

Extensions of Strongly α -semicommutative Rings

AYOUB ELSHOKRY* AND ELTIYEB ALI

Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, 730070, China

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, University of Khartoum, Omdurman, Sudan

e-mail: ayoub1975@yahoo.com and eltiyeb76@gmail.com

LIU ZHONGKUI

Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, 730070, China

e-mail: liuzk@nwnu.edu.cn

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of strongly α -semicommutative rings, a generalization of strongly semicommutative and α -rigid rings. Although the n -by- n upper triangular matrix ring over any ring with identity is not strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative for $n \geq 2$, we show that a special subring of the upper triangular matrix ring over a reduced ring is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative under some additional conditions. Moreover, it is shown that if R is strongly α -semicommutative with $\alpha(1) = 1$ and S is a domain, then the Dorroh extension D of R by S is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity and α denotes a nonzero and non-identity endomorphism, unless specified otherwise. A ring R is called semicommutative, if for all $a, b \in R$, $ab = 0$ implies $aRb = 0$. This is equivalent to the usual definition by [18, Lemma 1.2] or [8, Lemma 1]. Properties, examples and counterexamples of semicommutative rings were given in Huh, Lee and Smoktunowicz [8], Kim and Lee [10], Liu [13] and Yang [19]. One of general-

* Corresponding Author.

Received August 30, 2017; revised March 8, 2018; accepted March 9, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16U80, 16W20, 16W60.

Key words and phrases: semicommutative rings; strongly semicommutative rings; α -semicommutative rings; strongly α -semicommutative rings.

izations of semicommutative rings was investigated by Liu and Zhao in [14].

Recall that an endomorphism α of a ring R is called rigid [11] if for $a \in R$, $a\alpha(a) = 0$ implies $a = 0$, and R is called an α -rigid ring [6] if there exists a rigid endomorphism α of R . Note that any rigid endomorphism of a ring is a monomorphism, and α -rigid rings are reduced rings by [6, Proposition 5]. Due to [1], an endomorphism α of a ring R is called semicommutative if whenever $ab = 0$ for $a, b \in R$, $aR\alpha(b) = 0$. A ring R is called α -semicommutative if there exists a semicommutative endomorphism α of R . Gang and Ruijuan [5] called a ring R strongly semicommutative, if whenever polynomials $f(x), g(x)$ in $R[x]$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then $f(x)R[x]g(x) = 0$. In general the polynomial rings over α -semicommutative rings need not be α -semicommutative. In this paper, we consider the α -semicommutative rings over which polynomial rings are also α -semicommutative and we call them strongly α -semicommutative rings, i.e., if α is an endomorphism of R , then α is called strongly semicommutative if whenever polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. A ring R is called strongly α -semicommutative if there exists a strongly semicommutative endomorphism α of R . Clearly strongly α -semicommutative rings are α -semicommutative but not conversely. If R is Armendariz, then these two concepts coincide (see, Proposition 2.11). We characterize α -rigid rings by showing that a ring R is α -rigid if and only if R is a reduced strongly α -semicommutative ring and α is a monomorphism. It is also shown that a ring R is strongly α -semicommutative if and only if the polynomial ring $R[x]$ over R is strongly α -semicommutative. Some extensions of α -semicommutative rings are considered.

2. Strongly α -semicommutative Rings

In this section we introduce the concept of a strongly α -semicommutative ring and study its properties. Observe that the notion of strongly α -semicommutative rings not only generalizes that of α -rigid rings, but also extends that of strongly semicommutative rings. We start by the following definition.

Definition 2.1. An endomorphism α of a ring R is called *strongly semicommutative* if whenever polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. A ring R is called *strongly α -semicommutative* if there exists a strongly semicommutative endomorphism α of R .

It is clear that a ring R is strongly semicommutative, if R is strongly I_R -semicommutative, where I_R is the identity endomorphism of R . It is easy to see that every subring S with $\alpha(S) \subseteq S$ of a strongly α -semicommutative ring is also strongly α -semicommutative. For any $i \in I$, let R_i be strongly α_i -semicommutative where α_i is an endomorphism of R_i . Set $W = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$. Define an endomorphism α of W as following:

$$\alpha(a_i)_{i \in I} = (\alpha_i(a_i))_{i \in I}.$$

Then it is easy to see that W is strongly α -semicommutative.

Remark 2.2. Let R be a strongly α -semicommutative ring with $f(x)g(x) = 0$ for $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$. Then $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$ and, in particular, $f(x)\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Since R is strongly α -semicommutative, we get $f(x)R[x]\alpha^2(g(x)) = 0$. So, by induction hypothesis, we obtain $f(x)R[x]\alpha^k(g(x)) = 0$ and $f(x)\alpha^k(g(x)) = 0$, for any positive integer k .

The following example shows that there exists an endomorphism α of strongly semicommutative ring R such that R is not strongly α -semicommutative.

Example 2.3. Let \mathbb{Z}_2 be the ring of integers modulo 2 and consider the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, with the usual addition and multiplication. Then R is strongly semicommutative, since R is a commutative reduced ring. Now, let $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ be defined by $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$. Then α is an automorphism of R . For $f(x) = (1, 0) + (1, 0)x$ and $g(x) = (0, 1) + (0, 1)x$, it is clear that $f(x)g(x) = 0$. But $(0, 0) \neq ((1, 0) + (1, 0)x)(1, 1)x((1, 0) + (1, 0)x) \in f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x))$. Thus R is not strongly α -semicommutative.

Lemma 2.4. R is a reduced ring if and only if so is $R[x]$.

Lemma 2.5. A ring R is α -rigid if and only if $R[x]$ is α -rigid.

Theorem 2.6. A ring R is α -rigid if and only if R is a reduced strongly α -semicommutative ring and α is a monomorphism.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let R be an α -rigid ring. Then R is reduced and α is a monomorphism by [6, p.218]. Assume that $f(x)g(x) = 0$, for $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$. Let $h(x)$ be an arbitrary polynomial of $R[x]$. Then $g(x)f(x) = 0$ since $R[x]$ is reduced by Lemma 2.4. Thus $f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x))\alpha(f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x))) = f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x)f(x))\alpha(h(x))\alpha^2(g(x)) = 0$. Since R is α -rigid, $f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x)) = 0$ by Lemma 2.5 so $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Thus R is strongly α -semicommutative.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $f(x)\alpha(f(x)) = 0$ for $f(x) \in R[x]$. Since R is reduced and strongly α -semicommutative, $\alpha(f(x))f(x) = 0$ and so $\alpha(f(x))R[x]\alpha(f(x)) = 0$. Hence $\alpha((f(x))^2) = 0$ and so $f(x) = 0$, since α is a monomorphism and R is reduced. Therefore R is α -rigid. \square

The following examples show that the condition “ R is reduced ring” and “ α is a monomorphism” in Theorem 2.6 cannot be dropped respectively.

