Retroperitoneal Extrapleural Approach for Corpectomy of the First Lumbar Vertebra: Technique and Outcome
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Objective: Corpectomy of the first lumbar vertebra (L1) for the management of different L1 pathologies can be performed using either an anterior or posterior approach. The aim of this study was to evaluate the usefulness of a retroperitoneal extrapleural approach through the twelfth rib for performing L1 corpectomy.

Methods: Thirty consecutive patients underwent L1 corpectomy between 2010 and 2016. The retroperitoneal extrapleural approach through the 12th rib was used in all cases to perform single-stage anterior L1 corpectomy, reconstruction and anterior instrumentation, except for in two recurrent cases in which posterior fixation was added. Visual analogue scale (VAS) was used for pain intensity measurement and ASIA impairment scale for neurological assessment. The mean follow-up period was 14.5 months.

Results: The sample included 18 males and 12 females, and the mean age was 40.3 years. Twenty patients (67%) had sensory or motor deficits before the surgery. The pathologies encountered included traumatic fracture in 12 cases, osteoporotic fracture in four cases, tumor in eight cases and spinal infection in the remaining six cases. The surgeries were performed from the left side, except in two cases. There was significant improvement of back pain and radicular pain as recorded by VAS. One patient exhibited postoperative neurological deterioration due to bone graft dislodgement. All patients with deficits at least partially improved after the surgery. During the follow-up, no hardware failures or losses of correction were detected.

Conclusion: The retroperitoneal extrapleural approach through the 12th rib is a feasible approach for L1 corpectomy that can combine adequate decompression of the dural sac with effective biomechanical restoration of the compromised anterior load-bearing column. It is associated with less pulmonary complication, no need for chest tube, no abdominal distention and rapid recovery compared with other approaches.
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INTRODUCTION

Corpectomy of the first lumbar vertebra (L1) is indicated in the surgical treatment of traumatic unstable burst fractures, vertebral osteomyelitis, vertebral tumors and spinal deformities. This region of the spine is challenging to access surgically due to the presence of the diaphragm at the thoraco-lumbar junction. L1 corpectomy can be performed using either an anterior or posterior approach. Although most surgeons are familiar with this procedure, posterior transpe-
diccular corpectomy through laminectomy offers limited anterior visualization and is associated with the risks of increasing the pre-existing instability and later loss of correction. The recent development of rigid corpectomy reconstruction cages has increased the attractiveness of the anterior approaches to the lumbar and thoracolumbar spine by improving the biomechanical strength of the anterior column support. Using an anterior approach, L1 corpectomy and decompression of the dural sac with biomechanical restoration of the compromised anterior load-bearing column and anterior instrumentation of the thoracolumbar spine can be simultaneously achieved. The retroperitoneal extrapleural approach through the twelfth rib allows for the exposure of the lower part of the thoracolumbar junction. This approach has the advantage of reducing the manipulation of the nervous structures and increasing the ability to excise the vertebral body and disc, which consequently increases the ability to place larger interbody fusion devices that have greater rates of fusion. The extrapleural approach can obviate the need for chest tube placement, which decreases morbidity due to pulmonary complications. The aim of this study was to evaluate the usefulness, advantages and potential complications of the retroperitoneal extrapleural approach through the twelfth rib for performing L1 corpectomy for the management of different lumbar pathologies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thirty consecutive patients with various spinal pathologies were operated on between June 2010 and May 2016 using the retroperitoneal extrapleural approach through the 12th rib. All procedures performed in the present study were approved by the Ethical Committee of Alexandria University Hospital and were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Visual analogue scale (VAS) was used for pain intensity measurement and ASIA impairment scale for neurological assessment. The preoperative evaluations included plain X-rays, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examinations in all cases. A left-sided approach was used in all cases, except for in two cases in which a right-sided approach was used because the pathologies mainly involved the right sides of the vertebrae. Autologous bone grafts from the iliac crest, bone cement and cages were used for the vertebral replacement.

