Video-Assisted Thoracic Surgery Lobectomy
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Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) has been established as the surgical approach of choice for lobectomy in patients with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Patients with clinical stage I NSCLC with no lymph node metastasis are considered candidates for VATS lobectomy. To rule out the presence of metastasis to lymph nodes or distant organs, patients should undergo meticulous clinical staging. Assessing patients’ functional status is required to ensure that there are no medical contraindications, such as impaired pulmonary function or cardiac comorbidities. Although various combinations of the number, size, and location of ports are available, finding the best method of port placement for each surgeon is fundamental to maximize the efficiency of the surgical procedure. When conducting VATS lobectomy, it is always necessary to comply with the following oncological principles: (1) the vessels and bronchus of the target lobe should be individually divided, (2) systematic lymph node dissection is mandatory, and (3) touching the lymph node itself and rupturing the capsule of the lymph node should be minimized. Most surgeons conduct the procedure in the following sequence: (1) dissection along the hilar structure, (2) fissure division, (3) perivascular and peribronchial dissection, (4) individual division of the vessels and bronchus, (5) specimen retrieval, and (6) mediastinal lymph node dissection. Surgeons should obtain experience in enhancing the exposure of the dissection target and facilitating dissection. This review article provides the basic principles of the surgical techniques and practical maneuvers for performing VATS lobectomy easily, safely, and efficiently.
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Introduction

During the past 3 decades, video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) has been established as the gold-standard surgical approach for lobectomy in patients with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Due to the tremendous efforts of pioneers in this field [1-7], thoracic surgeons have been increasingly adopting VATS lobectomy [8,9]. Evidence has accumulated that the early and late outcomes of VATS are comparable or even superior to those of open thoracotomy [1-17]. Several recent large-scale database analyses have shown that VATS lobectomy can be conducted with improved short-term outcomes [18-25] and favorable long-term survival compared with open thoracotomy lobectomy [26]. Therefore, VATS lobectomy is now strongly recommended for patients without surgical and medical contraindications unless the oncological principles of surgery are compromised. This review article provides the basic principles of the surgical techniques and practical maneuvers for performing VATS lobectomy easily, safely, and efficiently.

Definition and indications

Despite various modifications in the surgical techniques of VATS lobectomy, the most widely accepted definition was established by the CALGB 39082 trial as a procedure including a 4- to 8-cm access incision and a totally endoscopic approach, without rib spreading and individual anatomical dissection and division of the pulmonary vein, artery, and bronchus [7].

The most important prerequisite of successful VATS lobectomy is to select optimal patients with tumor features suitable for a minimally invasive surgical approach. Con-
ventionally, most patients with clinical stage I NSCLC without lymph node metastasis can be considered candidates for VATS lobectomy. However, the tumor/node/meta-
tasis classification system by the American Joint Com-
mittee on Cancer has been updated to the eighth edition,
where tumors larger than 4 cm and 5 cm without nodal in-
volve ment are classified as stage II A and II B, respectively.
Therefore, even clinical stage IIA or IIB NSCLC tumors
classified using the tumor size criterion can be considered
as candidates for VATS lobectomy as long as no nodal or
distant organ metastases are present. Nonetheless, debate
continues regarding the size barrier at which the VATS ap-
proach is discouraged. Although some surgeons argue that
tumors larger than 7 cm, which are classified as stage T4 or
IIIA, can be safely and effectively removed using the VATS
approach, an issue exists regarding whether oncological
principles can be satisfied with this minimally invasive ap-
proach for such large tumors that show biological aggres-
siveness [27]. The most commonly used size limit is 6–7
cm. Alternatively, many investigators have attempted to
expand the indication of VATS lobectomy. Some adopted
the VATS approach for node-positive NSCLC, and others
have tried it after neoadjuvant therapy [28-37]. We should
be cautious when extending the indications of the VATS ap-
proach since it could lead to an increased risk of proce-
dure-related recurrence due to the possibility of cancer
dissemination during VATS manipulation, the risk of leav-
ing residual tumors at the surgical margin, and the appreh-
ension of performing insufficient lymph node dissection

Patient assessment and preparation

To rule out metastasis to lymph nodes or distant organs,
patients should undergo meticulous clinical staging. Stag-
ing workups include computed tomography (CT) of the
chest and upper abdomen and positron emission tomogra-
phy–CT with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose. When these tests
suggest mediastinal nodal metastasis, patients should be
evaluated using mediastinoscopy. However, mediastinos-
copy has recently been replaced with less invasive proce-
dures, such as endobronchial and/or endoscopic ultraso-
nography, and then transbronchial or transesophageal
needle aspiration biopsy is performed for histological con-
firmation. Brain magnetic resonance imaging is needed to
assess the presence of brain metastasis, especially if pa-
tients have headaches or neurological symptoms. Bron-
choscopy should be performed to evaluate the presence of
endobronchial lesions in patients with centrally located tu-
mors.

