EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR THE SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS WITH STRONGLY COUPLED INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

EUN KYOUNG LEE

ABSTRACT. This paper concerned the existence of positive solutions to the second order differential systems with strongly coupled integral boundary value conditions. By using Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, we prove the existence of positive solutions according to the parameters under the proper nonlinear growth conditions.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of the following differential system:

\[\begin{align*}
    u''(t) + \lambda a_1(t)f_1(u(t), v(t)) &= 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\
    v''(t) + \lambda a_2(t)f_2(u(t), v(t)) &= 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\
    u(0) &= 0 = v(0), \\
    u(1) &= \int_0^1 g_1(s)u(s) + g_2(s)v(s)ds, \\
    v(1) &= \int_0^1 g_3(s)u(s) + g_4(s)v(s)ds
\end{align*}\]

where \( a_i \in C((0, 1), [0, \infty)) \), \( f_i \in C([0, \infty)^2, [0, \infty)) \) and \( g_i \in L^1((0, 1), [0, \infty)) \), for \( i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \). We further assume that there exists a closed interval \( J \subset (0, 1) \) with positive measure such that \( a_i(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \in J \) and \( i = 1, 2 \).

Such differential equations with an integral boundary condition arise in various areas of applied mathematics and physics like heat conduction, chemical engineering, underground water flow, thermo-elasticity, hydro dynamic problems and plasma phenomena. One may refer to [1], [3], [4], [5] and [2] for integral boundary value problems and the references therein. Recently, many works have
been done for second order ordinary differential systems with integral boundary conditions ([6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11]), but most of papers considered the differential systems with uncoupled or weakly coupled boundary conditions. For example, in [11], authors considered the following systems with weakly coupled integral boundary conditions,

\[
\begin{aligned}
&-x''(t) = f_1(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
&-y''(t) = f_2(t, x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in (0, 1), \\
&x(0) = 0 = y(0), \\
&x(1) = \int_0^1 y(t) dA(t), \\
&y(1) = \int_0^1 x(t) dB(t)
\end{aligned}
\]  

(2)

They prove the existence of positive solutions for (2) when \( f_i \) satisfy some growth conditions which imply the monotonicity of \( f_i \).

In this paper, the problem (1) has more general strongly coupled integral boundary conditions, which makes the operator \( T_\lambda \) (see Section 2 for definition) complicated and induces substantial difficulties in proving our results. Throughout this paper, we assume the following hypotheses;

\[(H_0) \quad \int_0^1 s(1-s)\alpha_i(s)ds < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, 2.\]

\[(H_1) \quad 0 < f_{i,0} := \lim_{|u|+|v| \to 0} \frac{f_i(u,v)}{u+v} < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, 2.\]

\[(H_2) \quad 0 < f_{i,\infty} := \lim_{|u|+|v| \to \infty} \frac{f_i(u,v)}{u+v} < \infty, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.\]

\[(H_3) \quad 0 < \int_0^1 sg_i(s)ds < 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 4 \text{ and } \]

\[1 - \int_0^1 sg_1(s)ds(1 - \int_0^1 sg_4(s)ds) - (\int_0^1 sg_2(s)ds)(\int_0^1 sg_3(s)ds) > 0\]

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the solution operator to problem (1) and introduce the well-known fixed point theorem which will be used to prove our main result. In Section 3, the main results, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, are proven. In Section 4, as applications, the results for the existence of radial solutions for the semilinear elliptic systems on exterior domain are given.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we set up the operator equation for the problem (1). By (H3), let

\[
A := \begin{pmatrix}
1 - \int_0^1 sg_1(s)ds & -\int_0^1 sg_2(s)ds \\
-\int_0^1 sg_3(s)ds & 1 - \int_0^1 sg_4(s)ds
\end{pmatrix},
\]
then $\det A \neq 0$ and let

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Here, we note that from $(H3)$, $a_{i,j} > 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Let us denote $X := C([0,1], \mathbb{R}) \times C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ where $X$ is the usual Banach space with the norm $\|(u,v)\| = \|u\|_{\infty} + \|v\|_{\infty}$.

