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## The Infinite Hyper Order of Solutions of Differential Equation Related to Brück Conjecture
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Abstract. The Brück conjecture is still open for an entire function $f$ with hyper order of no less than $1 / 2$, which is not an integer. In this paper, it is proved that the hyper order of solutions of a linear complex differential equation that is related to the Brück Conjecture is infinite. The results show that the conjecture holds in a special case when the hyper order of $f$ is $1 / 2$.

## 1. Introduction

It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the standard notations and basic results of Nevanlinna's value distribution theory in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}[11,20]$. The order and hyper order of an entire function $f$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(f)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\log ^{+} T(r, f)}{\log r}=\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\log ^{+} \log ^{+} M(r, f)}{\log r} \\
& \rho_{2}(f)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\log ^{+} \log ^{+} T(r, f)}{\log r}=\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\log ^{+} \log ^{+} \log ^{+} M(r, f)}{\log r},
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $M(r, f)$ denotes the maximum modulus of $f$ on the circle $|z|=r$.
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If $f$ and $g$ are two meromorphic functions in the complex plane, $f$ and $g$ share a constant $a$ CM if $f-a$ and $g-a$ have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. Rubel and Yang [16] proved for a nonconstant entire function that if $f$ and its derivative $f^{\prime}$ share two finite distinct values CM, then $f \equiv f^{\prime}$. Later on, Brück [1] constructed entire functions with integer or infinite hyper order to show that $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share 1 CM do not satisfy $f \equiv f^{\prime}$. Therefore, Brück proposed the following conjecture [1].

Brück Conjecture. Let $f$ be a nonconstant entire function such that its hyper order is finite and not a positive integer. If $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share one finite value a $C M$, then $f^{\prime}-a=c(f-a)$, where $c$ is a nonzero constant.

In general, the conjecture is false for a meromorphic function $f$ (a counterpart is presented in [10]). Brück [1] showed that the conjecture is valid for the case $a=0$. Afterward, Gundersen and Yang [10] proved that the conjecture is true for the case in which $f$ is of finite order. Furthermore, Chen and Shon [5] showed that the conjecture is also true when $f$ is of hyper order strictly less than $1 / 2$. Thus, to solve this conjecture, the remaining case in which the hyper order of $f$ is between $[1 / 2,+\infty) \backslash \mathbb{N}$ should be considered; however, many attempts have failed.

There are many results that are closely related to the Brück conjecture; they can be mainly classified into two types. One type comprises generalizing the shared value $a$ to a nonconstant function, such as polynomial, entire small function of $f$, or entire functions with lower orders than that of $f$, (e. g. see $[2,3,4,12,13,17]$ ); the other type comprises improving the first derivative of $f$ to an arbitrary $k$-th derivative (e. g. see $[2,6,7,13,18])$.

To study this conjecture, $F(z)=\frac{f(z)}{a}-1$ is chosen. Thus $F(z)$ is an entire function, and $\rho(F)=\rho(f), \rho_{2}(F)=\rho_{2}(f)$. Because $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share one finite value $a$ CM, according to the Hadamard theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}-a}{f-a}=e^{h(z)}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(z)$ is an entire function. Hence, $F$ satisfies the following linear differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}-e^{h(z)} F=1 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Yang [19] converted the conjecture into a question: Is it true that if $h(z)$ is a nonconstant entire function, the hyper order of $F$ satisfying Equation (1.2) is a positive integer or infinity?

When $h(z)$ is a polynomial or transcendental entire function with order less than $1 / 2$, the case is true, see $[2,5]$. However, the answer for the remaining case with $\rho(h) \geq 1 / 2$ is unknown.

The following theorem provides a partial answer to the previously presented question for the case $\rho(h)=1 / 2$.

Theorem 1.1 There exists an entire function $h(z)$ with order 1/2. Thus $-e^{h(z)}$ is of hyper order 1/2. Let it be the coefficient of a differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(z)-e^{h(z)} F(z)=1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the hyper order of the solutions of Equation (1.3) is infinite.