Surgical technique

After the induction of general anesthesia, each patient was placed in the lateral decubitus position with the left side up. We preferred to break the operating table in a fashion that increased the distance between the iliac crest and the costal margin. A skin incision was performed along the 12th rib starting 4–8 cm posterior of the midline and extending approximately 10–12 cm anteriorly. The muscle layers were divided using electrocautery to reach the surface of the 12th rib; then, the periosteum was incised and circumferentially separated from the rib, and the rib was cut at the costotransverse junction. The rib was carefully removed from its bed after splitting the structures attached to its tip, which consisted of the diaphragm superiorly and the transversus abdominus muscle and transversalis fascia inferiorly. The pleura were always protected during this step. The crus of the diaphragm was subsequently disinserted from its spinal attachment and elevated in the cephalad direction with a perioisteal elevator. After the elevation of the diaphragm and the retraction of the psoas muscle laterally and inferiorly, the T12–L1 disc was exposed. Careful blunt dissection of the peritoneum was performed from the inner surface of the abdominal wall, and the peritoneum was retracted anteriorly to widen the exposure of the retroperitoneal space. The spine was palpated to identify the soft prominent disc space, and a needle was inserted for an X-ray confirmation of the level. After adequate exposure of the L1 vertebral body with its proximal and distal disc spaces, the segmental vessels at this level were ligated and divided. The disc spaces above and below the corpectomy level were removed, and the endplates of all cartilage were cleared while preserving the cortical parts of the endplates. In cases of traumatic fracture, a subtotal corpectomy of the fractured vertebral body was performed, and the contralateral and anterior vertebral body walls were left in place. In the presence of spinal canal compromise, the posterior wall was also removed to decompress the dura. In the tumor cases, the corpectomy was performed as completely as possible. Different methods were used for the vertebral body reconstruction in this series, including iliac bone graft, bone cement, titanium mesh and titanium cages. After adequate reconstruction, anterior plating...
using Z Plate ATL and Vantage Anterior Fixation System (Medtronic/Sofamor Danek, Memphis, TN, USA) was performed from the last dorsal vertebra (T12) down to the 2nd lumbar vertebra (L2). The lower half of the T12 vertebra could easily be reached without pleural entry in a manner sufficient to place the screws using this approach, but this was not the case for the T12 corpectomy. Before closure, a suction drain was inserted over the corpectomy, and the closure of the rib bed and separate closures of the different layers were performed. A chest tube was inserted only in cases of accidental pleural entrance in which direct repair was not possible. Strong narcotics were given routinely after surgery to decrease the postoperative pain. The patients were usually mobilized on the second postoperative day. No brace was given. The retroperitoneal suction drains were removed 24–48 hours after the surgery. Patients were evaluated regularly immediate postoperatively and then every six months both clinically and radiologically. Plain X-ray films (anteroposterior [AP] and lateral views) and CT scan were used for radiological assessment in all our patients. Spinal MRI was performed if any neurological deficit appears or if the back pain increases in severity during the follow up. The mean follow-up period was 14.5 months (range, 10–69 months).

Statistical analysis of the data
Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0 (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Qualitative data were described using number and percent. Quantitative data were described using range, mean, standard deviation and median. For ordinal data to compare between the different periods, Wilcoxon signed ranks test was applied. Significance of the obtained results was judged at the 5% level.

RESULTS

Thirty consecutive cases were operated on for lesions involving the first lumbar vertebra with their results shown in Table 1. These cases included 18 males and 12 females aged between 18 and 65 years, and the mean age was 40.3 years. All patients presented with back pain, which was the only presentation in 10 cases. In the remaining 20 cases (66.7%), sensory and/or motor deficits were also reported. The ASIA impairment scale scores of all patients are presented in Table 1. The pathologies encountered in this series included traumatic fracture in 12 cases, osteoporotic fracture in four cases, tumor in eight cases, and spinal infection in the remaining six cases. The surgeries were performed from the left side in all cases, except for two cases in which the pathology mainly involved the right side; therefore, right-sided approaches were utilized in these two cases. We used an iliac bone graft for the vertebral replacement in eight cases (Fig. 1), a titanium mesh in eight cases (Fig. 2), and titanium cages in two cases. In the remaining 12 cases, vertebral reconstruction was performed using bone cement, and these cases all involved vertebral tumors or osteoporotic fractures (Fig. 3). The mean operation time was 220 minutes (range, 160–280), and the mean blood loss volume was 600 mL (range, 400–1400). Single-stage anterior corpectomy with D12–L2 anterior fixation using an anterior plating system was performed in 28 cases. All these cases

