The Effect of Postural Correction and Visual Feedback on Muscle Activity and Head Position Change During Overhead Arm Lift Test in Subjects with Forward Head Posture
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Purpose: This study aimed to investigate the immediate effects of posture correction and real-time visual feedback using a video display on muscle activity and change of head position during overhead arm lift test in individuals with forward head posture.

Methods: Fifteen subjects with forward head posture and fifteen normal subjects who volunteered were included in this study. During both groups performed the overhead arm lift test, the muscle activity of the upper trapezius, serratus anterior, sternocleidomastoid, and lower trapezius muscle were measured using electromyography, and head position change was measured using photographs. Then, forward head posture group was asked to perform overhead arm lift test again after posture correction and real-time visual feedback using a video display respectively. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze four conditions: pre-test, posture correction, real-time visual feedback, and the control group.

Results: The upper trapezius and lower trapezius muscle activity significantly decreased posture correction, real-time visual feedback, and control group than pre-test of forward head posture group (p < 0.05). The sternocleidomastoid muscle significantly decreased real-time visual feedback and control group than pre-test of forward head posture group. Head position change significantly decreased three conditions than pre-test of forward head posture group and real-time visual feedback and control group significantly decreased than posture correction.

Conclusion: This study recommend for maintaining cervical stability during the overhead arm lift test, postural control using real-time visual feedback is more effective in subjects with forward head posture.
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INTRODUCTION

In the current age, people tend to contract their shoulders and neck muscles for long periods of time to maintain a seated posture before computer screens. This can result in accumulated fatigue and mechanical pain caused by incorrect posture. Neck pain is frequently reported in the workplace and is environment-related. Computer use affects the musculoskeletal system; looking into a monitor below the line of sight for extended time periods makes the head move forward, which produces an exaggerated anterior curve in the lower cervical vertebrae and an exaggerated posterior curve in the upper thoracic vertebrae to maintain balance. This is known as forward head posture. Chiu et al. found that approximately 60% of individuals with neck and shoulder pain exhibited forward head posture.

In a normal head position, the tragus and acromial angle are in a vertical position, but in forward head posture, a horizontal distance of over 5 cm extends between the tragus and the acromial angle, accompanied by bending in the lower cervical area and hyperextension in the upper cervical area.

Forward head posture leads to lengthening and weakness in the anterior cervical muscles as well as shortening in the posterior cervical muscles. It will also shorten the upper trapezius and sternocleidomastoid and weaken the serratus anterior. Further, it may af...
fect not only the neck but also the thoracic spine and the scapulae, possibly causing overall imbalance in the musculoskeletal system. The neck muscle imbalance caused by forward head posture creates an abnormal scapula tilt and cause a condition in the lower trapezius and serratus anterior known as rounded shoulder.

Postural correction aims to reduce the static load on surrounding muscles, and the correction of cervical posture is often recommended for the treatment and prevention of chronic neck and shoulder pain. To prevent shoulder and neck pain associated with abnormal neck posture, many researchers have stressed the importance of maintaining a neutral head posture during arm movement and functional activity.

Comerford and Mottram developed the overhead arm lift test to assess a subject’s ability to actively dissociate and control low cervical flexion and move the shoulders through overhead flexion. They suggested patients should be able to keep the head neutral while actively flexing the shoulders and lifting the arms to a 180-degree flexion. An ideal posture in the overhead arm lift test is achievable if the neck is sufficiently stable. However, as the human field of vision is limited, it is impossible to visually self-monitor head posture during this treatment.

Real-time visual feedback has been used during biomechanical analyses for such purposes as helping participants adjust their mechanics to avoid injury and match certain protocols and to provide information about force production or muscle activity. Weon et al. have noted that real-time visual feedback can be recommended for increasing the activity of the upper trapezius and SA in people with scapular winging through shoulder flexion.

However, few studies have investigated the effects of real-time visual feedback on muscle activity and head position change in the FHP during the overhead arm lift test. The purpose of this study was to determine whether real-time visual feedback would alter the electromyographic activity of the upper trapezius, lower trapezius, serratus anterior, and sternocleidomastoid or the head position change during the overhead arm lift test in subjects with forward head posture.

**METHODS**

1. **Subjects**

This study was conducted with 30 participants, with 15 in the forward head posture group and 15 in the control group. If the CVA angle was less than 53°, was criteria in the forward head posture group. Subjects were recruited from Daegu University in Gyeongsan, Korea. Prior to participation, all participants received an explanation about the study and signed the university-approved human subjects’ consent form. This study was approved by the Daegu University Institutional Review Board. The demographic and clinical characteristics of these patients are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>FHG (n=15)</th>
<th>CG (n=15)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>21.60±1.63</td>
<td>20.87±0.99</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body height (cm)</td>
<td>169.53±8.11</td>
<td>165.67±8.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>61.93±11.67</td>
<td>55.40±9.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA (°)</td>
<td>50.16±2.16</td>
<td>56.43±2.27</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FHG: forward head posture group, CG: control group, CVA: craniovertebral angle.
†Mean±SD, *p<0.05.

