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Abstract

In this study, a new dual-active soft-switching converter is proposed to improve conversion efficiency and extend the load range for an MTEM electromagnetic transmitter in geological exploration. Unlike a conventional DC/DC converter, the proposed converter can operate in passive soft-switching, single-active soft-switching, or dual-active soft-switching modes depending on the change in load power. The main switches and lagging auxiliary switches of the converter can attain soft-switching over the entire load range. The conduction and switching losses are greatly reduced compared with those of ordinary converters under the action of the cut-off diodes and auxiliary windings coupled to the main transformer in the auxiliary circuits. The conversion efficiency of the proposed converter is significantly improved, especially under light-load conditions. First, the working principle of the proposed converter is analyzed in detail. Second, the relationship between the different operating modes and the load power is given and the design principle of the auxiliary circuit is presented. Finally, the Saber simulation and experimental results verify the feasibility and validity of the converter and a 50 kW prototype is implemented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

After years of exploration and mining, shallow mineral resources have been substantially reduced and the main prospecting aspects are deep mines and buried ore. In electromagnetic exploration, the power of the electromagnetic wave will exponentially decay with the increase in frequency. Given the increase in depth, the resolution ratio of the detection is reduced rapidly [1]. Therefore, the key to detecting a deep mine is to greatly improve the electromagnetic field strength and increase the transmitter output power. Meanwhile, the volume and weight of the transmitter cannot be excessive in facilitating the transportation of the exploration equipment. That is, improving the power density of the transmitter is necessary.

The multi-channel transient electromagnetic method (MTEM) is a time-domain, artificial source electromagnetic method that is based on the principle of electromagnetic induction [2]. A typical geological survey is shown in Fig. 1. The MTEM transmitter injects the encoded current into the earth with different frequencies through the emitter electrodes. Given that the response of electromagnetic waves by different ore bodies is not the same, the receivers detect the signal differences and then attain detection of the ore bodies [3].

The earth is the load of the MTEM transmitter; the load characteristics vary with the output frequency, and the load impedance changes from several ohms to hundreds of ohms continuously. The curves of the load impedance and the output frequency are shown in Fig. 2. The stable output characteristics of the MTEM transmitter are required over the entire load range [3], [4].

Several transmitters have been developed to date. An American company, Zonge International, has developed the GGT-30 transmitter with an output power of 30 kW. The Canadian Phoenix TXU-30 transmitter achieves an output power of 20 kW [5]. Current transmitters present many problems, such as low power density, low output efficiency, and narrow dynamic range. These properties cannot meet the actual needs of geological explorations.

The core part of the transmitter is a DC/DC controllable source circuit that determines the overall performance of the transmitter. The soft-switching controlled-source technology can solve the above-mentioned problems. Many topologies
exist for the soft-switching controlled-source circuit and apply different power levels [6]-[9]. Given that the transmitter must output high voltage and high power, a phase-shifted full-bridge (PSFB) DC/DC converter is adopted for the transmitter. A PSFB converter is widely used in medium- and high-power applications. The main switches of the PSFB converter can achieve zero-voltage-switching (ZVS) with the energy stored in the saturated reactor, the resonant inductor, and the transformer leakage inductance [9]-[14]. The voltage and current stress and the switching losses are greatly reduced because of the application of the passive soft-switching technology [12]-[14]. However, the passive soft-switching converter is limited by its narrow output dynamic range. Therefore, increasing the resonant inductance is necessary to extend the converter’s operating range. Large resonant inductance means significant loss of the duty cycle. Producing voltage ringing across the high-frequency rectifiers of the transformer’s secondary side is easy [15]. A saturated reactor was used in [16] to reduce the loss of the duty cycle of the secondary side. However, this reactor does not apply to a high-power output. In the case of high-power output, the saturated reactor will seriously overheat, which will increase not only the loss but also the risk to the system. In the case of a light load, achieving ZVS in the lagging leg of the passive soft-switching converter is difficult owing to the insufficient energy stored in the resonant inductor. This condition will not only result in a low-output efficiency and serious electromagnetic interference but also easily lead to insulated gate bipolar transistor (IGBT) burn-down under high voltage and current stress conditions. Therefore, an auxiliary current source network was introduced in [17] to solve the failure of the lagging leg switches in realizing ZVS under a light load. The auxiliary current source network together with the primary current of the high-frequency transformer is used for charging and discharging the resonant capacitors in parallel with the main arm switches to ensure ZVS at low power levels [18]. An auxiliary current source network contains a variety of structures [19]-[21] that extend the load-operating range to a certain extent. However, the circuit loss increases as a result of the circulating current in the transformer’s primary side and the loss of the added auxiliary circuits. The topology in [22] solves the problem of the loop current loss, but the lack of the main resonant inductor leads to the failure of the main switches’ ZVS for high-output power. In addition, these topologies ignore the ZVS of the leading leg and are thus limited. In geological exploration, the load is not constant as it varies with the output frequency. When the energy stored in the transformer leakage inductance and the output filter inductor is too small to ensure ZVS of the leading leg, the voltage of the resonant capacitor will experience a severe shock and the capacitor will seriously overheat or even burn out [23], [24], thereby causing security risks to the system. Although the active auxiliary circuits reported in [25] and [26] can help the leading leg to achieve ZVS, these circuits cannot guarantee ZVS under a full load range and increase the conduction loss.