We define $A_\lambda$ and $B_\lambda$ from $X$ to $C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ by

$$A_\lambda(u,v)(t) := \lambda \int_0^t H_1(t,s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s),v(s)) + tK_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s),v(s))ds,$$

$$B_\lambda(u,v)(t) := \lambda \int_0^t H_2(t,s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s),v(s)) + tK_2(s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s),v(s))ds$$

where

$$H_1(t,s) = G(t,s) + t \int_0^s G(\tau,s)(a_{11}g_1(\tau) + a_{12}g_3(\tau))d\tau,$$

$$H_2(t,s) = G(t,s) + t \int_0^s G(\tau,s)(a_{21}g_2(\tau) + a_{22}g_4(\tau))d\tau,$$

$$K_1(s) = \int_0^s G(\tau,s)(a_{11}g_2(\tau) + a_{12}g_3(\tau))d\tau,$$

$$K_2(s) = \int_0^s G(\tau,s)(a_{21}g_1(\tau) + a_{22}g_3(\tau))d\tau,$$

and

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\
t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Now we define

$$T_\lambda(u,v)(t) := (A_\lambda(u,v)(t), B_\lambda(u,v)(t)).$$

Then $T_\lambda : X \rightarrow X$ is well defined and notice that the problem (1) is equivalent to the following operator equation;

$$(u,v) = T_\lambda(u,v) \text{ on } X.$$  

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{(u,v) \in X : u(t) \geq 0, v(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,1]\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a cone in $X$. It is clear that $T_\lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $T_\lambda$ is completely continuous on $X$, by standard argument.

We recall $J \subset (0,1)$ is a nondegenerate closed interval such that $a_i(t) > 0$ for all $t \in J$ and $i = 1, 2$. Let $\gamma = \min\{j_*, 1 - j^*\} > 0$ where $j_* = \inf J$ and $j^* = \sup J$. Here we define $\mathcal{K}$ by

$$\mathcal{K} = \{(w_1, w_2) \in \mathcal{P} : \min_j w_i(t) \geq \gamma \|w_i\|_{\infty}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}.$$  

Then $\mathcal{K}$ is cone and we have the following lemma.
Remark 1. It is easy to check that

\[ G(t, s) \leq s(1 - s), \quad t, s \in (0, 1). \quad (3) \]

and

\[ G(t, s) \geq \gamma s(1 - s), \quad t \in J, \quad s \in (0, 1). \quad (4) \]

Lemma 2.1. For a given cone \( \mathcal{P} \) in \( X \), it holds that

\[ T_\lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{K}. \]

Proof. For given \((u, v) \in \mathcal{P}\), from (3), we first find for \( t \in [0, 1]\),

\[ A_\lambda(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 H_1(t, s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s)) + tK_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds \]

\[ \leq \lambda \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s)) + K_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds \]

where

\[ h_1(s) = s(1 - s) + \int_0^1 G(\tau, s)(a_{11}g_1(\tau) + a_{12}g_3(\tau))d\tau. \]

Thus, we obtain

\[ \|A_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty \leq \lambda \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s)) + K_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds \quad (5) \]

Similarly, we have

\[ B_\lambda(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 H_2(s,a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s)) + K_2(s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s))ds \]

where

\[ h_2(s) = s(1 - s) + \int_0^1 G(\tau, s)(a_{21}g_2(\tau) + a_{22}g_4(\tau))d\tau, \]

Then by (4) and (5), we find that for all \( t \in J \),

\[ A_\lambda(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 H_1(t, s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s)) + tK_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds \]

\[ \geq \lambda \gamma \left( \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s)) + K_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds \right) \]

\[ \geq \gamma \|A_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty \]

From the same argument we also have that \( B_\lambda(u, v)(t) \geq \gamma \|B_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty \) for all \( t \in J \) by using (6).

