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Abstract. We consider the boundary value problem for the deflection of a finite beam on an elastic foundation subject to vertical loading. We construct a one-to-one correspondence $\Gamma$ from the set of equivalent well-posed two-point boundary conditions to $\text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$. Using $\Gamma$, we derive eigenconditions for the integral operator $K_M$ for each well-posed two-point boundary condition represented by $M \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$. Special features of our eigenconditions include: (1) they isolate the effect of the boundary condition $M$ on $\text{Spec} \; K_M$, (2) they connect $\text{Spec} \; K_M$ to $\text{Spec} \; K_{l,\alpha,k}$ whose structure has been well understood. Using our eigenconditions, we show that, for each nonzero real $\lambda \not\in \text{Spec} \; K_{l,\alpha,k}$, there exists a real well-posed boundary condition $M$ such that $\lambda \in \text{Spec} \; K_M$. This in particular shows that the integral operators $K_M$ arising from well-posed boundary conditions, may not be positive nor contractive in general, as opposed to $K_{l,\alpha,k}$.

1. Introduction

We consider the boundary value problem for the vertical deflection of a linear-shaped beam of finite length $2l$ resting horizontally on an elastic foundation, while the beam is subject to a vertical loading. Due to its wide range of applications, this problem has been one of the main topics in mechanical engineering for decades [1, 2, 5–11, 13–15]. By the classical Euler beam theory [13], the upward vertical beam deflection $u(x)$ satisfies the following linear fourth-order ordinary differential equation.

$$EI \cdot u^{(4)}(x) + k \cdot u(x) = w(x), \quad x \in [-l, l].$$

Here, $k$ is the spring constant density of the elastic foundation, and $w(x)$ is the downward load density applied vertically on the beam. The constants $E$ and $I$ are the Young’s modulus and the mass moment of inertia respectively.
so that $EI$ is the flexural rigidity of the beam. Denoting $\alpha = \sqrt[4]{k/EI} > 0$, we transform (1.1) into the following equivalent form, which we call $DE(w)$.

(1.2) $DE(w): u^{(4)} + \alpha^4 u = \frac{\alpha^4 k}{4} \cdot w.$

Throughout this paper, we will assume that $l, \alpha, k$ are fixed positive constants. The homogeneous version of (1.2) is

(1.3) $DE(0): u^{(4)} + \alpha^4 u = 0.$

Let $gl(m, n, \mathbb{C})$ (respectively, $gl(m, n, \mathbb{R})$) be the set of $m \times n$ matrices with complex (respectively, real) entries. When $m = n$, we denote $gl(n, \mathbb{C}) = gl(n, n, \mathbb{C})$ and $gl(n, \mathbb{R}) = gl(n, n, \mathbb{R})$. Define the following linear operator $\mathcal{B}: C^4[-l, l] \to gl(8, 1, \mathbb{C})$ by

(1.4) $\mathcal{B}[u] = \begin{pmatrix} u(-l) & u'(-l) & u''(-l) & u^{(3)}(-l) \\ u(l) & u'(l) & u''(l) & u^{(3)}(l) \end{pmatrix}^T,$

where $C^n[-l, l]$ is the space of $n$ times differentiable complex-valued functions on the interval $[-l, l]$. Then any two-point boundary condition can formally be given with a $4 \times 8$ matrix $M \in gl(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ and a $4 \times 1$ matrix $b \in gl(4, 1, \mathbb{C})$ as follows.

(1.5) $M \cdot \mathcal{B}[u] = b.$

For example, the boundary condition $u(-l) = u_-, u'(-l) = u'_-, u(l) = u_+, u'(l) = u'_+$ corresponds to the case when

$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} u_- \\ u'_- \\ u_+ \\ u'_+ \end{pmatrix}.$

The homogeneous boundary condition associated to (1.5), which we denote by $BC(M)$, is

(1.6) $BC(M): M \cdot \mathcal{B}[u] = 0,$

where $0 = (0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)^T.$ The boundary value problem consisting of the nonhomogeneous equation $DE(w)$ and the boundary condition (1.5) is well-posed, if it has a unique solution. In fact, it is easy to see that this boundary value problem is well-posed for any fixed $w$ and $b$, if and only if the boundary value problem consisting of the homogeneous equation $DE(0)$ and the homogeneous boundary condition $BC(M)$ is well-posed, in which case we will just call $M \in gl(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ well-posed. We denote the set of all well-posed matrices in $gl(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ by $wp(4, 8, \mathbb{C}).$

It is well-known from the classical Green function theory [12] that, for each well-posed $M \in wp(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$, there exists a unique function $G_M(x, \xi)$ defined on $[-l, l] \times [-l, l]$, called the Green function corresponding to $M$, such that
the unique solution of the boundary value problem consisting of DE($w$) and BC($M$) is given by

$$K_M[w] = \int_{-l}^{l} G_M(x,\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi$$

for every continuous function $w$ on $[-l, l]$. The integral operator $K_M$ becomes a compact linear operator on the Hilbert space $L^2[-l, l]$ of complex-valued square-integrable functions on $[-l, l]$. Analyzing the structure of the spectrum $\text{Spec} K_M$, or the set of eigenvalues, of the operator $K_M$, is of paramount importance for understanding the boundary value problem represented by given well-posed $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, C)$.

We call $M, N \in \text{wp}(4, 8, C)$ equivalent, and denote $M \approx N$, when $K_M = K_N$, or equivalently, when $G_M = G_N$. For given $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, C)$, denote by $[M]$ the equivalence class with respect to $\approx$ containing $M$. The set of all these equivalence classes, which is the quotient set $\text{wp}(4, 8, C)/\approx$ of $\text{wp}(4, 8, C)$ by the relation $\approx$, is denoted simply by $\text{wp}(C)$.

In [3,4], Choi analyzed an integral operator $K_{l,\alpha,k} = K_l$ on $L^2[-l, l]$ defined by

$$(1.7) \quad K_{l,\alpha,k}[w](x) = \int_{-l}^{l} G(x,\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi,$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad G(x,\xi) = \frac{\alpha}{2k} \exp \left( -\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} |x - \xi| \right) \sin \left( \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} |x - \xi| + \frac{\pi}{4} \right)$$

is the Green function of the boundary value problem consisting of DE(0) and the boundary condition $\lim_{x \to \pm \infty} u(x) = 0$ for an infinitely long beam. It turns out that $K_{l,\alpha,k} = K_Q$ in our terminology, where

$$(1.9) \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \frac{\alpha^2}{2} & -\sqrt{2} \alpha & 1 \\
\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 & -\alpha^2 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \alpha & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

in $\text{wp}(4, 8, C)$. What is special about this particular operator $K_Q$ is that its spectrum is exceptionally well-understood. In Proposition 1 below, $h$ is an explicitly defined strictly increasing function from $[0, \infty)$ to itself such that $h(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \to \infty} h(t)/t = L$, where $L = 2\alpha$ is the dimensionless constant called the intrinsic length of the beam. For two nonnegative functions $f, g$ defined either on $[0, \infty)$ or on $\mathbb{N}$, denote $f(t) \sim g(t)$, if there exists $T > 0$ such that $m \leq f(t)/g(t) \leq M$ for every $t > T$ for some constants $0 < m \leq M < \infty$. Thus $h^{-1}(t) \sim t/L$ with this notation.
Especially, our construction of the map $\Gamma$ has the following features. To obtain an eigencondition and characteristic equations for the operator $K$ which connect $\text{Spec}_K Q$ condition, we gl(4, beam. Specifically, where $\mu_n$ and $\nu_n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ depend only on the intrinsic length $L$ of the beam. $\mu_n \sim \nu_n \sim n^{-4}$, and

$$
\frac{1}{1 + \left\{ h^{-1} \left( 2\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^4} < \nu_n < \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ h^{-1} \left( 2\pi n \right) \right\}^4}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,
$$

$$
\frac{1}{1 + \left\{ h^{-1} \left( 2\pi n - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^4} - \mu_n \sim \nu_n - \frac{1}{1 + \left\{ h^{-1} \left( 2\pi n + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right\}^4} \sim n^{-5} e^{-2\pi n},
$$

$$
\frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 2\pi (n - 1) + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^4} - \mu_n \sim \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 2\pi (n - 1) + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^4} - \nu_n \sim n^{-6}.
$$

In fact, numerical values of $\mu_n$ and $\nu_n$ can be computed with arbitrary precision for any given $L > 0$. See [4] for more details.

In this paper, we will construct the Green function $G_M$ explicitly for every $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$. As a result, we construct an explicit map $\text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$, $M \mapsto G_M$, in such a way that $G_M = G_N$, if and only if $M \approx N$. This induces a map $\Gamma : \text{wp}(\mathbb{C}) \to \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$, where $\Gamma ([M]) = G_M$ for $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$. Especially, our construction of the map $\Gamma$ has the following features.

(1) $\Gamma$ is a one-to-one correspondence from $\text{wp}(\mathbb{C})$ to $\text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$.

(2) $\Gamma ([Q]) = O$.

(3) $\Gamma$ is constructive, in that $\Gamma ([M])$ can be computed explicitly for any given $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$, and conversely, a representative of $\Gamma^{-1} (G)$ in $\text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ can be computed explicitly for any given $G \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$.

By (1), $\Gamma$ can be regarded as a faithful representation of $\text{wp}(\mathbb{C})$ by the algebra $\text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$. (2) says that $\Gamma$ is constructed to incorporate the special boundary condition $Q$. This will enable us in Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1, 2 below to obtain an eigencondition and characteristic equations for the operator $K_M$, which connect $\text{Spec}_K M$ for general $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ to the well-understood $\text{Spec}_K Q$ in Proposition 1. (3) means that our eigencondition and characteristic equations for $K_M$ are constructed explicitly for each given $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$. Conversely, whenever you find a class of matrices in $\text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ with which you can say something about the corresponding eigencondition in Theorem 1, you can translate them back to the corresponding boundary conditions explicitly. In fact, this is exactly what we do in Theorem 2 below.

Among well-posed boundary conditions in $\text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$, ones with real entries are of particular interest. We denote by $\text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$, the set of well-posed matrices in $\text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ with real entries. The set of all equivalence classes $[M]$
in \( \wp(C) \) such that \( M \approx N \) for some \( N \in \wp(4,8,\mathbb{R}) \), is denoted by \( \wp(\mathbb{R}) \).

To characterize \( \wp(\mathbb{R}) \), we introduce an \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra \( \pi(4) \) contained in \( \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \).

With \( \pi(4) \), we have a faithful representation of \( \wp(\mathbb{R}) \), which is another feature of \( \Gamma \).

\( (\Gamma 4) \ \Gamma(\wp(\mathbb{R})) = \pi(4) \).

The usefulness of \( \pi(4) \) is not just limited to characterizing \( \wp(\mathbb{R}) \). The \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra \( \pi(4) \) is designed to measure an important symmetry of \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices, which is utilized in proving Theorem 2.

Using our representation \( \Gamma \), we prove Theorem 1 below. Here, \( X_\lambda(x) \in \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \) and \( Y_\lambda(x) \in \text{gl}(4,1,\mathbb{C}) \) will be defined explicitly for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) in Section 6.2. Note that the second statement follows immediately from the first one and \( (\Gamma 2) \) above.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( M \in \wp(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \), \( 0 \neq u \in L^2[-l,l] \), and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). Then \( K_M[u] = \lambda \cdot u \), if and only if \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and there exists \( 0 \neq c \in \text{gl}(4,1,\mathbb{C}) \) such that \( u = y_\lambda c \) and \( G_M \{ X_\lambda(l) - X_\lambda(-l) \} + X_\lambda(l) \cdot c = 0 \). \( K_Q[u] = \lambda \cdot u \), if and only if \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and there exists \( 0 \neq c \in \text{gl}(4,1,\mathbb{C}) \) such that \( u = y_\lambda c \) and \( X_\lambda(l) \cdot c = 0 \).

Thus, if we focus on the spectrum \( \text{Spec} K_M \), we have the following characteristic equation.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( M \in \wp(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \) and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). Then \( \lambda \in \text{Spec} K_M \), if and only if \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and \( \det \{ G_M \{ X_\lambda(l) - X_\lambda(-l) \} + X_\lambda(l) \} = 0 \). \( \lambda \in \text{Spec} K_Q \), if and only if \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and \( \det X_\lambda(l) = 0 \).

Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 reveal an interesting connection between \( \text{Spec} K_M \) for general \( M \in \wp(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \) and the well-analyzed \( \text{Spec} K_Q \). The forms of the eigencondition and the characteristic equation for \( K_M \) in them isolate the effect \( G_M \) of the boundary condition \( M \in \wp(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \), from the rest that is expressed essentially by the matrix \( X_\lambda \) which is closely related to \( \text{Spec} K_Q \).

By Corollary 1, \( X_\lambda(l) \) is invertible for every \( 0 \neq \lambda \notin \text{Spec} K_Q \). Thus we can define \( Y_\lambda(l) = X_\lambda(-l)X_\lambda(l)^{-1} - I \in \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \) for every \( 0 \neq \lambda \notin \text{Spec} K_Q \), where \( I \) is the \( 4 \times 4 \) identity matrix.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( M \in \wp(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \). Suppose \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Spec} K_Q \). Then \( \lambda \in \text{Spec} K_M \), if and only if \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and \( \det \{ G_M Y_\lambda(l) - I \} = 0 \).

Let \( M \in \wp(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \). We call the dimensionless quantity \( k \cdot \| K_M \|_2 \) the intrinsic \( L^2 \)-norm of \( K_M \), where \( \| K_M \|_2 \) is the usual \( L^2 \)-norm of \( K_M \), which is equal to the spectral radius \( \max \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \text{Spec} K_M \} \) of \( K_M \). For each \( \lambda \in \text{Spec} K_M \), we call the dimensionless quantity \( k \cdot \lambda \) an intrinsic eigenvalue. By Proposition 1, the operator \( K_Q \) is positive in that all of its intrinsic eigenvalues are positive, and is contractive in that its intrinsic \( L^2 \)-norm, which equals to its largest intrinsic eigenvalue \( \mu_1 \), is less than 1. Since these properties of \( K_Q \) are important in analyzing nonlinear non-uniform problem corresponding to DE(\( w \)) in (1.2) [5,6], one immediate question is whether or not they are also
shared by other general $K_M$. Using Corollary 2, we prove the following negative answer.