In this study, a novel dual-active soft-switching circuit is proposed to guarantee the ZVS of the main switches for the entire range of the load. The leading auxiliary leg achieves ZVS, whereas the lagging auxiliary leg achieves zero-current-switching (ZCS). In practical application, the proposed converter can operate in passive soft-switching, single-active soft-switching, and dual-active soft-switching modes depending on the change in the load power in improving the efficiency. When all the main switches can realize ZVS with the energy stored in the resonant inductor, the converter operates in passive soft-switching mode. At light load level, the lagging switches cannot achieve ZVS, but the leading switches can realize ZVS. Therefore, the proposed converter works in single-active soft-switching mode. When the output power continues to decrease and the leading switches cannot realize ZVS, the converter operates in dual-active soft-switching mode. This method greatly broadens the operating range of the converter and ensures the safety and stability of the system. Only when the upper or lower tubes are on the same leg switch will current flow in the auxiliary circuit. Thus, the RMS value of the auxiliary loop current is greatly reduced and the efficiency of the converter is improved.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The topology of the dual-active soft-switching converter and the timing
diagram of the control signal are described in Section II. The working principle of the proposed converter is analyzed in detail in Section III. The switching conditions of the proposed converter among passive, single-active, and dual-active soft-switching modes as well as the design principles of the auxiliary circuit are described in Section IV. The Saber simulation and experimental results of a 50 kW prototype are discussed in Section V. The conclusion and references are presented in Section VI.

II. DUAL-ACTIVE SOFT-SWITCHING CONVERTER

The topology of the MTEM electromagnetic transmitter is shown in Fig. 3. The transmitter system mainly includes four parts: a three-phase half-controlled rectifier filter circuit $H1$, a low-voltage inverter bridge $H2$, a high-frequency rectifier bridge $H3$, and a high-voltage inverter bridge $H4$.

The line voltage of the three-phase generator is $380 \text{ V}$ and the frequency is $50 \text{ Hz}$. The function of the three-phase half-controlled rectifier filter circuits $H1$ is to convert the alternating current into low-voltage direct current. Next, the current is converted to high-voltage DC by the inverter bridge $H2$ and the rectifier bridge $H3$. Finally, the current can be converted to high-voltage AC with a different frequency through the inverter bridge $H4$. These high-voltage signals are injected into the earth through the emission electrodes.

As shown in Fig. 3, if the series relay ($S_i$) is selected, then the two rectifier bridges are connected in series. The maximum voltage of the MTEM transmitter can reach $1000 \text{ V}$ and the maximum current can be up to $50 \text{ A}$. If the parallel relay ($S_j$) is selected, then the two rectifier bridges are connected in parallel. The maximum output voltage of the transmitter is $500 \text{ V}$, and the maximum output current can reach $100 \text{ A}$. In geological exploration, the load impedance is related not only to the output frequency but also to the geological structure. In the desert area, the transmitter needs to significantly increase the output voltage to increase the output current. The series structure ($S_i$ is selected) should be adopted. The impedance is small of the swamp area, and the output current can be greater than $50 \text{ A}$ although the output voltage is very low. The parallel structure ($S_j$ is selected) should be adopted. In most cases, the low-frequency load impedance is greater than $10 \Omega$ and the transmitter adopts the series structure. Only when the low-frequency load impedance is less than $10 \Omega$ will the transmitter adopt the parallel structure. The transmitter can adapt to a wide range of geological conditions, such as desert or marsh environment, with the structure of $H_2$ and $H_3$. The proposed prototype transmitter adopts the series structure.

The analysis above shows that the DC/DC converter is the core part of the MTEM electromagnetic transmitter and its stability and efficiency directly affect the performance of the transmitter. The dual output circuits of the high-frequency transformer are simplified to a single output and the inverter bridge $H4$ is removed and replaced with a variable resistor to facilitate the analysis. Therefore, Fig. 3 is simplified as shown in Fig. 4.

The topology of the dual-active soft-switching converter is shown in Fig. 4. The leading leg is formed by the switches $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$, and the lagging leg is composed of the switches $Q_{3}$ and $Q_{4}$. The blocking capacitor $C_{r}$ is used to filter out the DC component in the high-frequency component to prevent the magnetic deflection of the transformer. The resonant capacitors $C_{1}$, $C_{2}$, $C_{3}$, and $C_{4}$ connected in parallel to the main switches are resonated with the resonant inductor $L$ to ensure that the main switches can achieve ZVS. The high-frequency rectifier bridge is composed of the diodes $DR_{1}$, $DR_{2}$, $DR_{3}$, and $DR_{4}$. The inductor $L$ and the capacitor $C$ constitute a low-pass filter circuit to ensure output voltage and current stability.

As shown in Fig. 4, the lagging auxiliary leg is formed by the devices $Q_{1a}$, $Q_{2a}$, $C_{1a}$, $C_{2a}$, $D_{1a}$, $D_{2a}$, $L_{1a}$; and the auxiliary winding $T_{w}$. When the output load current is less than a certain value, the lagging auxiliary leg turns on to ensure that the lagging leg realizes ZVS. The devices $Q_{1a}$, $Q_{2a}$, $C_{1a}$, $C_{2a}$, $D_{1a}$, $D_{2a}$, and the inductor $L_{1a}$ form the leading auxiliary leg. When
the output load current continues to decrease, the leading auxiliary leg turns on to ensure that the leading leg realizes ZVS.

The main waveforms of the proposed converter under different operating modes are shown in Fig. 5. \( V_{g1}, V_{g2}, V_{g3}, \) and \( V_{g4} \) are the driving signal waveforms of the main switches in the phase-shifted control mode. \( V_{g1a} \) and \( V_{g4a} \) are the driving signals of the leading auxiliary leg. The leading auxiliary switches \( Q_{1a} \) and \( Q_{4a} \) are turned on before the main switches \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_4 \); are turned off to store energy in the resonant inductor \( L_{r1} \). \( Q_{2a} \) and \( Q_{3a} \) are turned off after the main switches \( Q_2 \) and \( Q_3 \) are turned on. Similarly, \( V_{g1a} \) and \( V_{g4a} \) are the driving signals of the lagging auxiliary leg. The lagging auxiliary switches \( Q_{3a} \) and \( Q_{4a} \) are turned on before the main switches \( Q_3 \) and \( Q_4 \); are turned off to store energy in the resonant inductor \( L_{r2} \). \( Q_{1a} \) and \( Q_{2a} \) are turned off after the main switches \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) are turned on.

