The effects of surgical treatment and sclerotherapy for intramuscular venous malformations: a comparative clinical study
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Background Venous malformations (VMs) are the most common type of vascular malformations. Intramuscular venous malformations (IMVMs) are lesions involving the muscles, excluding intramuscular hemangiomas. The purpose of this study was to compare clinical outcomes between patients with IMVMs who were treated with sclerotherapy and those who were treated with surgical excision.

Methods Of 492 patients with VMs treated between July 2011 and August 2020 at a single medical center for vascular anomalies, 63 patients diagnosed with IMVM were retrospectively reviewed. Pain, movement limitations, swelling, and quality of life (QOL) were evaluated subjectively, while radiological outcomes were assessed by qualified radiologists at the center. Complication rates were also evaluated, and radiological and clinical examinations were used to determine which treatment group (sclerotherapy or surgical excision) exhibited greater improvement.

Results Although there were no significant differences in pain (P = 0.471), swelling (P = 0.322), or the occurrence of complications (P = 0.206) between the two treatment groups, the surgical treatment group exhibited significantly better outcomes with regard to movement limitations (P = 0.010), QOL (P = 0.013), and radiological outcomes (P = 0.017). Moreover, both duplex ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging showed greater improvements in clinical outcomes in the surgical excision group than in the sclerotherapy group.

Conclusions Although several studies have examined IMVM treatment methods, no clear guidelines for treatment selection have been developed. Based on the results of this study, surgical excision is strongly encouraged for the treatment of IMVMs.
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INTRODUCTION

Venous malformations (VMs), the most common type of vascular malformations, are a consequence of abnormal angiogenic development, although the specific mechanisms involved are still unclear. VMs may occur in various parts of the body, with...
approximately 40% of lesions occurring in the head and neck region. These lesions do not disappear naturally and typically present as non-pulsating soft masses accompanied by black and blue skin discoloration and pain. Moreover, the size of the lesion and associated pain may increase with hormonal changes such as puberty, menstruation, and pregnancy. VM may also be associated with swelling, limitation of movement, and discomfort, leading patients to seek treatment. Conservative treatment methods include the use of compression garments, sclerotherapy, cryoablation, and surgical resection. The choice of treatment is based on the size and location of the lesion, associated symptoms, and the presence of neural involvement. However, there are no clear guidelines for the selection of treatment options [1].

The International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies classifies intramuscular venous malformations (IMVMs) as a common type of VMs, distinct from intramuscular hemangioma, which is a provisionally unclassified vascular anomaly [2]. For this study, IMVMs were defined as common VMs limited to the muscle, excluding intramuscular hemangioma.

IMVMs are relatively rare and are typically associated with symptoms such as severe pain, swelling, and functional limitations [2-6]. The low incidence of IMVM and variation in the location of lesions make it difficult to compare the outcomes of various treatment methods, the most common of which are sclerotherapy and surgery [7]. The choice of treatment is typically based on the clinical characteristics of the patient, the patient’s treatment preferences, and the results of imaging examinations.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the clinical outcomes of sclerotherapy and surgical excision used to treat IMVMs, with the aim of developing a treatment guideline for VMs and informing treatment protocols for IMVMs.

**METHODS**

**Patients and data**

The Institutional Review Board of Kyungpook National University Hospital (IRB No. 2018-10-010) approved the study. Of 492 patients diagnosed with VM and treated between July 2011 and August 2020 at the Vascular Anomalies Center, 63 patients who presented with IMVMs were retrospectively reviewed.

Patients who underwent both sclerotherapy and excision were excluded from the study. Only patients who underwent sclerotherapy or excision alone were included in the study, and the study was further limited to those who underwent sclerotherapy or excision once. Patients’ medical history, demographics, presenting symptoms, lesion characteristics (location, size, and extent of muscle involvement), and clinical outcomes were recorded. Limitation of movement, swelling, and quality of life (QOL) were subjectively assessed, and the radiological examination was carried out by a designated radiologist at the hospital. Complications included skin necrosis, venous thrombosis, and nerve injury. The shortest follow-up period was 10 months and the longest follow-up period was 5 years.