Example 2.7. Let \mathbb{Z} be the ring of integers. Consider $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$.

Let $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ be an endomorphism defined by $\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$.

Note that α is an automorphism. By [1, Example 2.5(1)] R is not reduced and hence R is not α -rigid. Thus $R[x]$ is not α -rigid by Lemma 2.5.

Let $f(x)g(x) = 0$ for $f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_0(x) & f_1(x) \\ 0 & f_0(x) \end{pmatrix}, g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g_0(x) & g_1(x) \\ 0 & g_0(x) \end{pmatrix} \in R[x]$. Then $f_0(x)g_0(x) = 0$ and $f_0(x)g_1(x) + f_1(x)g_0(x) = 0$. For $h(x) =$

$\begin{pmatrix} h_0(x) & h_1(x) \\ 0 & h_0(x) \end{pmatrix} \in R[x]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} f_0(x) & f_1(x) \\ 0 & f_0(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_0(x) & h_1(x) \\ 0 & h_0(x) \end{pmatrix} \alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} g_0(x) & g_1(x) \\ 0 & g_0(x) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} f_0(x)h_0(x)g_0(x) & -f_0(x)h_0(x)g_1(x) + f_0(x)h_1(x)g_0(x) + f_1(x)h_0(x)g_0(x) \\ 0 & f_0(x)h_0(x)g_0(x) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_0(x)g_0(x) = 0$, $f_0(x) = 0$ or $g_0(x) = 0$. If $f_0(x) = 0$ then $f_1(x)g_0(x) = 0$. So $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. If $g_0(x) = 0$ then $f_0(x)g_1(x) = 0$. Again $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Thus R is strongly α -semicommutative.

Example 2.8. Let F be a field and $R = F[x]$ the polynomial ring over F . Define $\alpha : R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$ by $\alpha(f(x)) = f(0)$ where $f(x) \in R[x]$. Then $R[x]$ is a commutative domain (and so reduced) and α is not a monomorphism. If $f(x)g(x) = 0$ for $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ then $f(x) = 0$ or $g(x) = 0$, and so $f(x) = 0$ or $\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Hence $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$, and thus R is strongly α -semicommutative. Note that R is not α -rigid, since $x\alpha(x) = 0$ for $0 \neq x \in R$.

Observe that if R is a domain then R is both strongly semicommutative and strongly α -semicommutative for any endomorphism α of R . Example 2.7 also shows that there exists a strongly α -semicommutative ring R which is not a domain. According to Cohn [4], a ring R is called *reversible* if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$ for $a, b \in R$. Baser and et al. [2] called a ring R *right* (respectively, *left*) α -*reversible* if there exists a right (respectively, left) reversible endomorphism α of R . A ring is α -*reversible* if it is both left and right α -reversible.

Lemma 2.9. ([16, Proposition 3]) *A reduced α -reversible ring is α -semicommutative.*

Proposition 2.10. *Let R be a reduced and α -reversible ring. Then R is strongly α -semicommutative.*

Proof. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x]$ be such that $f(x)g(x) = 0 = \sum_{s=0}^{n+m} \sum_{i+j=s} a_i b_j x^s$. Since every reduced ring is an Armendariz ring, we obtain $a_i b_j = 0$. Then $\alpha(b_j) a_i = 0$ (by α -reversibility). Now for arbitrary element $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r c_k x^k \in R[x]$, we have $\alpha(b_j) a_i c_k = 0$ for each i, j, k , so $a_i c_k \alpha(b_j) = 0$ (by reducibility). Hence, $f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Therefore R is strongly α -semicommutative. \square

Rege and Chhawchharia [17] called a ring R an Armendariz ring if whenever polynomials $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_m x^m, g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_n x^n \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then $a_i b_j = 0$ for each i and j . Hong et al. [7] called a ring R α -Armendariz if whenever $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_m x^m, g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_n x^n \in R[x; \alpha]$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then $a_i b_j = 0$ for each i and j .

Proposition 2.11. *Let R be an Armendariz ring. If R is α -semicommutative, then R is strongly α -semicommutative.*

Proof. Suppose that $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$.

Then, since R is Armendariz, each $a_i b_j$ is zero, additionally R is α -semicommutative, therefore $a_i c_k \alpha(b_j) = 0$ for any element c_k in R for all i, j, k . Now it is easy to check that $f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x)) = 0$ for any $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r c_k x^k \in R[x]$. \square

Lemma 2.12. ([10, Proposition 3.1(2)]) *If R is a reversible α -Armendariz ring, then R is α -semicommutative.*

Liu and Yang [20] called a ring R *strongly reversible*, if whenever polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x)g(x) = 0$, then $g(x)f(x) = 0$.

Proposition 2.13. *If R is a strongly reversible α -Armendariz ring, then R is strongly α -semicommutative.*

Proof. Let $f(x)g(x) = 0$, for $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$. Then $g(x)f(x) = 0$ since R is strongly reversible. By [7, Proposition 1.3(1)], we obtain $\alpha(g(x))f(x) = 0$, and so $\alpha(g(x))f(x)h(x) = 0$ for all $h(x) \in R[x]$. Hence, $f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x)) = 0$ for all $h(x) \in R[x]$ since R is strongly reversible and $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Therefore, R is strongly α -semicommutative. \square

Recall that an element u of a ring R is right regular if $ur = 0$ implies $r = 0$ for $r \in R$. Similarly, left regular elements can be defined. An element is regular if it is both left and right regular (and hence not a zero divisor).

Proposition 2.14. *Let Δ be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring R consisting of central regular elements. Then R is strongly α -semicommutative if and only if so is $\Delta^{-1}R$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the necessity. Suppose that R is strongly α -semicommutative. Let $F(x)G(x) = 0$, for $F(x) = u^{-1}f(x)$ and $G(x) = v^{-1}g(x) \in (\Delta^{-1}R)[x]$ where u, v are regular and $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$. Since Δ is contained in the center of R we have $0 = F(x)G(x) = u^{-1}f(x)v^{-1}g(x) = (u^{-1}v^{-1})f(x)g(x) = (uv)^{-1}f(x)g(x)$ and so $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Since R is strongly α -semicommutative, $f(x)R[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$ and $f(x)(s^{-1}R)[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$ for any regular element s . This implies $F(x)(\Delta^{-1}R)[x]\alpha(G(x)) = 0$. Therefore $\Delta^{-1}R$ is strongly α -semicommutative. \square

The ring of Laurent polynomials in x with coefficients in a ring R , denoted by $R[x; x^{-1}]$, consists of all formal sums $\sum_{i=k}^n m_i x^i$ with obvious addition and multiplication, where $m_i \in R$ and k, n are (possibly negative) integers.

