

**EQUIVALENCE CONSTANTS FOR THE l_p -NORMS AND
 THE l_q -SYMMETRIC MULTILINEAR OPERATOR NORMS
 OF VECTORS IN \mathbb{C}^n**

SUNG GUEN KIM

ABSTRACT. We investigate the best equivalence constants for the l_p -norms and the l_q -symmetric multilinear operator norms of vectors in \mathbb{C}^n which are induced by symmetric n -linear forms. In this paper, we provides estimates which are either best possible or close to best possible.

1. Introduction

In the following all scalars are complex. Let $m, n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. We write l_p^n for the Banach space of all n -tuples (z_1, \dots, z_n) equipped with the l_p -norm. For $m \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$, we denote the l_p -coefficient norms by

$$|A|_p := \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{ij}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1 \leq p < \infty)$$

and

$$|A|_\infty := \max_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{ij}|, \quad (p = \infty).$$

We denote the l_p -operator norms by

$$\|A\|_p := \sup \{ \|A(z_1, \dots, z_n)^t\|_p : \|(z_1, \dots, z_n)\|_p \leq 1 \}.$$

The problem of determining the equivalence constants for the l_p -coefficient norms and the l_p -operator norms was raised by Goldberg [4] who showed that for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$,

$$\|A\|_p \leq m^{\max(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}, 0)} n^{\max(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{p}, 0)} |A|_q,$$

where q' denotes the index conjugate to q . He also proved that these inequalities are sharp.

Goldberg left an open question: Determine the best constant $c = c(m, n, p, q)$ such that $c|A|_q \leq \|A\|_p$ for all $m \times n$ matrices A .

Received June 28, 2019; Accepted August 22, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46A22.

Key words and phrases. Equivalence constants for certain norms on \mathbb{C}^n .

Feng [2, 3] and Tonge [5] investigated the equivalence constants for the l_p -coefficient norms and l_q -operator norms of complex $m \times n$ matrices, and provided estimates which are either best possible or close to best possible.

We denote $\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)$ by the Banach space of symmetric n -linear forms on l_p^2 equipped with the norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)} = \sup_{\|(x_i, y_i)\|_p=1, 1 \leq i \leq n} |T((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))|. \quad (\text{see [1]})$$

For $j = 0, \dots, n$, we let

$$F_j((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) := \sum_{\{l_1, \dots, l_j, k_1, \dots, k_{n-j}\} = \{1, \dots, n\}} x_{l_1} \cdots x_{l_j} y_{k_1} \cdots y_{k_{n-j}},$$

where $(x_i, y_i) \in l_p^2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, $\{F_0, \dots, F_n\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)$. Hence, $\dim(\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)) = n + 1$. If $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)$, then

$$T = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j F_j$$

for some $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. By simplicity, we denote $T = (a_0, \dots, a_n)^t$.

We define the l_p -symmetric multilinear operator norm on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} by

$$\|(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)} := \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)},$$

where $(z_0, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $T = (z_0, \dots, z_n)^t \in \mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)$.

Since the norms $\|\cdot\|_q$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)}$ are equivalent on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , there are $A > 0$ and $B > 0$ such that for all $(z_0, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$,

$$A\|(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_q \leq \|(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)} \leq B\|(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_q.$$

Let

$$A_{(p,q:n)} := \sup\{A > 0 : A\|(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_q \leq \|(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)} \text{ for all } (z_0, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\}$$

and

$$B_{(p,q:n)} := \inf\{B > 0 : \|(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)} \leq B\|(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_q \text{ for all } (z_0, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}\}.$$

We say that $A_{(p,q:n)}$ and $B_{(p,q:n)}$ are the best equivalence constants for the norms $\|\cdot\|_q$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(^n l_p^2)}$ on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

In this paper, we show that for $n \geq 2$,

- (a) $B_{(p,\infty:n)} = 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}$ for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$;
- (b) $(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_{(p,q:n)} \leq 1$ for $1 \leq p < \infty, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$;

$$(c) \quad 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \leq B_{(p,q:n)} \\ \leq (2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} + 2^{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} ([\frac{nC_j + 1}{2}])^{\frac{q}{q-1}})^{1-\frac{1}{q}}$$

for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.

2. Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$B_{(p,\infty:n)} = 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}.$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)$ with $T = (a_0, \dots, a_n)^t$ for some $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $(x_i, y_i) \in l_p^2$ with $\|(x_i, y_i)\|_p = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |T((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))| \\ & \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} |a_j| |F_j((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))| \\ & \leq \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_\infty \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} |F_j((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))| \\ & \leq \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_\infty \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \left(\sum_{\{l_1, \dots, l_j, k_1, \dots, k_{n-j}\} = \{1, \dots, n\}} |x_{l_1}| \cdots |x_{l_j}| |y_{k_1}| \cdots |y_{k_{n-j}}| \right) \\ & = \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_\infty (|x_1| + |y_1|) \times \cdots \times (|x_n| + |y_n|) \\ & \leq \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_\infty 2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} (|x_1|^p + |y_1|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \cdots \times 2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} (|x_n|^p + |y_n|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad (\text{by Hölder's inequality}) \\ & = \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_\infty 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)} = \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)} \leq 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_\infty$$

for all $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, $B_{(p,\infty:n)} \leq 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}$.

