Imidazole Antifungal Drugs Inhibit the Cell Proliferation and Invasion of Human Breast Cancer Cells

Sung Hun Bae¹, Ju Ho Park¹, Hyeon Gyeom Choi², Hyesook Kim³ and So Hee Kim¹*  

¹College of Pharmacy and Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Ajou University, Suwon 16499,  
²Department of Systems Biotechnology, Konkuk Institute of Technology (KIT), Konkuk University, Seoul 05029, Republic of Korea  
³Detroit R&D Inc, Detroit, MI 48105, USA

Abstract
Breast cancer is currently the most prevalent cancer in women, and its incidence increases every year. Azole antifungal drugs were recently found to have antitumor efficacy in several cancer types. They contain an imidazole (clotrimazole and ketoconazole) or a triazole (fluconazole and itraconazole) ring. Using human breast adenocarcinoma cells (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231), we evaluated the effects of azole drugs on cell proliferation, apoptosis, cell cycle, migration, and invasion, and investigated the underlying mechanisms. Clotrimazole and ketoconazole inhibited the proliferation of both cell lines while fluconazole and itraconazole did not. In addition, clotrimazole and ketoconazole inhibited the motility of MDA-MB-231 cells and induced G1-phase arrest in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, as determined by cell cycle analysis and immunoblot data. Moreover, Transwell invasion and gelatin zymography assays revealed that clotrimazole and ketoconazole suppressed invasiveness through the inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase 9 in MDA-MB-231 cells, although no significant changes in invasiveness were observed in MCF-7 cells. There were no significant changes in any of the observed parameters with fluconazole or itraconazole treatment in either breast cancer cell line. Taken together, imidazole antifungal drugs showed strong antitumor activity in breast cancer cells through induction of apoptosis and G1 arrest in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells and suppression of invasiveness via matrix metalloproteinase 9 inhibition in MDA-MB-231 cells. Imidazole drugs have well-established pharmacokinetic profiles and known toxicity, which can make these generic drugs strong candidates for repositioning as antitumor therapies.
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INTRODUCTION
Breast cancer is the second most prevalent cancer worldwide. It accounts for 25% of all cancers in women (Bray et al., 2013) and is the primary cause of cancer death among women (Ferlay et al., 2013). In recent years, breast cancer incidence has risen sharply, particularly in advanced countries where the rate of breast cancer diagnoses is greater than that in developing ones. One of the main characteristics of breast cancer cells is their ability to invade nearby tissue. These mobile cancer cells can reach lymph nodes and from there, adhere to other organs such as bones, the liver, or the lungs, leading to metastases that are the primary cause of breast cancer-related death (Steeg, 2006). Therefore, it is critical to detect and treat breast cancer early, before metastases can develop.

Azole compounds are typically used as antifungal drugs. They inhibit the ergosterol biosynthesis pathway through suppression of the enzyme lanosterol 14α-demethylase, a cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme; the consequent lack of ergosterol causes membrane abnormalities (Tripathi, 2013). Structurally, azole antifungal drugs consist of an imidazole [clotrimazole (CTZ) and ketoconazole (KCZ)] or a triazole [fluconazole (FCZ) and itraconazole (ICZ)] ring (Fig. 1). Triazole compounds are less toxic and produce fewer side effects than imidazole compounds since triazole compounds have a lower affinity for CYP and lower inhibitory effects on sterol synthesis (Tripathi, 2013; Tsubamoto et al., 2017). Although azole drugs are primarily used as antifungal agents, recently, some azole drugs have shown antitumor activity. For example, CTZ was reported to inhibit tumor growth and suppress metabolic enzymes in lung carcinoma and co-
ion adenocarcinoma cells (Kadavakollu et al., 2014). Similarly, KCZ was reported to block multiple steps in androgen synthesis through the inhibition of CYP17A1 in castration-resistant prostate cancer patients being treated with dutasteride for benign prostatic hyperplasia (Taplin et al., 2009; Vasaitis et al., 2011). ICZ showed selective inhibitory activity against tumor-associated angiogenesis in non-small cell lung cancer (Aftab et al., 2013). Similarly, CTZ, KCZ, FCZ, ICZ, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), 3-(4,5-di-Clotrimazole (CTZ)
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Fig. 1. Structures of imidazole [clothinazole (CTZ) and ketoconazole (KCZ)] and triazole [fluconazole (FCZ) and itraconazole (ICZ)] compounds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

