

ON SYMMETRIC BI- f -DERIVATIONS OF LATTICE IMPLICATION ALGEBRAS

KYUNG HO KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notion of symmetric bi- f -derivation of lattice implication algebra and investigated some related properties. Also, we prove that if D is a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L , then $D(x \rightarrow y, z) = f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.

1. Introduction

The concept of lattice implication algebra was proposed by Y. Xu [11], in order to establish an alternative logic knowledge representation. Also, in [12], Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin discussed the properties lattice H implication algebras, and gave some equivalent conditions about lattice H implication algebras. Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin [13] introduced the notion of filters in a lattice implication, and investigated their properties. The present author [5, 14] introduced the notion of derivation and f -derivation in lattice implications algebras and obtained some related results. In this paper, we introduce the notion of symmetric bi- f -derivation of lattice implication algebra and investigated some related properties. Also, we prove that if D is a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L , then $D(x \rightarrow y, z) = f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.

2. Preliminary

A *lattice implication algebra* is an algebra $(L; \wedge, \vee, \iota, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 1, 2, 0, 0)$, where $(L; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice, “ ι ” is an order-reversing involution and “ \rightarrow ” is a binary operation, satisfying the following axioms, for all $x, y, z \in L$,

- (L1) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$,
- (L2) $x \rightarrow x = 1$,
- (L3) $x \rightarrow y = y' \rightarrow x'$,
- (L4) $x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x = 1 \Rightarrow x = y$,
- (L5) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$,

Received September 30, 2019; Accepted October 28, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y30.

Key words and phrases. Lattice implication algebra, symmetric bi- f -derivation, isotone, $Fix_d(L)$, *Kerd*, normal filter.

$$(L6) \quad (x \vee y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \wedge (y \rightarrow z),$$

$$(L7) \quad (x \wedge y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \vee (y \rightarrow z).$$

If L satisfies conditions (L1) – (L5), we say that L is a *quasi lattice implication algebra*. A lattice implication algebra L is called a *lattice H implication algebra* if it satisfies $x \vee y \vee ((x \wedge y) \rightarrow z) = 1$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.

In the sequel the binary operation “ \rightarrow ” will be denoted by juxtaposition. We can define a partial ordering “ \leq ” on a lattice implication algebra L by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \rightarrow y = 1$ for all $x, y \in L$.

In a lattice implication algebra L , the following hold (see [11]),

$$(u1) \quad 0 \rightarrow x = 1, 1 \rightarrow x = x \text{ and } x \rightarrow 1 = 1,$$

$$(u2) \quad x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$$

$$(u3) \quad x \leq y \text{ implies } y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z \text{ and } z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y,$$

$$(u4) \quad x' = x \rightarrow 0.$$

$$(u5) \quad x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y,$$

$$(u6) \quad ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y')' = x \wedge y = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x')',$$

$$(u7) \quad x \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y.$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$.

Definition 1. In a lattice H implication algebra L , the following hold, for all $x, y, z \in L$,

$$(u8) \quad x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = x \rightarrow y,$$

$$(u9) \quad x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).$$

Definition 2. A subset F of a lattice implication algebra L is called a *filter* of L if it satisfies,

$$(F1) \quad 1 \in F,$$

$$(F2) \quad x \in F \text{ and } x \rightarrow y \in F \text{ imply } y \in F, \text{ for all } x, y \in L.$$

Definition 3. Let L_1 and L_2 be lattice implication algebras. A mapping $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ is an *implication homomorphism* if

$$f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in L_1$. Moreover, if $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ satisfies the conditions

$$f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y), f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y), f(x') = f(x)'$$

for all $x, y \in L_1$, we say that f is a *lattice implication homomorphism* on L_1 .

Definition 4. Let L be a lattice implication algebra. A mapping $D(.,.) : L \times L \rightarrow L$ is called *symmetric* if $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ holds for all $x, y \in L$.

Definition 5. Let L be a lattice implication algebra and $x \in L$. A mapping $d(x) = D(x, x)$ is called *trace* of $D(.,.)$, where $D(.,.) : L \times L \rightarrow L$ is a symmetric mapping on L .

3. Symmetric bi- f -derivations of lattice implication algebras

In what follows, let L denote a lattice implication algebra and let f be an implication homomorphism on L unless otherwise specified.

Definition 6. Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let f be an implication homomorphism on L . A symmetric map $D : L \times L \rightarrow L$ is called a *symmetric bi- f -derivation* of L if the following condition holds

$$D(x \rightarrow y, z) = (f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z)) \vee (D(x, z) \rightarrow f(y))$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$.