Claim: (*) $\|(1, \dots, 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)} \geq 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1, \dots, 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)} &= \sup_{\|(x_i, y_i)\|_p=1, 1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} F_j((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) \right| \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} F_j((2^{-\frac{1}{p}}, 2^{-\frac{1}{p}}), \dots, (2^{-\frac{1}{p}}, 2^{-\frac{1}{p}})) \right| \\ &= \left(\sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} nC_j \right) 2^{-\frac{n}{p}} \\ &= 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})} &\leq \|(1, \dots, 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)} \\
&\leq B_{(p, \infty:n)} \|(1, \dots, 1)\|_\infty \\
&= B_{(p, \infty:n)} \\
&\leq 2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}.
\end{aligned}
\quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $n \geq 2$. Then

$$(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_{(p,q:n)} \leq 1.$$

Proof. Note that

$$A_{(p,q:n)} = A_{(p,q:n)} \|(1, 0, \dots, 0)\|_q \leq \|(1, 0, \dots, 0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)} = 1.$$

Hence, $A_{(p,q:n)} \leq 1$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)$ with $T = (a_0, \dots, a_n)^t$ for some $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(nl_p^2)} &= \sup_{\|(x_i, y_i)\|_p=1, 1 \leq i \leq n} |T((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))| \\
&\geq \max\{|T(e_2, \dots, e_2, e_1, \dots, e_1)| : 0 \leq i \leq n \\
&\quad \text{and } e_2 \text{ appears } i \text{ times}\} \\
&= \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_\infty \\
&\geq (n+1)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_q,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \leq A_{(p,q:n)}$. \square

Since $A_{(p,\infty:n)} = 1$, the estimates of Theorem 2.2 are best possible.

Lemma 2.3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $(x_i, y_i) \in l_p^2$ with $\|(x_i, y_i)\|_p = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$|F_j((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))| \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{nC_j + 1}{2} \right],$$

where $\left[\frac{nC_j + 1}{2} \right]$ is the largest integer less than or equal to $\frac{nC_j + 1}{2}$.

Proof. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|F_j((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))| \\
&\leq \sum_{\{l_1, \dots, l_j, k_1, \dots, k_{n-j}\} = \{1, \dots, n\}} |x_{l_1}| \cdots |x_{l_j}| |y_{k_1}| \cdots |y_{k_{n-j}}| \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq s \leq \left[\frac{nC_j + 1}{2} \right], 1 \leq k_s \leq n} (|x_{k_s}| + |y_{k_s}|) \\
&\leq \sum_{1 \leq s \leq \left[\frac{nC_j + 1}{2} \right], 1 \leq k_s \leq n} 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}} (|x_{k_s}|^p + |y_{k_s}|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (\text{by H\"older's inequality})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{1 \leq s \leq [\frac{nC_j+1}{2}]} 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}} [\frac{nC_j+1}{2}].
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that $\|F_0\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{N}l_p^2)} = 1 = \|F_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{N}l_p^2)}$. By Lemma 2.3, $\|F_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{N}l_p^2)} \leq 2^{1-\frac{1}{p}} [\frac{nC_j+1}{2}]$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \leq B_{(p,q;n)} \leq (2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} + 2^{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} ([\frac{nC_j+1}{2}])^{\frac{q}{q-1}})^{1-\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{N}l_p^2)$ with $T = (a_0, \dots, a_n)^t$ for some $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $(x_i, y_i) \in l_p^2$ with $\|(x_i, y_i)\|_p = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|T((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))| \\
&\leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} |a_j| |F_j((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))| \\
&\leq \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_q (|F_0((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))|^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \\
&\quad + |F_n((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))|^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} |F_j((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n))|^{\frac{q}{q-1}})^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \text{ (by Hölder's inequality)} \\
&\leq \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_q (|x_1| + |y_1|) \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} (2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} [\frac{nC_j+1}{2}])^{\frac{q}{q-1}})^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \text{ (by Lemma 2.3)} \\
&\leq \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_q (2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} (2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} [\frac{nC_j+1}{2}])^{\frac{q}{q-1}})^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \text{ (by Hölder's inequality)} \\
&= \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_q (2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} + 2^{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} ([\frac{nC_j+1}{2}])^{\frac{q}{q-1}})^{1-\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that for every $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{N}l_p^2)} &= \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(\mathbb{N}l_p^2)} \\
&\leq (2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} + 2^{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} ([\frac{nC_j+1}{2}])^{\frac{q}{q-1}})^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \|(a_0, \dots, a_n)\|_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $B_{(p,q;n)} \leq (2^{(1-\frac{1}{p})} + 2^{p-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} ([\frac{nC_j+1}{2}])^{\frac{q}{q-1}})^{1-\frac{1}{q}}$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$.

By $(*)$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})} \leq \|(1, \dots, 1)\|_{\mathcal{L}_s(n l_p^2)} \leq B_{(p,q:n)} \|(1, \dots, 1)\|_q = B_{(p,q:n)} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

which implies that $\frac{2^{n(1-\frac{1}{p})}}{(n+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \leq B_{(p,q:n)}$ for every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$. \square

We do not know whether the estimates of Theorem 2.4 are best possible.

References

- [1] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite-Dimensional Spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-0869-6>
- [2] B. Q. Feng, *Equivalence constants for certain matrix norms*, Linear Algebra Appl. **374** (2003), 247–253. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795\(03\)00616-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795(03)00616-5)
- [3] B. Q. Feng and A. Tonge, *Equivalence constants for certain matrix norms. II*, Linear Algebra Appl. **420** (2007), no. 2-3, 388–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2006.07.015>
- [4] M. Goldberg, *Equivalence constants for l_p norms of matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **21** (1987), no. 2, 173–179. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081088708817789>
- [5] A. Tonge, *Equivalence constants for matrix norms: a problem of Goldberg*, Linear Algebra Appl. **306** (2000), no. 1-3, 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795\(99\)00155-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795(99)00155-X)

SUNG GUEN KIM
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
DAEGU 41566, KOREA
Email address: sgk317@knu.ac.kr