| Table 1. Distribution of studied patients according to demographic data, perioperative data and results |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Variable                              | Value                        |
| Age (years)                           | 40.3 (18–65)                 |
| Gender                                |                              |
| Female                                | 12 (40)                      |
| Male                                  | 18 (60)                      |
| Etiology                              |                              |
| Traumatic fractures                   | 12 (40)                      |
| Osteoporotic fractures                | 4 (13)                       |
| Tumors                                | 8 (27)                       |
| Infection                             | 6 (20)                       |
| Surgical site                         |                              |
| Left                                  | 28 (93)                      |
| Right                                 | 2 (7)                        |
| Type of graft                         |                              |
| Iliac bone                            | 8 (27)                       |
| Titanium mesh                         | 8 (27)                       |
| Titanium cage                         | 2 (6)                        |
| Bone cement                           | 12 (40)                      |
| Operation time (minutes)              | 220 (160–280)                |
| Blood loss (mL)                       | 600 (400–1400)               |
| Fusion rate                           | 16 /18 (90)                  |
| Cobb angle (*)                        |                              |
| Preoperative                          | 20.6 (3–37)                  |
| Postoperative                         | 9.8 (2–22)                   |

Values are presented as mean (range) or number (%)
showed an absence of posterior column insufficiency. The remaining two patients had undergone previous surgeries for posterior laminectomy elsewhere; therefore, posterior long segment fixation (two levels above and two levels below) was performed first, followed by anterior corpectomy and vertebral replacement in the same session without anterior plating.

**Complications**

Intraoperative complications occurred in six cases, including peritoneal tears in three cases and a pleural tear, a dural tear and excessive blood loss in one case each. The peritoneal and pleural tears were repaired during the surgery without any secondary consequences, whereas the dural tear was sealed using gel foam, and no postoperative cerebrospinal fluid leakage was observed. The excessive blood loss was present in the case with a vertebral hemangioma, and the patient received an intraoperative blood transfusion with a favorable outcome. Postoperative complications were reported in seven patients. Four cases experienced wound infection, and three of these cases were treated conservatively. The fourth case required surgical debridement. Two patients exhibited a painful postoperative scar and received a local steroid injection that elicited a marked

Fig. 1. A and B: Magnetic resonance imaging (sagittal and axial views) showing a traumatic L1 fracture with evident spinal canal compression. C: CT scan (axial view) of the same patient showing a bony fragment inside the spinal canal. D and E: Postoperative follow-up CT scan (sagittal and axial views) showing an L1 corpectomy with vertebral reconstruction using an iliac bone graft with evident spinal canal decompression. F: Postoperative follow-up CT scan with 3D reconstruction (AP view) showing spinal instrumentation using an anterolateral plate extending from D12 to L2. CT: computed tomography, AP: anteroposterior.
improvement. One patient developed neurological deterioration on the 5th postoperative day due to bone graft dislocation. This patient required a second surgery to reposition the graft and improved over the following 2 months.

**Outcomes**

No operative mortalities or vascular injuries occurred in this series. Significant improvement of back pain and radicular pain was recorded in the present study ($p<0.001$). The preoperative low back pain VAS mean value dropped from 7.6 to 1.4 at last follow up and the preoperative radicular pain VAS mean value dropped from 6.8 to 0.8 (Table 2).

All patients with pre-operative deficits (67%) exhibited improvement on the ASIA impairment scale during the follow-up period; 12 patients improved from grade D to grade E, four patients improved from grade C to grade D, two patients improved from grade B to grade C, and two patients improved from grade C to grade E (Figs. 4 and 5) during the follow-up period. No hardware failures or losses of correction were detected. The mean preoperative Cobb angle was 20.6° (range, 3–37°) improved to 9.8° (range, 2–22°) at last follow up which was proven to be significant.