The mapping $d : L \rightarrow L$ defined by $d(x) = D(x, x)$ is called the *trace* of symmetric bi- f -derivation D . Obviously, a symmetric bi- f -derivation D on L satisfies the relation

$$D(x, y \rightarrow z) = (D(x, y) \rightarrow f(z)) \vee (f(y) \rightarrow D(x, z))$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$.

Example 1. Let $L := \{0, a, b, 1\}$ be a set with the Cayley table.

x	x'	\rightarrow	0	a	b	1
0	1	0	1	1	1	1
a	b	a	b	1	1	1
b	a	b	a	b	1	1
1	0	1	0	a	b	1

For any $x \in L$, we have $x' = x \rightarrow 0$. The operations \wedge and \vee on L are defined as follows:

$$x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, \quad x \wedge y = ((x' \rightarrow y') \rightarrow y')'$$

Then $(L, \vee, \wedge, \iota, \rightarrow)$ is a lattice implication algebra. Define a map $D : L \times L \rightarrow L$ by

$$D(x, y) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \\ b & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, a) \text{ or } (x, y) = (a, 0) \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and define an endomorphism $f : L \rightarrow L$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x = 0, a \\ 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\ b & \text{if } x = b \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that D is a symmetric bi- f -derivation of lattice implication algebra L .

Proposition 3.1. Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation on L . Then the mapping $f_1(x) = D(x, z)$ is a f -derivation on L .

Proof. Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation on L . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x \rightarrow y) &= D(x \rightarrow y, z) = (f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z)) \vee (D(x, z) \rightarrow f(y)) \\ &= (f(x) \rightarrow f_1(y)) \vee (f_1(x) \rightarrow f(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y, z \in L$. This implies that f_1 is a f -derivation on L . \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $D(1, x) = D(x, 1) = 1$ for all $x \in L$.*

Proof. Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Since $f(1) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(1, x) &= D(1 \rightarrow 1, x) \\ &= (f(1) \rightarrow D(1, x)) \vee (D(1, x) \rightarrow f(1)) \\ &= (1 \rightarrow D(1, x)) \vee (D(1, x) \rightarrow 1) \\ &= D(1, x) \vee 1 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in L$. Similarly, $D(x, 1) = 1$ for every $x \in L$. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $D(1, 1) = 1$.*

Proposition 3.4. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $D(x, y) = D(x, y) \vee f(x)$ for all $x, y \in L$.*

Proof. Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) &= D(1 \rightarrow x, y) \\ &= (f(1) \vee D(x, y)) \vee (D(1, y) \rightarrow f(x)) \\ &= (1 \rightarrow D(x, y)) \vee (1 \rightarrow f(x)) \\ &= D(x, y) \vee f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . If d is a trace of D , then $d(x) = d(x) \vee f(x)$ for all $x \in L$.*

Proof. Let d be a trace of symmetric bi- f -derivation D of L . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= D(x, x) = D(1 \rightarrow x, x) \\ &= (f(1) \rightarrow D(x, x)) \vee (D(1, x) \rightarrow f(x)) = (1 \rightarrow d(x)) \vee (1 \rightarrow f(x)) \\ &= d(x) \vee f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in L$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . If d is a trace of D , then $f(x) \leq d(x)$ for all $x \in L$.*

Proposition 3.7. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $D(x, y) \geq f(x)$ and $D(x, y) \geq f(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$.*

Proof. Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) &= D(1 \rightarrow x, y) = (f(1) \rightarrow D(x, y)) \vee (D(1, y) \rightarrow f(x)) \\ &= (1 \rightarrow D(x, y)) \vee (1 \rightarrow f(x)) \\ &= D(x, y) \vee f(x) = (D(x, y) \rightarrow f(x)) \rightarrow f(x) \\ &= (f(x) \rightarrow D(x, y)) \rightarrow D(x, y) \geq f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$ by (u7). Similarly, we have $f(y) \leq D(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in L$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L and let d be a trace of D . Then $d(x) \rightarrow f(y) \leq f(x) \rightarrow f(y) \leq f(x) \rightarrow d(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.6, we have $f(x) \leq d(x)$ and $f(y) \leq d(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$. Hence we obtain

$$d(x) \rightarrow f(y) \leq f(x) \rightarrow f(y) \leq f(x) \rightarrow d(y)$$

for all $x, y \in L$, by (u3). \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $D(x \rightarrow y, z) = f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.*