**Theorem 2.** For each $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \text{Spec} K_Q$, there exists $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\lambda \in \text{Spec} K_M$.

Thus, one cannot expect $K_M$ to be positive nor contractive even for real $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$. This result, which shows the diversity of general well-posed boundary conditions, and might have been tricky to obtain otherwise, demonstrates the usefulness of our presentation of the eigencondition and the characteristic equations for operators $K_M$ with general $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present basic mathematical terminologies we use, and introduce some specific matrices useful to our problems. In Section 3, the Green function $G_M$ is explicitly constructed, and an initial form of eigencondition for the operator $K_M$ is presented for each well-posed $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$. Using the results in Section 3, the two intermediate representations $\Gamma^-$, $\Gamma^+$ of $\text{wp}(\mathbb{C})$ are constructed and analyzed in Section 4.1. In Section 4.2, the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra $\pi(n)$ is introduced, and $\pi(4)$ is used to characterize the real boundary conditions $\text{wp}(\mathbb{R})$. In Section 5, explicit computations on the boundary condition $Q$ in (1.9) are performed, resulting in explicit forms of $\Gamma^-(\mathbb{Q}) = G_Q^-$ and $\Gamma^+(\mathbb{Q}) = G_Q^+$. In Section 6.1, the representation $\Gamma$ is constructed, and is shown to have the features $(\Gamma_1)$, $(\Gamma_2)$, $(\Gamma_3)$, and $(\Gamma_4)$ above. In Section 6.2, the matrices $X_\lambda(x)$ and $Y_\lambda(x)$ are explored, and in particular, we show that $Y_\lambda(l) \in \pi(4)$ for every $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \text{Spec} K_Q$ and $l > 0$. Using the results in Section 7, we prove Theorem 2 in Section 8. Finally, brief comments on future directions are given in Section 9.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Terminologies

We denote $i = \sqrt{-1}$. When the $(i,j)$th entry of $A \in \text{gl}(m, n, \mathbb{C})$ is $a_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, we write $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$. In case $m = n$, we also write $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$. For $A \in \text{gl}(m, n, \mathbb{C})$, we denote the $(i,j)$th entry of $A$ by $A_{i,j}$. The complex conjugate, the transpose, and the conjugate transpose of $A \in \text{gl}(m, n, \mathbb{C})$ are denoted respectively by $A^\dagger$, $A^T$, $A^*$. For $A \in \text{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$, adj $A$ is the classical adjoint of $A$, so that, if $A$ is invertible, then $A^{-1} = \text{adj} A / \det A$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (respectively, $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$) be the set of invertible matrices in $\text{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ (respectively, in $\text{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$). $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is orthogonal, if $A^{-1} = A^T$, and is unitary, if $A^{-1} = A^*$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $O(n)$ and $U(n)$ be the set of orthogonal matrices and the set of unitary matrices in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ respectively. Regardless of their sizes, we denote by $I$ and $O$, the identity matrix and the zero matrix respectively. In case of possible confusion with size, we denote
I = I_n ∈ gl(n, C), O = O_{mn} ∈ gl(m, n, C), O = O_n ∈ gl(n, C). In particular, we denote the zero column vector by 0 = O_n = O_{n1} ∈ gl(n, 1, C). The diagonal matrix with entries 1, e_2, . . . , e_n is denoted by diag (e_1, e_2, . . . , e_n).

2.2. Frequently used matrices

Here, we introduce some special matrices which will be used extensively in this paper. They are useful for dealing with various symmetries in our problem, and readers are recommended to be acquainted with their properties.

Definition 2.1. Denote \( \omega_j = e^{\frac{j}{4} (2j-1)} \) for \( j \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( \Omega = \text{diag} (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4) \), and \( W_0 = (\omega_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4} \).

\( \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4 \) are the primitive 4th roots of -1, and \( \omega_{j+4} = \omega_j, j \in \mathbb{Z} \), hence

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_j^4 &= -1, \quad \overline{\omega_j} = \omega_j^{-1}, \quad i\omega_j = \omega_{j+1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
\omega_4 &= \overline{\omega_1}, \quad \omega_3 = \overline{\omega_2}, \\
\omega_3 &= -\omega_1, \quad \omega_2 = -\omega_4, \\
\Omega^4 &= -I, \quad \overline{\Omega} = \Omega^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Definition 2.2. Let \( \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_4 = 1, \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = -1 \), and \( \epsilon_{j+4} = \epsilon_j, j \in \mathbb{Z} \). Denote \( E = \text{diag} (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4) = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, 1) \).

Note that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Re} \omega_j &= \frac{\epsilon_j}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \text{Im} \omega_j = \frac{\epsilon_{j-1}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{align*}
\]

Definition 2.3. Denote \( y_j(x) = e^{\omega_j \alpha x}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), and

\[
y(x) = (y_1(x) \quad y_2(x) \quad y_3(x) \quad y_4(x))^T = (e^{\omega_1 \alpha x} \quad e^{\omega_2 \alpha x} \quad e^{\omega_3 \alpha x} \quad e^{\omega_4 \alpha x})^T.
\]

Denote the Wronskian matrix corresponding to \( y_1(x), y_2(x), y_3(x), y_4(x) \) by

\[
W(x) = \begin{pmatrix}
y_1(x) & y_2(x) & y_3(x) & y_4(x) \\
y_1'(x) & y_2'(x) & y_3'(x) & y_4'(x) \\
y_1''(x) & y_2''(x) & y_3''(x) & y_4''(x) \\
y_1'''(x) & y_2'''(x) & y_3'''(x) & y_4'''(x)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
y(x) \\
y'(x) \\
y''(x) \\
y'''(x)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that

\[
\begin{align*}
y'(x) &= \frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\omega_1 \alpha x} \\ e^{\omega_2 \alpha x} \\ e^{\omega_3 \alpha x} \\ e^{\omega_4 \alpha x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \alpha \cdot e^{\omega_1 \alpha x} \\ \omega_2 \alpha \cdot e^{\omega_2 \alpha x} \\ \omega_3 \alpha \cdot e^{\omega_3 \alpha x} \\ \omega_4 \alpha \cdot e^{\omega_4 \alpha x} \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \Omega \cdot y(x).
\end{align*}
\]

By Definitions 2.1 and 2.3,

\[
W(x) = (\omega_j \alpha)^{i-1} e^{\omega_j \alpha x} \big|_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4}
\]

\[
= \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right) \cdot (\omega_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4} \cdot \text{diag} (e^{\omega_1 \alpha x}, e^{\omega_2 \alpha x}, e^{\omega_3 \alpha x}, e^{\omega_4 \alpha x}).
\]

\]
= \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega x}.

By (2.1),
\begin{equation}
W_0^* = \left( \frac{\omega_j^{-1}}{\omega_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} = \left( \omega_j^{i-1} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} = \left( \omega_1^{1-j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}.
\end{equation}

Lemma 2.1. $W_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} W_0^*$.

Proof. By (2.8),
\begin{equation}
W_0^* W_0 = \left( \frac{\omega_j}{\omega_i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} \cdot \left( \omega_i^{j-1} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} = \left( \sum_{r=1}^4 \omega_i^{j-r} \cdot \omega_i^{r-1} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} = \left( \sum_{r=1}^4 \omega_j^{i-r} \cdot \omega_i^{r-1} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4},
\end{equation}
hence
\begin{equation}
(W_0^* W_0)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \frac{1 - (\omega_j/\omega_i)^{i-j}}{1 - (\omega_j/\omega_i)^{i-j}}, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}
\end{equation}

If $i \neq j$, then $1 - (\omega_j/\omega_i)^{i-j} = 1 - \omega_j^i/\omega_i^i = 1 - (-1)/(-1) = 0$ by (2.1). Thus $W_0^* W_0 = 4I$, from which the result follows.

The inverse $W(x)^{-1}$ of $W(x)$ is well-defined for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and by (2.7) and Lemma 2.1,
\begin{equation}
W(x)^{-1} = e^{-\Omega x} W_0^{-1} \cdot \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\Omega x} W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1}.
\end{equation}

Definition 2.4. Regardless of size, we denote
\[
R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in O(4).
\]

In case of possible confusion, we denote $R = R_n \in O(n)$.

Note that $R^T = R^* = R = R^{-1}$. When multiplied to the left (respectively, to the right) of a matrix, $R$ reverses the order of the rows (respectively, the columns) of that matrix. Hence by (2.2),
\begin{equation}
R \Omega = \Omega R, \quad \Omega R = \Omega R, \quad R \cdot y(x) = \overline{y(x)}, \quad W(x) R = \overline{W(x)}.
\end{equation}

Definition 2.5. Denote
\[
L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in O(4).
\]
Note that, when multiplied to the left (respectively, to the right) of a matrix, $L$ lifts up the rows cyclically by one row (respectively, moves the columns to the right cyclically by one column). Thus by (2.1),

\begin{equation}
(2.11) \quad LΩL^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ω_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & ω_3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & ω_4 \\
ω_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} L^{-1} = \text{diag} (ω_2, ω_3, ω_4, ω_1) = iΩ,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(2.12) \quad W_0 L^{-1} = (ω_{j+1}^{i-1})_{1 ≤ i, j ≤ 4} = (iω_j)^{j-1} \quad 1 ≤ i, j ≤ 4
\end{equation}

is also frequently used. Note that $\text{diag} (1, i, i^2, i^3) \cdot W_0$.

In particular,

$$L^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in O(4)$$

By (2.3),

\begin{equation}
(2.13) \quad L^2Ω = -ΩL^2, \quad L^2 \cdot y(x) = y(-x).
\end{equation}

**Definition 2.6.** Define $B^-, B^+ : C^4[-l, l] → \text{gl}(4, 1, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$B^-[u] = (u(-l) \ u'(-l) \ u''(-l) \ u'''(-l))^T,$$

$$B^+[u] = (u(l) \ u'(l) \ u''(l) \ u'''(l))^T.$$ 

Let $u ∈ C^4[-l, l]$. Note that

\begin{equation}
(2.14) \quad B[u] = \begin{pmatrix} B^-[u] \\
B^+[u] \end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}

where $B$ is defined by (1.4). Let $M^-, M^+ ∈ \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$, so that $M = (M^- \ M^+) ∈ \text{gl}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$. Then by (2.14),

\begin{equation}
(2.15) \quad M \cdot B[u] = (M^- \ M^+) \begin{pmatrix} B^-[u] \\
B^+[u] \end{pmatrix} = M^- \cdot B^-[u] + M^+ \cdot B^+[u].
\end{equation}

By (2.1), the functions $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$ in Definition 2.3 form a fundamental set of solutions of the linear homogeneous equation $DE(0)$: $u^{(4)} + α^4 u = 0$ in (1.3). Thus $u ∈ L^2[-l, l]$ is a solution of $DE(0)$, if and only if $u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^4 c_j \cdot y_j(x) = y(x)^T c$ for some $c = (c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3 \ c_4)^T ∈ \text{gl}(4, 1, \mathbb{C})$, in which case we have

$$B^±[u] = B^± \left[ \sum_{j=1}^4 c_j \cdot y_j \right] = \sum_{j=1}^4 c_j \cdot B^± [y_j] = \sum_{j=1}^4 \begin{pmatrix} y_{j_x} ± \left( \frac{y_j}{y_j'} ± \left( \frac{y_j''}{y_j'''(±)} ± \left( \frac{y_j'''}{y_j'''} ± \left( c_j \right) \end{pmatrix}.$$
hence we have Definition 3.4.

0 = M \cdot B[u] = M^- \cdot W(-l) c + M^+ \cdot W(l) c = (\bar{M}^- + \bar{M}^+) c = \bar{M} c.

By Definition 3.1, M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C})$, if and only if $c = 0$ is the only solution in $gl(4,8,\mathbb{C})$ satisfying (3.1), which is equivalent to $\det \bar{M} \neq 0$.

Since $\bar{M}$ is invertible for every $M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C})$, the following is well-defined.

3. Green’s functions for well-posed boundary conditions

Definition 3.1. $M \in gl(4,8,\mathbb{C})$ is called well-posed, if the boundary value problem consisting of $DE(0)$: $u^{(4)} + \alpha^4 u = 0$ and $BC(M)$: $M \cdot B[u] = 0$ in (1.6), has the unique trivial solution $u = 0$ in $L^2[-l,l]$. The set of well-posed matrices in $gl(4,8,\mathbb{C})$ is denoted by $\text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 3.2. For $M \in gl(4,8,\mathbb{C})$, we denote $\bar{M}^- = M^- W(-l)$, $\bar{M}^+ = M^+ W(l)$, and $\bar{M} = \bar{M}^- + \bar{M}^+ \in gl(4,\mathbb{C})$, where $M^-, M^+ \in gl(4,\mathbb{C})$ are the $4 \times 4$ minors of $M$ such that $M = (M^- M^+)$. 

Lemma 3.1. Let $M \in gl(4,8,\mathbb{C})$. Then $M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C})$, if and only if $\det \bar{M} \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $M^-, M^+ \in gl(4,\mathbb{C})$ be the $4 \times 4$ minors of $M$ such that $M = (M^- M^+)$. Suppose $u \in L^2[-l,l]$ is a solution of $DE(0)$, so that $u(x) = y(x)^T c$ for some $c \in gl(4,1,\mathbb{C})$. Then by (2.15), (2.16), and Definition 3.2, the boundary condition $BC(M)$ becomes

\begin{equation}
0 = M \cdot B[u] = M^- \cdot W(-l) c + M^+ \cdot W(l) c = (\bar{M}^- + \bar{M}^+) c = \bar{M} c.
\end{equation}

By Definition 3.1, $M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C})$, if and only if $c = 0$ is the only solution in $gl(4,1,\mathbb{C})$ satisfying (3.1), which is equivalent to $\det \bar{M} \neq 0$. 

Definition 3.3. For $M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C})$, we denote $G^-_M = \bar{M}^- \bar{M}^{-1} \Omega L^2$ and $G^+_M = \bar{M}^+ \bar{M}^{-1} \Omega L^2$.