To prove our main result, we use the following fixed point theorem in a cone due to Guo and Lakshmikantham [12].
Theorem 2.2. (Fixed point theorem)
Let \( X \) be a real Banach space, \( \mathcal{K} \) is a cone of \( X \). Assume that \( \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \) are open sets of \( X \) with \( 0 \in \Omega_1 \subseteq \overline{\Omega}_1 \subseteq \Omega_2 \) and let \( T : \mathcal{K} \cap (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \to \mathcal{K} \) be completely continuous and satisfying either

(i) \( \| Tu \| \leq \| u \|, u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_1 \) and \( \| Tu \| \geq \| u \|, u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_2 \)

or

(ii) \( \| Tu \| \geq \| u \|, u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_1 \) and \( \| Tu \| \leq \| u \|, u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_2 \)

Then \( T \) has a fixed point in \( \mathcal{K} \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \).

3. Main Result

In this section, we establish the existence results for positive solutions of (1).

Theorem 3.1. Assume \((H0) \sim (H3)\) and \( \lambda_* < \lambda^* \) when

\[
\lambda^* = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{f_{1,0} \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)ds + f_{2,0} \int_0^1 K_1(s)a_2(s)ds}, \frac{1}{f_{2,0} \int_0^1 h_2(s)a_2(s)ds + f_{1,0} \int_0^1 K_2(s)a_1(s)ds} \right\}
\]

\[
\lambda_* = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma^2(f_{1,\infty} \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)ds + f_{2,\infty} \int_0^1 K_1(s)a_2(s)ds)}, \frac{1}{\gamma^2(f_{2,\infty} \int_0^1 h_2(s)a_2(s)ds + f_{1,\infty} \int_0^1 K_2(s)a_1(s)ds)} \right\}
\]

where

\[
h_1(s) = G(s,s) + \int_0^1 G(\tau,s)(a_{11}g_1(\tau) + a_{12}g_3(\tau))d\tau,
\]

\[
h_2(s) = G(s,s) + t \int_0^1 G(\tau,s)(a_{21}g_2(\tau) + a_{22}g_4(\tau))d\tau.
\]

Then for all \( \lambda \) satisfying

\[
\frac{1}{2} \lambda_* < \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \lambda^*,
\]

there exist at least one positive solution of (1).

Proof. Let \( \lambda \) be given as hypothesis. Choose \( \epsilon > 0 \) as

\[
\lambda < \frac{1}{2((f_{1,0} + \epsilon) \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)ds + (f_{2,0} + \epsilon) \int_0^1 K_1(s)a_2(s)ds)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda < \frac{1}{2((f_{2,0} + \epsilon) \int_0^1 h_2(s)a_2(s)ds + (f_{1,0} + \epsilon) \int_0^1 K_2(s)a_1(s)ds)}
\]
Similarly, we can get

\[
\lambda > \frac{1}{2\gamma^2((f_{1,\infty} - \epsilon) \int J h_1(s)a_1(s)ds + (f_{2,\infty} - \epsilon) \int_0^1 K_1(s)a_2(s)ds)}
\]

and

\[
\lambda > \frac{1}{2\gamma^2((f_{2,\infty} - \epsilon) \int J h_2(s)a_2(s)ds + (f_{1,\infty} - \epsilon) \int_0^1 K_2(s)a_1(s)ds)}
\]

