represented by the following set of generators

$$
\begin{aligned}
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{2 \ell}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle & \left(p=4 k d_{1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}\right), \\
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{2 \ell+\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle & \left(p=(2 k-1) 2 d_{1}, k \in \mathbb{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have proved the lemma.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}^{r}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N_{2}^{r}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \\
& N_{3}^{\ell}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{s}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we can obtain the following proposition which is easily proved by using the methods in Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.6.

Proposition $3.7\left(\pi_{3}\right)$. Let $N_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{3}$ in Lemma 3.6 and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then we have the following:
(1) $N_{1} \sim N_{2}$ if and only if $d_{2}=p, r \equiv r^{\prime}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right)$.
(2) $N_{1} \nsim N_{3}, N_{2} \nsim N_{3}$.
(3) $N_{1}^{r} \sim N_{1}^{r^{\prime}}$ if and only if $r \equiv r^{\prime}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right)$.
(4) $N_{2}^{r} \sim N_{2}^{r^{\prime}}$ if and only if $r \equiv r^{\prime}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right)$.
(5) $N_{3}^{\ell} \sim N_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}$ if and only if $2 \ell \equiv 2 \ell^{\prime}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right)$.

Theorem $3.8\left(\pi_{3}\right)$. Table 3 gives a complete list of all free actions (up to topological conjugacy) of finite groups $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{3}$.

## Table 3

| Generators $\mu_{i}\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}, \alpha\right\rangle$ | AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups $\begin{aligned} & N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\ & N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\ & N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} \frac{\frac{n d d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}{t_{3}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{s_{1}}, t_{3 n d_{1} d_{2}}^{p}\right. \\ & N_{4}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} \frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{s_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & N_{5}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{2 \ell_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\ & N_{6}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{2 \ell_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\ & N_{7}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{2 \ell_{1}+\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}, t^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\ & N_{8}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{2 \ell_{2}+\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conditions } \\ & r_{1} \not \equiv r_{2}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right) \\ & d_{2} \neq p \text { or } \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \not \equiv \mathrm{~s}_{1}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right) \\ & \left(d_{2} \neq p \text { or } \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \not \equiv \mathrm{~s}_{2}\left(\bmod \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)\right) \\ & \text { and } \mathrm{s}_{1} \not \equiv \mathrm{~s}_{2}\left(\bmod \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right) \\ & p=4 k d_{1} \\ & p=4 k d_{1}, 2 \ell_{1} \not \equiv 2 \ell_{2}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right) \\ & p=(2 k-1) 2 d_{1} \\ & p=(2 k-1) 2 d_{1}, 2 \ell_{1} \not \equiv 2 \ell_{2}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

where $r=r_{1}$ for $i=1, r=r_{2}$ for $i=2, s=s_{1}$ for $i=3, s=s_{2}$ for $i=4$, $\ell=\ell_{1}$ for $i=5,7, \ell=\ell_{2}$ for $i=6,8$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{r}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}} \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \mu_{2}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{s}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}} \\
-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \mu_{3}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\ell}{n d_{1} d_{2}} \\
\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \mu_{4}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\ell}{n d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\ell}{2 n d_{1} d_{2}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\pi_{3} / N$ is abelian if and only if $N \supset\left[\pi_{3}, \pi_{3}\right]=\left\langle t_{2}^{2} t_{3}^{n}, t_{3}^{2}\right\rangle$. Therefore it is easy to get Theorem 3.4 of [1] as a corollary of the above theorem.

The following lemma shows all possible finite groups acting freely (up to topological conjugacy) on the 3-dimensional nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{4}$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of an almost Bieberbach group $\pi_{4}$ and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then $N$ can be represented by one of the following sets of generators: for $s, w \in \mathbb{N}$,
(A) $p=4 s d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2}$ :
$N_{(1,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N_{(1,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$,
$N_{(2,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} \frac{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}{t_{3}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N_{(2,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$,
$N_{(3,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N_{(3,3)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$.
(B) $p=2(2 s-1) d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{(1,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \\
& N_{(1,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \\
& N_{(2,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \\
& N_{(2,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \\
& N_{(4,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{12 n d_{1} d_{2}}{4 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \\
& N_{(4,3)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{4 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

(C) $p=4 s d_{1}, p=(2 w-1) d_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{(3,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{4 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\
& N_{(3,4)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{12 n d_{1} d_{2}}{4 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

(D) $p=2(2 s-1) d_{1}, p=(2 w-1) d_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{(4,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\
& N_{(4,4)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{4}$ and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then by Proposition 2.3,

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad\left(0 \leq m<d_{2}, 0 \leq \ell, r<\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}\right)
$$

Since $N$ is a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{4}$, the following two relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \beta^{-1} & =\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right)\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)^{-\frac{2 m}{d_{2}}}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{x} \in N \\
\beta\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \beta^{-1} & =\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)^{-1}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 d_{1}}} \in N
\end{aligned}
$$

show that $-\frac{2 m}{d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} \frac{p}{2 d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{2 p r m}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}^{2}}-\frac{2 p \ell}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{p m}{2 d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \leq m<d_{2}$ and $\frac{2 m}{d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $m=0$ or $\frac{d_{2}}{2}$. Moreover the following two relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \alpha^{-1} & =\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right)^{-1}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{y} \in N, \\
\alpha\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \alpha^{-1} & =\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)^{-1}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{2 p r}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{p}{2 d_{1}}} \in N
\end{aligned}
$$

show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{2 p \ell}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{p}{2 d_{2}}-\frac{p m}{2 d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{2 p r}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{p}{2 d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{p}{2 d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq r<\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}$, we have $r=0$ or $\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$. Using (3.9) and (3.10), we can classify the normal nilpotent subgroups representing $N$. Now we can consider the following two cases.
(I) When $m=0$ :

