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**Purpose:** The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of leg length discrepancy on kinematic changes of the pelvis and hip during gait.

**Methods:** A total of ten healthy women with no history of neurological, musculoskeletal surgery or injuries, or pain in the lower limbs were recruited. They were assigned to two groups; the experimental group (LLD) consisting of five subjects leg length discrepancy of 10mm to 18mm and the control group (CON) consisting of five subjects leg length discrepancy of < 10 mm. All participants were instructed to perform three walking trials for further analysis by using the Cortex 3.0 software program. Independent T-test and Mann-Whitney test were used to examine the effects of mild LLD on kinematic changes of the pelvis and hip during gait.

**Results:** Angles of hip flexion, hip abduction, pelvic obliquity, and pelvic tilt in the experimental group were not significantly different compared to those of the control group.

**Conclusion:** Mild leg length discrepancy induces kinematic changes in the lower limbs, including decreased hip flexion, increased hip abduction, and increased pelvic obliquity in the shorter limb, and increased hip adduction and increased pelvic obliquity in the longer limb. However, those changes were not significant.

**Keywords:** Leg length discrepancy, Motion analysis, Gait

**INTRODUCTION**

Leg length discrepancy (LLD), or leg length inequality, is defined as a condition in which the length of the lower extremities is remarkably unequal. LLD is a problem occurring in up to 70% of the population. Studies have shown that LLD of 2 cm or more affects at least one in every 1,000 people.

LLD can be subdivided into two groups: structural LLD (SLLD) and functional LLD (FLLD). SLLD, also known as anatomic LLD, is defined as structural deformities resulting from differences in true bony leg length. It is an anatomical difference between the two lower extremities measured from the femoral head to the distal tibia and can be congenital or acquired. Congenital LLD can be induced by various abnormal developmental disorders. Causes of acquired LLD include paralysis, tumors, fractures, and surgical procedures, such as joint replacement. FLLD is defined as an asymmetrical leg length caused by altered mechanics of the lower extremities, including muscle tightness or weakness, unilateral foot pronation or supination, knee hyperextension, and hip abduction/adduction tightness/contracture. Significant lower extremity malalignment may result from LLD. Because abnormal biomechanical factors are observed in a number of orthopedic disorders, it is thought that many pathological symptoms might be related to LLD. However, because several clinical factors found in orthopedic disorders can cause asymmetrical functional ability, it would be difficult to isolate and determine the effect of LLD on their function.

There is disagreement regarding the magnitude of LLD warranting intervention. Several studies reported that LLD of 20 mm to 30 mm is the magnitude which may cause altered mechanics of the lower extremities. However, no clinically significant problems with LLD of less than 20 mm were identified. It was also reported that LLD of 5 mm to 9 mm seemed to be closely related to low back
In spite of these, only few studies have provided kinematic data of the lower extremities in subjects with FLLD of 10 mm to 18 mm. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of LLD of ≤ 18 mm (hereafter, mild LLD) on kinematic changes of the pelvis and hip during gait.

**METHOD**

1. **Study subjects**

A total of ten healthy women with no history of neurological, musculoskeletal surgery or injuries, or pain in the lower limbs were recruited. They were assigned to two groups, the experimental group (LLD) consisting of five subjects with leg length discrepancy of 10 mm to 18 mm and the control group (CON) consisting of five subjects leg length discrepancy of < 10 mm. All subjects had the right leg being shorter than the left. Leg length discrepancy was assessed by measuring the distance between the anterior superior iliac spine and the ipsilateral medial malleolus. The purpose and procedures of this study were explained to all subjects, and they provided written informed consent prior to their participation. The typical characteristics of the subjects are as follows (Table 1).

2. **Research procedure**

Three-dimensional motion analysis was performed using six cameras (Motion Analysis Corp., Santa Rosa, California) positioned at strategical locations to sample kinematic data at 60 Hz. Fifteen reflective spherical markers were placed on the left and right anterior superior iliac spines, midthighs, midshanks, lateral femoral epicondyles, lateral malleoli, second metatarsals, clacanei, and the sacrum based on the Helen Hayes marker set. Four additional markers were placed on the medial femoral condyles and the medial malleoli for calibration of the standing position (static data) only.

All participants were instructed to perform at least five walking trials for the practice. Participants walked at a self-selected speed. Then, three trials were recorded for further analysis by using the Cortex 3.0 software program (Motion Analysis Corp., USA).