As shown in Fig. 4, the auxiliary winding \( T_{2a} \) provides a back electromotive force in the lagging auxiliary circuits to ensure that the lagging auxiliary switches attain ZCS. Owing to the effect of the cut-off diodes \( D_{8a} \) and \( D_{9a} \), the current flows through the auxiliary circuit during the opening of the auxiliary switches; otherwise, the current is zero. According to the information in literature [19], the conduction loss of the auxiliary circuit and the lagging leg should be greatly reduced. Given that the RMS value of the current flowing in the auxiliary winding \( T_{2a} \) is greatly reduced, the introduction of the auxiliary winding does not cause a significant increase in the core volume of the main transformer.

III. OPERATIONAL PRINCIPLE OF THE DUAL-ACTIVE SOFT-SWITCHING CONVERTER

The operational process of the proposed converter is analyzed in detail in this section. When the leading and lagging auxiliary circuits are turned on and work together with the main switches on the basis of the timing waveforms shown in Fig. 5, the proposed converter possesses 18 operating modes in a working cycle. In practical applications, the two auxiliary circuits are selectively turned on or off depending on the change in the load power. This condition will not affect the principle analysis of the converter. We propose the following assumptions to simplify the analysis process:

1. All IGBTs, diodes, inductors, capacitors, and transformers in the converter are ideal components.
2. The parallel resonant capacitors of the main switches: \( C_{1}=C_{2}=C_{\text{load}}, C_{3}=C_{4}=C_{\text{lag}} \).
3. The resonant capacitors of the auxiliary switches: \( C_{1}=C_{2}=C_{\text{aux1}}, C_{3}=C_{4}=C_{\text{aux2}} \).
4. \( L>>L_{r}/K_{2} \), where \( L \) is the output filter inductor and \( K \) represents the turns ratio of the primary and secondary windings of the transformer.
5. \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) are the leading switches while \( Q_3 \) and \( Q_4 \) are the lagging switches. \( Q_{1a} \) and \( Q_{2a} \) are the leading auxiliary switches while \( Q_{3a} \) and \( Q_{4a} \) are the lagging auxiliary switches.

**Mode 1 (0<t<t0):** As shown in Fig. 6(a), the main switches \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) and the high-frequency rectifier diodes \( DR_2 \) and \( DR_3 \) are in conduction, thereby carrying the energy to the load. The current that flows through the primary winding of the transformer is \( i_p \). At \( t_0 \), the leading auxiliary switch \( Q_{2a} \) is turned on to charge the inductor \( L_{r1} \) and ensure that the leading switch \( Q_2 \) realizes ZVS.

**Mode 2 (t0<t<t1):** In this period, the devices \( Q_2 \), \( Q_3 \), \( DR_2 \), and \( DR_3 \) remain in conduction, thereby carrying the energy to the load. The leading auxiliary switch \( Q_{2a} \) is turned on at \( t_0 \) and the current flows through the devices \( Q_2 \), \( L_{r1} \), \( D_{8a} \), and \( Q_{3a} \) to charge the inductor \( L_{r1} \) as shown in Fig. 6(b). At the end of this mode, the leading switch \( Q_2 \) is turned off.

**Mode 3 (t1<t<t2):** At \( t_1 \), the leading switch \( Q_2 \) is turned off. The transformer primary current \( i_p \) and the inductor current \( i_{L1} \) charge the resonant capacitor \( C_1 \) and discharge the capacitor \( C_2 \) simultaneously. The leading switch \( Q_2 \) achieves zero-voltage turn-off because of the effect of the capacitor \( C_1 \). The voltage of the capacitor \( C_2 \) and \( u_{gb} \) are zero at \( t_1 \). Thus, the diode \( D_2 \) is naturally in conduction. In the period of time \( t_1-t_2 \), the resonant inductor \( L \) and the output filter inductor \( L \) are equivalent series. The equations of the primary current \( i_p \) and the capacitor voltage \( v_{C1}, v_{C2} \) are as follows:

\[
i_p(t) = I_p(t_1) = I_2, \quad (1)
\]

\[
v_{C1}(t) = \frac{I_2}{2C_{\text{load}}} (t-t_1), \quad (2)
\]

\[
v_{C2}(t) = V_{in} - \frac{I_2}{2C_{\text{load}}} (t-t_1). \quad (3)
\]

**Mode 4 (t2<t<t3):** As shown in Fig. 6(d), no current is
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(d) Mode 4: $t_2-t_3$
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(h) Mode 8: $t_6-t_7$
flowing in the switch \( Q_2 \) although \( Q_2 \) is turned off. The current flows through the diode \( D_2 \) to ensure that \( Q_2 \) realizes zero-voltage turn-on. The dead time of the driving signals in the leading leg needs to conform to the following equation:
\[
T_{\text{d(lead)}} > \frac{2C_{\text{ocd}}}{I_z} \cdot \frac{V_{IN}}{I_z}.
\]  
(4)

**Mode 5** \((t_5 < t < t_6)\): The auxiliary switch \( Q_{ha} \) achieves the zero-voltage turn-off at \( t_5 \). During \( t_5 - t_6 \), the voltage \( u_{a8} \) is zero and the diode \( D_3 \) remains in the freewheeling state and the transformer’s primary current \( i_p \) is equal to the reflected filter inductor current.

\[
i_p(t) = \frac{i_{f(1)}}{K}
\]  
(5)

The lagging auxiliary switch \( Q_{la} \) is opened at \( t_6 \) before the lagging switch \( Q_1 \) is turned off.

**Mode 6** \((t_6 < t < t_7)\): The auxiliary switch \( Q_{la} \) achieves zero-current turn-on at \( t_6 \). In mode 6, the current flows through the devices \( Q_{la}, D_{8a}, L_{r2}, T_{la}, \) and \( Q_1 \) to charge the inductor \( L_{r2} \) as shown in Fig. 6(f) and the voltage \( u_{a8} \) remains zero. At \( t_6 \), the lagging switch \( Q_1 \) attains zero-voltage turn-off.