Proof. Since $f(x) \leq D(x, z)$ and $f(y) \leq D(y, z)$ by Proposition 3.9, we have

$$D(x, z) \rightarrow f(y) \leq f(x) \rightarrow f(y) \leq f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} D(x \rightarrow y, z) &= (f(x) \rightarrow D(x, y)) \vee (D(x, z) \rightarrow f(y)) \\ &= (((f(x) \rightarrow D(x, y)) \rightarrow (D(x, z) \rightarrow f(y)))) \rightarrow (D(x, z) \rightarrow f(y)) \\ &= (((D(x, z) \rightarrow f(y)) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z)))) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z)) \\ &= f(x) \rightarrow D(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $D(x, y \rightarrow z) = f(y) \rightarrow D(x, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.*

Proof. Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y \rightarrow z) &= D(y \rightarrow z, x) = f(y) \rightarrow D(z, x) \\ &= f(y) \rightarrow D(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$ by Theorem 3.9. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $D(x, y) = f(x') \rightarrow (f(y') \rightarrow D(0, 0))$ for all $x, y \in L$.*

Proof. Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) &= D(x'', y'') = D(x' \rightarrow 0, y' \rightarrow 0) \\ &= f(x') \rightarrow D(0, y' \rightarrow 0) = f(x') \rightarrow (f(y') \rightarrow D(0, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$ by Theorem 3.9. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L and let d be a trace of D . Then $d(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow d(y))$ for all $x, y \in L$.*

Proof. Let d be a trace of symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \rightarrow y) &= D(x \rightarrow y, x \rightarrow y) \\ &= f(x) \rightarrow D(y, x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow D(x \rightarrow y, y) \\ &= f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow D(y, y)) = f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow d(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$ by Theorem 3.9. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L and let d be a trace of D . Then $d(x \vee y) = f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow d(y))$ for all $x, y \in L$.*

Proof. Let d be a trace of symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \vee y) &= D(x \vee y, x \vee y) = D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \\ &= f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D(y, (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) = f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, y) \\ &= f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D(y, y)) = f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow d(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$ by Theorem 3.9. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L and let d be a trace of D . If $x \leq y$, then $d(x \vee y) = d(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$.*

Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . For a fixed element $a \in L$, define a map $d_a : L \rightarrow L$ by $d_a(x) = D(x, a)$ for all $x \in L$.

Proposition 3.15. *Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then d_a is a f -derivation of L .*

Proof. Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x \rightarrow y) &= D(x \rightarrow y, a) = (f(x) \rightarrow D(y, a)) \vee (D(x, a) \rightarrow f(y)) \\ &= (f(x) \rightarrow d_a(y)) \vee (d_a(x) \rightarrow f(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.16. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $d_a(x) = d_a(x) \vee f(x)$ for every $x \in L$.
- (2) $d_a(x \vee y) = f(x \rightarrow y) \vee d_a(y)$ for every $x, y \in L$.
- (3) If $x \leq y$, then $d_a(x \vee y) = d_a(y) \vee f(y)$ for $x, y \in L$.

Proof. (1) For every $x \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x) &= D(x, a) = D(1 \rightarrow x, a) \\ &= (f(1) \rightarrow D(x, a)) \vee (D(1, a) \rightarrow f(x)) \\ &= (1 \rightarrow d_a(x)) \vee (1 \rightarrow f(x)) \\ &= d_a(x) \vee f(x). \end{aligned}$$

(2) For every $x, y \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x \vee y) &= D(x \vee y, a) = D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, a) \\ &= f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D(y, a) = f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow d_a(y) \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $x, y \in L$ be such that $x \leq y$. Then $x \rightarrow y = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x \vee y) &= D(x \vee y, a) = D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, a) \\ &= (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D(y, a)) \vee (D(x \rightarrow y, a) \rightarrow f(y)) \\ &= (1 \rightarrow D(y, a)) \vee (D(1, a) \rightarrow f(y)) = d_a(y) \vee f(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.17. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $d_a(1) = 1$.*

Proof. Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . By Theorem 3.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(1) &= D(1, a) = D(1 \rightarrow 1, a) \\ &= f(1) \rightarrow D(1, a) = 1 \vee 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Definition 7. Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric mapping on L . The mapping $D : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying $D(x \rightarrow y, z) = D(x, z) \rightarrow D(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$, is called a *joinitive mapping*.