By Definition 3.2, $G^-_M + G^+_M = \bar{M}^- \bar{M}^{-1} \Omega L^2 + \bar{M}^+ \bar{M}^{-1} \Omega L^2 = \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{M} \Omega L^2$, hence we have

\begin{equation}
G^-_M + G^+_M = \Omega L^2, \quad M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}).
\end{equation}

Definition 3.4. Let $M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C})$. Define the function $G_M : [-l,l] \times [-l,l] \to \mathbb{C}$, called the Green function corresponding to $M$, by

\begin{equation}
G_M(x,\xi) = \frac{\alpha}{4k} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
y(x)^T G^+_M y(\xi), & \text{if } x \leq \xi, \\
-y(x)^T G^-_M y(\xi), & \text{if } \xi \leq x.
\end{array} \right.
\end{equation}

Define the operator $\mathcal{K}_M : L^2[-l,l] \to L^2[-l,l]$ by

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{K}_M[w](x) = \int_{-l}^{l} G_M(x,\xi) w(\xi) d\xi, \quad w \in L^2[-l,l], \quad x \in [-l,l].
\end{equation}
Note that $G_M$ is bounded on $[-l, l] \times [-l, l]$. It is well-known [12] that an integral operator of the form $w \mapsto \int_{-l}^{l} g(x, \xi)w(\xi) \, d\xi$ with bounded kernel $g(x, \xi)$, is a compact linear operator on $L^2[-l, l]$. Thus $K_M$ is a well-defined compact linear operator on $L^2[-l, l]$. Note from Definitions 3.3 and 3.4 that the function $G_M$ is defined constructively in terms of given $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$. Lemma 3.2 below, whose proof is in Appendix A, shows that $G_M$ is the usual Green function for the boundary value problem consisting of DE(0) and BC(M).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ and $w \in L^2[-l, l]$. Then $K_M[w]$ is the unique solution of the boundary value problem consisting of DE$(w)$ and BC$(M)$.

By Lemma 3.2, we have

\begin{align}
(3.3) & \quad K_M[u] + \alpha^4 \cdot K_M[u] = \frac{\alpha^4}{k} \cdot u, \quad M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C}), \quad u \in L^2[-l, l], \\
(3.4) & \quad M \cdot B[K_M[u]] = 0, \quad M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C}), \quad u \in L^2[-l, l].
\end{align}

Note that (3.3) in particular implies that the linear operator $K_M$ is one-to-one, or injective, for every $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$.

**Definition 3.5.** For $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by EDE$(\lambda)$, the homogeneous equation

$$EDE(\lambda) : u^{(4)} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda k}\right) \alpha^4 u = 0.$$ 

Note that $1 - 1/(\lambda k) \neq 1$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. In fact, the homogeneous equation DE(0): $u^{(4)} + \alpha^4 u = 0$ can be regarded as the limiting case EDE$(\infty)$. In terms of given $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$, $K_M$ has the following eigencondition.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$, $0 \neq u \in L^2[-l, l]$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $K_M[u] = \lambda \cdot u$, if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$ and $u$ satisfies EDE$(\lambda)$ and BC$(M)$.

**Proof.** Let $u \neq 0 \in L^2[-l, l]$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $K_M[u] = \lambda \cdot u$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $K_M[u] = 0 \cdot u = 0$, hence $u = 0$ by (3.3), contradicting the assumption $u \neq 0$. Thus $\lambda \neq 0$. By (3.3), we have

$$\lambda \cdot u^{(4)} = (\lambda \cdot u)^{(4)} = K_M[u]^{(4)} = -\alpha^4 \cdot K_M[u] + \frac{\alpha^4}{k} \cdot u$$

$$= -\alpha^4 \cdot (\lambda \cdot u) + \frac{\alpha^4}{k} \cdot u = -\left(\lambda - \frac{1}{k}\right) \alpha^4 \cdot u,$$

which shows that $u$ satisfies EDE$(\lambda)$. By (3.4), we have $M \cdot B[u] = (1/\lambda) \cdot M \cdot B[\lambda \cdot u] = (1/\lambda) \cdot M \cdot B[K_M[u]] = 0$, hence $u$ satisfies BC$(M)$.

Conversely, suppose $\lambda \neq 0$ and $u$ satisfies EDE$(\lambda)$ and BC$(M)$. Let $\hat{u} = K_M[u] - \lambda \cdot u$. Then by (3.3), we have

$$\hat{u}^{(4)} + \alpha^4 \hat{u} = (K_M[u] - \lambda \cdot u)^{(4)} + \alpha^4 (K_M[u] - \lambda \cdot u)$$

$$= K_M[u]^{(4)} + \alpha^4 \cdot K_M[u] - \lambda \left(u^{(4)} + \alpha^4 u\right)$$

$$= 0,$$
hence we have (b).

Definition 3.2, \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) \[ 4.1. \] The representations \( \Gamma \)

\[ P \] are linearly independent, it follows from Definition 3.4 that

\[ \Omega L \]

Therefore, \( u \) satisfies EDE(\( \lambda \)). By (3.4), we have

\[ B \]

hence \( \hat{u} = \tilde{\Gamma}_M[u] = \lambda \cdot u \) is the unique solution of the boundary value problem consisting of \( \text{DE}(0) \) and \( \text{BC}(M) \), which is 0 by Definition 3.1. Thus we have \( \tilde{K}_M[u] = \lambda \cdot u \), and the proof is complete. \( \square \)

4. Representation of well-posed boundary conditions

4.1. The representations \( \Gamma^- \) and \( \Gamma^+ \)

Definition 4.1. \( M, N \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \) are called equivalent, and denote \( M \approx N \), if \( \tilde{K}_M = \tilde{K}_N \). The quotient set \( \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C})/\approx \) of \( \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \) with respect to the equivalence relation \( \approx \), is denoted by \( \text{wp}(\mathbb{C}) \). For \( M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \), we denote by \( [M] \in \text{wp}(\mathbb{C}) \) the equivalence class with respect to \( \approx \) containing \( M \).

Note from Definitions 3.4 and 4.1 that \( M \approx N \), if and only if \( G_M = G_N \).

Lemma 4.1. For \( M, N \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \), the following (a), (b), (c), (d) are equivalent. (a) \( M \approx N \). (b) \( G_M = G_N^+ \). (c) \( G_M^- = G_N^- \). (d) \( N = PM \) for some \( P \in \text{GL}(4,\mathbb{C}) \).

Proof. The equivalence of (b) and (c) follows immediately, since \( G_M^- + G_M^+ = \Omega L^2 = G_N^- + G_N^+ \) by (3.2). Since the entries \( y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \) of \( y \) in Definition 2.3 are linearly independent, it follows from Definition 3.4 that \( G_M = G_N \), if and only if \( G_M^- = G_N^- \) and \( G_M^+ = G_N^+ \). Thus (a), (b), (c) are equivalent, and hence it is sufficient to show the equivalence of (b) and (d).

Let \( M^-, M^+ \in \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \) and \( N^-, N^+ \in \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \) be the 4 \times 4 minors of \( M \) and \( N \) respectively such that \( M = (M^- \quad M^+) \), \( N = (N^- \quad N^+) \). Suppose (d). Then \( (N^- \quad N^+) = N = PM = P (M^- \quad M^+) = (PM^- \quad PM^+) \) for some \( P \in \text{GL}(4,\mathbb{C}) \). So we have \( N^- = PM^- \) and \( N^+ = PM^+ \), and hence by Definition 3.2, \( N^\pm = N^\pm W(\pm l) = PM^\pm W(\pm l) = PM^\pm \) and \( N = N^- + N^+ = PM^- + PM^+ = PM^\pm \). Thus by Definition 3.3,

\[ G_N^+ = \tilde{M}^{-1}N^\pm \Omega L^2 = (\tilde{P}M)^{-1} (\tilde{P}M^\pm) \Omega L^2 = \tilde{M}^{-1}P^{-1}P\tilde{M}^\pm \Omega L^2 \]

hence we have (b).

Conversely, suppose (b), so that \( G_M^+ = G_N^+ \). Since (b) and (c) are equivalent, we also have \( G_M^- = G_N^- \). By Definition 3.3, we have \( \tilde{M}^{-1}M^- = \tilde{N}^{-1}N^- \) and \( \tilde{M}^{-1}M^+ = \tilde{N}^{-1}N^+ \), since \( \Omega L^2 \) is invertible. So we have \( \tilde{N}^\pm = \tilde{N}^\pm M^\pm \). Hence \( N^\pm W(\pm l) = \tilde{N}^\pm M^\pm W(\pm l) \) by Definition 3.2. Since \( W(\pm l) \) are invertible, we have \( N^\pm = \tilde{N}M^{-1} \cdot M^\pm \), hence

\[ N = (N^- \quad N^+) = (\tilde{N}M^{-1} \cdot M^- \quad \tilde{N}M^{-1} \cdot M^+) = \tilde{N}M^{-1} \cdot M. \]
Thus we have (d), since $\tilde{N}M^{-1} \in GL(4, \mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 3.1, and the proof is complete. \hfill \square

**Definition 4.2.** Define $\Gamma^-, \Gamma^+: \text{wp}(\mathbb{C}) \to gl(4, \mathbb{C})$ by $\Gamma^-([M]) = G_M^-$ and $\Gamma^+([M]) = G_M^+$ for $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$.

By Lemma 4.1, $\Gamma^-, \Gamma^+$ are well-defined and one-to-one. Lemma 4.2 below shows that $\Gamma^-, \Gamma^+$ are also onto, and hence are one-to-one correspondences from $\text{wp}(\mathbb{C})$ to $gl(4, \mathbb{C})$.

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose $G^-, G^+ \in gl(4, \mathbb{C})$ satisfy $G^- + G^+ = \Omega L^2$. Then there exists $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ such that $G_M^- = G^-$, $G_M^+ = G^+$. In particular, $M$ can be taken by $M = (M^- \quad M^+)$, where $M^- = G^- (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(-l)^{-1}$, $M^+ = G^+ (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(l)^{-1}$.

**Proof.** Let $M^- = G^- (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(-l)^{-1}$, $M^+ = G^+ (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(l)^{-1}$, and let $M = (M^- \quad M^+) \in gl(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$. Then by Definition 3.2, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{M}^\pm = M^\pm W(\pm l) = G^\pm (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(\pm l)^{-1}, \quad W(\pm l) = G^\pm (\Omega L^2)^{-1},$$

hence

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{M} = \tilde{M}_- + \tilde{M}_+ = G^- (\Omega L^2)^{-1} + G^+ (\Omega L^2)^{-1} = (G^- + G^+) (\Omega L^2)^{-1},$$

Thus $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 3.1, since $\tilde{M} = I$ is invertible. By Definition 3.3 and (4.1), (4.2), we have $G_M^\pm = \tilde{M}^{-1} \tilde{M}_+ \Omega L^2 = \text{I}^{-1} \cdot G^\pm (\Omega L^2)^{-1}$, $\Omega L^2 = G^\pm$, hence the proof is complete. \hfill \square

Note from Definitions 3.2, 3.3, and 4.2 that the maps $\Gamma^-$ and $\Gamma^+$ are constructive, in that $\Gamma^-([M]) = G_M^-$, $\Gamma^+([M]) = G_M^+$ can be computed explicitly in terms of given $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$. In fact, the inverses $(\Gamma^-)^{-1}$, $(\Gamma^+)^{-1}$ are also constructive. Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$(4.3) \quad (\Gamma^-)^{-1} (G) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} G (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(-l)^{-1} & (\Omega L^2 - G) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(l)^{-1} \end{array} \right],$$

$$(4.4) \quad (\Gamma^+)^{-1} (G) = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} (\Omega L^2 - G) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(-l)^{-1} & G (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(l)^{-1} \end{array} \right]$$

for every $G \in gl(4, \mathbb{C})$.

### 4.2. Real boundary conditions and the algebra $\mathfrak{P}(4)$

Of particular interest among boundary conditions in $\text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ are those with real entries. We characterize this important class of real boundary conditions in terms of the maps $\Gamma^-$ and $\Gamma^+$ in Definition 4.2.
Definition 4.3. Denote $\text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R}) = \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C}) \cap \text{gl}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{wp}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ [M] \in \text{wp}(\mathbb{C}) \}$. Let $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 3.2, it is clear that $K_M[w](x)$ is real-valued for every real-valued $w \in L^2[-l, l]$. Thus it follows that $G_M(x, \xi)$ is real-valued for every $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 4.3. $R G_M^* R = G_M^-$ and $R G_M^+ R = G_M^+$ for every $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$. Since $G_M(x, \xi)$ is real-valued, we have $G_M(x, \xi) = \overline{G_M(x, \xi)}$ for $(x, \xi) \in [-l, l] \times [-l, l]$. By Definition 3.4 and (2.10), we have

$$G_M(x, \xi) = \frac{\alpha}{4k} \begin{cases} y(x)^T \cdot \frac{G_M^+}{G_M} \cdot y(\xi), & \text{if } x \leq \xi, \\ -y(x)^T \cdot \frac{G_M^-}{G_M} \cdot y(\xi), & \text{if } \xi \leq x \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha}{4k} \begin{cases} (R \cdot y(x))^T \cdot \frac{R G_M^+}{R G_M} \cdot (R \cdot y(\xi)), & \text{if } x \leq \xi, \\ -(R \cdot y(x))^T \cdot \frac{R G_M^-}{R G_M} \cdot (R \cdot y(\xi)), & \text{if } \xi \leq x \end{cases} \cdot y(\xi),$$

hence

$$0 = \frac{4k}{\alpha} \begin{cases} G_M(x, \xi) - G_M(x, \xi) \end{cases} \begin{cases} y(x)^T \cdot \left( R G_M^+ R - G_M^+ \right) \cdot y(\xi), & \text{if } x \leq \xi, \\ -y(x)^T \cdot \left( R G_M^- R - G_M^- \right) \cdot y(\xi), & \text{if } \xi \leq x \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to $R G_M^+ R - G_M^+ = R G_M^- R - G_M^- = 0$, since the entries $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$ of $y$ in Definition 2.3 are linearly independent.