Corollary 2.15. *Let R be a ring with $\alpha(1) = 1$. Then $R[x]$ is strongly α -semicommutative if and only if $R[x; x^{-1}]$ is strongly α -semicommutative.*

Corollary 2.16. *Let R be an Armendariz ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is α -semicommutative.
- (2) R is strongly α -semicommutative.
- (3) $R[x; x^{-1}]$ is strongly α -semicommutative.

Proposition 2.17. *Let R be a ring, e a central idempotent of R , with $\alpha(e) = e$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R is strongly α -semicommutative rings.
- (2) eR and $(1 - e)R$ are strongly α -semicommutative rings.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) This is straightforward since subrings and finite direct products of strongly α -semicommutative rings are strongly α -semicommutative. \square

We denote by $M_n(R)$ and $T_n(R)$ the $n \times n$ matrix ring and $n \times n$ upper triangular matrix ring over R , respectively.

Given a ring R and a bimodule ${}_R M_R$, the trivial extension of R by M is the ring $T(R, M) = R \oplus M$ with the usual addition and the following multiplication $(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1 r_2, r_1 m_2 + m_1 r_2)$. This is isomorphic to the ring of all matrices $\begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$, where $r \in R, m \in M$ and the usual matrix operations are used.

For an endomorphism α of a ring R and the trivial extension $T(R, R)$ of R , $\bar{\alpha} : T(R, R) \rightarrow T(R, R)$ defined by $\bar{\alpha} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(a) & \alpha(b) \\ 0 & \alpha(a) \end{pmatrix}$ is an endomorphism of $T(R, R)$. Since $T(R, 0)$ is isomorphic to R , we can identify the restriction of $\bar{\alpha}$ by $T(R, 0)$ to α . Notice that the trivial extension of a α -semicommutative ring is not $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative by [1, Example 2.9]. Now, we may ask whether the trivial extension $T(R, R)$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative if R is strongly α -semicommutative. But the following example erases the possibility.

Example 2.18. Consider the strongly α -semicommutative ring $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$ with an endomorphism α defined by $\alpha \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ in Example 2.7. For

$$A = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right), B = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in T(R, R)$$

we have $AB = 0$. However, for

$$C = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in T(R, R),$$

we obtain

$$0 \neq \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = AC\bar{\alpha}(B) \in AT(R, R)\bar{\alpha}(B).$$

Thus, $T(R, R)$ is not strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.

It was shown in [1, Proposition 2.10], that if R is a reduced α -semicommutative ring, then $T(R, R)$ is an $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative. Here we have the following results.

Proposition 2.19. *Let R be a reduced ring. If R is α -semicommutative, then $T(R, R)$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.*

Proof. Let $f(x) = (f_0(x), f_1(x)), g(x) = (g_0(x), g_1(x)) \in T(R, R)[x]$ with $f(x)g(x) = 0$. We shall prove $f(x)T(R, R)[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Now we have

$$(2.1) \quad f_0(x)g_0(x) = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad f_0(x)g_1(x) + f_1(x)g_0(x) = 0.$$

Since R is reduced, $R[x]$ is reduced. Therefore, (2.1) implies $g_0(x)f_0(x) = 0$. Multiplying (2.2) on the left side by $g_0(x)$ we get $f_1(x)g_0(x) = 0$, and so $f_0(x)g_1(x) = 0$. Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i, b_i)x^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m (a'_j, b'_j)x^j$, where $f_0(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, f_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i, g_0(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a'_j x^j$ and $g_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b'_j x^j$. Since every reduced ring is an Armendariz ring, we obtain that $a_i a'_j = 0, a_i b'_j = 0, b_i a'_j = 0$ for all i, j by the preceding results. With these facts and the fact that R is α -semicommutative, we have $a_i c_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0, a_i c_k \alpha(b'_j) = 0, a_i d_k \alpha(b'_j) = 0, b_i c_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0$, for any elements c_k, d_k . Thus, $f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x)) = 0$, for any arbitrary $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r (c_k, d_k)x^k \in R[x]$. This implies that $T(R, R)$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative. \square

The trivial extension $T(R, R)$ of a ring R is extended to

$$S_3(R) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c, d \in R \right\}$$

and an endomorphism α of a ring R is also extended to the endomorphism $\bar{\alpha}$ of $S_3(R)$ defined by $\bar{\alpha}((a_{ij})) = (\bar{\alpha}(a_{ij}))$. There exists a reduced ring R such that $S_3(R)$ is not strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative by the following example.

Example 2.20. We consider the commutative reduced ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, and the automorphism α of R defined by $\alpha((a, b)) = (b, a)$, in Example 2.3. Then

$S_3(R)$ is not strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} (1, 0) & (0, 0) & (0, 0) \\ (0, 0) & (1, 0) & (0, 0) \\ (0, 0) & (0, 0) & (1, 0) \end{pmatrix}$,

$B = \begin{pmatrix} (0, 1) & (0, 0) & (0, 0) \\ (0, 0) & (0, 1) & (0, 0) \\ (0, 0) & (0, 0) & (0, 1) \end{pmatrix} \in S_3(R)$, then $AB = 0$, but $AA\bar{\alpha}(B) = A \neq 0$.

Thus $AS_3(R)\bar{\alpha}(B) \neq 0$, and therefore $S_3(R)$ is not strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.

However, we obtain that $S_3(R)$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative for a reduced α -semicommutative ring R by the similar method to the proof of Proposition 2.19 as follows:

Proposition 2.21. *Let R be a reduced ring. If R is α -semicommutative, then*

$$S_3(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in R \right\}$$

is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.