These data were exported to the OrthoTrack 6.6.4 software (Motion Analysis Corp., USA), and three-dimensional joint angles for the hip, knee, and ankle were determined. Joint angle data were expressed in percentage of gait cycle was defined as the time period from initial contact to the following ipsilateral initial contact.

3. **Statistical analysis**

A regularity test was performed using the Shapiro-Wilk test method and all variables were normally distributed except left hip flexion and pelvic tilt. Independent T-test and Mann-Whitney test were used to examine the effects of mild LLD on kinematic changes of the pelvis and hip during gait. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago), and statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

**RESULTS**

During mid stance phase, angles of hip flexion, hip abduction, pelvic obliquity, and pelvic tilt in LLD were not significantly different compared to those of CON (p > 0.05) (Tables 2, 3). During the whole stance phase, the range of angles of hip flexion, hip abduction, pelvic obliquity, and pelvic tilt in LLD were not significantly different compared to those of CON (p > 0.05).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CON (n=5)</th>
<th>LLD (n=5)</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>CON (n=5)</th>
<th>LLD (n=5)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>21.40±0.89</td>
<td>21.60±0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>166.14±7.29</td>
<td>167.46±7.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>58.37±7.82</td>
<td>57.00±7.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON: control group, LLD: experimental group, Values are reported as the Mean ± SD.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>LLD</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>LLD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hip flexion (mid stance)</td>
<td>5.11±1.86</td>
<td>3.94±1.86</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>5.41±1.20</td>
<td>5.39±2.09</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip adduction (mid stance)</td>
<td>3.74±1.69</td>
<td>3.11±0.50</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>4.30±1.56</td>
<td>5.96±0.66</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip flexion (whole stance)</td>
<td>37.58±1.69</td>
<td>36.52±0.90</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>35.71±1.63</td>
<td>36.19±0.80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip adduction (whole stance)</td>
<td>9.94±0.18</td>
<td>8.09±1.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>11.52±1.08</td>
<td>9.61±0.73</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON: control group, LLD: experimental group, Values are reported as the Mean ± SD.
The present study measured the angles of the hip and pelvis to investigate the effects of mild LLD on lower limb kinematics during mid stance phase. Angles of hip flexion, hip abduction, pelvic obliquity, and pelvic tilt were slightly different between CON and LLD, but differences were not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

It is widely accepted that many different compensatory strategies for LLD can occur in gait. To functionally lengthen the short limb, compensation mechanisms, including decreased hip flexion, increased hip abduction, and increased downward pelvic obliquity, can be used. During mid stance, those changes were observed in the short limb, but they were not significant (p > 0.05). Compensatory mechanisms to shorten the longer limb including increased hip flexion, increased hip adduction, and increased upward pelvic obliquity can occur, but those changes were not significant either (p > 0.05). The results of the present study suggest that mild LLD could not induce significant changes in lower limb kinematics which were associated with the occurrence of injuries in previous studies, demonstrating that there is no effect of LLD on pathological condition or lower limb kinematics. To make those results more obvious, the present study investigated the ranges of angles of hip flexion, hip abduction, pelvic obliquity, and pelvic tilt during stance phase.

The results showed that no significant differences were observed in either the longer or shorter limb during stance phase. There have been several studies showing that mild LLD causes kinematic compensation of the lower limbs leading to gait deviations. Two studies demonstrated that mild LLD induces biomechanical changes in the lower limbs and leads to asymmetrical gait deviations. It was also found that lower limb kinematic compensation associated with asymmetrical gait occurred with mild LLD. The most common strategy to compensate for mild LLD was the induction of pelvic obliquity. However, the present study showed greater differences in angles of hip flexion and abduction than in pelvic obliquity even though the differences were not significant.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that mild LLD induces kinematic changes in the lower limbs, including decreased hip flexion, increased hip abduction, and increased pelvic obliquity in the shorter limb, and increased hip adduction and increased pelvic obliquity in the longer limb. However, those changes were not significant. The results were not in accordance with previous studies which demonstrated that mild LLD can cause significant change in the lower limbs. Because the present study did not identify kinematic gait deviations of the knee, ankle, or foot able to be caused by mild LLD, the potential impact of mild LLD should not be overlooked.

The limitations of this study are that it is difficult to generalize because of small sample size and the fact that all the participants were healthy women. In addition, only changes of angle in the pelvis and hip were provided. Therefore, it is suggested that further study is needed to consider factors, including internal moments, muscle activity of the lower limbs, and knee, ankle, and foot kinematics, which may be affected by LLD.
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