Proposition 3.18. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric mapping on L . If D is a joinitive mapping, then d_a is isotone.*

Proof. Let D be a symmetric mapping on L and $x \leq y$. Then

$$d_a(x) \rightarrow d_a(y) = D(x, a) \rightarrow D(y, a) = D(x \rightarrow y, a) = D(1, a) = 1,$$

which implies $d_a(x) \leq d_a(y)$ for all $x, y \in L$. This completes the proof. □

Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let d be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Define a set $Fix_d(L)$ by

$$Fix_d(L) = \{x \in L \mid d(x) = D(x, x) = f(x)\}.$$

Proposition 3.19. *Let L be a lattice H implication algebra and let d be a trace of symmetric bi- f -derivation D of L . If $x \in L$ and $y \in Fix_d(L)$, then $x \vee y \in Fix_d(L)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in L$ and $y \in Fix_d(L)$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x \vee y) &= D(x \vee y, x \vee y) = D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \\
&= f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D(y, (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) = f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, y) \\
&= f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D(y, y)) = f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow d(y)) \\
&= f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow f(y)) = f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow f((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \\
&= f((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) = f(((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \\
&= f(1 \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) = f((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \\
&= f(x \vee y).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies $x \vee y \in Fix_d(L)$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.20. *Let L be a lattice H implication algebra and let d be a trace of symmetric bi- f -derivation D of L . If $x \in L$ and $y \in Fix_d(L)$, then $x \rightarrow y \in Fix_d(L)$.*

Proof. Let d be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . By Theorem 3.9 and by (u9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x \rightarrow y) &= D(x \rightarrow y, x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow D(x \rightarrow y, y) \\
&= f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow d(y)) = f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow f(y)) \\
&= (f(x) \rightarrow f(x)) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow f(y)) = 1 \rightarrow f(x \rightarrow y) \\
&= f(x \rightarrow y).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Definition 8. Let L be a lattice implication algebra. A non-empty set F of L is called a *normal filter* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $1 \in F$,
- (2) $x \in L$ and $y \in F$ imply $x \rightarrow y \in F$.

Theorem 3.21. *Let L be a lattice H implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then $Fix_d(L)$ is a normal filter of L .*

Proof. Clearly, $1 \in Fix_d(L)$. Let $x \in L$ and $y \in Fix_d(L)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x \rightarrow y) &= D(x \rightarrow y, x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow D(x \rightarrow y, y) \\
&= f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow d(y)) = f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow f(y)) \\
&= (f(x) \rightarrow f(x)) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow f(y)) = 1 \rightarrow f(x \rightarrow y) \\
&= f(x \rightarrow y).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this implies that $Fix_d(L)$ is normal filter of L . This completes the proof. \square

Let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L and let d be a trace of D . Define a set $Kerd$ by

$$Kerd = \{x \in L \mid D(x, x) = d(x) = 1\}.$$

Proposition 3.22. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . If $x \in L$ and $y \in \text{Kerd}$, then $x \rightarrow y \in \text{Kerd}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in L$ and $y \in \text{Fix}_d(L)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \rightarrow y) &= D(x \rightarrow y, x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow D(y, x \rightarrow y) \\ &= f(x) \rightarrow D(x \rightarrow y, y) = f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow D(y, y)) \\ &= f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow d(y)) = f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow 1) \\ &= f(x) \rightarrow 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \rightarrow y \in \text{Kerd}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.23. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . If $x \in L$ and $y \in \text{Kerd}$, then $x \vee y \in \text{Kerd}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in L$ and $y \in \text{Kerd}$. Then we obtain $d(y) = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \vee y) &= D(x \vee y, x \vee y) = D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \\ &= (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D(y, (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)) = (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, y))) \\ &= (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow D(y, y))) = (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow d(y))) \\ &= (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 1)) = f(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x \vee y \in \text{Kerd}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.24. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . If $x \leq y$ and $x \in \text{Kerd}$, then $y \in \text{Kerd}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \text{Kerd}$ and $x \leq y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(y) &= D(y, y) = D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) = D(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x, (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \\ &= f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow D(x, (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) = f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow D((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x, x) \\ &= f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow D(x, x)) = f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow 1) \\ &= f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow 1) = f(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this implies that $y \in \text{Kerd}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.25. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation on L . If d is isotone, then Kerd is a down closed set, that is, $x \leq y$ and $y \in \text{Kerd}(L)$ implies $x \in \text{Kerd}$.*

Proof. Let x, y be such that $x \leq y$ and $x \in \text{Kerd}$. Then we have $d(x) \leq d(y) = 1$, which implies $d(x) = 1$, that is, $x \in \text{Kerd}$. Hence $x \in \text{Fix}_d(L)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.26. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation of L . Then Kerd is a normal filter of L .*