Proof. For

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ 0 & a_1 & d_1 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ 0 & a_2 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in S_3(R),$$

we can denote their addition and multiplication by

$$(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1) + (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2) = (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2, d_1 + d_2),$$

$$(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2) = (a_1 a_2, a_1 b_2 + b_1 a_2, a_1 c_2 + b_1 d_2 + c_1 a_2, a_1 d_2 + d_1 a_2),$$

respectively. So every polynomial in $S_3[x]$ can be expressed in the form of (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) for some f_i 's in $R[x]$. Let $f(x) = (f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x)), g(x) = (g_0(x), g_1(x), g_2(x), g_3(x)) \in S_3[x]$ with $f(x)g(x) = 0$. Then $f(x)g(x) = (f_0(x)g_0(x), f_0(x)g_1(x) + f_1(x)g_0(x), f_0(x)g_2(x) + f_1(x)g_3(x) + f_2(x)g_0(x), f_0(x)g_3(x) + f_3(x)g_0(x))$, we shall prove $f(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. So we have the following system of equations:

$$(2.3) \quad f_0(x)g_0(x) = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad f_0(x)g_1(x) + f_1(x)g_0(x) = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad f_0(x)g_2(x) + f_1(x)g_3(x) + f_2(x)g_0(x) = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad f_0(x)g_3(x) + f_3(x)g_0(x) = 0.$$

Use the fact that $R[x]$ is reduced. From Eq. (2.3), we get $g_0(x)f_0(x) = 0$. If we multiply Eq. (2.4), on the right side by $g_0(x)$, then $0 = (f_0(x)g_1(x) + f_1(x)g_0(x))g_0(x) = f_1(x)g_0^2(x)$, and so $f_1(x)g_0(x) = 0$ and $f_0(x)g_1(x) = 0$. Similarly, from Eq. (2.6), we have $f_3(x)g_0(x) = 0$, and $f_0(x)g_3(x) = 0$. Also, in Eq. (2.5), $0 = (f_0(x)g_2(x) + f_1(x)g_3(x) + f_2(x)g_0(x))g_0(x) = f_2(x)g_0^2(x)$ implies $f_2(x)g_0(x) = 0$ and

$$(2.7) \quad f_0(x)g_2(x) + f_1(x)g_3(x) = 0.$$

Multiplying (2.7) on left side by $f_0(x)$ gives $0 = f_0(x)(f_0(x)g_2(x) + f_1(x)g_3(x)) = f_0^2(x)g_2(x)$, and so $f_0(x)g_2(x) = 0$ hence $f_1(x)g_3(x) = 0$. Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i & c_i \\ 0 & a_i & d_i \\ 0 & 0 & a_i \end{pmatrix} x^i, g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m \begin{pmatrix} a'_j & b'_j & c'_j \\ 0 & a'_j & d'_j \\ 0 & 0 & a'_j \end{pmatrix} x^j$$

and $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r \begin{pmatrix} a''_k & b''_k & c''_k \\ 0 & a''_k & d''_k \\ 0 & 0 & a''_k \end{pmatrix} x^k \in S_3(R)$,

where $f_0(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, f_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i, f_2(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i, f_3(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i x^i, g_0(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m a'_j x^j, g_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b'_j x^j, g_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m c'_j x^j, g_3(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m d'_j x^j$. Since every reduced ring is an Armendariz ring, we obtain that $a_i a'_j = 0, a_i b'_j = 0, b_i a'_j = 0, a_i c'_j = 0, b_i d'_j = 0, c_i a'_j = 0, a_i d'_j = 0, d_i a'_j = 0$, for all i, j by the preceding results. With these facts and the fact that R is α -semicommutative ring, we have $a_i a''_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0, a_i a''_k \alpha(b'_j) = 0, b_i a''_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0, b_i a''_k \alpha(d'_j) = 0, a_i a''_k \alpha(c'_j) = 0, a_i b''_k \alpha(d'_j) = 0, b_i a''_k \alpha(d'_j) = 0, a_i c''_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0, b_i d''_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0, c_i a''_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0, a_i a''_k \alpha(d'_j) = 0, a_i d''_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0, d_i a''_k \alpha(a'_j) = 0$. Consequently, we get the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)h(x)\alpha(g(x)) &= (f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x), f_3(x))S_3(R)[x]\alpha((g_0(x), g_1(x), g_2(x), g_3(x))) \\ &= (f_0(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g_0(x)), f_0(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g_1(x)) + f_1(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g_0(x)), \\ &\quad f_0(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g_2(x)) + f_1(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g_3(x)) + f_2(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g_0(x)), \\ &\quad f_0(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g_3(x)) + f_3(x)S_3(R)[x]\alpha(g_0(x))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $S_3(R)$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative. □

Let R be a ring. Define a subring S_n of the n -by- n full matrix ring $M_n(R)$ over R as follows:

$$S_n(R) = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & a & \cdots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, a_{ij} \in R \right) \right\}.$$

For an α -rigid ring R and $n \geq 2$, by Proposition 2.21, we may suspect that $S_n(R)$ may be strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative ring for $n \geq 4$. But the possibility is eliminated by the next example.

Example 2.22. Let R be an α -rigid and

$$S_4 = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & a & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & a & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, a_{ij} \in R \right) \right\}.$$

Note that if R is an α -rigid ring, then $\alpha(e) = e$, for $e^2 = e \in R$ by [6, Proposition 5]. In particular $\alpha(1) = 1$. For $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in$

$S_4(R)$, we obtain $AB = 0$. But we have $0 \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = AC\bar{\alpha}(B) \in$

$S_4(R)$, for $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S_4(R)$. Thus $AC\bar{\alpha}(B) \neq 0$ and so $S_4(R)$ is not

strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative. Similarly, it can be proved that $S_n(R)$ is not strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative for $n \geq 5$.

Let R be a ring and let

$$V_n(R) = \left\{ S = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_{n-2} & a & b \\ 0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-3} & a_{n-2} & c \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-4} & a_{n-3} & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a_i, a, b, c \in R \right\}.$$

Note that if $a = c$, then the matrix S is called an upper triangular Toeplitz matrix over R , see [15].

We proved in Proposition 2.21 and Example 2.22 that when R is a reduced ring and R is an α -semicommutative ring, then $S_3(R)$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative, but $S_n(R)$ is not strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative for $n \geq 4$. In the next theorem we will show that a special subring $V_n(R)$ of $T_n(R)$ for any positive integer $n \geq 2$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative, where R is a reduced and α -semicommutative ring.