In this interval, the proposed converter remains in a freewheeling state and all the diodes of the output rectifier are in conduction. Owing to the clamping of the transformer’s secondary windings, the voltage across the primary windings of the transformer is also zero. In this freewheeling interval, \( V_{C2} \) = \( V_{C4} = 0 \), \( v_{C1} = v_{C2} = V_{IN} \), \( v_{C4} = 0 \), \( v_{C2p} = V_{IN} \). At \( t = t_7 \), the auxiliary switch \( Q_{ha} \) is gated. The voltage across the resonant inductor \( (L_{r2}) \) is \( V_{IN} \). The current in the lagging auxiliary inductor \( L_{r2} \) can be expressed as follows:
\[
i_{l_{r2}}(t) = \frac{V_{IN}}{L_{r2}}(t-t_4).
\]  
(6)

**Mode 7** \((t_5 < t < t_6)\): At \( t_5 \), the lagging switch \( Q_2 \) is turned off. The transformer’s primary current \( i_p \) and the inductor current \( i_{l_{r2}} \) charge the resonant capacitor \( C_4 \) and discharge the capacitor \( C_3 \) simultaneously. The voltage of the capacitor \( C_3 \) is reduced to zero and the diode \( D_3 \) is turned on.

The equations of the primary current \( i_p \) and the capacitor voltage \( v_{C3}, v_{C4} \) during this mode are as follows:
\[
i_p(t) = (I_1 + i_{l_{r2}}) \cos \omega_1(t-t_5) - i_{l_{r2}},
\]  
(7)
\[
v_{C3}(t) = (I_1 + I_{IN})Z_1 \sin \omega_1(t-t_5),
\]  
(8)
\[
v_{C4}(t) = V_{IN} - (I_1 + I_{IN})Z_1 \sin \omega_1(t-t_5),
\]  
(9)
where \( Z_1 = \sqrt{L_{r2}/(2C_{lag})} \), \( \omega_1 = \sqrt{2L_{r2}C_{lag}} \).

The voltage of the resonant capacitor \( C_3 \) can be expressed as follows:
\[
v_{C3a}(t_6) = \frac{V_{IN}}{n_{aux}},
\]  
(11)
\[
v_{C4a}(t_6) = V_{IN} \frac{n_{aux} + 1}{n_{aux}},
\]  
(12)
where \( n_{aux} \) is the turns ratio of the primary windings and the auxiliary windings \( (T_{la}) \).

In accordance with Eqs. (10) and (11), \( t_5 \) to \( t_6 \) can be obtained as follows:
\[
T_{\text{falling}} = t_6 - t_5 = \frac{1}{w} \arccos(-\frac{1}{n_{aux}}).
\]  
(13)

**Mode 8** \((t_6 < t < t_7)\): As shown in Fig. 6(h), no current is flowing in the lagging switch \( Q_2 \) although \( Q_2 \) is turned on because the primary current \( i_p \) and the inductor current \( i_{l_{r2}} \) flow through the diode \( D_3 \) to ensure \( Q_2 \) realizes zero-voltage turn-on. According to the dotted terminals of the primary and auxiliary windings, the auxiliary windings \( T_{la} \) provides an electromotive force that is opposite to the direction of the current \( i_{l_{r2}} \) such that the inductor current \( i_{l_{r2}} \) is gradually reduced. At \( t_7 \), the current \( i_{l_{r2}} \) is reduced to zero but the lagging auxiliary switch \( Q_{la} \) is not turned off. The dead time \( T_{\text{d(lead)}} \) between the main switches \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) should be greater than \( t_7 \) and can be expressed as follows:
\[
T_{\text{d(lead)}} > \frac{1}{w_1} \arcsin \frac{V_{IN}}{Z_1 I_2}.
\]  
(14)

The primary current of the transformer can be expressed as follows:
\[ i_p(t) = I_p(t_e) - \frac{V_{IN}}{L_p}(t-t_e). \] (15)

**Mode 9** \((t_5 < t < t_6)\): As shown in Fig. 6(i), the primary current \(i_p\) drops to the zero-crossing at \(t_5\) and the switches \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) provide access for the current \(i_p\). Given that the current \(i_p\) is insufficient to provide energy for the load, the four diodes of the high-frequency rectifier bridge are all in conduction. Therefore, the primary voltage of the transformer is zero. At the end of this mode, the diodes \(D_{R1}\) and \(D_{R2}\) are turned off. The current \(i_p\) can be expressed as Eq. (16).

\[ i_p(t) = -\frac{V_{IN}}{L_p}(t-t_5) \] (16)

**Mode 10** \((t_6 < t < t_7)\): In mode 10, the main switches \(Q_1\) and \(Q_2\) and the high-frequency rectifier diodes \(D_{R1}\) and \(D_{R2}\) are in conduction, thereby carrying the energy to the load. The primary current \(i_p\) is the reverse of that in mode 1.

During this period, the current \(i_p\) can be expressed as follows:

\[ i_p(t) = \frac{V_{IN} - KV_{out}}{L_p + KL}(t-t_5). \] (17)

To ensure that \(Q_1\) achieves ZVS under a light load, the leading auxiliary switch \(Q_{1a}\) is opened at \(t_9\) to charge the inductor \(L_{r1}\) before the leading switch \(Q_1\) is turned off. The work of the other half cycle of the proposed converter is similar to that of the above-mentioned half cycle.

**IV. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROPOSED CONVERTER**

Duty cycle loss on the secondary side of the transformer is a unique phenomenon for the ZVS phase-shift full-bridge converter. As shown in Figs. 5 and 6(g), (h), and (i), the time that the primary current \(i_p\) varies from positive to negative is \(t_1\) to \(t_6\). In this period, the primary voltage \(u_{in}\) is established but the primary current is insufficient to provide the load current. The rectifier diodes of the secondary side are all in the freewheeling state; the secondary voltage of the transformer is zero during \(t_5-t_6\). Therefore, the duty cycle loss of the transformer’s secondary side can be expressed as follows:

\[ D_{loss} = \frac{t_{SS}}{T_s} = \frac{L_s[I_1 + I_f(t)]/K}{V_{IN}}, \] (18)

where \(t_{SS}\) represents \(t_5-t_6\).

