Clinical Application of AR System in Early Rehabilitation Program After Stroke: 2 Case Study
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Purpose: To investigate the effect of an augmented reality (AR) system on muscle strength and function level of the paretic lower limb and the balance ability in the early rehabilitation program of acute stroke patients.

Methods: The participants (30 or fewer days after stroke) were randomly assigned to receive intervention with an early rehabilitation program using an AR system (n = 1) or an early rehabilitation program consisting of functional electrical stimulation and tilt table use (n = 1). Patients in both subjects received interventions 4-5 times a week for 3 weeks.

Results: In the paretic limb muscle strength, AR subject was increased from 15 to 39.6 Nm and Control subject was increased from 5 to 30.2 Nm. The paretic limb function of AR subject motor function was increased from 8 to 28 score and Control subject motor function was increased from 6 to 14 score. But sensory function was very little difference between the two subjects (AR subject: from 4 to 10 score, Control subject: from 3 to 10 score). In the balance ability, AR subject had more difference after intervention than control subject (AR subject: 33 score, Control subject: 22 score).

Conclusion: The early rehabilitation program using the AR system showed a slightly higher improvement in the motor function of the paretic lower limb and balance ability measurement than the general early rehabilitation program. The AR system, which can provide more active, task-oriented, and motivational environment, may provide a meaningful environment for the initial rehabilitation process after stroke.
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INTRODUCTION

Stroke is a leading cause of movement, sensation, and cognitive impairment, and a diminished ability can persist despite sustained efforts. Eighty-three percent of patients with acute stroke present with postural instability, and the risk of fall is increased by 73% in the 6 months following a stroke. Post-stroke rehabilitation focuses mainly on reducing motor impairment or minimizing physical disability through functional reorganization of the brain. If proper rehabilitation is not achieved early in the disease course, irreversible anatomical or functional changes may occur as well as progressive weakness and disability of the paretic limb. Traditional rehabilitation therapy programs focus on the needs of individual patients based on assessment findings. However, there is insufficient evidence to suggest that these programs are an effective way to improve the function of affected patients.

Virtual rehabilitation recently emerged as a clinical intervention method. This approach is based on virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and computing technology. This intervention consists of high-intensity training repeats improves athletic performance through a variety of feedback mechanisms, and increases motivation. AR and VR systems are actively being applied in the post-stroke rehabilitation process. AR in particular can enhance authenticity, interactivity, and practicability in a more natural way by providing virtual objects or scenes from the real world provided by the computer. The system also provides automatic visualization for improved posture and motion control by providing biofeedback in real time during the intervention process. In this process, the pa-
tient is more focused on the movement, which is important to con-
trolling erroneous movements and improving brain plasticity with
movements.8,9

The functional electrical stimulation (FES) and tilt table are
among the most commonly performed interventions for early reha-
bilitation after stroke. First, FES is applied to contract the paretic
lower-limb muscles and induce joint movement.8,9 Pereira et al.11 re-
ported that the FES subject had a greater gait walking distance than
the gait training subject. Thus, FES increases patient mobility and
lower-limb function after stroke.8,9 Second, tilt table use reportedly
helps with functional recovery by reducing pain, improving bone
density, and improving cardiopulmonary function through upright
mobilization after stroke.11 Repeated training with this device is ef-
efective for orthostatic intolerance and spasticity treatment. However,
patients tend to be passive when FES or a tilt table is used. FES is
used when the patient is seated, while the tilt table is performed with
the body fixed to the equipment safely without movement. There-
fore, this study applied the AR system to change the passive rehabili-
tation program environment to a more active patient environment.
AR systems provide virtual objects that can be immersed in real-
world environments.

The purpose of this AR system is to increase patient interest in
performing tasks and help them experience the process of correct-
ing movements through real-time feedback. This can increase pa-
tient concentration and motivation and let them experience sub-
stantial forces while performing the tasks. Therefore, the purpose
of this study was to investigate the effect of the AR system on muscle
strength and functional level of the paretic lower limb as well as the
balance ability in the initial rehabilitation program of acute stroke
patients.

METHODS

1. Subject’s description
This study was performed on patients with acute stroke, and the
criteria for selection were as follows. Inclusion criteria include 30
days or less after stroke,12 currently diagnosed with ischemic or
hemorrhagic stroke, adults 18 years or older, Mini-Mental State Ex-
amination >24, Modified Ashworth Scale <2, Berg Balance
Test < 20 (high fall risk), and Those who are admitted to the rehabil-
itation department ward for 3 weeks or more and can be treated.