Fusion was achieved in 16 of the 18 patients with bone grafts (90%), and in the remaining 12 cases, bone cement was used for reconstruction.

**DISCUSSION**

The L1 vertebra is a part of the thoracolumbar junction,
Fig. 3. A-C: CT scan (sagittal, axial and coronal views) showing an L1 osteolytic lesion in a patient with a previous posterior spinal surgery. D: Magnetic resonance imaging (sagittal view) showing the L1 neoplastic lesion compromising the spinal canal. E: Plain X-ray (AP views) showing evidence of L1 corpectomy with reconstruction using bone cement together with spinal instrumentation using transpedicular screws two levels above and two levels below the lesion, which was diagnosed as hemangioma. F: CT scan with 3D reconstruction (lateral view) of the same patient. CT: computed tomography, AP: anteroposterior.

Table 2. Comparison between the preoperative and last follow-up postoperative VAS for LBP and radicular pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Preoperative LBP</th>
<th>Preoperative radicular pain</th>
<th>Postoperative LBP</th>
<th>Postoperative radicular pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>7.35–8.45</td>
<td>6.35–8.66</td>
<td>0.91–1.59</td>
<td>0.65–1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Wilcoxon signed ranks test for comparing between preoperative and each other periods. †Statistically significant at p≤0.05. VAS: visual analogue scale, LBP: low back pain.
which is among the most intricate areas of the vertebral column and among the sites that are most liable to injury. L1 corpectomies can be performed using dorsal or ventral approaches. Posterior transpedicular corpectomy, which can be performed unilaterally or bilaterally, cannot typically guarantee adequate decompression due to the limited direct visualization of the spinal canal. In contrast, anterior corpectomy allows for more direct and complete vertebral resection with a minimal risk of neural injury considering that it involves the conus medullaris. This approach also allows for the restoration of vertebral alignment, the correction and prevention of kyphotic deformities, and rigid fixation to promote fusion.4,5)

Different anterior approaches to the dorsal and lumbar spine have been described in recent decades, and these approaches are continuously modified to decrease trauma and increase feasibility.4,5,11,13) The conventional transthoracic transpleural transdiaphragmatic approach is currently considered extremely invasive by many surgeons because this approach requires a larger cut into the diaphragm, and the violation of the thoracic cavity is associated with possible serious complications such as pneumonia, pleural effusion, hemothorax, pneumothorax atelectasis, incomplete lung expansion and respiratory insufficiency. Furthermore, tuberculous infection could spread from the lungs to the spine.15,45)

The retroperitoneal extrapleural approach is generally less invasive and can be performed through the 11th or 12th rib; however, using this approach, it is usually more difficult to preserve the integrity of the pleural cavity, thereby increasing the likelihood of chest complications.7) In our experience, the retroperitoneal extrapleural approach through the 12th rib offered adequate exposure for the performance of L1 corpectomy in all cases with only a limited disinsertion of the diaphragm from its vertebral attachment that did not involve cutting the diaphragm, consequently decreasing the incidence of pulmonary complications and eliminating the need for postoperative chest tube insertion. Also, the rate of abdominal distention and reflex ileus is very minimal with the present approach due to avoidance of T11, T12 intercostal nerve injury and no violation to the peritoneum.14,24)