CTZ, KCZ, FCZ, ICZ, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), 3-(4,5-di-methylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), mitomycin C, Matrigel, and collagen were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Polycarbonate membrane insert (pore size 8 μm) was purchased from Corning (Middleland, MI, USA). Gelatin was obtained from Wako (Tokyo, Japan). The primary antibodies against p53, p27, p21, poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP), cyclin dependent kinase (CDK) 2, CDK4, cleaved caspase3, Bcl2, and Bax were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA). Cyclin D1, cyclin E1, and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Secondary rabbit and mouse antibodies were purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA, USA). All other materials were of reagent grade and used without further purification.

Cell lines

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 human breast adenocarcinoma cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Both cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 (with L-glutamine and sodium bicarbonate), supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% (v/v) penicillin and streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 atmosphere (Tran et al., 2017).

Cell proliferation assay

To evaluate the effect ofazole drugs on cell proliferation, cells were plated into a 96-well plate at the appropriate density (5–10×10^3 cells/100 μL medium), as determined based on growth characteristics. After overnight incubation, the cells were treated with various concentrations (1, 8, 16, 20, 32, 50, 64, 100, and 128 μM) of each drug, and left to incubate for 72 h. Thereafter, the medium was removed and 100 μL diluted MTT (0.5% MTT stock diluted 1:10 with medium) was added to each well for 2 h at 37°C. Subsequently, the content of each well was diluted with 100 μL DMSO to solubilize the purple formazan crystals. The optical density (OD) was measured at 540 nm with a Biotek enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reader (Fisher Scientific, Norcross, GA, USA) (Tran et al., 2017).

Wound healing assay

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded into 6-well plates to create a confluent monolayer. After overnight incubation, mitomycin C was treated with the final concentration of 25 μg/mL, and the cells were left for 30 min at 37°C. The medium was then suctioned and a straight line was scratched in the middle of the cell monolayer with a pipette tip. To remove cellular debris, the cells were washed with 1 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS), placed in 2 mL of medium and then treated with 100 μM of CTZ, KCZ, FCZ, or ICZ. After 8-h incubation, the widths of the scratched line were measured and compared to that at 0 h (Mander et al., 2018).

Apoptosis assay

In order to evaluate whether theazole compounds induce apoptosis in breast cancer cells, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded into 6-well plates. After overnight incubation, the cells were treated with 50 μM of each azole antifungal drug for 24 h. Apoptosis was measured using an annexin V-FITC/PI kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Briefly, control and azole antifungal drug-treated breast cancer cells were trypsinized, collected via centrifugation and washed once with PBS. Cells were then re-colored with 5 μL annexin V-FITC and 10 μL propidium iodide (PI) for 15 min at room temperature, analyzed using a FACS Calibur flow cytometer, and identified using Cell Quest software (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) (Zhao et al., 2018).

Flow cytometry

In order to assess cell cycle progression, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were plated onto 60 mm dishes. After incubation overnight, cells were treated with 50 μM of CTZ, KCZ, FCZ, or ICZ for 24 h. Cells were then trypsinized and centrifuged at 500×g for 4°C for 5 min, and fixed with 70% ethanol at 4°C, followed by the addition of 1 mL PI solution (final concentration, 50 μg/mL) containing 200 μg/mL RNase A, for 30 min in the dark (Fujita et al., 2016). Flow cytometry was then performed using FACS Calibur and analyzed using Cell Quest
software (Becton-Dickinson). Red fluorescence, measured at 585/542 nm, indicative of PI uptake by damaged cells, was measured using logarithmic amplification and electronic compensation for spectral overlap (Lim et al., 2015).