Exclusion criteria include past medical history of recurrent syncope,
dizziness, visual or hearing impairment, contraversive pushing syn-
drome or cerebellar lesion, orthopedic injury or diagnosis of the
spine or lower extremity. Those diagnosed with heart disease or cir-
culatory system, obesity (body mass index more than 30 kg/m²), If
there is a degree of cognitive or communication impairment be-
ond which this study cannot proceed. Contraindications on tilt ta-
bles (cancer, pacemaker, unstable epilepsy).13 To determine the or-
der of intervention for each subject, randomization (computer-gen-
erated) was conducted using a website (http://www.randomization.
com). Individual, sequentially numbered index cards with the ran-
donment assignment were prepared, folded, and placed in sealed opaque
envelopes. The AR subjects (n = 1) were 57 years old, male, left Hemi-
plegia due to infarction, duration after stroke: 9, MMSE: 24, BBS: 2.
Control subjects (n = 1) were 59 years old, left Hemiplegia due to in-
farction, duration after stroke: 11, MMSE: 24, BBS: 1.

2. Intervention
In this study, the early rehabilitation program (a total of three weeks)
was divided into FES (30 minutes) and tilt table (30 minutes). The
FES applied in the first week was attached to the motor points to
stimulate the quadriceps and the tibialis anterior muscle and the
peroneal nerve of the paretic side (stimulation of the common pero-
neal nerve may trigger knee and hip flexion and, thus, the flexion
pattern). The frequency of the electrical stimulation was 25-400 Hz.
The tilt table used in the second and third weeks was fixed in the
thoracic, pelvic, and bilateral knee through a strap and maintained in
the upright position.14 During tilt table use, the mediator moni-
tored the clinical observation of dyspnea or pallor. Subjective indi-
ces of tolerance for the subjects were: 1) rating of perceived exertion
(RPE) 15 as measured by the Borg Rating of Perceived Exertion Scale;15
2) pain using a numerical pain rating ranging from 0 (no
pain) to 10 (extreme pain), 3) absence of angina, dizziness, or nau-
sea, and 4) request to discontinue the standing tilt table protocol.19
Both subjects also completed daily exercise therapy (30 minutes)
related to central nervous system development therapy and limited
the therapist to two to limit the deviations associated with exercise
therapy (Figure 1).

3. AR subject
For this subject, we included the AR system in the early rehabilita-
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Early Rehabilitation Program Using AR System After Stroke

The AR system consists of a smartphone (Samsung Galaxy note5, Samsung, Korea), TV (LG 55UK6820ENF, LG, Korea), and line (USB to HDMI cable, GNB, China) connecting the two devices to the AR application (Figure 1). The AR application was "Kick Ball (AR soccer, Here you are)," a program that detects one’s foot movements to kick the soccer ball on the screen (Figure 2). The subject performed the task of kicking the virtual ball on the monitor toward the goal post. The evaluator constantly monitored the subject during the task and allowed him to immediately take a break in the case of discomfort or fatigue.

During the first week, the subject used the AR system in the course of performing FES while sitting on a chair with a backrest. The subject first played the game using the non-paretic side, followed by the paretic side (15 minutes each). The electrical stimulation intensity of the FES was adjusted so that each subject reached the maximum motion within a tolerable level. The on-off set time of the stimulation was electrically synchronized before the start of the game, when each participant returned the ball to the starting point.

During the second and third weeks, the AR system was applied during the tilt table procedure. At this time, the straps fixed to each site were gradually removed according to the patient’s condition.

Figure 1. Early rehabilitation program using AR system. (A) Functional electrical stimulation combined AR system, (B) tilt table combined AR system.

Figure 2. Smartphone application “Kick ball”.
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This intervention was also performed on the paretic side with the knee area fixed on the strap, followed by the non-paretic side, and then again on the paretic side. The angle of the tilt table was 70°-90° adjusted according to the subject’s condition (Figure 2).

4. Control subject
For the control subject, the early rehabilitation program was performed without the AR system. The general setting of the FES was the same as that of the AR subject, and the subjects performed knee extension, followed by delivery of the electrical stimulation. The tilt table was used with the application of all straps without any special tasks.

5. Measurement
In this study, the isokinetic evaluation system (Biodex System 3PRO, Biodex, USA) was used to evaluate the muscle strength of the quadriceps muscle of the subjects. The subject performs a maximum of knee extensions as strongly as possible, 10 times (2 set), according to the evaluator’s instructions. The resting time was given for each set of 10 seconds, and evaluation was performed both on the paretic side and on the non-paretic side. In previous studies, the reliability of this device (ICC) was reported as 0.88 to 0.92.20

Fugl-Meyer was used to assess the functional (sensory, motor) level of the paretic lower limb of the subject. The Fugl-Meyer scale was designed to quantitatively assess the functional recovery of stroke patients based on the post-stroke recovery stages of Twitchell21 and Brunstrom.22,23 The total motor function score of the lower limb is 34 points, and the sensory function score is 12 points. It is reported that the lower the score of lower extremity motor function in this evaluation, the more the postural control abnormality increases. This scale has been reported to be useful in evaluating changes in patient’s motor function after stroke,24 and is highly reliable with intrarater reliability (r = 0.9) and inter-rater reliability (r = 0.99).