Assuming that the load current exhibits small fluctuations at \(t_5\), \(I_1(t) = I_{load}\) then Eq. (18) can be expressed as Eq. (19).

\[ D_{loss} \approx \frac{4I_1I_{load}}{KTV_{IN}} \] (19)

According to Eq. (19), large resonant inductance \(L_r\) means significant loss of the secondary duty cycle. Therefore, to ensure that the main switches achieve ZVS and the efficiency of the converter, the resonant inductance cannot be too large.

In summary, the proposed converter can realize ZVS under all conditions. The relation between the operating mode and the load current is shown in Fig. 7.

I: For dual-active soft-switching, the leading and lagging auxiliary legs are opened.

II: For single-active soft-switching, only the lagging auxiliary leg is opened.

III: For passive soft-switching, the leading and lagging auxiliary legs are closed.

**A. ZVS Conditions of the Leading Leg and Lagging Leg**

The analysis of the operating process of the proposed converter shown in Fig. 6 indicates that the energy should be sufficient to fully charge and discharge the resonant capacitors in parallel with the main switches in the same leg. Considering the influence of the parasitic capacitance on the primary windings of the high-frequency transformer, part of the energy is required to provide the parasitic capacitance \(C_r\).

Therefore, to ensure that the main switches realize ZVS, the energy for the leading leg needs to meet the conditions in the following equation:

\[
E > \frac{1}{2} C_{load} V_{IN}^2 + \frac{1}{2} C_{load} V_{1N}^2 + \frac{1}{2} C_{tr} V_{1N}^2.
\] (20)

The energy for the lagging leg needs to meet the conditions in Eq. (21).

\[
E > \frac{1}{2} C_{load} V_{IN}^2 + \frac{1}{2} C_{load} V_{1N}^2 + \frac{1}{2} C_{tr} V_{1N}^2.
\] (21)

The analysis of mode 3 indicates that the energy for the resonant capacitors of the leading leg is provided by the resonant inductor \(L_r\) and the filter inductor \(L\). Therefore, the leading leg can easily achieve ZVS.
However, the analysis of mode 7 shows that the energy for the resonant capacitors of the lagging leg is only supplied by the resonant inductor \( L_r \). Therefore, achieving ZVS for the lagging leg is more difficult than for the leading leg.

### B. Switching Conditions for the Lagging Auxiliary Leg

In accordance with the analysis of mode 6, the relationship between the primary current \( i_p \) and the filter inductor current can be obtained as Eq. (22).

\[
i_p(t) = i_L(t)/K
\]

The primary current \( i_p \) is reduced to \( I_1 \) as shown in Fig. 5. The latter is expressed as Eq. (23).

\[
I_1 = i_{1s}(t_s)/K = I_{L}/K
\]

Assuming that the current in the inductor \( L_{c2} \) is \( I_{L} \), then Eqs. (7)–(9) can be expressed as follows:

\[
i_p(t) = (I_1 + I_a) \cos w_t(t - t_s) - I_a, \quad (24)
\]

\[
v_{c1}(t) = (I_1 + I_a)Z_1 \sin w_t(t - t_s), \quad (25)
\]

\[
v_{c1}(t) = V_{IN} - (I_1 + I_a)Z_1 \sin w_t(t - t_s). \quad (26)
\]

According to Eqs. (24) and (25), when the resonant capacitor voltage rises to \( V_{IN} \), the primary current does not drop to \(-I_1\) or the primary current drops to \(-I_1\), the auxiliary current \( I_a \) provides the remaining energy. Therefore, at the end of mode 7, \( I_1 \) and \( I_a \) need to meet the conditions in the following equations:

\[
i_p(t) = (I_1 + I_a) \cos w_t(t - t_s) - I_a \geq -I_1, \quad (27)
\]

\[
i_p(t) \geq 0. \quad (28)
\]

In accordance with Eq. (27), the time \( t_s' \) when the primary current drops to \(-I_1\) can be expressed as

\[
i_s' = t_s + \frac{1}{w_t} \arccos \left( \frac{I_a - I_1}{I_a + I_1} \right). \quad (29)
\]

The voltage of the resonant capacitor \( C_1 \) can be obtained as follows:

\[
v_{c1}(t_s') = (I_1 + I_a)Z_1 \sin w_t(t_s - t_s')
\]

\[
= (I_1 + I_a)Z_1 \sin \left( \arccos \left( \frac{I_a - I_1}{I_a + I_1} \right) \right). \quad (30)
\]

If \( t_s' \) is taken as the demarcation point, then the current \( i_p \) and the voltage \( v_{c1} \) can be expressed as

\[
\begin{cases}
  i_p(t) = (I_1 + I_a) \cos w_t(t - t_s) - I_a, & t \in [t_s, t_s'] \\
  v_{c1}(t) = (I_1 + I_a)Z_1 \sin w_t(t - t_s), & t \in [t_s, t_s'] \\
  i_{p1}(t) = -I_1 \\
  v_{c1}(t) = v_{c1}(t_s') + \frac{I_a - I_1}{2C_{lag}}(t - t_s') & t \in [t_s', t_{s1}']
\end{cases} \quad (31)
\]

According to Eq. (32), if the current \( i_p \) is not reduced to \(-I_1\) and the voltage \( v_{c1} \) is increased to \( V_{IN} \), then \( v_{c1} \) needs to meet the conditions in the following equation to ensure ZVS of the lagging switches:

\[
v_{c1}(t) = (I_1 + I_a)Z_1 \sin w_t(t - t_s) \geq V_{IN}. \quad (33)
\]

According to Eq. (19), the value of \((I_1 + I_a)Z_1\) should be as small as possible to reduce the secondary duty cycle loss. Therefore, the value of \( \sin w_t(t - t_s) \) is appropriate when between 0.9 and 1. Then, Eq. (33) can be expressed as Eq. (34).

\[
(I_1 + I_a)Z_1 \geq V_{IN} \quad (34)
\]

Therefore, the relationship between the lagging auxiliary current \( I_a \) and the load current \( I_1 \) can be derived as Eq. (35).

\[
I_a = \frac{V_{IN}}{Z_1} - \frac{I_1}{K} \quad (35)
\]