From (3.9), we have $\frac{2 p \ell}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $0 \leq \ell<\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}$, we obtain $\ell=0$ or $\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$. Thus $N$ can be represented by the following two groups:

$$
N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

Since $r=0$ or $\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$, we have the following four types of normal nilpotent subgroups representing $N$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
N_{(1,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, & N_{(1,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\
N_{(2,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, & N_{(2,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

From (3.10), we have $\frac{p}{2 d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(II) When $2 m=d_{2}$ :

From (3.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p r}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{2 p \ell}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{p}{4 d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \leq \frac{p r}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}<1$ and $0 \leq \frac{2 p \ell}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}<2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2<\frac{p r}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{2 p \ell}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}<1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{p}{2 d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are two cases. But we only deal with the case of $p=$ $4 s d_{1}(s \in \mathbb{N})$. From (3.11) and (3.12), we have $\frac{p r}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{2 p \ell}{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}=0,-1$. Hence $r=2 \ell, 2 \ell-\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}$, respectively.
(a) When $r=2 \ell$ : Since $r=0$ or $\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$, we have $\ell=0$ or $\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{4 p}$, respectively.
(i) $r=0, \ell=0$ :

$$
N_{(3,1)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle .
$$

From (3.10), we have $\frac{p}{2 d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) $r=\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}$ or $\ell=\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{4 p}$ :

$$
N_{(3,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{4 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle .
$$

From (3.10), we have $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2 d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Similarly we can obtain the following results.
(b) When $r=2 \ell-\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{(3,3)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad \frac{p}{2 d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} . \\
N_{(3,4)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{12 n d_{1} d_{2}}{4 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2 d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{p}{2 d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $p=(2 w-1) d_{2}, w \in \mathbb{N}$. By (I) and (II), we have proved (A) and (C). The other cases can be done similarly.

Proposition $3.10\left(\pi_{4}\right)$. Let $N_{(i, j)}(i=1,2, j=1,2,3,4)$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{4}$ in Lemma 3.9 and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then we have the following:
(1) $N_{(1,2)} \sim N_{(2,1)}$ if and only if $d_{1}=d_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{(1,1)} \nsim N_{(1,2)}, \quad N_{(1,1)} \nsim N_{(2,1)}, \quad N_{(1,1)} \nsim N_{(2,2)}, \\
& N_{(1,2)} \nsim N_{(2,2)}, \quad N_{(2,1)} \nsim N_{(2,2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) $N_{(3,1)} \nsim N_{(3,3)}, N_{(4,1)} \nsim N_{(4,3)}$.
(3) $N_{(3,2)} \sim N_{(3,4)}$ if and only if $d_{2}=p$.

$$
N_{(4,2)} \sim N_{(4,4)} \text { if and only if } d_{2}=p \text { or } 2 d_{1}=p .
$$

(4) $N_{(1, k)} \nsim N_{(3, j)}, N_{(1, k)} \nsim N_{(4, j)}, N_{(2, k)} \nsim N_{(3, j)}, N_{(2, k)} \nsim N_{(4, j)}$ ( $k=1,2$ ).

Proof. (1) First we need to find the normalizer $N_{\text {Aff( } \mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{4}\right)$ by applying the method used in Theorem 3.3 of [1]:

$$
\mu(x, y, z, u, v)=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
$$

where $2 x \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 y \in \mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{Z}_{4} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\left\langle\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]\right\rangle
$$

Note that $\left[\begin{array}{l}u \\ v\end{array}\right] \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ can be evaluated respectively by the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \rtimes$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. More precisely, the values of $\left[\begin{array}{l}u \\ v\end{array}\right] \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ are $\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}-\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{2} \\ 0\end{array}\right]$.

Note that the four types of normal nilpotent subgroups $N_{(1,1)}, N_{(1,2)}, N_{(2,1)}$, $N_{(2,2)}$ of $\pi_{4}$ are of the same forms as the four types of normal nilpotent subgroups $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}$ of $\pi_{2}$, respectively. Thus all calculations to determine
affine conjugacy among $N_{(i, j)}(1 \leq i, j \leq 2)$ are similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 3.3. Therefore we can obtain the following results:
(a) $N_{(1,1)} \sim N_{(1,2)}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=p$.
(b) $N_{(1,1)} \sim N_{(2,1)}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{2}=p$.
(c) $N_{(1,1)} \sim N_{(2,2)}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=d_{2}=p$.
(d) $N_{(1,2)} \sim N_{(2,1)}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=d_{2}$.
(e) $N_{(1,2)} \sim N_{(2,2)}$ if and only if either $m=0, d_{2}=p$ or $2 m=d_{2}, d_{1}=\frac{p}{2}$.
(f) $N_{(2,1)} \sim N_{(2,2)}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=p$.