**Diagnostic imaging**

The diagnostic protocol included duplex ultrasonography (DUS) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which were used to grade and categorize the IMVM lesions. IMVM grading was carried out as follows: (1) grade 1, well-defined lesions ≤ 5 cm; (2) grade 2A, well-defined lesions > 5 cm; (3) grade 2B, ill-defined lesions ≤ 5 cm; and (4) grade 3, ill-defined lesions > 5 cm [8]. Based on MRI results, the patients were further categorized into two groups, those with single muscle involvement and those with IMVM invasion into multiple muscles.

**Treatment**

The patients were treated using either surgery or sclerotherapy, taking the patient’s age, location of the lesion, grade of the lesion, symptoms, and cosmetic factors into consideration. Sclerotherapy was performed by injecting bleomycin and/or fibroin (sodium tetradecyl sulfate concentrate) 3% solution intraluminally and/or intralesionally by a designated radiologist. Surgical excision included complete removal of the lesion where possible, although remnant lesions were present in some cases.

**Clinical outcomes**

Movement limitation, swelling, pain, QOL, radiological results, and the presence of complications in the patient groups (identified by lesion grade and muscle involvement) were recorded. Subjective assessment (improved, no interval change, or worsened) of movement limitation, pain, and QOL was based on the patient’s own description (Table 1), while swelling was assessed by visual inspection. The radiological assessment after sclerotherapy or surgery was done using DUS and MRI, and treatment outcomes were classified as aggravated, partially improved (< 25%), or improved (> 50%). The radiological assessment involved measuring the rate of decrease in the length of the longest axis of the lesion on ultrasonography or MRI. The presence of complications after treatment such as skin necrosis, venous thrombosis, and nerve injury were also evaluated. Additionally, imaging and visual inspection were used to compare outcomes between patients receiving sclerotherapy and those undergoing surgical excision. For patients who underwent sclerotherapy, only the results after single sclerotherapy were shown for post-operative results.
Table 1. Comparison of clinical outcomes of IMVM treatment by surgery versus sclerotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Surgical excision group (n = 36)</th>
<th>Sclerotherapy group (n = 27)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>18 (50)</td>
<td>13 (49)</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No interval change</td>
<td>17 (47)</td>
<td>11 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsened</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitation of motion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>15 (42)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td>0.010**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No interval change</td>
<td>19 (53)</td>
<td>22 (81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsened</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swelling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>21 (58)</td>
<td>17 (63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No interval change</td>
<td>14 (39)</td>
<td>7 (26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsened</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QOL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>26 (72)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
<td>0.013**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No interval change</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>15 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsened</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiological outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.017**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>25 (69)</td>
<td>10 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No interval change</td>
<td>10 (28)</td>
<td>12 (33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worsened</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>5 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin necrosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vein thrombosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve injury</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No complication</td>
<td>14 (39)</td>
<td>24 (89)</td>
<td>0.533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%).
IMVM, intramuscular venous malformation; QOL, quality of life.
*Statistically significant: P < 0.05

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The Fisher exact test was used to compare groups.

RESULTS
Of the 63 patients who received treatment for IMVM, 36 underwent surgical excision and 27 received sclerotherapy. The surgical excision group exhibited an equal sex distribution (18 male and 18 female patients), while the sclerotherapy group included 12 male and 15 female patients. Patients 20–39 years old were more prevalent in the surgical excision group (n = 17), while patients 0–19 years old were predominant in the sclerotherapy group (n = 13). The distribution of IMVM location was as follows: (1) surgical excision group: calf (n = 11, 30%), thigh (n = 7, 19%), and head and neck region (n = 5, 14%); and (2) sclerotherapy group: calf (n = 8, 30%), head and neck region (n = 8, 30%), and thigh (n = 5, 18%). In both groups, grade 1 lesions were the most prevalent (surgical excision: n = 14, 38.89%; sclerotherapy: n = 11, 40.74%). Single muscle involvement was seen in 34 (94.4%) and 25 (92.6%) patients in the surgical excision and sclerotherapy groups, respectively, while multiple muscles were involved in two patients (5.6%) in the surgical excision group and two patients (7.4%) in the sclerotherapy group (Table 2). The IMVM classification was also investigated, and the distribution of patients according to grade (grade 1, grade 2A, grade 2B, and grade 3), muscle involvement (one muscle or ≥ 2 muscles), and type of treatment (surgery or sclerotherapy) is shown in Table 3.