Lemma 4.3 leads us to the following definition.

Definition 4.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote $\pi(n) = \{ A \in \text{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) | RA R = A \}$.

Note that $\pi(n)$ is the set of matrices in $\text{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ invariant under the transformation $A \mapsto RA R$, which is the complex conjugation with the $180^\circ$ rotation of matrix entries. Lemma 4.4 below, whose proof is immediate from Definition 4.4, shows in particular that $\pi(n)$ forms an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra.

Lemma 4.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following.

(a) If $A, B \in \pi(n)$, then $aA + bB \in \pi(n)$ for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
(b) If $A, B \in \pi(n)$, then $AB \in \pi(n)$.
(c) If $A \in \pi(n)$ is invertible, then $A^{-1} \in \pi(n)$
(d) If $A \in \pi(n)$, then $A^T \in \pi(n)$
(e) $O_n, I_n, R_n \in \pi(n)$ and $\Omega, L^2, \xi \in \pi(4)$.
In particular, we have
\[
\pi(4) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\
a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44}
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \),
which shows that the dimension of \( \pi(4) \) as an \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra is 16. In fact, it will be shown in Section 8 that \( \pi(2n) \) is isomorphic to \( \text{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Lemma 4.3 shows that the images of \( \text{wp}(\mathbb{R}) \) under \( \Gamma^- \) and \( \Gamma^+ \) in Definition 4.2 are subsets of \( \pi(4) \). Lemma 4.5 below shows that, in fact, \( \Gamma^- (\text{wp}(\mathbb{R})) = \pi(4) \), by constructing representatives in \( \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R}) \) of the inverses \( (\Gamma^-)^{-1}(G), (\Gamma^+)^{-1}(G) \) of \( G \in \pi(4) \). Denote
\[
(4.5) \quad U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i & -i & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & R \\ iR & -iI \end{pmatrix} \in U(4).
\]
Note that
\[
(4.6) \quad \overline{U} = UR.
\]

**Lemma 4.5.** Suppose \( G^-, G^+ \in \pi(4) \) satisfy \( G^- + G^+ = \Omega L^2 \). Then there exists \( M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R}) \) such that \( G^- M = G^-, G^+ M = G^+ \). In particular, \( M \) can be taken by \( M = (M^- M^+) \), where \( M^- = UG^- (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(-l)^{-1} \), \( M_+ = UG^+ (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(l)^{-1} \), and \( M = (M^- M^+) \). Let
\[
\tilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} G^- (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(-l)^{-1} & G^+ (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(l)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then \( M = UM \), hence \( M \approx \tilde{M} \) by Lemma 4.1, since \( U \) is invertible. So by Definition 4.2 and Lemma 4.2, we have \( G^- \tilde{M} = \Gamma^- ([M]) = \Gamma^+ ([\tilde{M}]) = G^+ \tilde{M} = G^+ \), since \( G^- , G^+ \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C}) \). Thus it is sufficient to show that \( M^- , M^+ \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{R}) \). By Definition 4.4,
\[
(4.7) \quad G^\pm (\Omega L^2)^{-1} = R \cdot G^\pm (\Omega L^2)^{-1} \cdot R,
\]
since \( G^- (\Omega L^2)^{-1}, G^+ (\Omega L^2)^{-1} \in \pi(4) \) by Lemma 4.4. Since \( \overline{W(x)} = W(x)R \) by (2.10), we have
\[
(4.8) \quad \overline{W(\pm l)} = \left( \overline{W(\pm l)} \right)^{-1} = \overline{W(\pm l)R}^{-1} = R \cdot \overline{W(\pm l)}^{-1}.
\]
Thus by (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), we have
\[
M^\pm = U \cdot G^\pm (\Omega L^2)^{-1} \cdot \overline{W(\pm l)}^{-1}
\]
that we put

\[ a^\pm_j = \omega_j \pm \sqrt{2} \omega_j^2 + \omega_j^3, \]  
\[ b^\pm_j = \mp \sqrt{2} - \omega_j + \omega_j^3, \] 
\[ j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \]  

Note that \[ \omega_j^2 = \left\{ a^{\pm 2}(2j-1) \right\}^2 = i^{2j-1} \] by Definition 2.1, and \[ \omega_j + \omega_j^3 = \omega_j - \omega_j^3 = 2i \Im \omega_j = \sqrt{2} \epsilon_{j-1} i, -\omega_j + \omega_j^3 = -\omega_j - \omega_j^3 = -2 \Re \omega_j = -\sqrt{2} \epsilon_j \] by (2.1), (2.5). Hence, for \( j = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), we have

\[ a^+_j = \omega_j \pm \sqrt{2} \omega_j^2 + \omega_j^3 = \sqrt{2} \epsilon_{j-1} i \pm \sqrt{2} \cdot (-1)^{j+1} i \]  
\[ a^-_j = \omega_j \pm \sqrt{2} \omega_j^2 + \omega_j^3 = \sqrt{2} \epsilon_{j-1} i \pm \sqrt{2} \cdot (-1)^{j+1} i \]
\begin{align}
(5.3) & \quad = 2\sqrt{2} \cdot \epsilon_{j-1} \pm (-1)^{j+1}, \\
(5.4) & \quad b_j^\pm = \mp \sqrt{2} - \omega_j + \omega_j^3 = -\sqrt{2} \epsilon_j \mp \sqrt{2} = 2\sqrt{2} \cdot \epsilon_j \mp \frac{1}{2}.
\end{align}
By (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (5.4), we have
\begin{align*}
Q^- \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right) \cdot W_0 &= 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1-1}{2} & \frac{1+1}{2} & \frac{-1-1}{2} & \frac{-1+1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
= 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & i & -i & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},

Q^+ \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right) \cdot W_0 &= 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1+1}{2} & \frac{1-1}{2} & \frac{-1+1}{2} & \frac{-1-1}{2} \\
-\frac{1-1}{2} & \frac{1+1}{2} & \frac{-1+1}{2} & \frac{-1-1}{2}
\end{pmatrix}
= 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & -i \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
hence by Definition 3.2 and (2.7),
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}^- &= Q^- W(-l) = Q^- \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{-\Omega al} \\
&= 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & i & -i & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} e^{-\Omega al}

(5.5) & \quad = 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & i e^{-\omega_2 al} & -i e^{-\omega_3 al} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-\omega_2 al} & e^{-\omega_3 al} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},

\tilde{Q}^+ &= Q^+ W(l) = Q^+ \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega al} \\
&= 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 & -i \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix} e^{\Omega al}

(5.6) & \quad = 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
i e^{\omega_1 al} & 0 & 0 & -i e^{\omega_4 al} \\
-e^{\omega_1 al} & 0 & 0 & -e^{\omega_4 al}
\end{pmatrix},

(5.7) & \quad \tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}^- + \tilde{Q}^+ = 2\sqrt{2} \alpha^3 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & i e^{-\omega_2 al} & -i e^{-\omega_3 al} & 0 \\
0 & e^{-\omega_2 al} & e^{-\omega_3 al} & 0 \\
i e^{\omega_1 al} & 0 & 0 & -i e^{\omega_4 al} \\
-e^{\omega_1 al} & 0 & 0 & -e^{\omega_4 al}
\end{pmatrix}.
Let

\[ \hat{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & -i \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in U(4). \]

Then by (5.7) and Definition 2.2,

\[ \tilde{Q} = 4\alpha^3 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & -i \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \text{diag} (e^{\omega_1 \alpha l}, e^{-\omega_2 \alpha l}, e^{-\omega_3 \alpha l}, e^{\omega_4 \alpha l}) \]

\[ = 4\alpha^3 \hat{U} e^{\xi \Omega \alpha l}, \]

hence we have

(5.8) \[ \tilde{Q}^{-1} = \frac{1}{4\alpha^3} e^{-\xi \Omega \alpha l} \hat{U}^{-1} = \frac{1}{4\alpha^3} e^{-\xi \Omega \alpha l} \hat{U}^*, \]

since \( \hat{U} \) is unitary. Note that this in particular shows that \( Q \) is well-posed by Lemma 3.1.

Let

\[ \hat{U}^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{U}^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & -i \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

Then by (5.5), (5.6),

\[ \tilde{Q}^- = 4\alpha^3 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \text{diag} (e^{\omega_1 \alpha l}, e^{-\omega_2 \alpha l}, e^{-\omega_3 \alpha l}, e^{\omega_4 \alpha l}) \]

(5.9) \[ = 4\alpha^3 \hat{U}^- e^{\xi \Omega \alpha l}, \]

\[ \tilde{Q}^+ = 4\alpha^3 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & -i \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \text{diag} (e^{\omega_1 \alpha l}, e^{-\omega_2 \alpha l}, e^{-\omega_3 \alpha l}, e^{\omega_4 \alpha l}) \]

(5.10) \[ = 4\alpha^3 \hat{U}^+ e^{\xi \Omega \alpha l}. \]

By (5.8), (5.9), (5.10), we have

(5.11) \[ \tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{Q}^\pm = \frac{1}{4\alpha^3} e^{-\xi \Omega \alpha l} \hat{U}^\pm, \quad 4\alpha^3 \hat{U}^\pm e^{\xi \Omega \alpha l} = e^{-\xi \Omega \alpha l} \hat{U}^\pm \hat{U}^\mp e^{\xi \Omega \alpha l}. \]
Proof. By (1.7) and Definition 3.4, it is sufficient to show that Lemma 5.1.

Note that $G$ is defined by (1.8). By Definition 2.3, and (2.3), (5.14), (5.15), we have

Thus by Definition 3.3, we finally have

\[ G_\mathbb{Q} = \tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{Q}^+ \Omega L^2 \]

(5.14) \[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \omega_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

Thus by Definition 3.3, we finally have

\[ G_\mathbb{Q} = \tilde{Q}^{-1} \tilde{Q}^+ \Omega L^2 \]

(5.15) \[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \omega_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \omega_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

Note that $Q \in \wp(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$ and $G_\mathbb{Q}^+, G_\mathbb{Q}^{-} \in \mathbb{F}(4)$, satisfying Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 5.1. $K_\mathbb{Q} = K_{\alpha, \epsilon, \lambda}.$

Proof. By (1.7) and Definition 3.4, it is sufficient to show that $G_\mathbb{Q} = G$, where $G$ is defined by (1.8). By Definition 2.3, and (2.3), (5.14), (5.15), we have

\[ y(x)^T \cdot G_\mathbb{Q} \cdot y(\epsilon) = \omega_4 e^{\omega_4 \alpha \epsilon} \omega_2 e^{\omega_2 \alpha \epsilon} \omega_1 e^{\omega_1 \alpha (x - \epsilon)} + \omega_2 e^{\omega_2 \alpha \epsilon} \omega_4 e^{\omega_4 \alpha \epsilon} \omega_1 e^{\omega_1 \alpha (x - \epsilon)} + \omega_1 e^{\omega_1 \alpha (x - \epsilon)} e^{\omega_2 \alpha \epsilon} \omega_4 e^{\omega_4 \alpha \epsilon} \omega_2 e^{\omega_2 \alpha \epsilon} \omega_1 e^{\omega_1 \alpha (x - \epsilon)}, \]

hence by Definitions 2.1, 3.4, and (2.2),

\[ G_\mathbb{Q}(x, \xi) = \frac{\alpha}{4k} \begin{cases} \omega_1 e^{-\omega_1 \alpha (\xi - x)} + \omega_2 e^{-\omega_2 \alpha (\xi - x)}, & x \leq \xi \\ \omega_1 e^{-\omega_1 \alpha (x - \xi)} + \omega_2 e^{-\omega_2 \alpha (x - \xi)} + \omega_4 e^{-\omega_4 \alpha (x - \xi)}, & \xi \leq x \end{cases} \]

\[ = \frac{\alpha}{4k} \left( \omega_1 e^{-\omega_1 \alpha (x - \xi)} + \omega_2 e^{-\omega_2 \alpha (x - \xi)} + \omega_4 e^{-\omega_4 \alpha (x - \xi)} \right) \]
\[
= \frac{\alpha}{4k} \cdot 2 \text{Re} \left( \omega_1 e^{-\omega_1 |x-\xi|} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{\alpha}{2k} \text{Re} \left( e^{\frac{\pi}{4} \left( \frac{x+\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2} e^{-\alpha |x-\xi|} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{\alpha}{2k} \text{Re} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} |x-\xi|} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} |x-\xi| \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{\alpha}{2k} e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} |x-\xi|} \sin \left( \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} |x-\xi| + \frac{\pi}{4} \right),
\]
which is identical to \( G(x, \xi) \) in (1.8). Thus we have the proof. \( \square \)

6. The representation \( \Gamma \) and proof of Theorem 1

6.1. The representation \( \Gamma \)

**Definition 6.1.** For \( M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \), denote \( G_M = \left( G^+_M - G^+_Q \right) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} \mathcal{E} \).

Define \( \Gamma : \text{wp}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \) by \( \Gamma ([M]) = G_M \) for \( M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \).

Readers should be cautious to distinguish the \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix \( G_M \) in Definition 6.1 from the Green function \( G_M \) in Definition 3.4. Note that the map \( \Gamma \) is well-defined, since

\[
G_M = \left( \Omega L^2 - G_M \right) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} \mathcal{E}
\]
by Definition 4.2. By (3.2),

\[
G_M = \left\{ \left( \Omega L^2 - G^+_M \right) - \left( \Omega L^2 - G^+_Q \right) \right\} (\Omega L^2)^{-1} \mathcal{E}
\]

which could have been used for an alternative definition of \( G_M \). Note also that

\[
G_Q = 0.
\]

**Lemma 6.1.** \( \Gamma : \text{wp}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \) is a one-to-one correspondence, and \( \Gamma (\text{wp}(\mathbb{R})) = \pi(4) \).