Proof. Clearly, $1 \in \text{Kerd}$. Let $x \in L$ and $y \in \text{Kerd}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \rightarrow y) &= D(x \rightarrow y, x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow D(x \rightarrow y, y) \\ &= f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow d(y)) = f(x) \rightarrow (f(x) \rightarrow 1) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this implies that Kerd is a normal filter of L . This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.27. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra, D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation on L and f be a lattice implication homomorphism on L . Then $D(x \vee y, z) = D(f(x), z) \vee D(f(y), z)$ and $D(x \wedge y, z) = D(f(x), z) \wedge D(f(y), z)$ for all $x, y, z \in L$.*

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in L$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x \vee y, z) &= D(x'' \vee y'', z) = D((x' \wedge y') \rightarrow 0, z) \\ &= f(x' \wedge y') \rightarrow D(0, z) = (f(x') \rightarrow D(0, z)) \vee ((y') \rightarrow D(0, z)) \\ &= D(f(x') \rightarrow 0, z) \vee D(f(y') \rightarrow 0, z) = D(f(x')', z) \vee D(f(y')', z) \\ &= D(f(x), z) \vee D(f(y), z). \end{aligned}$$

We can prove the case of meet operation in the similar way. \square

Proposition 3.28. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation on L . If $f(x) = x$, then $D(x', x) = D(x, x') = 1$ for every $x \in L$.*

Proof. For every $x \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x', x) &= D(x \rightarrow 0, x) = f(x) \rightarrow D(0, x) \\ &= f(x) \rightarrow D(x, 0) = x \rightarrow D(x, 0) = D(x \rightarrow x, 0) = D(1, 0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 3.29. *Let L be a lattice implication algebra and let D be a symmetric bi- f -derivation on L . If $x' \leq y$ for every $x, y \in L$ and $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in L$, we have $D(y, x) = 1$.*

Proof. For every $x, y \in L$, we know that $x' \leq y$ implies $x' \vee y = y$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(y, x) &= D(x' \vee y, x) = D(f(x'), x) \vee D(f(y), x) \\ &= D(x', x) \vee D(y, x) = 1 \vee D(y, x) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

\square

References

- [1] L. Bolc and P. Borowik, *Many-Valued Logic*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [2] Yilmaz Ceven and Mehmet Ali Ozturk, *On f -derivations of lattice*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc, **45** (2008), 701–707.
- [3] Alev Firat, *On f -derivations of BCC-algebras*, Ars Combinatoria, **XCVIIA** (2010), 377–382.
- [4] J. A. Goguen, *The logic of inexact concepts*, Synthese **19** (1969), 325–373.
- [5] S. D. Lee and K. H. Kim, *On derivations of lattice implication algebras*, Ars Combinatoria, **108** (2013), 279–288.
- [6] J. Liu and Y. Xu, *On certain filters in lattice implication algebras*, Chinese Quarterly J. Math. **11(4)** (1996), 106–111.
- [7] J. Liu and Y. Xu, *On the property (P) of lattice implication algebras*, J. Lanzhou Univ. **32** (1996), 344–348.
- [8] J. Liu and Y. Xu, *Filters and structure of lattice implication algebras*, Chinese Science Bulletin **42(18)** (1997), 1517–1520.
- [9] C. Prabpayak and U. Leerawat, *On derivations of BCC-algebras*, Kasetsart J. **43** (2009), 398–401.
- [10] Z. M. Song, *Study on the theoretical foundation of uncertainty information processing based on lattice implication algebras and method of uncertainty reasoning*, Ph. D. Thesis, Southwest Jiaotong University, China (1998) (in Chinese).
- [11] Y. Xu, *Lattice implication algebras*, J. Southwest Jiaotong Univ. **1** (1993), 20–27.
- [12] Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin, *Lattice H implication algebras and lattice implication algebra classes*, J. Hebei Mining and Civil Engineering Institute **3** (1992), 139–143.
- [13] Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin, *On filters of lattice implication algebras*, J. Fuzzy Math. **1(2)** (1993), 251–260.
- [14] Y. H. Yon and K. H. Kim, *On f -derivations of lattice implication algebras*, Ars Combinatoria, **110** (2013), 205–215.

KYUNG HO KIM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF TRANSPORTATION
CHUNGJU 27469, KOREA

E-mail address: ghkim@ut.ac.kr