2. **Experimental methods**

1) **Experimental procedure**

All subjects had surface electrodes placed on the right side before the beginning of the experiment. Both groups were performed the overhead arm lift test. The forward head posture group then underwent two different intervention conditions through this test. The first was to sit with correct posture on the vertical line during the overhead arm lift test. In the second, subjects were asked to perform an overhead lift test while watching a real-time visual feedback monitor. All measurements were repeated three times to produce average values.

1) **Measurement of forward head and head position changes**

Lateral photographs of subjects in both groups were taken to facilitate measurement of the CVA and head position changes. Markers were attached to subjects’ C7 vertebrae and tragi. The CVA is defined as the angle between a horizontal line passing through the C7 vertebra and a line extending from the tragus of the ear to the C7 vertebra. If the CVA angle was less than 53°, the subject was placed in the experimental group. Changes in head position were measured according to the distance between a vertical line passing through C7...
through the tragus marker and the vertical line at the forefront of a grid line.17

(2) Surface electromyography
Data relating to muscle activity during the overhead arm lift test were collected via a wireless EMG system (TeleMyo DTS, Noraxon Inc., Scottsdale) using silver–silver chloride dual surface electrodes. The positioning of the surface electrodes on each muscle was arranged in congruence with previous studies.18 Before the electrodes were attached, subjects’ skin was cleaned with alcohol to reduce electrical impedance.

EMG data were normalized using the maximal voluntary isometric contractions (MVIC) of the upper trapezius, lower trapezius, serratus anterior, and sternocleidomastoid separately. The measurement positions for the MVIC were chosen according to a study by Kendall.7 MVIC values reflected the average RMS after three trials. EMG data were used for the three seconds of keep the end range for five seconds and expressed as a percentage of MVIC (%MVIC).

(3) Overhead arm lift test
All subjects sat in a chair with a backrest and looked straight ahead. The examiner instructed the subjects to sit comfortably, lift their arms to the top of their overhead, up to a horizontal bar and holding the posture for 5 seconds and then lower them sideways. At this point, the head should maintain in a neutral position while the arms are fully lifted (at a 180° flexion) and lowered.12

To measure head position changes during the overhead arm lift test, a photograph was taken with a lateral view before and after the test Image J software was used for photographic analysis (Figure 1).

2) Intervention methods
(1) Posture correction
In bodies with excellent posture, the line in the sagittal plane extends through the ear lobe, the tip of the shoulder, the center of the hip and the knee, and slightly anterior to the external malleolus.19 Testers adjusted subjects’ heads to a corrective position manually, and a vertical line was used as the baseline (Figure 2).

(2) Real-time visual feedback correction
The subjects were informed about a corrective head position. They were then required to maintain this posture and perform end-range shoulder flexion for 5 seconds while their head posture was observed through a computer monitor (Figure 2). A video camera (DCR-SR68E, Sony, Tokyo, Japan) input into the computer monitor provided real-time visual posture feedback to the forward head post-
tured group. The video camera was set at the height of the subjects’ acromia to expose the part of the head and the shoulders entirely. The monitor was set at the height of each subject’s sightline.

3) Statistical analysis
The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used for a normal distribution. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze four conditions: pre-test, posture correction, real-time visual feedback, and the control group. The post-hoc analysis was performed to investigate significant differences between each of the four conditions by LSD. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows (version 20.0), and the statistical significance level was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS
The upper trapezius and lower trapezius muscle activity significantly decreased posture correction, real-time visual feedback, and control group than pre-test of forward head posture group (p < 0.05, Table 2)(Figure 3). The sternocleidomastoid muscle significantly decreased real-time visual feedback and control group than pre-test of forward head posture group (p < 0.05, Table 2)(Figure 3).

Serratus anterior muscle activity was not significantly between all conditions (p > 0.05, Table 2)(Figure 3).

Head position change significantly decreased three conditions than pre-test of forward head posture group and real-time visual feedback and control group significantly decreased than posture correction (p < 0.05, Table 3)(Figure 3).