**Proof.** Since \( \Gamma^+ \) is a one-to-one correspondence and \( (\Omega L^2)^{-1} \mathcal{E} \) is invertible, it follows from (6.1) that \( \Gamma \) also is a one-to-one correspondence. Since \( \Gamma^+ (\text{wp}(4,\mathbb{R})) = \pi(4) \) and \( G^+_Q (\Omega L^2)^{-1} \mathcal{E} \in \pi(4) \), we have \( \Gamma (\text{wp}(4,\mathbb{R})) = \pi(4) \) by (6.1) and Lemma 4.4. \( \square \)

Thus we finally have our representation \( M \mapsto G_M \), from the set of well-posed boundary conditions \( \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \) to the algebra \( \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \), and from the set of well-posed real boundary conditions \( \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{R}) \) to the R-algebra \( \pi(4) \). Note that this representation is constructive in both directions, in that \( G_M \in \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \) is expressed explicitly in terms of given \( M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \), and conversely, \( M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{R}) \) is expressed explicitly in terms of given \( G_M \in \text{gl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \).
wp(4, 8, C) such that $G_M = G$ can be chosen explicitly in terms of given $G \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$. Especially, given $M = (M^- M^+) \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$, $M^-, M^+ \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$G_M = \left( G_M^+ - G_Q^+ \right) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} E$$

$$= \left( \tilde{M}^+ \tilde{M}^+ \Omega L^2 - \tilde{Q}^- \tilde{Q}^+ \Omega L^2 \right) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} E$$

$$= \left( \tilde{M}^+ \tilde{M}^+ - \tilde{Q}^- \tilde{Q}^+ \right) E$$

(6.3)

$$= \left\{ M^- W(-l) + M^+ W(l) \right\}^{-1} M^+ W(l) E - \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1)$$

by combining Definitions 3.2, 3.3, 6.1, and (5.13). Conversely, suppose $G \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ is given. By (6.1), we have $\Gamma^{-1}(G) = (\Gamma^+)^{-1} \left( G \varepsilon \Omega L^2 + G_Q^+ \right)$, hence by (4.4), (5.13), and Definition 3.3, $M = (M^- M^+) \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$ is a representative of $\Gamma^{-1}(G) \in \text{wp}(\mathbb{C})$, where

$$M^- = \left\{ \Omega L^2 - \left( G \varepsilon \Omega L^2 + G_Q^+ \right) \right\} (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(-l)^{-1}$$

$$= \left( \Omega L^2 - G \varepsilon \Omega L^2 - \tilde{Q}^- \tilde{Q}^+ \Omega L^2 \right) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(-l)^{-1}$$

$$= \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) - G \varepsilon \right\} W(-l)^{-1},$$

$$M^+ = \left( G \varepsilon \Omega L^2 + G_Q^+ \right) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(l)^{-1}$$

$$= \left( G \varepsilon \Omega L^2 + \tilde{Q}^- \tilde{Q}^+ \Omega L^2 \right) (\Omega L^2)^{-1} W(l)^{-1}$$

$$= \left\{ \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) + G \varepsilon \right\} W(l)^{-1}.$$

Thus, given $G \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\Gamma^{-1}(G) = \left[ \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) - G \varepsilon \right\} W(-l)^{-1} \right.$$

$$\left. \left\{ \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) + G \varepsilon \right\} W(l)^{-1} \right]$$

(6.4)

in $\text{wp}(\mathbb{C})$. When $G \in \mathbb{F}(4)$, a representative of $\Gamma^{-1}(G)$ in $\text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$ is

$$U \cdot \left( \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) - G \varepsilon \right\} W(-l)^{-1} \left| \left\{ \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) + G \varepsilon \right\} W(l)^{-1} \right. \right)$$

by Lemma 4.5, where $U$ is defined by (4.5).

The boundary condition $BC(M)$ in Lemma 3.3 is transformed into the following equivalent condition which is expressed now in terms of $G_M$.

**Lemma 6.2.** For $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{C})$, the boundary condition $BC(M)$: $M \cdot \mathcal{B}[u] = 0$ is equivalent to

$$0 = G_M \varepsilon \left( W(-l)^{-1} B^+[u] - W(-l)^{-1} B^-[u] \right)$$

$$+ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W(-l)^{-1} B^-[u] + \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W(l)^{-1} B^+[u].$$
Proof. By (6.4), \[ \hat{M} = \Gamma^{-1} (G_M) \in \wp(C), \] where
\[ \hat{M} = (\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) - G_M \epsilon \} W(-l)^{-1} \]
(6.5)
\[ + \{ \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) + G_M \epsilon \} W(l)^{-1} \]

So by Definition 6.1, \[ \hat{M} \in \Gamma^{-1} (G_M) = [\hat{M}], \] hence the lemma follows. \( \square \)

6.2. Proof of Theorem 1

Note that the solution space of the linear homogeneous equation \( EDE(\lambda) \) in Definition 3.5 depends on the value \( \lambda \neq 0 \). In particular, depending on whether \( 1 - 1/(\lambda k) = 0 \) or not, or equivalently, whether \( \lambda = 1/k \) or not, \( EDE(\lambda) \) becomes as follows.

(I) When \( \lambda = 1/k \): \( EDE(\lambda) \) becomes \( u^{(4)} = 0 \).

(II) When \( \lambda \neq 1/k \): \( EDE(\lambda) \) becomes \( u^{(4)} + (\kappa \lambda)^4 u = 0 \), where \( \kappa = \chi(\lambda) \neq 0 \) is defined in Definition 6.2 below.

Definition 6.2. For \( \lambda \in C \setminus \{0, 1/k\} \), define \( \chi(\lambda) \) to be the unique complex number satisfying \( \chi(\lambda)^4 = 1 - 1/(\lambda k) \) and \( 0 \leq \text{Arg} \chi(\lambda) < \pi/2 \).

Note that \( \chi(\lambda) \neq 0 \) and \( \chi(\lambda)^4 \neq 1 \) for \( \lambda \in C \setminus \{0, 1/k\} \). In fact, \( \chi \) is a one-to-one correspondence from \( C \setminus \{0, 1/k\} \) to \( \left\{ \kappa \in C \mid 0 \leq \text{Arg} \kappa < \pi/2 \right\} \setminus \{0, 1\} \), and its inverse is given by \( \chi^{-1}(\kappa) = (1/k (1 - \kappa^4)) \).

Definition 6.3. For \( 0 \neq \lambda \in C \), denote

\[
\gamma_{\lambda,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} - 1}}{\sqrt{2} \epsilon_{0, j} \kappa^2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \frac{1}{k}, \\
\frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{4} - 1}}{\sqrt{2} \epsilon_{0, j} \kappa^2}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \frac{1}{k}, 
\end{cases}
\]
\( j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \)

where \( \kappa = \chi(\lambda) \). Denote \( Y_{\lambda}(x) = (y_{\lambda,1}(x) \quad y_{\lambda,2}(x) \quad y_{\lambda,3}(x) \quad y_{\lambda,4}(x))^T \)

\( W_{\lambda}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\lambda,1}^{(j-1)}(x) \end{pmatrix}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{\lambda}(x)^T \\
y_{\lambda}^{(j)}(x)^T \\
y_{\lambda}^{(j)}(x)^T \\
y_{\lambda}^{(j)}(x)^T 
\end{pmatrix}. \)
Note that the functions \( y_{\lambda_1}, y_{\lambda_2}, y_{\lambda_3}, y_{\lambda_4} \) form a fundamental set of solutions of EDE(\( \lambda \)) for every \( 0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), and

\[
W_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 
(H(j-i) \frac{\lambda^j - i}{\lambda^j - i})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & x^3 \\ 0 & 1 & x & x^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \lambda = \frac{1}{i}, \\
(\omega_j \kappa) e^{\omega_j \kappa x} & \lambda \neq \frac{1}{i},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \kappa = \chi(\lambda) \) and \( H(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\
0, & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases} \)

Let \( M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \). By Lemma 3.3, \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) is an eigenvalue of \( \mathcal{K}_M \) and \( u \neq 0 \) is a corresponding eigenfunction, if and only if \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and \( u \) is a nontrivial solution of EDE(\( \lambda \)) satisfying the boundary condition BC(\( M \)). Note that \( u \) is a nontrivial solution of EDE(\( \lambda \)), if and only if there exists \( 0 \neq c = (c_1 \ c_2 \ c_3 \ c_4)^T \in \text{gl}(4,1,\mathbb{C}) \) such that \( u = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_j y_{\lambda,j} = y_X^T c \). If \( u = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_j y_{\lambda,j} \), then by Definitions 2.6 and 6.3,

\[
B^\pm[u] = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_j \cdot B^\pm[y_{\lambda,j}] = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \begin{pmatrix} y_{\lambda,j}(\pm l) \\ y_{\lambda,j}'(\pm l) \\ y_{\lambda,j}''(\pm l) \\ y_{\lambda,j}'''(\pm l) \end{pmatrix} \cdot c_j = \begin{pmatrix} y_{\lambda}(\pm l)^T \\ y_{\lambda}'((\pm l))^T \\ y_{\lambda}''((\pm l))^T \\ y_{\lambda}'''((\pm l))^T \end{pmatrix} \cdot c = W_\lambda(\pm l) c.
\]

It follows from Lemma 6.2 that the condition BC(\( M \)) is equivalent to

\[
0 = G_M \mathcal{E} \{ W(l)^{-1} W_\lambda(l) c - W(-l)^{-1} W_\lambda(-l) c \} + \text{diag}(0,1,1,0) \cdot W(-l)^{-1} W_\lambda(-l) c + \text{diag}(1,0,0,1) \cdot W(l)^{-1} W_\lambda(l) c
\]

\[
= [G_M \mathcal{E} \{ W(l)^{-1} W_\lambda(l) - W(-l)^{-1} W_\lambda(-l) \} + \text{diag}(0,1,1,0) \cdot W(-l)^{-1} W_\lambda(-l) + \text{diag}(1,0,0,1) \cdot W(l)^{-1} W_\lambda(l)] c.
\]

Thus Lemma 3.3 can be rephrased as the following.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \( M \in \text{wp}(4,8,\mathbb{C}) \), \( 0 \neq u \in L^2[-l,l] \), and \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \). Then \( \mathcal{K}_M[u] = \lambda \cdot u \), if and only if \( \lambda \neq 0 \) and \( u = y_X^T c \) for some \( 0 \neq c \in \text{gl}(4,1,\mathbb{C}) \) which satisfies (6.7).

The following matrix \( X_\lambda(x) \) will have a key role in our discussions.

**Definition 6.4.** For \( 0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), we denote \( X_\lambda(x) = \text{diag}(0,1,1,0) \cdot W(-l)^{-1} W_\lambda(-l) + \text{diag}(1,0,0,1) \cdot W(l)^{-1} W_\lambda(l) \).

Note from Definitions 2.3 and 6.3 that, for each \( 0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), \( X_\lambda(x) \) is a concrete \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix which does not depend on \( M \). By Definition 6.4, \( X_\lambda(x) - X_\lambda(-x) \)
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W(-x)^{-1}W_{\lambda}(-x) \\
&\quad + \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W(x)^{-1}W_{\lambda}(x) \right\} \\
&- \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W(x)^{-1}W_{\lambda}(x) \\
&\quad + \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W(-x)^{-1}W_{\lambda}(-x) \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

(6.8) \[= E \left\{ W(x)^{-1}W_{\lambda}(x) - W(-x)^{-1}W_{\lambda}(-x) \right\}, \quad 0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \; x \in \mathbb{R}. \]  

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** By Definition 6.4 and (6.8), the condition (6.7) is equivalent to \[\text{GM} \{ X_{\lambda}(l) - X_{\lambda}(-l) \} + X_{\lambda}(l) c = 0. \] Thus the first assertion follows from Lemma 6.3. The second assertion follows from the first one, since \[G_Q = O \] by (6.2). \[\square\]

7. Symmetries of \(X_{\lambda}\) and \(Y_{\lambda}\)

As a consequence of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, the matrix \(X_{\lambda}(l)\) is invertible for every \(0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\) which is not in \(\text{Spec} \; K_Q\). In fact, this is true for arbitrary \(l > 0\) by Proposition 1.

**Definition 7.1.** Denote \[Y_{\lambda}(x) = X_{\lambda}(-x)X_{\lambda}(x)^{-1} - I\] for every \(0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(x > 0\) such that \(\det X_{\lambda}(x) \neq 0\).

In view of Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1, 2, it is now apparent that analysis on the \(4 \times 4\) matrices \(X_{\lambda}(x)\) and \(Y_{\lambda}(x)\) is important. It turns out that they have various symmetries, and some of them are explored in this section. In particular, we will obtain the following result, which is crucial in proving Theorem 2.

**Lemma 7.1.** \(Y_{\lambda}(x) \in \pi(4)\) for every \(0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(x > 0\) such that \(\det X_{\lambda}(x) \neq 0\).

The proof of Lemma 7.1 will be given at the end of Section 7.2. To facilitate our analysis, we introduce the following change of variables

(7.1) \[z = \alpha x,\]

which will be used extensively for the rest of the paper. By (2.7), (2.9), and (7.1),

(7.2) \[W(x) = \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega z},\]

(7.3) \[W(x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\Omega z} W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right)^{-1}.\]

The following form of \(X_{\lambda}(x)\) will be useful.

**Lemma 7.2.** \(X_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{4} e^{\Omega z} \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 0, 0) \cdot W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right)^{-1} W_{\lambda}(-x) \right\}.

+ \text{diag}(0, 1, 0, 0) \cdot W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \right)^{-1} W_{\lambda}(x) \right\}.\]
Proof. By Definition 6.4 and (7.1), (7.3), we have
\[ X_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \text{diag}(0,1,1,0) \cdot e^{\Omega z} W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} W_\lambda(-x) \right. \\
\left. \quad + \text{diag}(1,0,0,1) \cdot e^{-\Omega z} W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} W_\lambda(x) \right\}. \]
(7.4)

Note that
\begin{align*}
\text{diag}(0,1,1,0) \cdot e^{\Omega z} & = \text{diag} (0, e^{\omega_1 z}, e^{\omega_2 z}, e^{\omega_3 z}, 0) \\
& = \text{diag} (e^{-\omega_1 z}, e^{\omega_2 z}, e^{\omega_3 z}, e^{-\omega_4 z}) \cdot \text{diag}(0,1,1,0) \\
& = e^{-\epsilon\Omega z} \cdot \text{diag}(0,1,1,0),
\end{align*}
(7.5)
\begin{align*}
\text{diag}(1,0,0,1) \cdot e^{-\Omega z} & = \text{diag} (e^{-\omega_1 z}, 0, 0, e^{-\omega_4 z}) \\
& = \text{diag} (e^{-\omega_1 z}, e^{\omega_2 z}, e^{\omega_3 z}, e^{-\omega_4 z}) \cdot \text{diag}(1,0,0,1) \\
& = e^{-\epsilon\Omega z} \cdot \text{diag}(1,0,0,1).
\end{align*}
(7.6)

Now the lemma follows from (7.4), (7.5), (7.6).

\[ \square \]

### 7.1. The case \( \lambda \neq 1/k \)

In this section, we assume \( \lambda \) is a complex number such that \( \lambda \neq 0, \lambda \neq 1/k \).