If \( I_a = 0 \), then

\[
I_1 = \frac{KV_{IN}}{Z_1}. \quad (36)
\]

According to Eq. (32), if the primary current \( i_p \) is reduced to \(-I_1\) and the voltage \( v_{c1} \) is not increased to \( V_{IN} \), then \( v_{c1} \) needs to meet the conditions in Eq. (37) to ensure ZVS of the lagging switches.

\[
v_{c1}(t) = v_{c1}(t_s') + \frac{I_a - I_1}{2C_{lag}}(t - t_s') \geq V_{IN} \quad (37)
\]

Equation (37) is a nonlinear equation and obtaining its analytical solution is difficult. In accordance with Eq. (30) and Fig. 5, Eq. (37) can be derived as Eq. (38).

\[
\frac{I_a - I_1}{2C_{lag}}(t_{d(lag)} - t_s') \geq V_{IN} \quad (38)
\]

where \( t_{d(lag)} \) is the dead time of the driving signal for the lagging leg.

The relationship between \( I_a \) and \( I_L \) can be expressed as follows:

\[
I_a = \frac{2V_{IN}C_{lag}}{t_{d(lag)}} + \frac{I_L}{K}. \quad (39)
\]

In accordance with Eqs. (35) and (39), the maximum value of the lagging auxiliary current \( I_{a(max)} \) can be derived as follows:

\[
I_{a(max)} = V_{IN} \left[ \frac{1}{2Z_1} + \frac{C_{lag}}{t_{d(lag)}} \right] \quad (40)
\]

The relationship between \( I_a \) and \( I_L \) can be expressed as Eq. (41).

\[
\begin{cases}
  \frac{2V_{IN}C_{lag}}{t_{d(lag)}} + \frac{I_L}{K} & 0 < I_L \leq K \cdot V_{IN} \left( \frac{1}{2Z_1} - \frac{C_{lag}}{t_{d(lag)}} \right) \\
  V_{IN} \left( \frac{I_L}{Z_1} \cdot \frac{1}{K} - \frac{C_{lag}}{t_{d(lag)}} \right) & I_L < \frac{KV_{IN}}{Z_1}
\end{cases} \quad (41)
\]

In accordance with Eq. (41), Fig. 8 provides the relationship between the lagging auxiliary current \( i_a \) and the load current \( i_L \).

### C. Switching Condition for the Leading Auxiliary Leg

As shown in Figs. 5 and 6(b), the primary current is \( I_2 \) at
the end of mode 2. The relationship between the inductor $L_r$ and the filter inductor $L$ is equivalent series through the analysis of mode 3. Therefore, the primary current contains the reflected filter inductor current. It is expressed as Eq. (42).

\[ I_2 = I_{L_r} + I_l / K \]  \hspace{1cm} (42)

If the load current is in a certain range, then the primary current $i_p$ is approximately a constant owing to the large filter inductance. The current $i_p$ and the voltage of the capacitor $C_1$ and $C_2$ can be derived as follows:

\[ i_p(t) = I_p(t) = I_2, \]  \hspace{1cm} (43)

\[ v_{C_1}(t) = \frac{I_2}{2 C_{load}} (t - t_1), \]  \hspace{1cm} (44)

\[ v_{C_2}(t) = V_{in} - \frac{I_1}{2 C_{load}} (t - t_1). \]  \hspace{1cm} (45)

However, if the load current continues to decrease, then the primary current cannot be equivalent to a constant current source. The current $i_p$ and the voltage $v_{C1}$ and $v_{C2}$ can be expressed as follows:

\[ i_p(t) = I_p(t) = I_2 \cos w_2 (t - t_1), \]  \hspace{1cm} (46)

\[ v_{C_1}(t) = I_2 Z_2 \sin w_2 (t - t_1), \]  \hspace{1cm} (47)

\[ v_{C_2}(t) = V_{in} - I_L Z_2 \sin w_2 (t - t_1), \]  \hspace{1cm} (48)

where $Z_2 = \sqrt{(L + K^2 \cdot L) / (2 C_{load})}$, $w_2 = 1 / \sqrt{2 L C_{load}}$.

To ensure ZVS of the leading leg, the leading auxiliary leg should be turned on at a light load level. After adding the leading auxiliary current source $I_{b}$, Eqs. (46)–(48) can be derived as

\[ i_p(t) = (I_2 + I_b) \cos w_2 (t - t_1) - I_b, \]  \hspace{1cm} (49)

\[ v_{C_1}(t) = (I_2 + I_b) Z_2 \sin w_2 (t - t_1), \]  \hspace{1cm} (50)

\[ v_{C_2}(t) = V_{in} - (I_2 + I_b) Z_2 \sin w_2 (t - t_1). \]  \hspace{1cm} (51)

In accordance with Eqs. (49) and (50), the ZVS conditions of the leading leg can be summarized either as follows: 1) when the voltage $v_{C1}$ is increased to $V_{in}$, the primary current $i_p$ has not decreased to zero; 2) when the current $i_p$ is increased to zero, the auxiliary current $I_b$ provides the remaining energy. Therefore, at the end of mode 3, $I_{p}$, $v_{C2}$, and $I_b$ need to meet the conditions in the following equations:

\[ i_p(t) = (I_2 + I_b) \cos w_2 (t - t_1) - I_b \geq 0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (52)

\[ v_{C_1}(t) = V_{in} - (I_2 + I_b) Z_2 \sin w_2 (t - t_1) \leq 0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (53)

\[ i_p(t) \geq 0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (54)

In accordance with Eq. (52), the time $t'_1$ when the current $i_p$ drops to zero can be expressed as Eq. (55).

\[ t_1 = t_1 + \frac{1}{w_2} \arccos \left( \frac{I_b}{I_b + I_2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (55)

At $t'_1$, the voltage $v_{C1}$ can be obtained as

\[ v_{C_1}(t'_1) = (I_2 + I_b) Z_2 \sin w_2 (t'_1 - t_1) = (I_2 + I_b) Z_2 \sin \left[ \arccos \left( \frac{I_b}{I_b + I_2} \right) \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (56)