Note that if $2 d_{1}$ and $2 d_{2}$ are divisors of $p$, then there exist $N_{(i, j)}(1 \leq i, j \leq 2)$ by (A) and (B) of Lemma 3.9. Therefore we can conclude that $N_{(1,2)} \sim N_{(2,1)}$ if and only if $d_{1}=d_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{(1,1)} \nsim N_{(1,2)}, \quad N_{(1,1)} \nsim N_{(2,1)}, \quad N_{(1,1)} \nsim N_{(2,2)}, \\
& N_{(1,2)} \nsim N_{(2,2)}, \quad N_{(2,1)} \nsim N_{(2,2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Suppose that $N_{(4,2)}$ is affinely conjugate to $N_{(4,4)}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{(4,2)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle \\
& N_{(4,4)}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists $\mu \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{4}\right)$ satisfying either (3.1) or (3.2). From (3.5), we can get $x=0$ and $y=\frac{d_{2}}{2 p}$. Since $2 y=\frac{d_{2}}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $d_{2}=p$. The converse is easy by using

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{4}\right)
$$

In (3.6), since $\frac{d_{2}}{2} \neq 0$, we have a contradiction. Another possibility is as follows:

$$
\mu\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{\frac{d_{2}}{2}} t_{3}^{-\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}, \quad \mu\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{-\frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{2 p}}
$$

From these two relations, we can get $x=\frac{d_{1}}{p}$ and $y=0$.
Note that in this case we have $p=2(2 s-1) d_{1}, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $2 x=\frac{2 d_{1}}{p}=$ $\frac{2 d_{1}}{2(2 s-1) d_{1}}=\frac{1}{2 s-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow s=1 \Leftrightarrow p=2 d_{1}$. The converse is easy by using

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{4}\right)
$$

The other cases can be done similarly.
Theorem $3.11\left(\pi_{4}\right)$. Table 4 gives a complete list of all free actions (up to topological conjugacy) of finite groups $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{4}$.

## Table 4

| Generators ${ }^{\mu_{i}}\left\langle t_{2}, \alpha, \beta\right\rangle$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups } \\ N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}}, t_{3} \frac{4 n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}\right. \end{array}\right)$ | Conditions $\begin{aligned} & p=2 s d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2} \\ & p=2 s d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2} \\ & p=2 s d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2}, d_{1} \neq d_{2} \\ & p=2 s d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2} \\ & p=4 s d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2} \\ & p=4 s d_{1}, p=(2 w-1) d_{2} \\ & p=4 s d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2} \\ & p=4 s d_{1}, p=(2 w-1) d_{2}, d_{2} \neq p \\ & p=(2 s-1) 2 d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2} \\ & p=(2 s-1) 2 d_{1}, p=(2 w-1) d_{2} \\ & p=(2 s-1) 2 d_{1}, p=2 w d_{2} \\ & p=(2 s-1) 2 d_{1}, p=(2 w-1) d_{2} \\ & d_{2} \neq p, 2 d_{1} \neq p \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{2}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{3}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{4}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{5}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\frac{1}{4}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{6}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{7}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{8}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{4 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{9}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{4 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{10}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{1}{4}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \mu_{11}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{4 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{rr}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \mu_{12}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2 d_{1}} & \frac{1}{d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\pi_{4} / N$ is abelian if and only if $N \supset\left[\pi_{4}, \pi_{4}\right]=\left\langle t_{1}^{2}, t_{2}^{2}, t_{3}^{2}, t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\right\rangle$. Thus it is not hard to get Theorem 3.5 of [1] as a corollary of the above theorem.

In the following proposition, we show when affine conjugacy occurs between 4 types of normal nilpotent subgroups $N_{j}(j=1,2,3,4)$ of $\pi_{5, i}(i=1,2,3)$. It can be proved by applying the method in [1, Theorem 3.7] and Lemma 3.1.

Proposition $3.12\left(\pi_{5}\right)$. Let $N_{j}(j=1,2,3,4)$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{5, i}(i=1,2,3)$ in Lemma 3.1 and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then we have the following:
(1) $N_{1} \sim N_{4}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=d_{2}=p$.
(2) $N_{2} \sim N_{3}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=d_{2}$.
(3) $N_{1} \nsim N_{2}, N_{1} \nsim N_{3}, N_{2} \nsim N_{4}, N_{3} \nsim N_{4}$.

Note that in Lemma 3.1, the following conditions of a normal subgroup of $\pi_{5, i}$,

$$
\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}+\frac{m^{2}}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{m}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

are crucial to prove the following theorem. Let $d_{2}=d_{1} s, m=d_{1} t$. Then we have

$$
\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}+\frac{m^{2}}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1+t^{2}}{s} \in \mathbb{Z} \Longleftrightarrow 1+t^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod s)
$$

Since $0 \leq m<d_{2}$, if $s=1$, then we must have $m=0$. Also, if $s, t \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then $1+t^{2} \not \equiv 0(\bmod s)$. So, if $s$ is even, then $t$ must be odd.

Theorem $3.13\left(\pi_{5}\right)$. Table 5 gives a complete list of all free actions (up to topological conjugacy) of finite groups $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{5, i}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$.