Balance SD (Biodex Medical Systems, USA) was used to evaluate the subjects’ balance ability. The balance indices of this test are reported to reflect well the integrated control of proprioceptive reflexes required to maintain balance and equilibrium.25 The limit of stability (LOS) test is a test to evaluate the ability to weight shift the body in eight directions (forward, backward, left, right, forward-left, forward-right, backward-left, and right-backward). When the target points on the screen are blinking and randomly displayed in different directions, the subject moves the center of mass of the body to reach the target point. The LOS index reflects the trunk imbalance and coordination ability in the lower limb, and the higher the score, the better the ability to balance in a particular direction.24 The reliability of this instrument was reported to be as high as the intra-rater reliability (r = 0.90) and inter-rater reliability (r = 0.94).26

Finally, no specific statistical program was used for data analysis. However, descriptive statistics were applied to the results before and after intervention.

RESULTS
In the paretic limb muscle strength, AR subject was increased from 15 to 39.60 Nm and Control subject was increased from 5 to 30.20 Nm. There was very little difference between the two subjects (Table 1).

The paretic limb function of AR subject motor function was increased from 8 to 28 score and control subject motor function was increased from 6 to 14 score. There was a great difference between the two subjects before and after the intervention. But sensory function was very little difference between the two subjects (AR subject: from 4 to 10 score, Control subject: from 3 to 10 score).

The paretic limb function of AR subject motor function was increased from 8 to 28 score and control subject motor function was increased from 6 to 14 score. There was a great difference between the two subjects before and after the intervention. But sensory function was very little difference between the two subjects (AR subject: from 4 to 10 score, Control subject: from 3 to 10 score).

In the balance ability, AR subject had more difference after intervention than control subject (AR subject: 33 score, Control subject: 22 score).

DISCUSSION
This study aimed to evaluate the effects of intervention with AR
system on early rehabilitation programs (FES, tilt table) in patients after acute stroke on lower-extremity function, balance ability, and activities of daily living. In the lower-extremity function of the paretic side and dynamic balance ability, AR subject was higher than the control subject for difference score of the before and after intervention. These results suggest that AR systems can improve motor function or skill in stroke patients as reported in previous studies.27-29 Bank et al.27 and Mousabi et al.30 assessed the speed, range, goal-directedness, and smoothness of movements of stroke patients using AR games and reported that this system allows more natural and patient-tailored interactions. Burke et al.28 reported that the AR system was better able to improve high-quality motor skills in upper-limb stroke rehabilitation compared with other interventions. Liu et al.29 reported that movement skills obtained by training in the AR environment can be transferred to the real-world environment. Thus, studies related to AR systems have mainly focused on the upper-extremity function of stroke patients and have mainly assessed functional level. To date, there is a lack of research on lower-extremity function in stroke patients.

The post-stroke rehabilitation program was reportedly the most important early, intensive, and repetitive factor.31 Wade et al.32 reported that the early intensive rehabilitation of active functional task produces more positive outcomes. The traditional rehabilitation task is generally uninteresting and boring because of its repetitive nature and the limit obtaining or interpreting data due to the limitation of computer sensing or measurement during the treatment process.33 Most therapies are performed on a one-to-one basis in a limited space within the hospital, resulting in high healthcare costs.

The AR system has the advantages of the virtual world and the real world and can provide motivation through virtual objects to provide natural interaction with actual subjects during the rehabilitation process. Virtual environments can safely be used to train functional activities according to individual interests and physical abilities as well as monitor and analyze the subjects’ performance data (number of sessions attempted, exercise session length, success rate) over time.34 In addition, in the game-designated rehabilitation system, gratifying incentives can promote motivation and enjoyment, creating a greater desire to complete or achieve a specific goal. Burdea35 reported that, through the VR rehabilitation system, visual and auditory motivation factors could be provided to alter traditional limited rehabilitation exercises. There are advantages to this system that can reduce the space, cost, or effort required to select physical rehabilitation care protocols.

In this study, FES plays a role in assisting the weak muscular strength of the patients through electric stimulation and providing the timing of the start of movement. When the AR system is used in the tilt table, use of the non-paretic side can have a weight-bearing stimulation effect on the paretic limb, while the use of the paretic side can enhance the strength or function of the ipsilateral lower limb. These tasks focused on the muscle strength or functional movements of the paretic lower limb, which are thought to enable the necessary functional activity transfers in daily life.

There are some limitations to the clinical generalization of the results of this study. First, it is difficult to generalize the outcome of the study to all stroke patients because of the small sample size involved. Second, it is difficult to predict the long-term effects of the intervention because the follow-up evaluation was not performed after the intervention. Third, in the AR application applied in this study, game score was merely a patient motivator, but it is difficult to rate skill improvement or functional enhancement through such scores. Therefore, in future studies, various programs should be developed to clearly score the speed and accuracy of the movement when performing the task, and this score should be interpreted as clinically meaningful.

Finally, this study examined the effect of an AR system in rehabilitation during the acute phase after stroke. The early rehabilitation program using the AR system showed a slightly higher improvement in the motor function of the paretic lower limb and balance ability measurement than the general early rehabilitation program. Based on these results, we propose. The AR system, which can provide more active, task-oriented, and motivational environment, may provide a meaningful environment for the initial rehabilitation process after stroke.
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