From (H1), there exists \( R_1 \) such that \( f_i(u, v) \leq (f_{i,0} + \epsilon)(u + v) \) when \( 0 < |u| + |v| \leq R_1 \). Define \( \Omega_1 = \{(u, v) \in X||\|(u, v)|| < R_1\} \). Then if \( (u, v) \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_1 \), then \( u(s) + v(s) \leq \|u\|_{\infty} + \|v\|_{\infty} = ||(u, v)|| = R_1 \) for all \( s \in [0, 1] \) and for \( t \in [0, 1] \),

\[
A_\lambda(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 H_1(t, s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s)) + tK_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds
\]

\[
\leq \lambda \int_0^1 H_1(t, s)a_1(s)(f_{1,0} + \epsilon)(u(s) + v(s)) + K_1(s)a_2(s)(f_{2,0} + \epsilon)(u(s) + v(s))ds
\]

\[
\leq \lambda \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)(f_{1,0} + \epsilon) + K_1(s)a_2(s)(f_{2,0} + \epsilon)ds(||u||_{\infty} + ||v||_{\infty})
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{2}||(u, v)||.
\]

Similarly, we can get

\[
B_\lambda(u, v)(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}||(u, v)|| \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]
\]

Thus \( \|T_\lambda(u, v)\|_{\infty} \leq \|A_\lambda(u, v)\|_{\infty} + \|B_\lambda(u, v)\|_{\infty} \leq \|(u, v)\| \) for \( u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_1 \).

Next, from (H2), there exist \( R_2 > 0 \) such that \( f_i(u, v) \geq (f_{i,\infty} - \epsilon)(u + v) \) when \( |u| + |v| \geq R_2 \). Let \( R_2 = \max\{2R_1, \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \} \) and let \( \Omega_2 = \{(u, v) \in X||\|(u, v)|| < R_2\} \), then \( \overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2 \) and if \( (u, v) \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_2 \), then we know that

\[
\min_{t \in J}(u(t) + v(t)) \geq \gamma(||u||_{\infty} + ||v||_{\infty}) = \gamma R_2 \geq R_2
\]

For \( t \in J \),

\[
A_\lambda(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 H_1(t, s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s)) + tK_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds
\]

\[
\geq \lambda \int J H_1(t, s)a_1(s)f_1(u(s), v(s)) + tK_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds
\]

\[
\geq \lambda \int J H_1(t, s)a_1(s)(f_{1,\infty} - \epsilon)(u(s) + v(s)) + \gamma K_1(s)a_2(s)(f_{2,\infty} - \epsilon)(u(s) + v(s))ds
\]

\[
\geq \lambda \gamma^2 \int J h_1(s)a_1(s)(f_{1,\infty} - \epsilon) + K_1(s)a_2(s)(f_{2,\infty} - \epsilon)ds \|\|(u, v)||
\]

\[
\geq \frac{1}{2}||(u, v)||.
\]
Similarly, we can get
\[ B_\lambda(u, v)(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\| \quad \text{for } t \in J \]
Thus \(\|T_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty = \|A_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty + \|B_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty \geq \|(u, v)\|\) for \(u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_2\).

By Theorem 2.2, \(T_\lambda\) has a fixed point \((u, v) \in \mathcal{K} \cap (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)\).

The following result looks similar with Theorem 3.1, but the proof is different because of the growth conditions.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume \((H0) \sim (H3)\) and \(\underline{\lambda} < \overline{\lambda}\) when

\[
\overline{\lambda} = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{f_{1, \infty} \int_0^1 h_1(s) a_1(s) ds + f_{2, \infty} \int_0^1 K_1(s) a_2(s) ds}, \frac{1}{f_{2, \infty} \int_0^1 h_2(s) a_2(s) ds + f_{1, \infty} \int_0^1 K_2(s) a_1(s) ds} \right\}
\]

\[
\underline{\lambda} = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma^2(f_{1,0} \int_J h_1(s) a_1(s) ds + f_{2,0} \int_0^1 K_1(s) a_2(s) ds)\left( \frac{1}{f_{2,0} \int_J h_2(s) a_2(s) ds + f_{1,0} \int_0^1 K_2(s) a_1(s) ds} \right)}, \frac{1}{\gamma^2(f_{1,0} \int_J h_1(s) a_1(s) ds + f_{2,0} \int_0^1 K_1(s) a_2(s) ds)\left( \frac{1}{f_{2,0} \int_J h_2(s) a_2(s) ds + f_{1,0} \int_0^1 K_2(s) a_1(s) ds} \right)} \right\}
\]

where \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) are the same in the Theorem 3.1. Then for all \(\lambda\) satisfying

\[
\frac{1}{2} \underline{\lambda} < \lambda < \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lambda},
\]

there exist at least one positive solution of \((1)\).