TABLE 5

| Generators | AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups | Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\mu_{i}}\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, \hat{\alpha}\right\rangle$ | $N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{t d_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $0 \leq t<s$ and |
|  |  | if $s$ is even, then $t$ is odd |
|  | $N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{t d_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $0 \leq t<s \text { and }$ <br> if $s$ is even, then $t$ is odd |
|  | $N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{t d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $0 \leq t<s, s \neq 1$ and if $s$ is even, then $t$ is odd |
|  | $N_{4}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{t d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{2 P}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $0 \leq t<s$, if $s=1$, then $d_{1} \neq p$, and if $s$ is even, then $t$ is odd |

where $K=4 n$ for the cases of $\pi_{5,2}$ and $\pi_{5,3}, K=4 n-2$ for the case of $\pi_{5,1}$, $\hat{\alpha}=\alpha^{-1} t_{3}$ for the case of $\pi_{5,2}, \hat{\alpha}=\alpha$ for the cases of $\pi_{5,1}$ and $\pi_{5,3}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{t}{2 s}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{s d_{1}} & \frac{1}{s d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{2}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{t}{2 s}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{s d_{1}} & \frac{1}{s d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{3}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 \\
\frac{t}{2 s}-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{s d_{1}} & \frac{1}{s d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right), \\
& \mu_{4}=\left(I,\left(\left[\frac{\frac{1}{2 p}}{\frac{t}{2 s}-\frac{1}{2 p}}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{s d_{1}} & \frac{1}{s d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\pi_{5, i} / N(i=1,2,3)$ is abelian if and only if $N \supset\left[\pi_{5, i}, \pi_{5, i}\right]=$ $\left\langle t_{1} t_{2}, t_{2}^{2}, t_{3}^{K}\right\rangle$. Therefore it is easy to obtain Theorem 3.7 of [1] as a corollary of the above theorem.

Now we shall find all possible finite groups acting freely (up to topological conjugacy) on the 3-dimensional nilmanifold $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{6, i}(i=1,2,3,4)$.

Let $N$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{6, i}$ and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then by Proposition 2.3,

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad\left(0 \leq m<d_{2}, 0 \leq \ell, r<\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}\right)
$$

where $K=3 n$ for $i=1,2, K=3 n-2$ for $i=3$ and $K=3 n-1$ for $i=4$.
We will begin by considering the following general situation. Let

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N^{\prime}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

be two normal nilpotent subgroups of $\pi_{6, i}$. Since $N$ (or $N^{\prime}$ ) is a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{6, i}$, the following two relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \alpha^{-1}=\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right)^{-\frac{m}{d_{1}}}\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)^{x}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{y} \in N, \\
\alpha^{2}\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)\left(\alpha^{2}\right)^{-1}=\left(t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}\right)^{\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}}\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right)^{-\frac{m}{d_{1}}}\left(t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right)^{z} \in N
\end{gathered}
$$

show that $d_{1}$ is a common divisor of $m$ and $d_{2}$, and $x=\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}-\frac{m}{d_{2}}+\frac{m^{2}}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, which induce that $d_{2}=(2 s-1) d_{1}, s \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y= & -\frac{p r}{K d_{2}{ }^{2}}+\frac{p r m}{K d_{1} d_{2}{ }^{2}}-\frac{p r m^{2}}{K d_{1}{ }^{2} d_{2}{ }^{2}}-\frac{p m}{d_{2}}+\frac{p m^{2}}{d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{p m^{3}}{{d_{1} d_{2}}^{2}}+\frac{p \ell m}{K d_{1}{ }^{2} d_{2}} \\
& +\frac{p m^{2}}{2 d_{1} d_{2}}\left(\frac{m}{d_{1}}-1\right)+\frac{p \ell}{K d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{p m(m+1)}{2 d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
z= & -\frac{p r m}{K d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}-\frac{p m}{{d_{1}{ }^{2}}^{2}+\frac{p}{d_{1}{ }^{2}}\left(d_{1} m-\frac{\ell}{K}\right)+\frac{p m}{2 d_{1}}\left(\frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}}-1\right)+\frac{p r}{K d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d_{2}$ is a divisor of $p$ and $d_{2}=(2 s-1) d_{1}, s \in \mathbb{N}$, we can get

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{p r}{K d_{2}^{2}}+\frac{p r m}{K d_{1} d_{2}{ }^{2}}-\frac{p r m^{2}}{K d_{1}^{2} d_{2}^{2}}+\frac{p \ell m}{K d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}+\frac{p \ell}{K d_{1} d_{2}}-\frac{p m(m+1)}{2 d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{3.13}\\
-\frac{p r m}{K d_{1}^{2} d_{2}}-\frac{p \ell}{K d_{1}^{2}}+\frac{p r}{K d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $N$ is affinely conjugate to $N^{\prime}$, then there exists $\mu \in N_{\text {Aff }(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{6, i}\right)$ satisfying either (3.1) or (3.2). In case (3.2), we have the following result.

Proposition 3.14. Let $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ be such normal nilpotent subgroups of $\pi_{6, i}$ whose sets of generators are

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N^{\prime}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

If $N \sim N^{\prime}$, then $m=0, \ell=r, \ell^{\prime}=r^{\prime}, d_{1}=d_{2}$, and $\ell+\ell^{\prime}=0, \frac{K d_{1}}{3}, \frac{2 K d_{1}}{3}, K d_{1}$, $\frac{4 K d_{1}}{3}$ or $\frac{5 K d_{1}}{3}$ for the cases of $\pi_{6,1}$ and $\pi_{6,2}, \ell+\ell^{\prime}=0$ or $K d_{1}$ for the cases of $\pi_{6,3}$ and $\pi_{6,4}$.