Theorem 2.23. *Let R be a reduced ring. If R is α -semicommutative, then $V_n(R)$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_{n-2} & a_{1,n-1} & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{n-3} & a_{n-2} & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-4} & a_{n-3} & a_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1 & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \cdots & b_{n-2} & b_{1,n-1} & b_{1n} \\ 0 & b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_{n-3} & b_{n-2} & b_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & b_1 & \cdots & b_{n-4} & b_{n-3} & b_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_1 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are in $V_n(R)$. So every polynomial in $V_n(R)[x]$ can be expressed in the form of $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-2}, f_{1,n-1}, f_{1n}, f_{2n})$ for some f_i 's in $R[x]$. Let $f(x) = (f_0(x), f_1(x), \dots, f_{2n}(x)), g(x) = (g_0(x), g_1(x), \dots, g_{2n}(x)) \in V_n(R)[x]$ with $f(x)g(x) = 0$. We

shall prove $f(x)V_n(R)[x]\alpha(g(x)) = 0$. Now we have the following system of equations:

$$(2.8) \quad f_1(x)g_1(x) = 0,$$

$$(2.9) \quad f_1(x)g_2(x) + f_2(x)g_1(x) = 0,$$

$$f_1(x)g_3(x) + f_2(x)g_2(x) + f_3(x)g_1(x) = 0,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_1(x)g_{n-2}(x) + f_2(x)g_{n-3}(x) + \cdots + f_{n-2}(x)g_1(x) = 0,$$

$$(2.10) \quad f_1(x)g_{1,n-1}(x) + f_2(x)g_{n-2}(x) + \cdots + f_{n-2}(x)g_2(x) + f_{1,n-1}(x)g_1(x) = 0,$$

$$(2.11) \quad f_1(x)g_{1n}(x) + f_2(x)g_{2n}(x) + \cdots + f_{1,n-1}(x)g_2(x) + f_{1n}(x)g_1(x) = 0,$$

$$(2.12) \quad f_1(x)g_{2n}(x) + f_2(x)g_{n-2}(x) + \cdots + f_{n-2}(x)g_2(x) + f_{2n}(x)g_1(x) = 0.$$

Use the fact that $R[x]$ is reduced. From Eq. (2.8), we get $g_1(x)f_1(x) = 0$. If we multiply Eq. (2.9) on the right side by $f_1(x)$, then $f_1(x)g_2(x)f_1(x) + f_2(x)g_1(x)f_1(x) = 0$. Thus $f_1(x)g_2(x)f_1(x) = 0$ and hence $f_1(x)g_2(x) = 0$. From Eq. (2.9) it follows that $f_2(x)g_1(x) = 0$. Continuing in this manner, we can show that $f_i(x)g_j(x) = 0$ when $i + j = 2, \dots, n - 1$. Hence $g_j(x)f_i(x) = 0$. Multiplying Eq. (2.10) on the right side by $f_1(x)$, we obtain $0 = f_1(x)g_{1,n-1}(x)f_1(x) + f_2(x)g_{n-2}(x)f_1(x) + \cdots + f_{n-2}(x)g_2(x)f_1(x) + f_{1,n-1}(x)g_1(x)f_1(x) = f_1(x)g_{1,n-1}(x)f_1(x)$. Thus $f_1(x)g_{1,n-1}(x) = 0$. Hence

$$(2.13) \quad f_2(x)g_{n-2}(x) + \cdots + f_{n-2}(x)g_2(x) + f_{1,n-1}(x)g_1(x) = 0,$$

Multiplying Eq. (2.13) on the right side by $f_2(x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f_2(x)g_{n-2}(x)f_2(x) + \cdots + f_{n-2}(x)g_2(x)f_2(x) + f_{1,n-1}(x)g_1(x)f_2(x) \\ &= f_2(x)g_{n-2}(x)f_2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_2(x)g_{n-2}(x) = 0$. Continuing in this manner, we can show that $f_i(x)g_j(x) = 0$ when $i + j = n$ and $f_1(x)g_{1,n-1}(x) = 0, f_{1,n-1}(x)g_1(x) = 0$. Similarly, from Eq. (2.12), it follows that $f_1(x)g_{2n}(x) = 0$ and $f_{2n}(x)g_1(x) = 0$. Now multiplying Eq. (2.11) on the right side by $f_1(x)$, we have

$$0 = f_1(x)g_{1n}(x)f_1(x) + f_2(x)g_{2n}(x)f_1(x) + f_3(x)g_{n-2}(x)f_1(x) + \cdots + f_{n-2}(x)g_3(x)f_1(x) + f_{1,n-1}(x)g_2(x)f_1(x) + f_{1n}(x)g_1(x)f_1(x) = f_1(x)g_{1n}(x)f_1(x).$$
 Thus $f_1(x)g_{1n}(x) = 0$. Hence

$$(2.14) \quad f_2(x)g_{2n}(x) + f_3(x)g_{n-2}(x) + \cdots + f_{1,n-1}(x)g_2(x) + f_{1n}(x)g_1(x) = 0,$$

If we multiply Eq. (2.14) on the right side by $f_2(x)$, then $0 = f_2(x)g_{2n}(x)f_2(x) + f_3(x)g_{n-2}(x)f_2(x) + \cdots + f_{1,n-1}(x)g_2(x)f_2(x) + f_{1n}(x)g_1(x)f_2(x) = f_2(x)g_{2n}(x)f_2(x)$. Thus $f_2(x)g_{2n}(x) = 0$. Continuing in this manner, we can show that $f_i(x)g_j(x) = 0$

when $i + j = n + 1$, $f_{1,n-1}(x)g_2(x) = 0$ and $f_{1n}(x)g_1(x) = 0$. Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \begin{pmatrix} a_1^i & a_2^i & a_3^i & \cdots & a_{n-2}^i & a_{1,n-1}^i & a_{1n}^i \\ 0 & a_1^i & a_2^i & \cdots & a_{n-3}^i & a_{n-2}^i & a_{2n}^i \\ 0 & 0 & a_1^i & \cdots & a_{n-4}^i & a_{n-3}^i & a_{n-2}^i \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_1^i & a_2^i & a_3^i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1^i & a_2^i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & a_1^i \end{pmatrix} x^i,$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m \begin{pmatrix} b_1^j & b_2^j & b_3^j & \cdots & b_{n-2}^j & b_{1,n-1}^j & b_{1n}^j \\ 0 & b_1^j & b_2^j & \cdots & b_{n-3}^j & b_{n-2}^j & b_{2n}^j \\ 0 & 0 & b_1^j & \cdots & b_{n-4}^j & b_{n-3}^j & b_{n-2}^j \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_1^j & b_2^j & b_3^j \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_1^j & b_2^j \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & b_1^j \end{pmatrix} x^j$$