Let \( \kappa = \chi(\lambda) \) as in Definition 6.2. By Definition 2.1, and (6.6), (7.1), we have
\begin{align*}
W_\lambda(x) & = \left( \omega_j \kappa \alpha \right)^{i-1} e^{\omega_j \kappa \alpha z} \left|_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} \right. \\
& = \left( \alpha^3 \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega z} \right).
\end{align*}
(7.7)

hence \( \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} W_\lambda(x) = \text{diag} (1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega z} \). Thus by (7.1) and Lemma 7.2, we have
\begin{align*}
X_\lambda(x) & = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\epsilon \Omega z} \left\{ \text{diag}(0,1,1,0) \cdot W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3) \cdot W_0 e^{-\Omega z} \right. \\
& \quad + \text{diag}(1,0,0,1) \cdot W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega z} \left. \right\},
\end{align*}
(7.8)
where \( \kappa = \chi(\lambda) \). Note that (7.8) is well-defined for every \( z, \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \), though we originally restricted the domains of \( z, \kappa \).

**Definition 7.2.** For \( z, \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \), define
\[ X(z, \kappa) = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\epsilon \Omega z} \left\{ \text{diag}(0,1,1,0) \cdot W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3) \cdot W_0 e^{-\Omega z} \right. \\
& \quad + \text{diag}(1,0,0,1) \cdot W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega z} \left. \right\}. \]

By (7.1), (7.8), we have
\[ X_\lambda(x) = X(\alpha x, \chi(\lambda)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0,1/k\}. \]

**Lemma 7.3.**
(a) \( X(z, i\kappa) = X(z, \kappa) \cdot L^{-1} \) for every \( z, \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \).
(b) \( RX(z, \kappa)R = X(z, \kappa) \) for every \( z \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \).
Proof. By \((2.11), (2.12)\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{diag} \left( 1, i\kappa, (i\kappa)^2, (i\kappa)^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{\pm \Omega z} \\
= \text{diag} \left( 1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, i, i^2, i^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{\pm (\Omega)\kappa z} \\
= \text{diag} \left( 1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 L^{-1} \cdot e^{\pm \Omega \kappa z} \\
= \text{diag} \left( 1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 L^{-1} - \kappa z L^{-1} \\
= \text{diag} \left( 1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{\pm \Omega z} \cdot L^{-1},
\end{align*}
\]
which implies \((a)\) by Definition 7.2. Suppose \(z \in \mathbb{R}\), and let
\[
\dot{X}(z, \kappa) = \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{-\Omega z} \\
+ \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W_0 \cdot \text{diag} \left( \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega z}
\]
so that by Definition 7.2,
\[
X(z, \kappa) = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varepsilon \Omega z} \dot{X}(z, \kappa).
\]
Note that \(\text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0), \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \in \pi(4)\). Since \(z \in \mathbb{R}\), \(e^{-\varepsilon \Omega z} \in \pi(4)\), and \(R e^{\pm \Omega z} R = R e^{\pm \Omega z} \), \(R \cdot R e^{\pm \Omega z} R = e^{\pm \Omega z}\) by \((2.10)\). Hence by \((7.10)\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
R \dot{X}(z, \kappa) R &= R \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{-\Omega z} \right\} R \\
&+ R \left\{ \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W_0 \cdot \text{diag} \left( \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega z} \right\} R \\
&= R \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) R : R W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 R \cdot R e^{-\Omega z} R \\
&+ R \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) R : R W_0 \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \kappa, \kappa^2, \kappa^3 \right) \cdot W_0 R \cdot R e^{\Omega z} R \\
&= \text{diag} \left( 0, 1, 1, 0 \right) \cdot \left( W_0 R \right)^T \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \pi, \pi^2, \pi^3 \right) \cdot W_0 R \cdot e^{-\Omega z} \\
&+ \text{diag} \left( 1, 0, 0, 1 \right) \cdot \left( W_0 R \right)^T \cdot \text{diag} \left( 1, \pi, \pi^2, \pi^3 \right) \cdot W_0 R \cdot e^{\Omega z} \right\} \right. \\
&= \dot{X}(z, \pi),
\end{align*}
\]
which is \((b)\), and the proof is complete.

Interpreting Lemma 7.3 in terms of \(X_\lambda(x)\) and \(Y_\lambda(x)\), we have the following.
Lemma 7.4. \(\text{ (a) For } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1/k\} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R},\)

\[
\operatorname{R} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \operatorname{R} = \begin{cases} 
X_{\lambda}(x), & \text{if } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \cup \left(\frac{1}{k}, \infty\right), \\
X_{\lambda}(x) \cdot L, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

In particular, \(X_{\lambda}(x) \in \pi(4) \text{ for every } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1/k, \infty) \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R},\)

\(\text{(b) } \operatorname{R} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \operatorname{R} = \chi_{\lambda}(x) \text{ for every } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1/k\} \text{ and } x > 0 \text{ such that } \det X_{\lambda}(x) \neq 0. \text{ In particular, } \chi_{\lambda}(x) \in \pi(4) \text{ for every } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1/k\} \text{ and } x > 0 \text{ such that } \det X_{\lambda}(x) \neq 0.\)

Proof. Suppose \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1/k\} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Let } r \xi = 1 - 1/(\lambda k), r > 0, \text{ with } 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi. \text{ Then by Definition 6.2, } \chi(\lambda) = \sqrt{r} e^{-\frac{\theta i}{2}}. \text{ Suppose } \theta = 0, \text{ which is equivalent to } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1/k, \infty). \text{ Then } \chi(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda), \text{ hence by (7.9) and Lemma 7.3(b), } \operatorname{R} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \operatorname{R} = \chi_{\lambda}(x). \text{ Suppose } 0 < \theta < 2\pi. \text{ Then by Definition 6.2, } \chi(\lambda) = e^{-\theta i} = e^{-\frac{\theta i}{2}}. \text{ Thus by (7.9) and Lemma 7.3(a), } X_{\lambda}(x) = X(\lambda) = \chi_{\lambda}(x). \text{ This shows (a).}\)

Suppose \(\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1/k\}, \text{ and } x > 0, \text{ and } \det X_{\lambda}(x) \neq 0. \text{ Suppose first that } \lambda \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1/k, \infty). \text{ Then by (a), } X_{\lambda}(x), X_{\lambda}(x) \in \pi(4). \text{ Thus by Lemma 4.4 and Definition 7.1, } \chi_{\lambda}(x) = X_{\lambda}(x) = X_{\lambda}(x) \in \pi(4), \text{ and hence } \operatorname{R} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \operatorname{R} = \chi_{\lambda}(x) = X_{\lambda}(x), \text{ since } \lambda \text{ is real. Suppose } \lambda \not\in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1/k, \infty). \text{ Then by Definition 7.1 and (a),}\)

\[
\operatorname{R} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \operatorname{R} = \operatorname{R} \chi_{\lambda}(x) \operatorname{R} = \chi_{\lambda}(x) = X_{\lambda}(x) = X_{\lambda}(x) = \chi_{\lambda}(x).
\]

Thus we showed (b), and the proof is complete. \(\square\)

7.2. The case \(\lambda = 1/k\)

By (6.6), (7.1), we have

\[
\big\{ \text{diag } (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} \cdot W_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \cdot \text{diag } (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3) \big\}_{i,j} = \alpha^{1-i} \cdot \big\{ W_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \big\}_{i,j} \cdot \alpha^{j-1} = \alpha^{j-i} \cdot H(j - i) \frac{x^{j-i}}{(j - i)!} = H(j - i) \frac{(\alpha x)^{j-i}}{(j - i)!}
\]

\[
= H(j - i) \frac{x^{j-i}}{(j - i)!} = \big\{ W_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) \big\}_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 4,
\]
hence \( \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} \cdot W_x^z(x) = W_x^z(z) \cdot \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} \). Thus by Lemma 7.2,

\[
X^z_x(x) = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varepsilon \Omega} \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W_0^* \pi W_0^z(x) \cdot \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} \right. \\
+ \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W_0^* \pi W_0^z(x) \cdot \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} \left. \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varepsilon \Omega} \left\{ \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W_0^* \pi W_0^z(x) + \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W_0^* \pi W_0^z(x) \right\}
\]

\[
\cdot \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1}.
\]

For \( z \in \mathbb{R} \), denote

(7.12) \( P(z) = \text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W_0^* \pi W_0^z(x) + \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W_0^* \pi W_0^z(x) \),

so that

(7.13) \( X^z_x(x) = \frac{1}{4} e^{-\varepsilon \Omega} P(z) \cdot \text{diag}(1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} \).

See Appendix B for the proof of Lemma 7.5.

Lemma 7.5. \( Y^z_x(x) \in \pi(4) \) for every \( x > 0 \).

Proof of Lemma 7.1. The statement follows from Lemmas 7.4(b) and 7.5 respectively for the case \( \lambda \neq 1/k \) and for the case \( \lambda = 1/k \). \( \square \)

8. Proof of Theorem 2

Let

(8.1) \( U_{2n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_n & R_n \\ iR_n & -iI_n \end{array} \right) \in \text{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \).

\( U_{2n} \) is unitary, since

\[
U_{2n} U_{2n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_n & R_n \\ iR_n & -iI_n \end{array} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_n & R_n \\ iR_n & -iI_n \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_n & O_n \\ O_n & I_n \end{array} \right) = I_n.
\]

Note also that

(8.2) \( U_{2n} R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_n & R_n \\ iR_n & -iI_n \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} O_n & R_n \\ R_n & O_n \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} I_n & R_n \\ -iR_n & iI_n \end{array} \right) = U_{2n} \).

Lemma 8.1. For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), the map \( A \mapsto U_{2n} A U_{2n}^T \) is an \( \mathbb{R} \)-algebra isomorphism from \( \pi(2n) \) to \( \text{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \).

Proof. Since \( U_{2n} \) is unitary, and hence \( U_{2n}^{-1} = U_{2n}^T \), it is clear that the map \( A \mapsto U_{2n} A U_{2n}^T \) is a \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra isomorphism from \( \text{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \) to \( \text{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \). So it is sufficient to show that \( A \in \pi(2n) \) if and only if \( U_{2n} A U_{2n}^T \in \text{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \).

Let \( B = U_{2n} A U_{2n}^T \). Suppose \( A \in \pi(2n) \). Then by Definition 4.4, \( \bar{A} = RAR = RAR \), hence \( \bar{B} = U_{2n} A U_{2n}^T = U_{2n} RAR = U_{2n} U_{2n}^T \) by (8.2). Thus \( B \in \text{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \). Conversely, suppose \( B \in \text{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \). Since \( A = \left( U_{2n} \right)^{-1} B \left( U_{2n}^T \right)^{-1} = U_{2n}^T B U_{2n} \),
we have $R\overline{AR} = R(U_{2n}^T \overline{BU_{2n}})R = (U_{2n}R)^* \overline{B} (U_{2n}R) = U_{2n}^T \overline{BU_{2n}} = A$ by (8.2). Thus $A \in \pi(2n)$ by Definition 4.4, and the proof is complete. \hfill \Box

Note that $U_4 = U$, where $U$ is defined by (4.5).

**Lemma 8.2.** For any $O \neq G_0 \in \pi(4)$, there exists $G \in \pi(4)$ such that $\det (GG_0 - I) = 0$.

**Proof.** Let $\hat{G}_0 = UG_0 U^T$, which is not $O$, since $G_0 \neq O$. By Lemma 8.1, $\hat{G}_0 \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{R})$. So there exists $0 \neq r \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{R})$ such that $G_0 r \neq 0$. It is clear that there exists $G \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{R})$ such that $G \cdot \hat{G}_0 r = r$, since $G_0 r \neq 0$. Take $G = U^T \overline{GU}$. Then by Lemma 8.1, $G \in \pi(4)$, and $GG_0 \cdot UT = UT \overline{GU} \cdot U^T \hat{G}_0 U \cdot UT = UT \cdot G_0 r = UT r$. Since $UT r \neq 0$, it follows that 1 is an eigenvalue of $GG_0$, which is equivalent to $\det (GG_0 - I) = 0$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 8.3 below, which is the last ingredient for the proof of Theorem 2, shows that $Y_\lambda(x)$, when defined, never becomes the zero matrix for $x > 0$. See Appendix C for its proof.

**Lemma 8.3.** $Y_\lambda(x) \neq O$ for every $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x > 0$ such that $\det X_\lambda(x) \neq 0$.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Suppose $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \text{Spec} K_Q$. Then $O \neq Y_\lambda(l) \in \pi(4)$ by Lemmas 7.1 and 8.3. So by Lemma 8.2, there exists $G \in \pi(4)$ such that $\det \{G \cdot Y_\lambda(l) - I\} = 0$. By Definitions 4.3, 6.1, and Lemma 6.1, there exists $M \in \text{wp}(4, 8, \mathbb{R})$ such that $G_M = G$, since $G \in \pi(4)$. Thus we have $\det \{G_M \cdot Y_\lambda(l) - I\} = 0$, which implies that $\lambda \in \text{Spec} K_M$ by Corollary 2. \hfill \Box

9. Discussion

The $4 \times 4$ matrices $X_\lambda(x)$ and $Y_\lambda(x)$ turn out to be rich in symmetries. In fact, only part of their symmetries are exploited to prove our results in this paper. We have also tried to refrain, as possible as we can, from resorting to more explicit forms of $X_\lambda(x)$ and $Y_\lambda(x)$, despite of their explicit nature. In view of what can be done more in these respects, it is expected that we will have a clearer picture of general well-posed boundary value problems for finite beam deflection, if we pursue closer investigations on $X_\lambda(x)$ and $Y_\lambda(x)$. Especially, detailed results such as Proposition 1, which is for only one specific boundary condition $Q$, are expected to be obtained for the class of all well-posed boundary conditions.

**Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3.2**

By Definition 3.4, we have

$$K_M[w](x) = -\frac{\alpha}{4k} \int_{-l}^{x} y(x)^T \cdot G_M \cdot y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi$$
\[ + \frac{\alpha}{4k} \int_x^l y(x)^T \cdot G_M^+ \cdot y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi \]

(A.1) \[ = \frac{\alpha}{4k} \cdot y(x)^T \left\{ -G_M^- \int_{-l}^x y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi + G_M^+ \int_x^l y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi \right\} , \]

and by (3.2),

\[ \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ -G_M^- \int_{-l}^x y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi + G_M^+ \int_x^l y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi \right\} \]

(A.2) \[ = -G_M^- \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-l}^x y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi + G_M^+ \frac{d}{dx} \int_x^l y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi , \]

so that

\[ f(x) = -G_M^- \int_{-l}^x y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi + G_M^+ \int_x^l y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi , \]

(A.4) \[ \kappa_M[w](x) = \frac{\alpha}{4k} \cdot y(x)^T \cdot f(x) , \]

and \( f'(x) = -\Omega L^2 \cdot y(x) w(x) \) by (A.1), (A.2). By (2.13),

\[ y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^n \cdot f'(x) = -y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^n \cdot \Omega L^2 \cdot y(x) w(x) \]

\[ = -w(x) \left\{ y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^{n+1} \cdot y(-x) \right\} \]

\[ = -w(x) \left( e^{\omega_1 \alpha x} \ e^{\omega_2 \alpha x} \ e^{\omega_3 \alpha x} \ e^{\omega_4 \alpha x} \right) \cdot \text{diag} \left( \omega_1^{n+1}, \omega_2^{n+1}, \omega_3^{n+1}, \omega_4^{n+1} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\omega_1 \alpha x} \\ e^{-\omega_2 \alpha x} \\ e^{-\omega_3 \alpha x} \\ e^{-\omega_4 \alpha x} \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ = -w(x) \sum_{j=1}^{4} e^{\omega_j \alpha x} \omega_j^{n+1} e^{-\omega_j \alpha x} \]

(A.5) \[ = -w(x) \sum_{j=1}^{4} \omega_j^{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

By (2.1), \( \sum_{j=1}^{4} \omega_j^4 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} (-1) = -4 \), and \( \sum_{j=1}^{4} \omega_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} (i^{-1})^2 = \omega_j^2 \sum_{j=1}^{4} (-1)^{j-1} = 0 \). By (2.3), \( \sum_{j=1}^{4} \omega_j = 0 \), hence by (2.1), \( \sum_{j=1}^{4} \omega_j^2 \sum_{j=1}^{4} (-\bar{\omega}_j) = -\sum_{j=1}^{4} \omega_j = 0 \). So by (A.5), we have

\[ y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^n \cdot f'(x) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \quad y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^3 \cdot f'(x) = 4 \cdot w(x) . \]
By (2.6), \( y'(x)^T = \{a\Omega \cdot y(x)\}^T = \alpha \cdot y(x)^T \cdot \Omega \). So by (A.4), (A.6), we have

\[
K_M[w]'(x) = \frac{\alpha}{4k} \{y'(x)^T \cdot f(x) + y(x)^T \cdot f'(x)\}
\]

(A.7)

\[
= \frac{\alpha^2}{4k} \cdot y(x)^T \cdot \Omega \cdot f(x),
\]

\[
K_M[w]''(x) = \frac{\alpha^2}{4k} \{y'(x)^T \cdot \Omega \cdot f(x) + y(x)^T \cdot \Omega \cdot f'(x)\}
\]

(A.8)

\[
= \frac{\alpha^3}{4k} \cdot y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^2 \cdot f(x),
\]

\[
K_M[w]'''(x) = \frac{\alpha^3}{4k} \{y'(x)^T \cdot \Omega^2 \cdot f(x) + y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^2 \cdot f'(x)\}
\]

(A.9)

hence by (2.4), (2.6), (A.4), (A.6),

\[
K_M[w]^{(4)}(x) = \frac{\alpha^4}{4k} \{y'(x)^T \cdot \Omega^3 \cdot f(x) + y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^3 \cdot f'(x)\}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha^4}{4k} \left\{ \alpha \cdot y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^4 \cdot f(x) + 4 \cdot w(x) \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha^4}{4k} \left\{ -\alpha \cdot y(x)^T \cdot f(x) + 4 \cdot w(x) \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha^4}{4k} \left\{ -\alpha \cdot \frac{4k}{\alpha} K_M[w](x) + 4 \cdot w(x) \right\}
\]

\[
= -\alpha^4 \cdot K_M[w](x) + \frac{\alpha^4}{k} \cdot w(x).
\]

This shows that \( K_M[w](x) \) satisfies \( \text{DE}(w) \).

By (A.4), (A.7), (A.8), (A.9), and (2.6), we have

\[
K_M[w]^{(n)}(x) = \frac{\alpha^{n+1}}{4k} \cdot y(x)^T \cdot \Omega^n \cdot f(x) = \frac{\alpha}{4k} \left\{ \alpha^n \Omega^n \cdot y(x) \right\}^T \cdot f(x)
\]

(A.10)

By (A.3), \( f(\pm l) = \mp \mathbf{G}_M^\pm \int_{-l}^{l} y(\xi)w(\xi) \, d\xi \), hence by (A.10),

\[
K_M[w]^{(n)}(\pm l) = \mp \frac{\alpha}{4k} \cdot y(\pm l)^T \cdot \mathbf{G}_M^\pm \int_{-l}^{l} y(\xi)w(\xi) \, d\xi, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3.
\]

So by Definitions 2.3 and 2.6,

\[
B^\pm [K_M[w]] = \mp \frac{\alpha}{4k} \cdot \mathbf{W}(\pm l) \cdot \mathbf{G}_M^\pm \int_{-l}^{l} y(\xi)w(\xi) \, d\xi.
\]

(A.11)
Let \( M^-, M^+ \in \text{gl}(4, \mathbb{C}) \) be the 4 \times 4 minors of \( M \) such that \( M = (M^- \; M^+) \).

By Definitions 3.2, 3.3, and (A.11),

\[
M^\pm \cdot B^\pm [\mathcal{K}_M[w]] = \mp \frac{\alpha}{4k} \cdot M^\pm W(\pm l) \cdot \mathbf{G}_M \int_{-l}^{l} y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
= \pm \frac{\alpha}{4k} \cdot \tilde{M}^\pm \cdot \tilde{M}^{-1} \tilde{M}^+ \Omega L^2 \int_{-l}^{l} y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi,
\]

hence by (2.15),

\[
M \cdot B [\mathcal{K}_M[w]] = M^- \cdot B^- [\mathcal{K}_M[w]] + M^+ \cdot B^+ [\mathcal{K}_M[w]]
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha}{4k} M^- \tilde{M}^{-1} \tilde{M}^+ \Omega L^2 \int_{-l}^{l} y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
- \frac{\alpha}{4k} \tilde{M}^+ \tilde{M}^{-1} \tilde{M}^- \Omega L^2 \int_{-l}^{l} y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha}{4k} (\tilde{M}^- \tilde{M}^{-1} \tilde{M}^+ - \tilde{M}^- \tilde{M}^+ \tilde{M}^-) \Omega L^2 \int_{-l}^{l} y(\xi) w(\xi) \, d\xi.
\]

Thus we have \( M \cdot B [\mathcal{K}_M[w]] = 0 \), since \( \tilde{M} = \tilde{M}^- + \tilde{M}^+ \) by Definition 3.2 and hence

\[
\tilde{M}^- \tilde{M}^{-1} \tilde{M}^+ - \tilde{M}^- \tilde{M}^+ \tilde{M}^- = \mathbf{0}.
\]

This shows that \( \mathcal{K}_M[w] \) satisfies BC(\( M \)), and the proof is complete.

**Appendix B. Proof of Lemma 7.5**

Denote

\[
p_{n,i}(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_{n-r}^{i}}{r!} z^r, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

where it is understood that \( 0^0 = 1 \). In particular, denote

\[
p_n(z) = p_{n,1}(z), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3.
\]

By Definitions 2.1, 2.4, and (2.10),

\[
W_0^* = \overline{W_0^*} = \overline{(W_0 R)^T} = R W_0^T = R \cdot (\omega_j^{i-1})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4} = (\omega_{5-i}^{j-1})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4},
\]

hence by (6.6), (B.1), we have

\[
\text{diag}(0, 1, 1, 0) \cdot W_0^* W_0^T (-z)
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & \omega_3 & \omega_3^2 & \omega_3^3 \\
1 & \omega_2 & \omega_2^2 & \omega_2^3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
(-z)^0 & (-z)^1 & \frac{1}{2}(-z)^2 & \frac{1}{3}(-z)^3 \\
0 & (-z)^0 & (-z)^1 & \frac{1}{2}(-z)^2 \\
0 & 0 & (-z)^0 & (-z)^1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & (-z)^0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
p_{0,3}(-z) & p_{1,3}(-z) & p_{2,3}(-z) & p_{3,3}(-z) \\
p_{0,2}(-z) & p_{1,2}(-z) & p_{2,2}(-z) & p_{3,2}(-z) \\
p_{0,1}(-z) & p_{1,1}(-z) & p_{2,1}(-z) & p_{3,1}(-z)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1) \cdot W_0^* W_k(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \omega_4 & \omega_4^2 & \omega_4^3 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & \omega_1 & \omega_1^2 & \omega_1^3
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
z^0 & z^1 & \frac{1}{2}z^2 & \frac{1}{3}z^3 \\
z^0 & z^1 & \frac{1}{2}z^2 & \frac{1}{3}z^3 \\
z^0 & z^1 & \frac{1}{2}z^2 & \frac{1}{3}z^3 \\
z^0 & z^1 & \frac{1}{2}z^2 & \frac{1}{3}z^3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Thus by (7.12), we have

\[
P(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
p_{0,4}(z) & p_{1,4}(z) & p_{2,4}(z) & p_{3,4}(z) \\
p_{0,3}(-z) & p_{1,3}(-z) & p_{2,3}(-z) & p_{3,3}(-z) \\
p_{0,2}(-z) & p_{1,2}(-z) & p_{2,2}(-z) & p_{3,2}(-z) \\
p_{0,1}(z) & p_{1,1}(z) & p_{2,1}(z) & p_{3,1}(z)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note from (2.2), (2.3), (B.1), (B.2) that, for \( n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \)

\[
p_{n,4}(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_4^{n-r}}{r!} z^r = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_4^{n-r}}{r!} z^r = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_1^{n-r}}{r!} z^r = \frac{p_{n,1}(z)}{p_n(z)},
\]

\[
p_{n,3}(-z) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_3^{n-r}}{r!} (-z)^r = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-\omega_1)^{n-r}}{r!} (-z)^r = (-1)^n \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_1^{n-r}}{r!} (-z)^r
\]

\[
= (-1)^n p_{n,1}(z) = (-1)^n p_n(z),
\]

\[
p_{n,2}(-z) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_2^{n-r}}{r!} (-z)^r = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_3^{n-r}}{r!} (-z)^r = \sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{\omega_3^{n-r}}{r!} (-z)^r
\]

Thus by (B.3), we have

\[
P(z) = \begin{pmatrix}
p_0(z) & p_1(z) & p_2(z) & p_3(z) \\
p_0(z) & -p_1(z) & p_2(z) & -p_3(z) \\
p_0(z) & -p_1(z) & p_2(z) & -p_3(z) \\
p_0(z) & p_1(z) & p_2(z) & p_3(z)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Denote
\[
P^+ (z) = \begin{pmatrix} p_0(z) & p_2(z) \\
p_0(z) & p_2(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad P^- (z) = \begin{pmatrix} -p_1(z) & -p_3(z) \\
p_1(z) & p_3(z) \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
(B.5)
\]
\[
V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
\[
(B.6)
\]
Note that \( V, \hat{V} \in O(4) \) and
\[
(B.7) \quad \det V = 1, \quad \det \hat{V} = -1.
\]
Lemma B1. \( V \cdot P(z) \cdot \hat{V} = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\ O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} \).
\[
Proof. \ \text{By (B.4), (B.6),}
\]
\[
V \cdot P(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_0(z) & p_1(z) & p_2(z) & p_3(z) \\ p_0(z) & -p_1(z) & p_2(z) & -p_3(z) \\ p_0(z) & p_1(z) & p_2(z) & p_3(z) \\ p_0(z) & p_1(z) & p_2(z) & p_3(z) \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
= \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} p_0(z) & 0 & p_2(z) & 0 \\ 0 & p_0(z) & 0 & p_2(z) \\ 0 & 0 & p_1(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p_3(z) \end{pmatrix},
\]
hence the lemma follows, since multiplying \( \hat{V} \) on the right amounts to interchanging the second and the third columns. □

By Lemma B1, we have
\[
(B.8) \quad P(z) = V^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\ O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \hat{V}^{-1}
\]
\[
= \sqrt{2} \cdot V^T \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\ O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} \hat{V}^T,
\]
since \( V, \hat{V} \) are orthogonal. So by (B.7)
\[
\det P(z) = \sqrt{2}^3 \cdot \det V \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\ O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \det \hat{V}
\]
\[
= -4 \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\ O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} = -4 \cdot \det P^+(z) \cdot \det P^-(z),
\]
hence by (7.13),
\[
\det X_\xi(x) = \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^4 \cdot \det e^{-\varepsilon D} \cdot \det P(z) \cdot \det \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1}
\]
(B.9) \[ = -\frac{e^{-2\sqrt{\pi}z}}{4^3 a^3} \cdot \det \mathbf{P}^+(z) \cdot \det \mathbf{P}^-(z), \]

since \( \det \mathrm{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} = 1 - \alpha^{-1} - \alpha^{-2} - \alpha^{-3} = \alpha^{-6} \), and \( e^{-\varepsilon \Omega z} = e^{-\omega_1 z} \), \( e^{\omega_2 z} \cdot e^{-\omega_4 z} = e^{-(\omega_1 - \omega_3) + (\omega_1 + \omega_3) z} = e^{-2 \pi \text{Re} \omega_1 z} = e^{-2 \sqrt{\pi} z} \) by (2.2), (2.3), (2.5). Since \( \det \mathbf{X}_k(x) \neq 0 \) for every \( x > 0 \) by Proposition 1, Corollary 1, and Lemma 5.1, it follows from (7.1), (B.9) that \( \det \mathbf{P}^+(z) \neq 0 \) and \( \det \mathbf{P}^-(z) \neq 0 \) for every \( z > 0 \). From (B.5), we have

(B.10) \[ \det \mathbf{P}^+(z) = \overline{p_0(z)p_2(z) - p_0(z)p_2(z)} = 2i \text{ Im} \left\{ \overline{p_0(z)p_2(z)} \right\}, \]
(B.11) \[ \det \mathbf{P}^-(z) = p_1(z)p_3(z) - p_1(z)p_3(z) = 2i \text{ Im} \left\{ p_1(z)p_3(z) \right\}. \]

Note from Definition 4.4 that \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{array} \right) \in \mathbf{P}(2) \), if and only if \( \alpha_{11} = \overline{a_{22}} \) and \( a_{12} = \overline{a_{21}} \).