Similar to many other reports, we successfully used this approach to manage fractures, infections and tumors.9,18,20,21,23,34,41,46) This approach can also be used to manage spinal deformities because it allows the surgeon to perform an anterior release and increases the surface area for healing and/or instrumenting the spine.46) In a series of 80 patients who underwent surgeries for various thoracolumbar pathologies (i.e., fractures, infections and tumors), Lu and colleagues 25) compared the results of posterior transpedicular corpectomy with those of anterior corpectomy. These authors found that single-level transpedicular corpectomy was comparable to anterior-only corpectomy in terms of estimated blood loss and operative time. In the present series, the mean operative time was 220 minutes (range, 160–280), and the mean blood loss volume was 600 mL (range, 400–1400). Payer and Sottas34) reported similar results; their mean operative time was 191 minutes (range, 120–253), and the mean blood loss was 670 mL (range, 350–2000). We noticed decreasing patterns in both blood loss and operative time in the most recent cases. Similarly, in a series reported by Lin et al.23), the operation time decreased from 152 minutes (67–285) in the first year to 85 minutes (62–124) over the next 6 years, and the mean blood loss also exhibited a decrease from 425 mL (200–1150) in the first year to 136 mL.
Various complications associated with anterior approaches to the thoracolumbar spine have been reported and range from wound infection and painful scars to severe chest complications, peritonitis, retroperitoneal fibrosis and serious visceral and neurovascular injuries. The reported morbidities in the present series were generally minor and manageable without any grave sequelae. The complication rate associated with anterior-only corpectomy is comparable to that associated with posterior transpedicular corpectomy.

In our opinion, one of the advantages of the retroperitoneal extrapleural approach is the ability to adequately reconstruct the vertebra and apply additional ventral instrumentation in the same session, thus avoiding a second surgical procedure. Combined anterior corpectomy and posterior fixation has been found to be associated with higher complication rates regardless of whether the procedures are performed in one or two sessions. Patients undergoing combined approaches are more likely to exhibit wound infections, scar healing problems, and nutritional, respiratory and blood loss complications. Due to the direct ventral exposure using the retroperitoneal extrapleural approach, spinal canal decompression can be more effectively accomplished along with kyphosis correction and reconstruction of the weight-bearing anterior column, which carries most of the axial physiological load. Our study demonstrated reliable neurological improvements of at least one ASIA impairment grade on final observation in all patients with pre-operative deficits. These improvements could be achieved while preserving back muscle functions and avoiding excessive nerve retraction and subsequent perineural adhesions. Arguments in favor of a purely posterior transpedicular procedure include the fact that it is a commonly used approach that involves less invasive access and excellent spinal canal decompression, and the anterior column can also be reached through the pedicle. However, this technique is associated with a considerable loss of correction, and the aim of anterior fusion cannot be reliably achieved. Anterior spinal fusion provides a biomechanically superior construct with greater physiological support and enhances the incorporation of bone grafts to host bone, which leads to higher fusion rates. These findings are consistent with our results because none of our patients experienced hardware failure, and fusion was achieved in 90% of the cases with bone grafts. Although several biomechanical studies have stated that the highest achievable stability is provided by combined anterior and posterior fixation, several authors have reported excellent fusion rates using the anterior-only approach that are comparable to those achieved using combined anterior and posterior fixation.

Minimally invasive anterior approaches via laparoscopic or video-assisted thoracoscopic techniques have been well-described in the literature. These approaches to the thoracolumbar spine have advantages, such as shorter hospitalization, shorter recovery time, and better cosmetic results, over open approaches. However, several authors have reported a higher incidence of complications using endoscopic approaches. Moreover, open anterior approaches are easier to learn, less expensive, and offer direct vision and a more hands-on approach. The associated incision and tissue morbidity, recovery time, and length of hospital stay appear to be reduced with cumulative experience and the use of smaller incisions. Indeed, the mini-open anterior thoracolumbar approach through the 12th rib can be considered a safe, reliable, and cost-effective alternative to endoscopic approaches that elicits comparable results in terms of operative time, blood loss and length of hospital stay.

CONCLUSION

The anterior retroperitoneal extrapleural approach through the 12th rib is a feasible approach for the performance of L1 corpectomy for different pathologies involving the L1 vertebra. This approach allows for satisfactory decompression of the neural elements and effective reconstruction of the load-bearing capacity of the thoracolumbar junction. It is cost-effective and safe with minimal morbidity and can usually be performed without violating the chest cavity and with limited manipulation of the diaphragm. It is associated with less pulmonary complication, no need for chest tube, no abdominal distention and rapid recovery compared with other approaches. This approach also allows for anterior instrumentation in the same stage with high fusion rates without the need to posteriorly augment the fusion in most cases.
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