and $h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^r \begin{pmatrix} c_1^k & c_2^k & c_3^k & \cdots & c_{n-2}^k & c_{1,n-1}^k & c_{1n}^k \\ 0 & c_1^k & c_2^k & \cdots & c_{n-3}^k & c_{n-2}^k & c_{2n}^k \\ 0 & 0 & c_1^k & \cdots & c_{n-4}^k & c_{n-3}^k & c_{n-2}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & c_1^k & c_2^k & c_3^k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & c_1^k & c_2^k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & c_1^k \end{pmatrix} x^k \in V_n(R)[x],$

where $f_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_1^i x^i$, $f_2(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_2^i x^i$, \dots , $f_{n-2}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{n-2}^i x^i$, $f_{1,n-1}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{1,n-1}^i x^i$, $f_{1n}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{1n}^i x^i$, $f_{2n}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{2n}^i x^i$, $g_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_1^j x^j$, $g_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_2^j x^j$, \dots , $g_{n-2}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_{n-2}^j x^j$, $g_{1,n-1}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_{1,n-1}^j x^j$, $g_{1n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_{1n}^j x^j$, $g_{2n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_{2n}^j x^j$. Since every reduced ring is an Armendariz ring, we obtain that $a_1^i b_1^j = 0$, $a_1^i b_2^j = 0$, $a_2^i b_1^j = 0$, $a_1^i b_3^j = 0$, $a_2^i b_2^j = 0$, $a_3^i b_1^j = 0, \dots, a_1^i b_{n-2}^j = 0$, $a_2^i b_{n-3}^j = 0, \dots, a_{n-2}^i b_1^j = 0$, $a_1^i b_{1,n-1}^j = 0$, $a_2^i b_{n-2}^j = 0, \dots, a_{n-2}^i b_2^j = 0$, $a_{1,n-1}^i b_1^j = 0$, $a_1^i b_{1n}^j = 0$, $a_2^i b_{2n}^j = 0$, $a_3^i b_{n-1}^j = 0, \dots, a_{n-2}^i b_3^j = 0$, $a_{1,n-1}^i b_2^j = 0$, $a_{1n}^i b_1^j = 0$, $a_1^i b_{2n}^j = 0$, $a_2^i b_{n-2}^j = 0, \dots, a_{n-2}^i b_2^j = 0$, $a_{2n}^i b_1^j = 0$ for all i, j by the preceding results. With these facts and the fact that R is α -semicommutative ring, we have $a_1^i c_1^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0$, $a_1^i c_1^k \alpha(b_2^j) = 0$, $a_1^i c_2^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0$, $a_2^i c_1^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0$, $a_1^i c_1^k \alpha(b_3^j) = 0$, $a_1^i c_2^k \alpha(b_2^j) = 0$, $a_2^i c_1^k \alpha(b_2^j) = 0$, $a_1^i c_2^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0$, $a_2^i c_2^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0$, $a_3^i c_1^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0, \dots, a_1^i c_{2n}^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0$, $a_2^i c_{n-2}^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0, \dots, a_{n-2}^i c_2^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0$, $a_{2n}^i c_1^k \alpha(b_1^j) = 0$.

Therefore $V_n(R)$ is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative. □

The next result can be proved by using the technique used in the proof of [3,

Proposition 2.6]. A ring is called *Abelian* if every idempotent is central. Reduced rings are clearly Abelian.

Proposition 2.24. *Let R be a strongly α -semicommutative ring. Then*

- (1) $\alpha(1) = 1$, where 1 is the identity of R , if and only if $\alpha(e) = e$ for any $e^2 = e \in R$.
- (2) If $\alpha(1) = 1$, then R is Abelian.

Let R be an algebra over a commutative ring S . Recall that the Dorroh extension of R by S is the ring $D = R \times S$ with operations $(r_1, s_1) + (r_2, s_2) = (r_1 + r_2, s_1 + s_2)$ and $(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1r_2 + s_1r_2 + s_2r_1, s_1s_2)$, where $r_i \in R$ and $s_i \in S$. For an endomorphism α of R , the S -endomorphism $\bar{\alpha}$ of D defined by $\bar{\alpha}(r, s) = (\alpha(r), s)$ is an S -algebra homomorphism.

Proposition 2.25. *If R is a strongly α -semicommutative ring with $\alpha(1) = 1$ and S is a domain, then the Dorroh extension D of R by S is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.*

Proof. We apply the method in the proof of [3, Proposition 2.8.] Let $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x)), g(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x)) \in D(x)$ with $(f_1(x), f_2(x))(g_1(x), g_2(x)) = 0$. Then $f_1(x)g_1(x) + f_2(x)g_2(x) + g_2(x)f_1(x) = 0$ and $f_2(x)g_2(x) = 0$. Since S is a domain, we have $f_2(x) = 0$ or $g_2(x) = 0$. If $f_2(x) = 0$, then $0 = f_1(x)g_1(x) + f_2(x)g_2(x) + g_2(x)f_1(x) = f_1(x)g_1(x) + g_2(x)f_1(x)$ and so $f_1(x)(g_1(x) + g_2(x)) = 0$. Since R is strongly α -semicommutative with $\alpha(1) = 1$, $0 = f_1(x)t\alpha(g_1(x) + g_2(x)) = f_1(x)t\alpha(g_1(x)) + f_1(x)tg_2(x)$, for all $t \in R$. This yields $(f_1(x), f_2(x))(r, s)\bar{\alpha}(g_1(x), g_1(x)) = (f_1(x)r + sf_1(x))\alpha(g_1(x)) + (f_1(x)r + sf_1(x)g_2(x), 0) = 0$ for any $(r, s) \in D$, and hence $(f_1(x), f_2(x))D\bar{\alpha}(g_1(x), g_2(x)) = 0$. Now let $g_2(x) = 0$. Then $(f_1(x) + f_2(x))g_1(x) = 0$, and so $0 = (f_1(x) + f_2(x))R\alpha(g_1(x)) = 0$. We similarly obtain $(f_1(x), f_2(x))D\bar{\alpha}(g_1(x), g_2(x)) = 0$, and thus the Dorroh extension D is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative. \square

Corollary 2.26. ([17, Proposition 3.17(2)]) *Let R be an algebra over a commutative domain S , and D be the Dorroh extension of R by S . Then R is strongly semicommutative if and only if D is strongly semicommutative.*

Note that the condition $\alpha(1) = 1$ in Proposition 2.25 cannot be dropped by the next example.