Proof. Recall that the normalizer $N_{\text {Aff( }}\left(\pi_{6, i}\right)$ of $\pi_{6, i}$ has been obtained [1, Theorem 3.8]:

$$
\mu(x, y, z, u, v):=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{6, i}\right)
$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and if $a d-b c=1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=\frac{2 r-s}{3}, \quad y=\frac{r+s}{3} \quad(r, s \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
x+u=\frac{1}{2} a b+\frac{r^{\prime}}{K}, y+v=-\frac{1}{2} c d+\frac{s^{\prime}}{K} \quad\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

if $a d-b c=-1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=\frac{p+q}{3}, \quad y=\frac{2 p-q}{3} \quad(p, q \in \mathbb{Z}) \\
x+u=-\frac{1}{2} a b+\frac{r^{\prime}}{K}, y+v=\frac{1}{2} c d+\frac{s^{\prime}}{K} \quad\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{Z}_{6} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\left\langle\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right\rangle
$$

Note that $\left[\begin{array}{l}u \\ v\end{array}\right] \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ can be evaluated respectively by the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{6} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. More precisely, the values of $\left[\begin{array}{l}u \\ v\end{array}\right] \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ are $\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{2} \\ 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$, $\left[\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{6}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{3}\end{array}\right]$.

From (3.6), we have $b=c=1$ and so $d_{1}=d_{2}$. Since $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{Z}_{6} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the corresponding element $\left[\begin{array}{l}u \\ v\end{array}\right] \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ is $\left[\begin{array}{c}-\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}\end{array}\right]$. Using these values, we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-\frac{1}{3}=-\frac{\ell+r^{\prime}}{K d_{2}}, \quad y+\frac{1}{3}=\frac{\ell^{\prime}+r}{K d_{1}} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m=0$, from (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{p \ell}{K d_{1}^{2}}+\frac{p r}{K d_{1} d_{2}}=-\frac{p \ell}{K d_{1} d_{2}}+\frac{p r}{K d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \leq \frac{p \ell}{K d_{1} d_{2}}, \frac{p r}{K d_{1} d_{2}}<1$, we have $\ell=r$ and $\ell^{\prime}=r^{\prime}$. Since $a d-b c=-1$, $x+y$ and $2 x-y$ are integers, $3 x$ and $3 y$ must be integers. From (3.15), we obtain

$$
3 x=1-\frac{3\left(\ell+r^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 3 y=\frac{3\left(\ell^{\prime}+r\right)}{K d_{1}}-1 \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Thus we have $\frac{3\left(\ell+r^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{1}}=\frac{3\left(\ell^{\prime}+r\right)}{K d_{1}}=\frac{3\left(\ell+\ell^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $0 \leq \frac{\ell}{K d_{1}}, \frac{\ell^{\prime}}{K d_{1}}<\frac{d_{2}}{p} \leq 1$ and $\frac{3\left(\ell+\ell^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$
\frac{3\left(\ell+\ell^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{1}}=0, \quad 1, \quad 2, \quad 3, \quad 4, \quad 5
$$

For all these values, we have $x+y \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 x-y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also, we have

$$
\frac{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}{d_{1}}=0, \quad \frac{K}{3}, \quad \frac{2 K}{3}, \quad K, \frac{4 K}{3}, \quad \frac{5 K}{3}
$$

Since $x-\frac{1}{3}$ and $y+\frac{1}{3}$ are multiples of $\frac{1}{K}, \frac{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}{d_{1}}$ must be an integer. In $\pi_{6,1}$ and $\pi_{6,2}$, since $K=3 n$, we have

$$
\frac{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}{d_{1}}=0, \quad \frac{K}{3}, \quad \frac{2 K}{3}, \quad K, \quad \frac{4 K}{3}, \quad \frac{5 K}{3} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

But in $\pi_{6,3}$ and $\pi_{6,4}$, since $K=3 n-2$ or $3 n-1$, we have $\frac{\ell+\ell^{\prime}}{d_{1}}=0$ or $K$, respectively.

In case (3.1), we have the following lemma:
Lemma 3.15. Let $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ be such normal nilpotent subgroups of $\pi_{6, i}$ whose sets of generators are

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N^{\prime}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

If there exists $\mu \in N_{\text {Aff }(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{6, i}\right)$ satisfying the relations stated in (3.1), then

$$
\mu=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)
$$

where $x=\frac{r-r^{\prime}}{K d_{2}}$ and $y=-\frac{\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{1}}+\frac{m}{d_{1}} x$.

Proof. From (3.5), we have $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{Z}_{6} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Therefore the corresponding element $\left[\begin{array}{l}u \\ v\end{array}\right] \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ is $\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$. Using this, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{r-r^{\prime}}{K d_{2}}, \quad y=-\frac{\ell-\ell^{\prime}}{K d_{1}}+\frac{m\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{1} d_{2}}=-\frac{\ell-\ell^{\prime}}{K d_{1}}+\frac{m x}{d_{1}} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. In case (3.1), since $a d-b c=1, x+y$ and $-x+2 y$ are integers, $3 x$ and $3 y$ must be integers. By the same arguments used in the proof of Proposition 3.14 and (3.17), we obtain

$$
\frac{3\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{2}}= \pm 2, \pm 1, \quad 0, \quad \frac{3\left(\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)}{K d_{1}}= \pm 2, \pm 1, \quad 0 .
$$

Assume $r \geq r^{\prime}$. Then from (3.17) we have

$$
x=\frac{r-r^{\prime}}{K d_{2}}=0, \quad \frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{2}{3}, \quad y=\left( \pm \frac{2}{3}, \quad \pm \frac{1}{3}, \quad 0\right)+\frac{m}{d_{1}} x
$$

Thus we can consider the following three cases: for $w \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$,
(I) When $m=3 w d_{1}$,

$$
N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{3 w d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

(II) When $m=(3 w+1) d_{1}$,

$$
N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+1) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

(III) When $m=(3 w+2) d_{1}$,

$$
N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+2) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

In the next theorem, we show when affine conjugacy occurs among three types of nilpotent subgroups $N_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ of $\pi_{6, i}(i=1,2)$.