**Proof.** Let \(\lambda\) be given as hypothesis. Choose \(\epsilon > 0\) as

\[
\lambda < \frac{1}{2((f_{1, \infty} + \epsilon) \int_0^1 h_1(s) a_1(s) ds + (f_{2, \infty} + \epsilon) \int_0^1 K_2(s) a_2(s) ds)}
\]

\[
\lambda < \frac{1}{2((f_{2, \infty} + \epsilon) \int_0^1 h_2(s) a_2(s) ds + (f_{1, \infty} + \epsilon) \int_0^1 K_1(s) a_1(s) ds)}
\]

\[
\lambda > \frac{1}{2\gamma^2((f_{1,0} - \epsilon) \int_J h_1(s) a_1(s) ds + (f_{2,0} - \epsilon) \int_0^1 K_2(s) a_2(s) ds)}
\]

\[
\lambda > \frac{1}{2\gamma^2((f_{2,0} - \epsilon) \int_J h_2(s) a_2(s) ds + (f_{1,0} - \epsilon) \int_0^1 K_1(s) a_1(s) ds)}
\]

There exists \(R_1\) such that \(f_i(u, v) \geq (f_{i,0} - \epsilon)(u + v)\) when \(0 < |u| + |v| \leq R_1\).
Define \(\Omega_1 = \{(u, v) \in X | \|(u, v)\| < R_1\}\). Then for \((u, v) \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_1\), by using

\[
\min_{t \in J}(u(t) + v(t)) \geq \gamma(\|u\|_\infty + \|v\|_\infty),
\]
From (7), there exist $\max(\lambda, s, t)$

Similarly, we can get

Thus

Thus $\|T_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty \geq \|A_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty + \|B_\lambda(u, v)\|_\infty \geq \|(u, v)\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$.

Next, if we define the function $\overline{f}_i \in C(\mathbb{R}^2_+, \mathbb{R}^2_+)$ for $i = 1, 2$ by $\overline{f}_i(u, v) = \max(x, y) \in [0, u] \times [0, v] f(x, y)$, then it is easy to check that $f_i(u, v) \leq \overline{f}_i(u, v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$, $\overline{f}_i$ are monotone increasing and

$$\lim_{u+v \to \infty} \frac{\overline{f}_i(u, v)}{u+v} = f_{i, \infty}. \quad (7)$$

From (7), there exist $\overline{R}_2 > 0$ such that $\overline{f}_i(u, v) \leq (f_{i, \infty} + \epsilon)(u + v)$ when $|u| + |v| \geq \overline{R}_2$. Let $R_2 = \max\{2R_1, \overline{R}_2\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{(u, v) \in X \|\|u, v\| < R_2\}$, then $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ and if $(u, v) \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$, then $\|(u, v)\| = \|u\| + \|v\| = R_2 \geq \overline{R}_2$, and for $t \in [0, 1], \quad A_\lambda(u, v)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 H_1(t, s)a_1(s)\int_0^1 f_1(u(s), v(s)) + tK_1(s)a_2(s)f_2(u(s), v(s))ds$

$$\leq \lambda \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)\overline{f}_1(u(s), v(s)) + K_1(s)a_2(s)\overline{f}_2(u(s), v(s))ds$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)\overline{f}_1(\|u\|, \|v\|) + K_1(s)a_2(s)\overline{f}_2(\|u\|, \|v\|)ds$$

$$\leq \lambda \left( \int_0^1 h_1(s)a_1(s)(f_{1, \infty} + \epsilon) + K_1(s)a_2(s)(f_{2, \infty} + \epsilon)ds \right) \|(u, v)\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|(u, v)\|.$$
Thus \( \|T_\lambda(u,v)\|_\infty \leq \|A_\lambda(u,v)\|_\infty + \|B_\lambda(u,v)\|_\infty \leq \|(u,v)\| \) for \( u \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial \Omega_2 \).
By Theorem 2.2, \( T_\lambda \) has a fixed point \((u,v) \in \mathcal{K} \cap (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)\).  