Example 2.27. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, and let $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ defined by $\alpha((a, b)) = (0, b)$. Consider the Dorroh extension D of R by the ring of integers \mathbb{Z}_2 . We clearly have $((1, 0), 0)((1, 0), -1) = 0$, but $((1, 0), 0)((1, 0), 0)\bar{\alpha}((1, 0), -1) = ((1, 0), -1) \neq 0$ in D . Thus D is not strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.

For an ideal I of R , if $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$, then $\bar{\alpha} : R/I \rightarrow R/I$ defined by $\bar{\alpha}(a + I) = \alpha(a) + I$ is an endomorphism of the factor ring R/I .

There exists a non-identity automorphism α of a ring R such that R/I is strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative and I is strongly α -semicommutative for any nonzero proper ideal I of R , but R is not strongly α -semicommutative by the next example.

Example 2.28. Let F be a field. Consider the ring $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ and an endomorphism α of R defined by $\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$. Then R is not strongly α -semicommutative. In fact, for $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in R$, we have $AB = 0$, but $0 \neq A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha(B) \in AR\alpha(B)$. Note that for the only nonzero proper ideals of R

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}, K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

it can be easily checked that they are strongly α -semicommutative. Since $R/I \cong F$ and $R/J \cong F, R/I$ and R/J are also strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative. Finally, the factor ring R/K is reduced and $\bar{\alpha}$ is an identity map on R/K . Thus, R/K is also strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative.

Proposition 2.29. *Let R be a ring with an endomorphism α , and I an ideal of R with $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$. Suppose that R/I is a strongly $\bar{\alpha}$ -semicommutative ring. If I is α -rigid as a ring without identity, then R is strongly α -semicommutative.*

Proof. Let $f(x)g(x) = 0$ with $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$. Then we have $f(x)R\alpha(g(x)) \subseteq I[x]$ and $\alpha(g(x))I\alpha(f(x)) = 0$, since $\alpha(g(x))I\alpha(f(x)) \subseteq I[x], (\alpha(g(x))I\alpha(f(x)))^2 = 0$ and $I[x]$ is reduced. Thus, $(f(x)R\alpha(g(x))I)^2 = f(x)R\alpha(g(x))I\alpha(f(x))R\alpha(g(x))I = 0$ and so $f(x)R\alpha(g(x))I = 0$, thus $f(x)R\alpha(g(x))\alpha(f(x)R\alpha(g(x))) \subseteq f(x)R\alpha(g(x))I = 0$ since $f(x)R\alpha(g(x)) \subseteq I[x]$ and $\alpha(I) \subseteq I$. Then $f(x)R\alpha(g(x)) = 0$ as I is α -rigid. Therefore, R is strongly α -semicommutative. \square

Theorem 2.30. *Let α be an endomorphism of a ring R . Then R is strongly α -semicommutative if and only if $R[x]$ is strongly α -semicommutative.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) The converse is obvious since R is a subring of $R[x]$.

(\Rightarrow) Assume that R is strongly α -semicommutative. Let $f(y), g(y) \in R[x][y]$ such that $f(y)g(y) = 0$. Let

$$f(y) = f_0 + f_1y + \dots + f_my^m, g(y) = g_0 + g_1y + \dots + g_ny^n,$$

and

$$h(y) = h_0 + h_1y + \dots + h_ry^r \in R[x][y].$$

We also let $f_i = a_{i_0} + a_{i_1}x + \dots + a_{i_w}x^{i_w}, g_j = b_{j_0} + b_{j_1}x + \dots + b_{j_v}x^{j_v}, h_k = c_{k_0} + c_{k_1}x + \dots + c_{k_u}x^{k_u} \in R[x]$ for each $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$ and $0 \leq k \leq r$, where $a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_w}, b_{j_0}, b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_v}, c_{k_0}, c_{k_1}, \dots, c_{k_u} \in R$. We claim that $p(y)R[x]q(y) = 0$. Take a positive integer k such that $k \geq \max\{deg(f_i), deg(g_j), deg(h_k)\}$, for any $0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n, 0 \leq k \leq r$, where the degree is as polynomials in $R[x]$ and the degree of the zero polynomial is taken to be 0. Let $f(x^s) = f_0 + f_1x^s + \dots + f_nx^{ms}, g(x^s) = g_0 + g_1x^s + \dots + g_nx^{ns}, h(x^s) =$

$h_0 + h_1x^s + \dots + h_r x^{rs} \in R[x]$. Then the set of coefficients of the f_i 's, g_j 's (respectively, h_k 's) is equal to the set of coefficients of $f(x^s), g(x^s)$ (respectively, $h(x^s)$). Since $f(y)g(y) = 0$, x commutes with elements of R in the polynomial ring $R[x]$, we have $f(x^s)g(x^s) = 0$, in $R[x]$. Since R is strongly α -semicommutative, we have $f(x^s)R\alpha(g(x^s)) = 0$. Hence $f(y)R[x]\alpha(g(y)) = 0$, therefore $R[x]$ is strongly α -semicommutative. \square

Corollary 2.31. *Let R be a ring. Then R is strongly semicommutative if and only if $R[x]$ is strongly semicommutative.*

Corollary 2.32. *Let α be an endomorphism of a ring R . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is strongly α -semicommutative.
- (2) $R[x]$ is strongly α -semicommutative.
- (3) $R[x; x^{-1}]$ is strongly α -semicommutative.

Let $A(R, \alpha)$ or A be the subset $\{x^{-i}a_i x^i \mid a \in R, i \geq 0\}$ of the skew Laurent polynomial ring $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$, where $\alpha : R \rightarrow R$ is an injective ring endomorphism of a ring R (see [9] for more details). Elements of $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$ are finite sums of elements of the form $x^{-i}b_j x^j$, where $b \in R$ and i, j are non-negative integers. Multiplication is subject to $xa = \alpha(a)x$ and $ax^{-1} = x^{-1}\alpha(a)$ for all $a \in R$. Note that for each $j \geq 0$, $x^{-i}a_i x^i = x^{-(i+j)}\alpha^j(a_i)x^{(i+j)}$. It follows that the set $A(R, \alpha)$ of all such elements forms a subring of $R[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]$ with

$$x^{-i}a_i x^i + x^{-j}b_j x^j = x^{-(i+j)}(\alpha^j(a_i) + \alpha^i(b_j))x^{(i+j)}$$

$$(x^{-i}a_i x^i)(x^{-j}b_j x^j) = x^{-(i+j)}(\alpha^j(a_i)\alpha^i(b_j))x^{(i+j)}$$

for $a, b \in R$ and $i, j \geq 0$. Note that α is actually an automorphism of $A(R, \alpha)$. Let $A(R, \alpha)$ be the ring defined above. Then for the endomorphism α in $A(R, \alpha)$, the map $A(R, \alpha)[t] \rightarrow A(R, \alpha)[t]$ defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^m (x^{-i}a_i x^i)t^i \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^m (x^{-i}\alpha(a_i)x^i)t^i$$

is an endomorphism of the polynomial ring $A(R, \alpha)[t]$.