**Lemma B2.** \( \mathbf{P}^+(z)\mathbf{P}^+(z)^{-1}, \mathbf{P}^-(z)\mathbf{P}^-(z)^{-1} \in \mathbf{P}(2) \) for every \( z > 0 \).

**Proof.** From (B.5), we have

\[
i \mathbf{P}^+(z) \cdot \text{adj} \mathbf{P}^+(z) = i \begin{pmatrix} p_0(z) & p_2(z) \\ p_0(z) & p_2(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_2(z) & -p_2(z) \\ -p_0(z) & p_0(z) \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} -p_0(z)p_2(z) + p_2(z)p_0(z) \\ -p_0(z)p_2(z) + p_2(z)p_0(z) \end{pmatrix},\]

or

\[
i \mathbf{P}^-(z) \cdot \text{adj} \mathbf{P}^-(z) = i \begin{pmatrix} p_0(z) & p_2(z) \\ p_0(z) & p_2(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_2(z) & -p_2(z) \\ -p_0(z) & p_0(z) \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} -p_0(z)p_2(z) + p_2(z)p_0(z) \\ -p_0(z)p_2(z) + p_2(z)p_0(z) \end{pmatrix},\]

or

\[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |a_{11}|^2 + |a_{12}|^2 & a_{11} \overline{a_{12}} + a_{12} \overline{a_{11}} \\ a_{11} \overline{a_{12}} + a_{12} \overline{a_{11}} & |a_{12}|^2 + |a_{11}|^2 \end{pmatrix}.\]
hence we have $iP^+(z) \cdot \text{adj} P^+(z), iP^-(z) \cdot \text{adj} P^-(z) \in \pi(2)$ by Definition 4.4. Thus by (B.10), (B.11),

$$
P^+(z)P^+(z)^{-1} = P^+(z) \cdot \frac{\text{adj} P^+(z)}{2 \text{Im} \left\{ p_0(z)p_2(z) \right\}},$$

$$
P^-(z)P^-(z)^{-1} = P^-(z) \cdot \frac{\text{adj} P^-(z)}{2 \text{Im} \left\{ p_1(z)p_3(z) \right\}},$$

both of which are in $\pi(2)$ by Lemma 4.4.

Note from (B.8) that

$$
P(-z)P(z)^{-1} = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{2} \cdot V^T \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} & \end{cases}
$$

$$
\cdot \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{2} \cdot V^T \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} & \end{cases}
$$

$$
= \sqrt{2} \cdot V^T \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}, 
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot V \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} V
$$

$$
= V^T \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P^-(z) & O \\
O & P^+(z) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} V
$$

(B.12) $\quad = V^T \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} V, \quad z > 0.$

**Proof of Lemma 7.5.** By Definition 7.1 and Lemma 4.4, it is sufficient to show that $X_\frac{1}{2}(-x)X_\frac{1}{2}(x)^{-1} \in \pi(4)$ for $x > 0$. By (7.1), (7.13),

$$
X_\frac{1}{2}(-x)X_\frac{1}{2}(x)^{-1} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{4} e^{-\xi \Omega(z)} P(-z) \cdot \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\
\cdot \frac{1}{4} e^{-\xi \Omega(z)} P(z) \cdot \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} & \end{cases}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4} e^{\xi \Omega(z)} P(-z) \cdot \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot 4 \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot P(z)^{-1} e^{\xi \Omega(z)}
$$

$$
= e^{\xi \Omega(z)} P(z) \cdot \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot 4 \text{diag} \begin{pmatrix} 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot P(z)^{-1} e^{\xi \Omega(z)},
$$

hence it is sufficient to show that $P(-z)P(z)^{-1} \in \pi(4)$ for $z > 0$, since $e^{\xi \Omega(z)} \in \pi(4)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. By (B.6), (B.12),

$$
R \{ P(-z)P(z)^{-1} \} R
$$

$$
= R \left\{ V^T \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P^+(z) & O \\
O & P^-(z) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} V \right\} R
$$
\[(B.13) \quad R V^T R \cdot R \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} R \cdot R V R.\]

By Lemma B2,
\[
\begin{align*}
R \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} R & = \begin{pmatrix} O & R \\ R & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & R \\ R & O \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \begin{pmatrix} O & R \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \\ R \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} R & O \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z > 0.
\]

By (B.6),
\[
R VR = \begin{pmatrix} O & R \\ R & O \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & R \\ R & O \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -R & R \\ R & -R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & R \\ R & O \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ I & I \end{pmatrix} = V^T,
\]

(B.15) \quad V^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ -I & O \end{pmatrix}.

So by (B.12), (B.13), (B.14), (B.15), (B.16), we have
\[
R \{ \mathbb{P}(-z) \mathbb{P}(-z)^{-1} \} R \\
= (R VR)^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^-(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot R VR \\
= V^T \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^-(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} V^T \\
= V^T V^2 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^-(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} (V^2)^T V \\
= V^T \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ -I & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^-(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & -I \\ I & O \end{pmatrix} V \\
= V^T \begin{pmatrix} O & \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} \\ -\mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & -I \\ I & O \end{pmatrix} V \\
= V^T \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^+(-z) \mathbb{P}^+(-z)^{-1} & O \\ O & \mathbb{P}^-(-z) \mathbb{P}^-(-z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} V = \mathbb{P}(-z) \mathbb{P}(-z)^{-1}, \quad z > 0.
\]

Thus \( \mathbb{P}(-z) \mathbb{P}(-z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(4) \) for \( z > 0 \), and the proof is complete. \( \square \)
Appendix C. Proof of Lemma 8.3

Lemma C1. Suppose \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) satisfies \( \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{\omega_r t_0} = 0 \) for \( n = 1, 2, 3 \). Then \( t_0 = 0 \).

Proof. Let \( a = \sum_{r=1}^{4} e^{\omega_r t_0} \). Then the condition for \( t_0 \) is equivalent to

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  \omega_j^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & \omega_j^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & \omega_j^{-1} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_j^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} = W_0 \begin{pmatrix}
  e^{\omega_1 t_0} & e^{\omega_2 t_0} & e^{\omega_3 t_0} & e^{\omega_4 t_0}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

which, by Lemma 2.1 and (2.8), is equivalent again to

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  e^{\omega_1 t_0} & e^{\omega_2 t_0} & e^{\omega_3 t_0} & e^{\omega_4 t_0}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} W_0^* \begin{pmatrix}
  a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & a & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & a & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & a
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
  1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

It follows that \( e^{\omega_1 t_0} = e^{\omega_2 t_0} \) for every \( i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \). In particular, \( e^{\omega_1 t_0} = e^{\omega_2 t_0} = e^{\omega_3 t_0} = e^{\omega_4 t_0} \) by Definition 2.1, which implies that \( t_0 = 0 \).

Proof of Lemma 8.3. Suppose on the contrary that \( Y_{\lambda_0}(x_0) = 0 \) for some \( 0 \neq \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( x_0 > 0 \) such that \( \det X_{\lambda_0}(x_0) \neq 0 \). Then by Definition 7.1, we have \( X_{\lambda_0}(-x_0) \cdot X_{\lambda_0}(x_0)^{-1} - I = 0 \), hence \( X_{\lambda_0}(x_0) = 0 \). So by (6.8), we have \( W(x_0)^{-1} W_{\lambda_0}(x_0) = W(-x_0)^{-1} W_{\lambda_0}(-x_0) \), hence

\[
W_{\lambda_0}(-x_0) W_{\lambda_0}(x_0)^{-1} = W(-x_0) W(x_0)^{-1}.
\]

Let \( z_0 = \alpha x_0 > 0 \). By (7.2), (7.3),

\[
W(-x_0) W(x_0)^{-1} = \left\{ \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3) \cdot W_0 e^{\Omega(-z_0)} \right\}
\]

\[
\cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{4} e^{-\Omega z_0} W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} \right\}
\]

\[
(C.1) = \frac{1}{4} \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3) \cdot W_0 e^{-2\Omega z_0} W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1}.
\]

By (2.8),

\[
W_0 e^{-2\Omega z_0} W_0^* = \left( e^{\omega_i^{-1} z_0} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} \cdot \text{diag} (e^{-2\omega_1 z_0}, e^{-2\omega_2 z_0}, e^{-2\omega_3 z_0}, e^{-2\omega_4 z_0}) \cdot \left( e^{\omega_i^{-1} z_0} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}
\]

\[
= \left( e^{\omega_i^{-1} \omega_j z_0} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} \cdot \left( e^{\omega_i^{-1} z_0} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^{-1} e^{-2\omega_r z_0} \omega_r^{-1} = 0.
\]
hence by (C.2),
\[
\left\{ W(-x_0) W(x_0)^{-1} \right\}_{i,j} = \frac{\alpha_{i-1} \cdot \alpha_{j-1}}{4} \cdot (W_0 e^{-2\Omega z_0} W_0^*)_{i,j}
\]
\[
(C.4) = \frac{\alpha_{i-1} \cdot \alpha_{j-1}}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^{i-1-j} e^{-2\omega_r z_0}, \quad 1 \leq i,j \leq 4.
\]

Suppose \( \lambda_0 = 1/k \). Note from (6.6) that \( W_{\frac{1}{k}}(x) \) is upper diagonal. So \( W_{\frac{1}{k}}(-x) W_{\frac{1}{k}}(x)^{-1} \) is upper diagonal as well. Hence by (C.1), (C.4), we have
\[
\sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r z_0} = \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r x_0} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, 3.
\]
This implies that \( x_0 = 0 \) by Lemma C1, which contradicts the assumption that \( x_0 \neq 0 \). Thus we conclude that \( \lambda_0 \neq 1/k \). Let \( \kappa_0 = \chi(\lambda_0) \), where \( \chi \) is as in Definition 6.2. Note that \( \kappa_0^4 \neq 1 \). \( \kappa_0 \neq 0 \), since \( \lambda_0 \neq 1/k \). By (7.7) and Lemma 2.1,
\[
W_{\lambda_0}(-x_0) W_{\lambda_0}(x_0)^{-1}
\]
\[
= \left\{ \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3) \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa_0, \kappa_0^2, \kappa_0^3) \right\} W_0 e^{\Omega \kappa_0 (-x_0)}
\]
\[
\cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{4} e^{-2\Omega \kappa_0 z_0} W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa_0, \kappa_0^2, \kappa_0^3)^{-1} \cdot \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1} \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4} \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3) \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa_0, \kappa_0^2, \kappa_0^3) \cdot W_0 e^{-2\Omega \kappa_0 z_0} W_0^*
\]
\[
\cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa_0, \kappa_0^2, \kappa_0^3)^{-1} \cdot \text{diag} (1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)^{-1},
\]
hence by (C.1), (C.2), we have
\[
W_0 e^{-2\Omega z_0} W_0^*
\]
\[
(C.5) = \text{diag} (1, \kappa_0, \kappa_0^2, \kappa_0^3) \cdot W_0 e^{-2\Omega \kappa_0 z_0} W_0^* \cdot \text{diag} (1, \kappa_0, \kappa_0^2, \kappa_0^3)^{-1}.
\]
Similarly to (C.3), we have
\[
W_0 e^{-2\Omega \kappa_0 z_0} W_0^* = \left( \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^{i-j} e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} \right)_{i,j=1}^{4},
\]
hence by (C.3), (C.5), \( \kappa_0^{i-j} \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^{i-j} e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} = \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^{i-j} e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} \) for \( 1 \leq i,j \leq 4 \), or equivalently, \( \kappa_0^n \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} = \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} \) for \( -3 \leq n \leq 3 \). So by (2.1), we have
\[
\sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} = \kappa_0^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} = \kappa_0^n \left( -\omega_r^{n-4} e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} \right)
\]
\[
= -\kappa_0^4 \cdot \kappa_0^{n-4} \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0} = \kappa_0^4 \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r \kappa_0 z_0}
\]
\[-\kappa_0^4 \sum_{r=1}^{4} (-\omega_r^n) e^{-2\omega_r z_0} = \kappa_0^4 \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r z_0}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3.\]

Since \(\kappa_0^4 \neq 1\), it follows that \(\sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{-2\omega_r z_0} = \sum_{r=1}^{4} \omega_r^n e^{2\omega_r z_0} = 0\) for \(n = 1, 2, 3\), which implies \(x_0 = 0\) by Lemma C1. This again contradicts the assumption that \(x_0 > 0\). Thus we conclude \(Y_{\lambda}(x) \neq O\) for every \(0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(x > 0\) such that \(\det X_{\lambda}(x) \neq 0\). \(\square\)
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