If $t'_1$ is taken as the demarcation point, then $i_p$ and $v_{C1}$ can be expressed as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
i_p(t) &= (I_2 + I_b) \cos w_2 (t - t_1) - I_b, \\
v_{C_1}(t) &= (I_2 + I_b) Z_2 \sin w_2 (t - t_1) \\
i_p(t) &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad t \in [t'_1, t_1],
\end{align*} \]  \hspace{1cm} (57)

\[ \begin{align*}
v_{C_1}(t) &= v_{C_1}(t'_1) + \frac{I_b - I_2}{2 C_{load}} (t - t'_1) \quad \text{if} \quad t \in [t'_1, t_2],
\end{align*} \]  \hspace{1cm} (58)

According to Eq. (57), if $i_p$ is not decreased to zero and $v_{C1}$ is increased to $V_{in}$, then $v_{C1}$ needs to meet the conditions in the following equation to ensure ZVS of the leading switches:

\[ v_{C_1}(t) = (I_2 + I_b) Z_2 \sin w_2 (t - t_1) \geq V_{in}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (59)

Given that $| \sin w_2 (t - t_1) | \leq 1$, the inequality in Eq. (59) can be derived as

\[ (I_2 + I_b) Z_2 \geq V_{in}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (60)

The relationship between $I_b$ and $I_L$ can be expressed as

\[ I_b = \frac{V_{in} - I_2}{Z_2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (61)

If $I_b = 0$, then

\[ I_L = \frac{KV_{in}}{Z_2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (62)

According to Eq. (58), if $i_p$ is decreased to zero and $v_{C1}$ is not increased to $V_{in}$, then $v_{C1}$ needs to meet the conditions in Eq. (63) to ensure ZVS of the leading switches:

\[ v_{C_1}(t) = v_{C_1}(t'_1) + \frac{I_b - I_2}{2 C_{load}} (t - t'_1) \geq V_{in}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (63)

According to Eqs. (56) and (63), the inequality in Eq. (63) is a nonlinear equation. It can be simplified as follows:

\[ \frac{I_2 - I_2}{2 C_{load}} t_{(d(lead))} \geq V_{in}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (64)

where $t_{(d(lead))}$ is the dead time of the driving signal for the leading leg.

The relationship between $I_b$ and $I_L$ can be expressed as

\[ I_b = \frac{2 V_{in} C_{load}}{t_{(d(lead))}} + I_L / K. \]  \hspace{1cm} (65)
In accordance with Eqs. (61) and (65), the maximum value of the leading auxiliary current $I_{b(\text{max})}$ can be derived as

$$I_{b(\text{max})} = V_{IN} \left( \frac{1}{2Z_2} + \frac{C_{\text{load}}}{t_{d(\text{load})}} \right), \quad (66)$$

The relationship between $I_b$ and $I_L$ can be expressed as Eq. (67).

$$I_b = \begin{cases} \frac{2V_{IN}C_{\text{load}}}{I_{d(\text{load})}} + \frac{I_L}{K} & 0 < I_L \leq K \cdot V_{IN} \left( \frac{1}{2Z_2} - \frac{C_{\text{load}}}{t_{d(\text{load})}} \right) \\ V_{IN} - \frac{I_L}{K} & I_L > \frac{KV_{IN}}{Z_2} \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

In accordance with Eq. (67), Fig. 9 provides the relationship between the leading auxiliary current ($I_b$) and the load current ($i_L$).

V. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENT

On the basis of the analysis of the proposed converter, a 50 kW principle prototype of the MTEM transmitter is developed as shown in Fig. 16. The parameters of the MTEM transmitter are shown in Table I.

A. Relationship between the Auxiliary Leg and the Load current

According to Eqs. (40) and (41), when the load current is decreased to 7.05 A, the lagging auxiliary leg needs to be turned on. The maximum current of the resonant inductor $L_{r2}$ can be obtained when the load current is at 3.93 A.

$$I_{r2(\text{max})} = V_{IN} \left( \frac{1}{2Z_2} + \frac{C_{\text{lag}}}{t_{d(\text{lag})}} \right) = 3.39 \text{A}$$

According to Eqs. (66) and (67), when the load current is decreased to 3.01 A, the leading auxiliary leg needs to be turned on. The maximum current of the resonant inductor $L_{r1}$ can be obtained when the load current is at 1.05 A.

$$I_{r1(\text{max})} = V_{IN} \left( \frac{1}{2Z_2} + \frac{C_{\text{lead}}}{t_{d(\text{load})}} \right) = 0.92 \text{A}$$

In geological explorations, the load of the converter varies with the output frequency. The load impedance may vary from a few ohms to hundreds of ohms with the frequency ranging from low to high. The converter needs to maintain the maximum power output regardless of how the load changes and thus enhance the detection depth and accuracy.

The output voltage and current may not simultaneously reach the rated value in a practical application. At low frequency, the output current reaches 50 A whereas the voltage may not reach 1000 V. At high frequency, the output voltage reaches 1000 V whereas the current may not reach 50 A. Therefore, the relationship between the operating mode and the load current as shown in Fig. 7 can be converted to the relationship between the operating mode and the load power as shown in Fig. 10. The output voltage is 1000 V as shown in Fig. 7, while the voltage is variable as shown in Fig. 10.

B. Determination of the Inductance in the Auxiliary Circuits

In accordance with the waveforms of the auxiliary switches shown in Fig. 5 and the analysis of mode 6 shown in Fig. 6(f), the current of the resonant inductor in the auxiliary circuits can be derived as Eq. (68):

$$I_{aux} = \frac{V_{IN}T_s}{4L_{aux}} \cdot D_{aux}, \quad (68)$$

where $D_{aux}$ is the duty cycle of the auxiliary legs and $T_s$ is the switching cycle of the auxiliary legs.

According to Eq. (68), the resonant inductance of the leading
auxiliary leg is equal to 58.9 μH and the resonant inductance of the lagging auxiliary leg is equal to 39.8 μH.