**Protein extraction and immunoblot analysis**

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded in 60 mm dishes. After incubation overnight, the cells were treated with 50 μM of CTZ, KCZ, FCZ, or ICZ for 24 h, washed with cold PBS twice, and supplemented with 200 μL lysis buffer containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) in a cold room (4°C) for 30 min. Cell lysates were then at 4°C and 12,000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatant was collected and the protein concentration was measured using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay. For immunoblot analysis, protein samples (20–40 μg of protein per lane) were resolved via sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) on a gel (10%) and transferred to nitrocellulose for 1 h. For immunodetection, blots were incubated overnight with the appropriate diluted primary antibody (1:1,000) in 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and tris-buffered saline (TBS) with 0.1% tween 20 (TBS-T) at 4°C with gentle shaking, followed by incubation with a secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase for 1 h at room temperature. Protein expression was detected via enhanced chemiluminescence (Detroit R & D, Detroit, MI, USA) using an ImageQuant LAS-4000 mini (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). The band density was quantified using ImageJ 1.45s software. GAPDH was used as an internal standard (Zhao et al., 2018).

**Cell invasion assay**

The outer membrane of a polycarbonate membrane insert (pore size 8 μm) was coated with 0.5 mg/mL collagen and the insert with 10 μL Matrigel diluted with 20 μL PBS. MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded in the insert (7×10^4 cells/insert) and treated with 50 μM of CTZ, KCZ, FCZ, or ICZ in RPMI 1640 medium without FBS. After incubation for 48 h at 37°C, the inserts were stained with 500 μL cell stain solution for 20 min and washed several times with distilled water. The inserts were then air-dried and dissolved in 200 μL methanol, and 100 μL dye/solute mixture was transferred to a 96-well plate. The invasion ability of the cells was detected by measuring the absorbance at 540 nm using a Biotek ELISA reader (Fisher Scientific) (Mander et al., 2018).

**Gelatin zymography assay**

MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded when they were approximately 80% confluent in 60-mm dishes and incubated overnight at 37°C. The cells were washed with PBS to remove the FBS. Cells were then incubated in serum-free medium with 50 μM of CTZ, KCZ, FCZ, or ICZ at 37°C for 48 h. To analyze matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) activity such as MMP2 and MMP9, the medium was harvested, concentrated using a speed vac (Eppendorf, Hicksville, NY, USA), and the protein concentration was measured via BCA assay. Protein (100 μg) was loaded in 10% SDS-PAGE containing 0.1%
gelatin. The gel was washed twice alternately with renaturing buffer (2.5% Triton X-100, v/v) and distilled water and then incubated with developing buffer (50 mM Tris-Base, 150 mM NaCl, 1 μM ZnCl₂, 0.02% NaN₃, 5 mM CaCl₂·2H₂O, pH 7.5) at 37°C for 48 h. The gel was stained with 0.5% Coomassie blue for 1 h and destained with destaining buffer (5% acetic acid in 10% methanol, v/v). The band density was measured using ImageJ 1.45s software (National Institutes of Health [NIH], Bethesda, MD, USA) (Mander et al., 2018).

**Xenograft tumor tissue fractionation**

Female nude mice (6 weeks old; BALB/c-nu (nu/nu)) were purchased from Orientbio (Seongnam, Korea) and maintained in clean conditions. This study was conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Experimental Animals, with the approval from the Laboratory Animal Research Center of Ajou University Medical Center, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC No. 2015-0026). MDA-MB-231 cells were subcutaneously injected into the mice (2×10⁶ in 200 μL) and tumors were allowed to develop for 30 days until they reached 40 mm³, at which point treatment was initiated. Mice were randomly divided into 5 groups [control (CON), CTZ, KCZ, FCZ, ICZ, each n=5-8]. The mice in each azole drug group (100 mg/kg of body weight) were injected with 0.2 mL of dimethylsulfoxide (CON) and 50 μM of imidazole [clotrimazole (CTZ) or ketoconazole (K CZ)] or triazole (fluconazole (FCZ) or itraconazole (ICZ)) compounds for 24 h. Apoptosis was measured using an annexin V-FITC/PI kit. Histograms of MCF-7 (A) and MDA-MB-231 (B) cells were obtained from FACS Calibur flow cytometer. Bar graphs were obtained by analyzing apoptotic cells of MCF-7 (C) and MDA-MB-231 (D) cells using Cell Quest software (Becton-Dickinson). This experiment was performed three times and data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n=3). ***p<0.001.
the drug intraperitoneally every other day. The control group was treated with an equal volume of vehicle. After transplantation, the tumor size was measured using calipers, and the tumor volume was estimated according to the following formula: tumor volume (mm$^3$) = L x W$^2$/2, where L is the length and W is the width (Li et al., 2017). Tumor-bearing mice were killed after 60 days. Xenograft tumors were harvested and homogenized with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8, 0.1% SDS, 10% glycerol, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM NaF, and 1 mM Na$_3$VO$_4$). The total homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and used for immunoblot analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 5 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). Data were compared using unpaired t-test between two groups or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test among more than three groups. $p$ values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant (Cook et al., 2012).