4. Application

In this section, we consider the existence of positive radial solutions to the following integral boundary value system on an exterior domain:

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta u + \lambda k_1(|x|) f_1(u(x), v(x)) = 0, & x \in \Omega_e, \\
\Delta v + \lambda k_2(|x|) f_2(u(x), v(x)) = 0, & x \in \Omega_e, \\
u(x) \to 0, & v(x) \to 0, \quad \text{if } \|x\| \to \infty, \\
u(x) = \int_{\Omega_e} l_1(|y|) u(y) + l_2(|y|) v(y) dy, & \text{if } \|x\| = r_0, \\
v(x) = \int_{\Omega_e} l_3(|y|) u(y) + l_4(|y|) v(y) dy, & \text{if } \|x\| = r_0,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \Omega_e = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \|x\| \geq r_0 \text{ for } r_0 > 0, N \geq 3\} \), \( k_i \in C((r_0, \infty), (0, \infty)) \), \( f_i \in C([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)), [0, \infty)) \), and \( l_i \in L^1((r_0, \infty), [0, \infty)) \). We further assume that there exists an interval \( I \subset (r_0, \infty) \) with positive measure such that \( k_i(r) > 0 \) for all \( r \in I \) and \( i = 1, 2 \).

By the change of variables \( r = |x| \) and \( t = \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{2-N} \), (8) can be transformed into (1) with

\[
a_i(t) = \left( \frac{1}{N-2} \right)^2 r_0^2 t^{-\frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}} k_i \left( r_0 t^{\frac{1}{N-2}} \right),
\]

\[
g_i(t) = w_N \left( \frac{1}{N-2} \right) r_0^{N} t^{-\frac{2(N-1)}{N-2}} l_i \left( r_0 t^{\frac{1}{N-2}} \right),
\]

and \( w_N \) is the surface area of unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^N \). Hence the existence of positive solutions for the system (1) guarantees the existence of positive radial solutions for (8). Thus we consider the following assumptions;

\((H0')\) \( \int_{r_0}^\infty r k_i(r) dr < \infty \) for \( i = 1, 2 \).

\((H3')\) \( 0 < w_N r_0^{N-2} \int_{r_0}^\infty r l_i(r) dr < 1 \) for \( i = 1, 4 \) and

\[
(w_N^{-1} r_0^{-2-N} - \int_{r_0}^\infty r l_1(r) dr)(w_N^{-1} r_0^{-2-N} - \int_{r_0}^\infty r l_4(r) dr)
\]

\[
-\left( \int_{r_0}^\infty r l_2(r) dr \right) \left( \int_{r_0}^\infty r l_3(r) dr \right) > 0
\]

It is easy to check that \((H0')\) and \((H3')\) imply \((H0)\) and \((H3)\). Thus we can apply Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 to obtain the following results.
Corollary 4.1. Assume \((H0'), (H1), (H2),\) and \((H3').\) If \(\lambda_0 < \lambda^*\) when \(\lambda^*\) and \(\lambda_*\) are the ones defined in Theorem 3.1, then the problem \((8)\) has at least one positive radial solution for \(\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_0, \frac{1}{2}\lambda^*\right)\).

Corollary 4.2. Assume \((H0'), (H1), (H2),\) and \((H3').\) If \(\lambda < \lambda^*\) when \(\lambda^*\) and \(\lambda^*\) are the ones defined in Theorem 3.2, then the problem \((8)\) has at least one positive radial solution for \(\lambda \in \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_0, \frac{1}{2}\lambda^*\right)\).
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