C. Determination of the Auxiliary Winding Ratio

In the design, the falling-edge time of the voltage \( v_{AB} \) is generally taken as 5% of the total opening time to reduce the loss and ensure that the main switches achieve ZVS. This condition can be obtained as Eq. (69).

\[
T_{\text{falling}} = 5\% \cdot T_{\text{on,max}} \quad (69)
\]

The auxiliary windings ratio is 0.45 according to Eqs. (13) and (69).

D. Simulation Results

On the basis of the said analysis, the dual-active soft-switching converter is simulated with a Saber simulation.

As shown in Fig. 11, the driving waveforms of the main switches and auxiliary switches are consistent with the waveforms shown in Fig. 5.

As shown in Fig. 12, \( V_{AB} \) is the voltage waveform between A and B in Fig. 4 and \( i_p \) is the current waveform of the primary side. \( V_{\text{out}} \) represents the output voltage and \( I_{\text{out}} \) is the output current.

In Fig. 12, the output voltage is 590 V, the output current is 10 A, and the output frequency is 20 kHz. When the output power is 5.9 kW, the lagging switches cannot achieve ZVS as shown in the red cycle of \( V_{AB} \).

As shown in Fig. 13, \( V_{Q4a} \) is the drain-to-source voltage of the auxiliary switch \( Q_{4a} \) and \( I_{L2} \) is the current of the resonant inductor \( L_2 \). The maximum current value of \( I_{L2} \) reaches 3.50 A. According to the waveforms of \( V_{Q4a} \) and \( I_{L2} \), the lagging auxiliary switches achieve zero current switching. Comparing the voltage of \( V_{AB} \) in Figs. 12 and 13 shows that the lagging switches achieve ZVS after opening the lagging auxiliary switches.

In Fig. 14, the output voltage is 590 V and the output current
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Fig. 15. Main waveforms of the proposed converter in the dual-active soft-switching mode.

As shown in Fig. 15, $V_{Q2a}$ is the drain-to-source voltage of the auxiliary switch $Q2a$ and $I_{Lr1}$ is the current of the resonant inductor $L_{r1}$. The maximum current value of $I_{Lr1}$ reaches 2.01 A. Comparing the voltage of $V_{ab}$ in Figs. 14 and 15 shows that the main switches achieve ZVS after opening the leading and lagging auxiliary switches.

E. Experimental Results

On the basis of the aforementioned theoretical analysis and Saber simulation results, a prototype of the dual-active soft-switching converter is implemented as shown in Fig. 16.

The waveforms of the main switches $V_{Q1}$, $V_{Q4}$ and the auxiliary switches $V_{Q2a}$, $V_{Q3a}$ are shown in Fig. 17.

As shown in Fig. 18, the light blue waveform ($V_{ab}$) is the voltage between A and B in Fig. 4 and the purple waveform ($i_p$) is the current of the primary side. The dark blue waveform ($V_{out}$) represents the output voltage, the green waveform ($I_{out}$) is the output current, and the output frequency is 20 kHz.

In Fig. 18, the output voltage is 500 V and the output current is 10 A. When the output power is 5.0 kW, the lagging switches cannot achieve ZVS as shown in the red cycle of $V_{ab}$.

As shown in Fig. 19, the dark blue waveform ($V_{Q4a}$) is the drain-to-source voltage of the auxiliary switch $Q4a$ and the green waveform ($I_{Lr2}$) is the current of the resonant inductor $L_{r2}$. The maximum current value of $I_{Lr2}$ reaches 7 A. According to
the waveforms of $V_{Q4a}$ and $I_{Lr2}$, the lagging auxiliary switches achieve zero current switching. The switching and conduction losses of the lagging auxiliary switches are greatly reduced. Comparing the voltages of $V_{AB}$ in Figs. 18 and 19 shows that the lagging switches achieve ZVS after opening the lagging auxiliary switches.

In Fig. 20, the output voltage is 480 V and the output current is 6.0 A. When the output power is 2.88 kW, the leading switches and the lagging switches cannot achieve ZVS as shown in the red cycle of $V_{AB}$.

In Fig. 21, the dark blue waveform ($V_{Q2a}$) is the drain-to-source voltage of the auxiliary switch $Q2a$ and the green waveform ($I_{Lr1}$) is the current of the resonant inductor $Lr1$. The maximum current value of $I_{Lr1}$ reaches 4 A. Comparing the voltages of $V_{AB}$ in Figs. 20 and 21 indicates that the main switches achieve ZVS after opening the leading and lagging auxiliary switches.

**F. Comparison of the Efficiency**

As shown in Fig. 22, the maximum efficiency and power of the traditional transmitter using the hard-switching technology reach 83.7% and 44.5 kW, respectively, while the maximum efficiency and power of the dual-active soft-switching transmitter reach 94.2% and 50 kW. The maximum efficiency of the conventional soft-switching transmitter reaches 90.1%. Compared with those of the hard-switching transmitter, the efficiency and output power of the proposed transmitter are improved. Unlike that of the conventional soft-switching transmitter, the efficiency of the proposed transmitter is improved. Compared with the passive soft-switching transmitter, the dual-active soft-switching transmitter can achieve ZVS over the entire load range.

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, a novel dual-active soft-switching converter for the MTEM electromagnetic transmitter in the field of geological exploration is proposed. Compared with those of the traditional transmitter, the efficiency and power density per unit volume of the proposed transmitter are greatly improved.

First, the topology of the dual-active soft-switching converter is introduced. Second, combined with the main timing diagram in Fig. 5, the 18 operating modes of the converter are analyzed in detail. Third, three types of operating modes of the proposed converter are presented, namely, the passive soft-switching, single-active soft-switching, and dual-active soft-switching modes. The relationship between the three operating modes and the load power is derived. Meanwhile, the design processes of the leading and lagging auxiliary circuits are presented. Finally, a Saber simulation is carried out for the improved transmitter and a 50 kW principle prototype is proposed. The theoretical analysis, the simulation results, and the experimental results are consistent and verify the correctness and validity of the proposed converter.

The dual-active soft-switching converter ensures the safe and stable operation of the MTEM transmitter under any load conditions.
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