DISCUSSION
This study measured both forward head posture subjects and control subjects to identify the differences in muscle activity and head position changes between the two groups through the overhead arm lift test. Only the forward head posture group was measured again after posture correction and real-time visual feedback was provided, as this study focused on subjects with FHP. The upper trapezius muscle activity significantly decreased posture correction

Table 2. Comparison of muscle activity in four conditions (unit: %MVIC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FHG (n=15)</th>
<th>CG (n=15)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>VF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>32.46±7.63</td>
<td>23.33±7.36</td>
<td>19.05±8.86</td>
<td>20.36±6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>26.64±6.14</td>
<td>31.76±7.45</td>
<td>34.16±10.04</td>
<td>35.24±13.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCM</td>
<td>12.79±4.01</td>
<td>10.54±4.43</td>
<td>9.06±3.56</td>
<td>8.68±3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT</td>
<td>45.64±10.51</td>
<td>35.61±10.49</td>
<td>31.77±11.51</td>
<td>30.03±8.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Mean±SD, *p<0.05.

Table 3. Comparison of head position change between two group and intervention (unit: cm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FHG (n=15)</th>
<th>CG (n=15)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>VF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.65±2.08</td>
<td>3.25±1.88</td>
<td>1.57±1.16</td>
<td>1.19±0.60</td>
<td>38.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FHG: forward head posture group, CG: control group, Pre: pre-test, PC: posture correction, VF: visual feedback.
†Mean±SD, *p<0.05.
and real-time visual feedback than pre-test of forward head posture group during overhead arm lift test. In individuals with FHP, the upper trapezius, rather than their weakened cervical extensor muscles, supports the weight of the head. In addition, Limon’s study found that higher activity in the upper trapezius changes the angle of the cervical vertebra. Therefore, during the overhead arm lift test, the upper trapezius compensates for the cervical extensor muscles and maintains the head position in the forward head posture group but not the control group. Thus, visual feedback is thought to result in reduced upper trapezius activity, as introducing the proper head posture can decrease upper trapezius over-activity.

The results of lower trapezius activity decreased similarly to upper trapezius muscle activity. The postural control such as posture correction and real-time visual feedback reduced lower trapezius muscle activity during overhead arm lift test. These findings likely result from abnormal posture, as forward head posture produces excess lower trapezius activation and abnormal scapula movement. Kim and Lee showed that the muscle activity in the active postural control stimulated proprioception and reduced excessive muscle activity. This study’s results are consistent with previous study, as active postural control via visual feedback reduced excessive muscle activity. Therefore, it is believed that during the overhead arm lift test, incorrect patterns of scapular motion were corrected through visual feedback, reducing lower trapezius activity.

Sternocleidomastoid muscle activity significantly reduced real-time visual feedback and control group than pre-test. FHP is associated with weakness in the deep cervical flexors and shortening of the opposing cervical extensors. It also decreases activation of the deep cervical flexors, which is related to increased activation in the superficial muscles, such as the sternocleidomastoid, during craniocervical flexion tasks. Therefore, the high sternocleidomastoid activity of the forward head posture group resulted from neck instability and FHP. The good alignment of head using real-time visual feedback prevented excessive activity for superficial neck flexor and made the head position to be maintained during overhead arm lift test.

After being subjected to the posture correction and visual feedback conditions, serratus anterior activity increased, but not significantly. However, visual feedback condition values were similar to those of the control group.

Serratus anterior activity can improve scapular stability and assist with scapular upward rotation to allow subjects to perform the overhead arm lift test. Therefore, it is that during the overhead arm lift test the serratus anterior muscle is not directly involved in the stability of the neck but has a stabilizing role for the movement of the arm thus serratus anterior muscle activity was not significantly. Therefore, visual feedback can be a useful way to facilitate serratus anterior activity during the test.

Based on these results, providing visual feedback during the overhead arm lift test appeared to create correct posture, thus ensuring cervical stability. Upper trapezius, sternocleidomastoid, and lower trapezius activity appeared to contribute to scapula movement and cervical stability through decreasing muscle activity, which occurred more significantly in the visual feedback condition than in the pre-test condition. As a result, head position changes decreased during the overhead arm lift test.

The muscle activities of upper trapezius, lower trapezius, serratus anterior, and sternocleidomastoid in real-time visual feedback condition showed little difference from the control group. Also the real-time visual feedback in forward head posture group reduced the distance of head position to the level close to that of the control group. These results mean that head posture control using real-time visual feedback in subjects with forward head posture resemble that seen in the normal subjects during overhead arm lift test. Therefore, the overhead arm lift test can confirm the neck instability of the forward head posture group members, and neck stability can be ensured by controlling posture.

The limitations of this study are that it is difficult to generalize to all age groups because subjects of various ages could not be recruited. It is also difficult to confirm the effects of the short intervention period. Therefore, in future studies, it will be necessary to determine whether or not a subject’s posture can be changed in this way by designing a study with a longer intervention period that includes subjects of various ages.
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