**RESULTS**

**Effects on cell proliferation**

The anti-proliferative effects ofazole compounds on human breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells are shown in Fig. 2. The imidazole compounds CTZ and KCZ exhibited a greater anti-proliferative activity against MCF-7 (Fig. 2A, 2B) and MDA-MB-231 (Fig. 2D, 2E) cells than the triazole compounds, FCZ and ICZ (Fig. 2C, 2F); this effect was concentration-dependent. The concentrations of CTZ and KCZ that inhibited cell growth by 50% (IC$_{50}$) were 21.0 and 23.1 μM, respectively, for MCF-7 cells and 23.1 and 41.8 μM, respectively, for MDA-MB-231 cells. However, the anti-proliferative effect of FCZ was almost negligible in both cell lines while ICZ inhibited the proliferation of MDA-MB-231 cells by 50% at 50 μM (Fig. 2F) and maintained this inhibition level at higher concentrations. IC$_{50}$ values were not measured for both FCZ and ICZ in both breast cancer cells.

**Wound healing assay**

Next, we examined the effect ofazole compounds on the motility of cancer cells via a wound healing assay. There were no significant differences in MCF-7 cells after treatment with
either imidazole or triazole compounds, except for KCZ (Fig. 3). The wound healing rate of untreated (CON) and KCZ-treated cells after 8 h was 17.6% and 5.3%, respectively. In contrast, the wound healing rate of MDA-MB-231 cells was significantly reduced by treatment with CTZ and KCZ. The wound healing rate of CON-, CTZ-, and KCZ-treated cells after 8 h was 44.2%, 13.6%, and 21.8%, respectively, while that of FCZ- and ICZ-treated cells after 8 h was 29.8% and 27.1%, respectively (Fig. 3).

**Apoptosis assay**

To examine whether azole antifungal drugs induced apoptosis in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, cells were treated with each drug at a concentration of 50 μM for 24 h. As shown in Fig. 4, the imidazole drugs (CTZ and KCZ) significantly increased apoptosis in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, while the triazole drugs only increased apoptosis in MCF-7 cells.

**Flow cytometry**

We performed flow cytometry to assess the effect of antifungal agents on cell cycle progression in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 5). CTZ and KCZ induced G0 phase arrest in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 5). In MCF-7 cells, the proportion of G0 phase cells significantly increased after CTZ and KCZ treatment by 25.0% and 20.1%, respectively. In MDA-MB-231 cells, the proportion of G0 phase cells also significantly increased after treatment with CTZ and KCZ by 36.3% and 23.7%, respectively, and the proportion of S and G2/M phase cells significantly decreased. Treatment with FCZ and ICZ did not alter the proportion of cells in each phase in either breast cancer cell line.

**Immunoblot analysis**

Next, we evaluated protein expression using immunoblot analysis. Treatment with CTZ induced PARP cleavage in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, while KCZ induced PARP cleavage only in MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 6A). Cleaved caspase-3, another marker of apoptosis, was also detected after CTZ treatment in both breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 6A). The expression of Bcl-2, an antiapoptotic marker, was lower in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells treated with the imidazoles (CTZ and KCZ) than in untreated cells (Fig. 6A). In contrast, all azole compounds increased Bax expression in both breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 6A).