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

The Koenigs function is considered first. For $\beta \in(0,1 / e)$, the function $E_{\beta}(z):=$ $e^{\beta z}$ has a repelling fixed point $\xi$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with multiplier $\lambda=\beta \xi>1$. Around this repelling fixed point, there exists a unique local holomorphic solution $\Phi$ of the Schröder's functional equation according to Koenigs:

$$
\Phi\left(E_{\beta}(z)\right)=\lambda \Phi(z)
$$

It is normalized by $\Phi(\xi)=0$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(\xi)=1$ (e.g., [14]). Moreover, $\Phi$ increases on the real axis and approaches infinite, i.e. $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x)=\infty$; however, it grows more slowly than any iterate of the logarithm. In other words, the following expression can be obtained for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(x)}{\log ^{m} x}=0
$$

where $\log ^{m}$ denotes the $m$-th iterate of the logarithm [15]. For the purpose of this study, $\varepsilon:(\xi, \infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(x)=\frac{1}{\log \Phi(x)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function approaches zero more slowly than any of the function $1 / \log ^{m} x$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In the next step, the following expression is constructed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(r)=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon(r) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r \geq r_{0}$. Thus, $\rho(r)$ is a proximate order (see [8, Lemma 3.5]). The definition of proximate order is as follow:

Definition 2.1.(Proximate order) A function $\rho(r)$ defined on $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$, where $r_{0}>0$, is called a proximate order if it satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $\rho(r) \geq 0$;
(2) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho(r)=\rho$;
(3) $\rho(r)$ is continuously differentiable on $\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)$;
(4) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r \rho^{\prime}(r) \log r=0$.

In [8], the author constructed an entire function by using the Weierstrass canonical product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z}{a_{n}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a positive sequence tending to infinity such that $1 \leq a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots$ and $n(r)=r^{\rho(r)}+O\left(\varepsilon(r)^{3} r^{\rho(r)}\right)$. Here $n(r)$ is the number of the element of the sequence $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$, which are contained in the disc $\{z:|z|<r\} ; \rho(r)$ is defined in (2.2). According to the result of Borel (see [9, Theorem 3.4]), the order of the Weierstrass canonical product $h(z)$ is equal to the order of $n(r)$; thus the order of $h(z)$ is $1 / 2$.
Lemma 2.2. ([8, Lemma 3.6]) Based on the previously defined $h(z), \varepsilon(r)$ and $\rho(r)$, the following expression can be constructed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left|h\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi \cos ((\theta-\pi) \rho(r))}{\sin (\pi \rho(r))} r^{\rho(r)}+O\left(\varepsilon(r)^{2} r^{\rho(r)}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varepsilon(r) \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi-\varepsilon(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
The following expressions are true for some $r_{0}>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{+} & =\left\{r e^{i \varepsilon(r)}: r \geq r_{0}\right\} \\
\gamma^{-} & =\left\{r e^{-i \varepsilon(r)}: r \geq r_{0}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
G(\gamma)=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{r e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{C}: \varepsilon(r) \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi-\varepsilon(r), r \geq r_{0}\right\}
$$

The following fact is a consequence of Lemma 2.2.
Lemma 2.3.([8, Lemma 3.7, 3.8]) The function $h(z)$ is bounded on $\gamma^{+}$and $\gamma^{-}$and in $G(\gamma)$.
Lemma 2.4.([6]) Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of infinite order; the hyper order is $\rho_{2}(f)$; note the central index of $f$ by $\nu(r)$. Consequently, the following expression holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \nu(r)}{\log r}=\rho_{2}(f) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.5.([6]) Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of infinite order $\rho(f)=\infty$ and $\rho_{2}(f)=\alpha<+\infty$; moreover, the set $E \subset(1, \infty)$ has a finite logarithmic measure. Hence, there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{k}=r_{k} e^{i \theta_{k}}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left|f\left(z_{k}\right)\right|=M\left(r_{k}, f\right), \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{k}=\theta_{0} \in[0,2 \pi), \quad \theta_{k} \in[0,2 \pi), \quad r_{k} \notin E, \quad r_{k} \rightarrow \infty
$$