Let
$N_{1}^{\ell, r}=\left\langle t_{1}{ }^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{3 w d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N_{2}^{\ell, r}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+1) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$,
$N_{3}^{\ell, r}=\left\langle t_{1}{ }^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+2) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{K d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$.
Then we can obtain the following proposition which can be proved by using the method in Proposition 3.3.

Proposition $3.16\left(\pi_{6}-a\right)$. Let $N_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{6, i}(i=1,2)$ and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then we have the following:
(1) $N_{1}^{\ell, r} \sim N_{1}^{\ell^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\left(r-r^{\prime}, \ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)=(0,0),\left(\frac{K d_{2}}{3}, \frac{K d_{1}}{3}\right)$, $\left(\frac{K d_{2}}{3},-\frac{2 K d_{1}}{3}\right),\left(\frac{2 K d_{2}}{3}, \frac{2 K d_{1}}{3}\right),\left(\frac{2 K d_{2}}{3},-\frac{K d_{1}}{3}\right)$.
(2) $N_{2}^{\ell, r} \sim N_{2}^{\ell^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\left(r-r^{\prime}, \ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)=(0,0),\left(\frac{K d_{2}}{3}, \frac{2 K d_{1}}{3}\right)$, $\left(\frac{K d_{2}}{3},-\frac{K d_{1}}{3}\right),\left(\frac{2 K d_{2}}{3}, \frac{K d_{1}}{3}\right),\left(\frac{2 K d_{2}}{3},-\frac{2 K d_{1}}{3}\right)$.
(3) $N_{3}^{\ell, r} \sim N_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\left(r-r^{\prime}, \ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)=(0,0),\left(\frac{K d_{2}}{3}, 0\right),\left(\frac{2 K d_{2}}{3}, 0\right)$.
(4) $N_{1} \nsim N_{2}, N_{1} \nsim N_{3}, N_{2} \nsim N_{3}$.

Proof. Assume $N_{1}^{\ell, r}$ is affinely conjugate to $N_{1}^{\ell^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}$. Then there exists

$$
\mu=\left(\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
u \\
v
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \in N_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{6, i}\right)
$$

satisfying the following two relations:

$$
\mu\left(t_{1} d_{1} t_{2}^{3 w} t_{3}^{\ell}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{3 w} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, \quad \mu\left(t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}\right) \mu^{-1}=t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}
$$

By Lemma 3.15 and remark above, we obtain $\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}u \\ v\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right]\right)=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]\right)$,
(3.18) $x=\frac{r-r^{\prime}}{K d_{2}}=0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \quad y=-\frac{\ell-\ell^{\prime}}{K d_{1}}+\frac{m x}{d_{1}}=\left( \pm \frac{2}{3}, \pm \frac{1}{3}, \quad 0\right)+3 w x$.

Thus we can consider the following three cases:
(i) When $x=0\left(r=r^{\prime}\right)$.

Since $x=0$ and $x+y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $y=0$ and so $\ell=\ell^{\prime}$.
(ii) When $x=\frac{1}{3}\left(r-r^{\prime}=\frac{K d_{2}}{3}\right)$.

Since $x+y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-x+2 y \in \mathbb{Z}$, from (3.18), we have $y=-\frac{1}{3}+w$ or $\frac{2}{3}+w$.
Hence

$$
\left(r-r^{\prime}, \ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{K d_{2}}{3}, \frac{K d_{1}}{3}\right), \quad\left(\frac{K d_{2}}{3},-\frac{2 K d_{1}}{3}\right)
$$

The converse is easy by using

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{3}+w \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \text { or }\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{1}{3} \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & \frac{2}{3}+w \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right),
$$

respectively.
(iii) When $x=\frac{2}{3}\left(r-r^{\prime}=\frac{2 K d_{2}}{3}\right)$.

Since $x+y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $-x+2 y \in \mathbb{Z}$, from (3.18), we have $y=-\frac{2}{3}+2 w, \frac{1}{3}+2 w$.
Hence

$$
\left(r-r^{\prime}, \ell-\ell^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{2 K d_{2}}{3}, \frac{2 K d_{1}}{3}\right), \quad\left(\frac{2 K d_{2}}{3},-\frac{K d_{1}}{3}\right)
$$

The converse is easy by using

$$
\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -\frac{2}{3}+2 w \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \text { or }\left(\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{1}{3}+2 w \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right],\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right)\right),
$$

respectively. The proofs of (2) and (3) are similar to that of (1) and we omit their proofs. (4) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Proposition $3.17\left(\pi_{6}-b\right)$. Let $N_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{6, i}(i=3,4)$ and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. If $r \neq r^{\prime}$ or $\ell \neq \ell^{\prime}$, then

$$
N_{i}^{\ell, r} \nsim N_{j}^{\ell^{\prime}, r^{\prime}} \quad(1 \leq i, \quad j \leq 3)
$$

Proof. Assume $N_{i}^{\ell, r}$ is affinely conjugate to $N_{j}^{\ell^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}$ by conjugation of

$$
\mu(x, y, z, u, v) \in N_{\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{H})}\left(\pi_{6, i}\right)(i=3,4) .
$$