We examined the expression of proteins involved in the G1 phase cell cycle in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells after treatment withazole compounds. The results showed that the imidazole compounds (CTZ and KCZ) increased the protein expression of p53 (a maximal increase of 15-fold) and p27 (a maximal increase of 3.4-fold), in both breast cancer cell lines (Fig. 6B). However, treatment with CTZ increased p21 and p53 levels in MDA-MB-231 cells. The expression of CDK4, CDK2, and cyclin D1 proteins was inhibited by treatment with CTZ and KCZ in MDA-MB-231 cells. Moreover, a similar trend was also observed after the treatment of MCF-7 cells with azole compounds except cyclin D1 (Fig. 6B), where cyclin D1 level was considerably reduced by treatment with CTZ. There were no significant differences between triazole- and imidazole-treated both breast cancer cell lines in the expression of cyclin E1 (Fig. 6B).

In the mouse xenograft model, CTZ, KCZ, and ICZ inhibited tumor growth while FCZ did not (data not shown). CTZ, KCZ, and ICZ increased the expression of cleaved PARP in tissues derived from the xenograft tumor. In addition, p21 and p27 protein levels increased in xenograft tumor tissues derived from mice treated with imidazole (CTZ and KCZ) (Fig. 6C).

**Effects on cell invasion**

We examined whether azole drugs could inhibit the invasiveness of cancer cells using a Matrigel-coated Boyden chamber. Fig. 7 shows that CTZ and KCZ significantly inhibited the invasiveness by 84.4% and 67.8%, respectively, in MDA-MB-231 cells. FCZ and ICZ did not significantly inhibit the invasiveness of MDA-MB-231 cells. However, there were no significant differences in invasiveness data for MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines after treatment with either imidazole or triazole compounds (data not shown).
Gelatin zymography

MMP9 is known to be related to the degradation of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and plays a critical role in invasion and metastasis of tumors. Therefore, we examined whetherazole compounds could inhibit the secretion of MMP9 from MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Fig. 8 shows that the imidazole compounds (CTZ and KCZ) strongly inhibited the secretion of MMP9 from MDA-MB-231 cells by 74.0% and 59.3%, respectively, while the triazole compounds (FCZ and ICZ) did not. MMP9 was not secreted in MCF-7 cells and MMP2 was not detected in either MCF-7 or MDA-MB-231 cells (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

The traditional approach to the development of new drugs is both time-consuming and expensive and the success rate is very low. Therefore, we examined azole drugs, known as antifungal agents, to determine whether they have antitumor efficacy. This strategy is called drug repositioning, in which new indications are found for existing drugs (Shim and Liu, 2014). The use of established drugs can reduce the time and cost of development studies, and may increase the success rate (Shim and Liu, 2014; Tsubmoto et al., 2017).

In this study, we demonstrated that imidazole antifungal drugs (CTZ and KCZ) inhibited the proliferation of breast cancer cell lines. The triazole drug ICZ also inhibited the proliferation of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells but was not as effective as the imidazole drugs. Other researchers have reported the inhibition of cell viability byazole antifungal agents such as CTZ (Furtado et al., 2012, 2015), KCZ (Forgue-Laffitte et al., 1999), and ICZ (Pantziarka et al., 2015). The inhibition of cell proliferation caused by these agents was dose- and time-dependent. Other azole derivatives, metronidazole and secnidazole, which do not inhibit CYP-dependent enzymes, showed no growth inhibition of cancer cells (Maurice et al., 1992). Interestingly, in our study, FCZ, a CYP2C9 inhibitor, did not inhibit the proliferation of either breast cancer cell line. It has been reported that CTZ inhibits cell viability and cell proliferation by inducing glucose uptake in a dose-dependent manner and by decreasing intracellular ATP levels (Furtado et al., 2015). In this manner, CTZ inhibits the major enzymes involved in glycolysis, such as hexokinase, phosphofructokinase-1, and pyruvate kinase (Furtado et al., 2012, 2015). This is the probable mechanism for CTZ-induced inhibition of proliferation of breast cancer cells, especially of the highly metastatic cells, MDA-MB-231 (Furtado et al., 2012; Marcondes et al., 2015). However, the mechanism of action of CTZ has not been clearly explained.