If $\alpha>0$, for any given $\varepsilon(0<\varepsilon<\alpha)$, there is a sufficiently large $r_{k}$ such that

$$
\exp \left\{r_{k}^{\alpha-\varepsilon}\right\}<\nu\left(r_{k}\right)<\exp \left\{r_{k}^{\alpha+\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

If $\alpha=0$, for any large $N>0$, there is a sufficiently large $r_{k}$ such that

$$
\nu\left(r_{k}\right)>r_{k}^{N}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Evidently, the solutions of Equation (1.3) are of infinite order. The hyper order is assumed to be $\rho_{2}(F)=\alpha<+\infty$, and the assertion is obtained through the reduction to a contradiction. As in Lemma 2.5, a sequence $\left\{z_{k}=r_{k} e^{i \theta_{k}}\right\}$ can be chosen such that

$$
\left|F\left(z_{k}\right)\right|=M\left(r_{k}, F\right), \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{k}=\theta_{0} \in[0,2 \pi), \quad \theta_{k} \in[0,2 \pi), \quad r_{k} \notin E, \quad r_{k} \rightarrow \infty
$$

According to Equation (1.3), the following expression holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{h(z)}=\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}-\frac{1}{F} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Wiman-Valiron Theorem to Equation (3.1), the following expressions can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{h(z)}=\frac{\nu(r)}{z}(1+o(1))+o(1) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nu(r)}{|z|}(1+o(1))+o(1)=\left|e^{h(z)}\right|=e^{\Re h(z)} \leq e^{|h(z)|} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\theta_{0}=0$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{z_{k_{j}}=r_{k_{j}} e^{i \theta_{k_{j}}}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{k}=r_{k} e^{i \theta_{k}}\right\}$ such that $z_{k_{j}} \in G(\gamma)$. Because $F$ is of infinite order, $\nu\left(r_{j_{k}}\right)>\left|z_{j_{k}}\right|^{N}$ for any large $N>0$. In addition, according to Lemma 2.3, $\left|h\left(z_{j_{k}}\right)\right|$ is bounded. Thus, (3.3) presents a contradiction.

If $\theta_{0} \in(0,2 \pi)$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{z_{k_{l}}=r_{k_{l}} e^{i \theta_{k_{l}}}\right\}$ of $\left\{z_{k}=r_{k} e^{i \theta_{k}}\right\}$ such that $z_{k_{l}} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash G(\gamma)$. Based on Lemma 2.4, the following expressions can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left|h\left(r_{k_{l}} e^{i \theta_{k_{l}}}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi \cos \left(\left(\theta_{k_{l}}-\pi\right) \rho\left(r_{k_{l}}\right)\right)}{\sin \left(\pi \rho\left(r_{k_{l}}\right)\right)} r_{k_{l}}^{\rho\left(r_{k_{l}}\right)}+O\left(\varepsilon\left(r_{k_{l}}\right)^{2} r_{k_{l}}^{\rho\left(r_{k_{l}}\right)}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{l \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\pi \cos \left(\left(\theta_{k_{l}}-\pi\right) \rho\left(r_{k_{l}}\right)\right)}{\sin \left(\pi \rho\left(r_{k_{l}}\right)\right)}>0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the principal branch of the logarithm of Equation (3.2) on both sides leads to the following term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\log \left(\frac{\nu(r)}{z}(1+o(1))+o(1)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, because $\nu(r)>|z|^{N}$ for any large $N>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(z)| \leq|\log | \frac{\nu(r)}{z}(1+o(1))+o(1)| |+2 \pi \leq \log \nu(r)+O(1) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 and $\rho_{2}(F)=\alpha$ lead to the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\log \log \nu(r)}{\log r} \leq \alpha+1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $r$. This results in the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(z)| \leq r^{\alpha+1}+O(1) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $r$. The combination of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.9) leads to a contradiction for $l \rightarrow+\infty$. Thus, the proof is completed.
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