By the same arguments in the proof of the preceding proposition and remark, we have

$$
x=\frac{r-r^{\prime}}{K d_{2}}=0, \quad \frac{1}{3}, \quad \frac{2}{3}, \quad y=-\frac{\ell-\ell^{\prime}}{K d_{1}}+\frac{m x}{d_{1}}=\left( \pm \frac{2}{3}, \pm \frac{1}{3}, \quad 0\right)+\frac{m x}{d_{1}} .
$$

Recall that $K=3 n-2$ for the case of $\pi_{6,3}, K=3 n-1$ for the case of $\pi_{6,4}$ and

$$
x+u=\frac{1}{2} a b+\frac{r^{\prime}}{K}, \quad y+v=-\frac{1}{2} c d+\frac{s^{\prime}}{K} \quad\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}\right) .
$$

Hence $\frac{r-r^{\prime}}{d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies that $x=0$ and $r=r^{\prime}$. Therefore $\frac{\ell-\ell^{\prime}}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ implies that $y=0$ and $\ell=\ell^{\prime}$.

Theorem $3.18\left(\pi_{6}\right)$. Tables $6-1$ and 6-2 give a complete list of all free actions (up to topological conjugacy) of finite groups $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{6, i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$.

Table 6-1

| Generators | AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups | Conditions $(s \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{\mu}\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, \hat{\alpha}\right\rangle$ | $N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{3 w d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $(*)$ |
|  | $N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{3 w d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $(u, v) \neq(1,1),(1,-2),(2,2),(2,-1)$ |
|  | $N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+1) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ |  |
|  | $N_{4}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+1) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $(u, v) \neq(1,2),(1,-1),(2,1),(2,-2)$ |
|  | $N_{5}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+2) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s s_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ |  |
|  | $N_{6}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+2) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $(u, v) \neq(1,0),(2,0)$ |

where $u=\frac{3\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}{K s d_{1}}, v=\frac{3\left(\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}\right)}{K d_{1}}, w \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, K=3 n, \hat{\alpha}=\alpha$ for the case of $\pi_{6,1}$, and $\hat{\alpha}=\alpha^{-1} t_{3}$ for the case of $\pi_{6,2}$.
Here $(*)$ : if $s=1, \ell_{i}=r_{i}(i=1,2),(u, v) \neq(1,1)$, then $\ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \neq \frac{j K d_{1}}{3}(0 \leq$ $j \leq 5)$.

TABLE 6-2
Generators $\quad$ AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups Conditions $(s \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1)$

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
{ }^{\mu}\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, \hat{\alpha}\right\rangle & N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{3 w d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle & (*)  \tag{*}\\
& N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{3 w d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle & \ell_{1} \neq \ell_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad r_{1} \neq r_{2} \\
& N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+1) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle & \\
& N_{4}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+1) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle & \ell_{1} \neq \ell_{2} \\
& \text { or } \quad r_{1} \neq r_{2} \\
& N_{5}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+2) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle & \\
\\
& N_{6}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{(3 w+2) d_{1}} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{r_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle & \ell_{1} \neq \ell_{2} \quad \text { or } \quad r_{1} \neq r_{2} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

where $w \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, K=3 n-2$ for the case of $\pi_{6,3}, K=3 n-1$ for the case of $\pi_{6,4}, \hat{\alpha}=\alpha^{-1} t_{3}$ for the case of $\pi_{6,3}$, and $\hat{\alpha}=\alpha$ for the case of $\pi_{6,4}$.
Here $(*)$ : if $s=1, \ell_{i}=r_{i}(i=1,2), \ell_{1} \neq \ell_{2}$, then $\ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \neq 0, K d_{1}$.

$$
\mu=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{r}{K s d_{1}^{2}} \ell \\
\frac{t}{2 s}-\frac{1}{K s d_{1}^{2}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{s d_{1}} & \frac{1}{s d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right),
$$

where $t=3 w$ for $j=1,2, t=3 w+1$ for $j=3,4, t=3 w+2$ for $j=5,6$, $\ell=\ell_{1}, r=r_{1}$ for $j=1,3,5$, and $\ell=\ell_{2}, r=r_{2}$ for $j=2,4,6$.

Note that $\pi_{6, i} / N(i=1,2,3,4)$ is abelian if and only if $N \supset\left[\pi_{6, i}, \pi_{6, i}\right]=$ $\left\langle t_{2} t_{1}^{-1}, t_{1}^{-1} t_{2}^{-2}, t_{3}^{3 n}\right\rangle=\left\langle t_{1} t_{2}^{-1}, t_{2}^{3}, t_{3}^{3 n}\right\rangle$. Thus it is not hard to get Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 of [1] as corollaries of the above theorem by adding the abelian condition.

For the case of $\pi_{7, i}(i=1,2,3,4)$, we can obtain the following result [11], by applying the same methods used in Proposition 3.12.

Proposition $3.19\left(\pi_{7}\right)$. Let $N_{j}(j=1,2,3,4)$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{7, i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ in Lemma 3.1 and isomorphic to $\Gamma_{p}$. Then we have the following:
(1) $N_{2} \sim N_{3}$ if and only if $m=0, d_{1}=d_{2}$.
(2) $N_{1} \nsim N_{2}, N_{1} \nsim N_{3}, N_{1} \nsim N_{4}, N_{2} \nsim N_{4}, N_{3} \nsim N_{4}$.