Cancer cells affect various physiological changes through multiple pathways. Our data showed that the expression of the proteins p53 and p27 and the cleavage of the protein PARP increased in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells after treatment with CTZ and/or KCZ. A previous study reported that DNA damage-induced PARP cleavage increased p53 activity, which caused apoptosis (Ho et al., 1998; Onnishi, 2005). That report indicated that imidazole compounds could induce apoptosis by inducing p53 levels and PARP cleavage in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Furthermore, the expression of CDK4, a cell cycle mitogen, decreased in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells after treatment with CTZ and/or KCZ. Taken together, our data show that imidazole compounds are involved in multiple signaling pathways in breast cancer cells. Similarly, another study reported that imidazole compounds were anti-metabolites, and thus affected the suppression of cell growth and division (Baronyia et al., 2010).

Next, we focused on the invasiveness of breast cancer cells, since cancer cell metastasis is associated with cell migration and invasion (Hulkower and Herber, 2011). Our data showed that imidazole compounds suppressed the migratory and invasive ability of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. CTZ and KCZ inhibited cell motility and invasion by inhibiting the secretion of MMP9 from MDA-MB-231 cells, which are the more aggressive cancer cells. MMP-mediated degradation of ECM is an important process in tumor invasion and metastasis.

![Fig. 7. Cell invasion assay of MDA-MB-231 cells (A). Quantification of the invasion density was measured by destaining the insert in methanol (B). Cells were treated with 50 μM imidazole [clotrimazole (CTZ) or ketoconazole (KCZ)] or triazole [fluconazole (FCZ) or itraconazole (ICZ)] compounds for 48 h. This experiment was performed three times and data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n=3). **p<0.01.](https://doi.org/10.4062/biomolther.2018.042)

![Fig. 8. Gelatin zymography of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) 9. Gelatin zymography of concentrated conditioned medium from MDA-MB-231 cells treated with 50 μM imidazole [clotrimazole (CTZ) or ketoconazole (KCZ)] or triazole [fluconazole (FCZ) or itraconazole (ICZ)] compounds for 48 h (A). Quantification of MMP9 band density was analyzed using ImageJ 1.45s software (NIH) (B). This experiment was performed three times and data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n=3). ***p<0.001.](https://doi.org/10.4062/biomolther.2018.042)
enzymes, have an antitumor effect (Zhang et al., 2010; Gong et al., 2014). Among the four azole antifungal drugs, the imidazole agents showed significantly stronger anticancer activity than the triazole agents. Generally, azole compounds, which inhibit CYP enzymes, have an antitumor effect (Zhang et al., 2011). The four antifungal drugs used in this study are all CYP inhibitors, especially of CYP2C and CYP3A, but the imidazole agents were more effective against the cancer cell lines than the triazole agents. Specifically, FKCZ did not show any antitumor efficacy and ICZ had only a minimal effect on MDA-MB-231 cells. The mechanism of the antitumor effect for each compound is different. CTZ inhibits enzymes involved in the glycolytic process and KCZ inhibits arachidonic acid lipoxigenases and CYP enzymes. While ICZ only minimally inhibited cell proliferation in MDA-MB-231 cells, it has demonstrated antitumorogenic activity (Aftab et al., 2011) and inhibition of Hedgehog signaling in non-small cell lung carcinoma and in a primary non-small cell lung carcinoma xenograft model (Kim et al., 2010). So far, ICZ has been chosen for several clinical trials with cancer patients because triazole drugs are less toxic than imidazole compounds.

Taken together, we demonstrated the antitumor effects of imidazole compounds on cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in human breast cancer cell lines. Even though we did not investigate the specific pathways involved in the antitumor effects caused by imidazole drugs, they have well-established pharmacokinetic profiles and known toxicity, which can make these generic drugs strong candidates for repositioning as antitumor therapies. In future studies, we need to clarify the underlying mechanism of action and structure-activity relationship between imidazole and triazole compounds.
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