Clinical outcomes such as pain, limited movement, swelling, QOL, radiological outcomes, and occurrence of complications were examined and grouped into the categories of improved, no interval change, or worsened. Data showed that, for pain, 13 patients (49%) improved, 11 patients (40%) exhibited no interval change, and three patients (11%) worsened in the sclerotherapy group. In the surgical excision group, 18 patients (50%) improved, 17 patients (47%) exhibited no interval change, and one patient (3%) worsened. However, no statistically significant
differences between the groups were observed (P = 0.471).
Three patients (11%) in the sclerotherapy group and 15 patients (42%) in the surgical excision group exhibited a statistically significant (P = 0.010) improvement. With regard to swelling, 17 patients (63%) in the sclerotherapy group and 21 patients (58%) in the surgical excision group exhibited improvement, although this difference was not statistically significant. The QOL significantly improved (P = 0.013) in 10 patients (37%) in the sclerotherapy group and 26 patients (72%) in the surgical excision group exhibiting improvement (Table 1). Examination of photographs, DUS, and MRI examinations showed that IMVM patients who were treated surgically (Figs. 1, 2) presented better outcomes compared to those who received sclerotherapy (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The high morbidity and recurrence rates of VMs make the selection of appropriate treatment methods difficult, and this is further complicated by the fact that IMVM lesions typically occur in the deep tissues and are therefore difficult to diagnose by
visual inspection [8]. Therefore, vascular anomalies are typically identified using DUS, and any involvement of surrounding soft tissues and the IMVM lesion size and depth are determined using MRI [9]. Accurate diagnosis of lesions using DUS and MRI helps determine the appropriate treatment protocols.

It is known that IMVMs are benign lesions and do not grow except in children during growth phases [10]. Therefore, treatment methods typically focus on relief of associated symptoms as needed. Although sclerotherapy is less invasive and may be associated with fewer complications, complete removal of the lesion is difficult. In contrast, surgical excision makes complete lesion removal possible, but is more invasive and may be associated with more complications. Therefore, appropriate treatment selection based on informed clinical judgment is very important.

In the current study, patients who wanted conservative treatment and did not have any presenting symptoms were reviewed annually and the direction of treatment was chosen based on symptoms and the extent of functional limitations, the purpose
of treatment, and patient preferences. Sclerotherapy was used for pain management, while those presenting with functional limitations (microchannel-type localized lesions or severe fibrosis) were treated surgically.

This study compared changes in symptoms, the occurrence of complications, and visual and imaging findings between the sclerotherapy and surgical excision groups. The findings showed a significantly greater improvement in limitation of movement ($P = 0.010$), QOL ($P = 0.013$), and radiological outcomes (DUS and MRI findings; $P = 0.017$) in the surgical excision group than in the sclerotherapy group (Table 3), suggesting that it was a superior treatment option for relieving symptoms and addressing cosmetic discomfort caused by the lesion (Figs. 1-3). No statistically significant differences in complication rates were observed between the two groups.

Previous studies have demonstrated the advantages of sclerotherapy, with Crawford et al. [11] reporting improvement of pain levels in 57.9% of patients and complete remission in 21.1% of patients. Ozaki et al. [12] found that eight out of 10 patients in their study experienced improvement in pain levels and two patients exhibited complete pain alleviation with sclerotherapy, while Bianchini et al. [13] reported pain improvement in 88.9% of patients included in their cohort. Therefore, it was concluded that sclerotherapy should be performed as the
first of line treatment for general VM, with surgical excision being carried out in case of sclerotherapy failure [14,15].

However, the results of the current study showed that surgical excision was effective in improving symptoms of IMVM, suggesting that sclerotherapy should be considered in situations where the patient refuses surgery, the goal of treatment is pain improvement and not complete remission, imaging shows no evidence of microchannel lesions or severe fibrosis, and surgical excision would likely result in a large scar due to the lesion size. The results of this study suggest that surgical excision is the most effective treatment option for IMVMs unless contraindicated. Although several studies have examined IMVM treatment methods, no clear guidelines for treatment selection have been developed. Based on the results of this study, surgical excision is encouraged for the treatment of IMVMs.
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