Note that the following conditions of a normal subgroup of $\pi_{7, i}$ in Lemma 3.1

$$
\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}+\frac{m\left(m-d_{1}\right)}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{m}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}, \frac{d_{2}}{d_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

are critical to prove the next theorem. Let $d_{2}=d_{1} s, m=d_{1} t$. Then we have

$$
\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}+\frac{m\left(m-d_{1}\right)}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{t(t-1)+1}{s} \in \mathbb{Z} \Longleftrightarrow t(t-1)+1 \equiv 0(\bmod s)
$$

Since $0 \leq m<d_{2}$, if $s=1$, then we must have $m=0$. Also, since $t(t-1)+1$ is odd, if $s \in 2 \mathbb{N}$, then $1+t(t-1) \not \equiv 0(\bmod s)$. So, $s$ must be odd.

Theorem $3.20\left(\pi_{7}\right)$. Table 7 gives a complete list of all free actions (up to topological conjugacy) of finite groups $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{7, i}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$.

Table 7

| Generators | AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups | Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\mu_{i}}\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, \hat{\alpha}\right\rangle$ | $N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{t d_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $s \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1,0 \leq t<s$ |
|  | $N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{t d_{1}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{2 p}}, t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $s \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1,0 \leq t<s$ |
|  | $N_{3}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{t d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}}, t^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $s \in 2 \mathbb{N}+1,0 \leq t<s$ |
|  | $N_{4}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{t d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{2 p}}, t_{2}^{s d_{1}} t_{3}^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{2 p}}, t^{\frac{K s d_{1}^{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $s \in 2 \mathbb{N}-1,0 \leq t<s$ |

where $K=6 n$ for the cases of $\pi_{7,1}$ and $\pi_{7,3}, K=6 n-2$ for the case of $\pi_{7,2}$, $K=6 n-4$ for the case of $\pi_{7,4}, \hat{\alpha}=\alpha$ for the cases of $\pi_{7,1}, \pi_{7,2}, \hat{\alpha}=\alpha^{-1} t_{3}$ for the case of $\pi_{7,3}$ and $\pi_{7,4}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\frac{t}{2 s}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{s d_{1}} & \frac{1}{s d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \mu_{2}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{2 p} \\
\frac{t}{2 s}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{s d_{1}} & \frac{1}{s d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) \\
& \mu_{3}
\end{aligned}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 \\
\frac{t}{2 s}-\frac{1}{2 p}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{t}{s d_{1}} & \frac{1}{s d_{1}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right),
$$

Note that $\pi_{7, i} / N$ is abelian if and only if $N \supset\left[\pi_{7, i}, \pi_{7, i}\right]=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}^{K}\right\rangle$, where $K=6 n$ for $i=1,3, K=6 n-2$ for $i=2$ and $K=6 n-4$ for $i=4$. Thus Theorem 3.10 of [1] can be obtained immediately as a consequence of the above theorem.

Let $N=\left\langle t_{1}{ }^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ be a normal nilpotent subgroup of $\pi_{1}$. We recall the following results [15] for the reader's conveniences which show the conditions of affine conjugacy to $N$ for given $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and $m$, and corrects the missing one in [1, Theorem 3.11].
Proposition $3.21\left(\pi_{1}\right)$. Let $N$ and $N^{\prime}$ be normal nilpotent subgroups of $\pi_{1}$ whose sets of generators are

$$
N=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r}, t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle, \quad N^{\prime}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell^{\prime}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r^{\prime}}, t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle
$$

Then $N \sim N^{\prime}$ is equivalent to either $r \equiv r^{\prime}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right), \ell \equiv\left(\ell^{\prime}+\frac{m\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)}{d_{2}}\right)(\bmod$ $d_{1}$ ), or $m=0, d_{1}=d_{2}$ and $d_{1}$ is a divisor of $\ell+r^{\prime}$ and $r+\ell^{\prime}$.

The following theorem is easily obtained from the above proposition.
Theorem $3.22\left(\pi_{1}\right)$. Table 1 gives a complete list of all free actions (up to topological conjugacy) of finite groups $G$ on $\mathcal{N}_{p}$ which yield an orbit manifold homeomorphic to $\mathcal{H} / \pi_{1}$.

## Table 1

| Generators | AC classes of normal nilpotent subgroups | Conditions $\left(\frac{p m}{d_{1} d_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{\mu_{i}}\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right\rangle$ | $N_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell_{1}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r_{1}}, t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ |  |
|  | $N_{2}=\left\langle t_{1}^{d_{1}} t_{2}^{m} t_{3}^{\ell_{2}}, t_{2}^{d_{2}} t_{3}^{r_{2}}, t_{3}^{\frac{n d_{1} d_{2}}{p}}\right\rangle$ | $(*)$ or $(* *)$ |

where

$$
\mu_{i}=\left(I,\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{r_{i}}{n d_{1} d_{2}} \\
\frac{m}{2 d_{2}}-\frac{\ell_{i}}{n d_{1} d_{2}}
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{d_{1}} & 0 \\
-\frac{m}{d_{1} d_{2}} & \frac{1}{s d_{2}}
\end{array}\right]\right)\right)(i=1,2) .
$$

$\operatorname{Here}(*): r_{1} \not \equiv r_{2}\left(\bmod d_{2}\right)$ or $\ell_{1} \not \equiv\left(\ell_{2}+\frac{m\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}{d_{2}}\right)\left(\bmod d_{1}\right)$. $(* *): m \neq 0, d_{1} \neq d_{2}, d_{1} \nmid\left(\ell_{1}+r_{2}\right)$, or $d_{1} \nmid\left(r_{1}+\ell_{2}\right)$.
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