Proposition 2.33. *Let $A(R, \alpha)$ be an Armendariz ring. If R is α -semicommutative, then $A(R, \alpha)$ is strongly α -semicommutative.*

Proof. Let $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^m (x^{-i}a_i x^i)t^i, g(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n (x^{-j}b_j x^j)t^j \in A(R, \alpha)[t]$ with $f(t)g(t) = 0$. Since $A(R, \alpha)$ is Armendariz, we have $(x^{-i}a_i x^i)(x^{-j}b_j x^j) = 0$, and so $x^{-(i+j)}(\alpha^j(a_i)\alpha^i(b_j))x^{(i+j)} = 0$. This implies that $\alpha^j(a_i)\alpha^i(b_j) = 0$,

and so $\alpha^{j+k}(a_i)\alpha^{i+k}(b_j) = 0$. Hence $\alpha^{j+k}(a_i)R\alpha^{i+k+1}(b_j) = 0$. Since R is α -semicommutative, for any $h(t) = \sum_{k=0}^p (x^{-k}c_kx^k)t^k \in A(R, \alpha)[t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t)h(t)g(t) &= (\sum_{i=0}^m (x^{-i}a_ix^i)t^i)(\sum_{k=0}^p (x^{-k}c_kx^k)t^k)\alpha(\sum_{j=0}^n (x^{-j}b_jx^j)t^j) \\ &= (\sum_{i+k=0}^{m+p} (x^{-i}a_ix^i)(x^{-k}c_kx^k)t^{i+k})(\sum_{j=0}^n (x^{-j}\alpha(b_j)x^j)t^j) \\ &= (\sum_{i+k=0}^{m+p} (x^{-(i+k)}(\alpha^k(a_i)\alpha^i(c_k))x^{i+k})t^{i+k})(\sum_{j=0}^n (x^{-j}\alpha(b_j)x^j)t^j) \\ &= (\sum_{i+j+k=0}^{m+n+p} (x^{-(i+k)}(\alpha^k(a_i)\alpha^i(c_k))x^{i+k})(x^{-j}\alpha(b_j)x^j)t^{i+j+k}) \\ &= (\sum_{i+j+k=0}^{m+n+p} (x^{-(i+j+k)}(\alpha^j(\alpha^k(a_i)\alpha^i(c_k))\alpha^{i+k})(\alpha(b_j))(x^{i+j+k})t^{i+j+k}) \\ &= (\sum_{i+j+k=0}^{m+n+p} (x^{-(i+j+k)}(\alpha^{k+j}(a_i)\alpha^{i+j}(c_k)\alpha^{i+k+1}(b_j))(x^{i+j+k})t^{i+j+k}). \end{aligned}$$

As $(\alpha^{k+j}(a_i)\alpha^{i+j}(c_k)\alpha^{i+k+1}(b_j) = 0$, $f(t)h(t)\alpha(g(t)) = 0$. So $A(R, \alpha)$ is strongly α -semicommutative. \square

Corollary 2.34. *Let $A(R, \alpha)$ be an Armendariz ring. If R is semicommutative, then $A(R, \alpha)$ is strongly semicommutative.*

References

- [1] M. Baser, A. Harmanci, T. K. Kwak, *Generalized semicommutative rings and their extensions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **45(2)**(2008), 285–297.
- [2] M. Baser, C. Y. Hong and T. K. Kwak, *On extended reversible rings*, Algebra Colloq., **16(1)**(2009), 37–48.
- [3] M. Baser and T. K. Kwak, *Extended semicommutative rings*, Algebra Colloq., **17(2)**(2010), 257–264.
- [4] P. M. Cohn, *Reversible rings*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **31**(1999), 641–648.
- [5] Y. Gang and D. Juan, *Rings over which polynomial rings are semicommutative*, Vietnam J. Math., **37(4)**(2009), 527–535.
- [6] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim, and T. K. Kwak, *Ore extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **151(3)**(2000), 215–226.
- [7] C. Y. Hong, T.K. Kwak, S. T. Rizvi, *Extensions of generalized Armendariz rings*, Algebra Colloq., **13(2)**(2006) 253–266.
- [8] C. Huh, Y. Lee, A. Smoktunowicz, *Armendariz rings and semicommutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, **30(2)**(2002), 751–761.
- [9] D. A. Jordan, *Bijective extensions of injective ring endomorphisms*, J. London Math. Soc., **35(2)**(1982), 435–448.
- [10] N. K. Kim and Y. Lee, *Extensions of reversible rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **185**(2003), 207–223.
- [11] J. Krempa, *Some examples of reduced rings*, Algebra Colloq., **3(4)**(1996), 289–300.
- [12] T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee and S. J. Yun, *The Armendariz property on ideals*, J. Algebra, **354**(2012), 121–135.

- [13] Z. K. Liu, *Semicommutative Subrings of Matrix Rings*, J. Math. Res. Exposition, **26(2)**(2006), 264–268.
- [14] Z. K. Liu and R. Y. Zhao, *On Weak Armendariz Rings*, Comm. Algebra, **34**(2006), 2607–2616.
- [15] P. Patricio, R. Puystjens, *About the von Neumann regularity of triangular block matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., **332/334**(2001), 485–502.
- [16] H. Pourtaherian and I. S. Rakhimov, *On skew version of reversible rings*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **73(3)**(2011), 267–280.
- [17] M. B. Rege, S. Chhawchharia, *Armendariz rings*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., **73**(1997), 14–17.
- [18] G. Y. Shin, *Prime ideals and sheaf representation of a pseudo symmetric ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **184**(1973), 43–60.
- [19] G. Yang, *Semicommutative and reduced rings*, Vietnam J. Math., **35(3)**(2007), 309–315.
- [20] G. Yang, Z. K. Liu, *On strongly reversible rings*, Taiwanese J. Math., **12(1)**(2008), 129–136.