Apart from the tumor characteristics, assessing patients’
functional status is required to ensure that no medical con-
traindications exist, such as impaired pulmonary function
or cardiac comorbidities. Baseline pulmonary function is
assessed using spirometry, and echocardiography can be
performed to assess baseline cardiac function. The essen-
tial component for predicting preoperative and postopera-
tive pulmonary function is forced expiratory volume in 1
second (FEV1). More importantly, the diffusing capacity of
carbon monoxide (DLco) is critical for predicting the risk
of postoperative pulmonary complications. When the post-
operative FEV1 or DLco measurement is less than 40% of
the predicted value, surgery should be declined, regardless
of whether the VATS approach is used [38]. When the pre-
dicted postoperative FEV1 or DLco value is marginal (i.e.,
40%–60%), suggesting a moderate risk of postoperative
pulmonary complications, patients should be further evalu-
ated using exercise tests, such as the 6-minute walk test
or oxygen consumption test [38,39].

General anesthesia and positioning

Single-lung ventilation using a double-lumen endotra-
cheal tube is mandatory to achieve an excellent surgical
exposure and operative view. The anesthesiologist should
ensure that the double-lumen endotracheal tube is in the
correct position, and then ventilation can be maintained
only in the contralateral lung to optimize deflation of the
ipsilateral lung. If the lung does not effectively deflate, suc-
tion is occasionally applied. The respiratory rate can be in-
creased to 20 breaths/min or more to achieve a more stable
operative view since a decrease in the tidal volume by in-
creasing the respiratory rate could reduce the degree of
mediastinal deviation due to ventilation. During single-
lung ventilation, maintaining adequate oxygenation is dif-
ficult even in patients with preserved pulmonary function
because a ventilation-perfusion mismatch intrinsically oc-
curs due to continuous perfusion to the non-ventilated
lung. Whenever hypoxia occurs, temporarily pausing the
procedure and then permitting double-lung ventilation un-
til the hypoxic state is corrected would be better. Other-
wise, anesthesiologists tend to apply high-pressure ventila-
tion to the contralateral lung under single-lung ventilation,
which would in turn put the patient at a higher risk of
postoperative lung injury.

Following the induction of single-lung ventilation and
general anesthesia, the patient is placed in the lateral decu-
bitus position. The hands are placed unsupported in the
“prayer” position in front of the face. The operating table is adjusted to flex the patient adequately to maximize intercostal space widening. During this maneuver, care should be taken not to overextend the shoulder and elbow, which could result in brachial plexus injury. Since changing the patient’s position could cause the double-lumen endotracheal tube to deviate from the proper position, the anesthesiologist should recheck the placement of the endotracheal tube immediately after the patient is rotated into the lateral decubitus position. The position of the surgeon depends on the surgeon’s preferences for the surgical approach and port placement.

**Port placement**

Various port placement options have been devised and modified by several surgeons. With adequate port placement, the surgical procedure can be smooth and easy. However, if port placement is inadequate, easy cases might become technically challenging, which might adversely affect the degree to which the procedure follows oncological principles. Therefore, finding an effective method of port placement that best fits and is comfortable for each surgeon is fundamental to maximize the efficiency of the surgical procedure. Various combinations of the number, size, and location of ports are available. Conventionally, a 4- to 5-cm utility incision accompanied by a 5- to 10-mm port for the thoracoscope and a 5-mm additional port for left-hand instruments is made [12]. The location of the ports depends on the surgical approach (anterior versus posterior) and thoracoscopic view (conventional panoramic view versus direct hilar view) (Fig. 1). Some pioneers in the field have recently attempted to reduce the number of ports, and uniportal or biportal VATS lobectomy is now widely adopted by several surgeons [40,41].

**Main procedure**

Despite these technical variations, especially in port placement, the following oncological principles should always be complied with: (1) the vessels and bronchus of the target lobe should be individually divided, (2) systematic lymph node dissection is mandatory, and (3) touching the lymph node itself and rupturing the capsule of the lymph node should be minimized. Although there could be modifications, most surgeons conduct the procedure in the following sequence: (1) dissection along the hilar structure, (2) fissure division, (3) perivascular and peribronchial dissection, (4) individual division of the vessels and bronchus, (5) specimen retrieval, and (6) mediastinal lymph node dissection. Although some anatomical variations could exist according to the target lobe to be resected in VATS lobectomy, the general principles of dissection techniques along the hilar structure and perivascular/peribronchial space are the same across the target lobes. In principle, surgeons should obtain experience in enhancing the exposure of the dissection target and facilitating the dissection.

The anterior surface of the vessel is usually easy to dissect since we can see it directly, whereas the posterior surface of the vessel is difficult to dissect because achieving direct exposure is difficult. Therefore, to enhance the exposure, especially in the posterior surface of the vascular structure, critical maneuvers should be kept in mind. For example, gently grasping the target vessel with soft-surfaced endoscopic forceps or graspers could provide better exposure of the area behind the structure (Fig. 2). Considering that the pulmonary artery is easier to tear or injure than the pulmonary vein, special care should be taken when grasping the pulmonary artery. For this maneuver, we can imagine how a lioness never hurts her cub if she gently holds it with her sharp teeth. Another method to enhance the exposure of the posterior area is to lift the ves-

---

**Fig. 1.** The location of the ports depends on the thoracoscopic view. (A) Conventional panoramic view. (B) Direct hilar view. RUL, right upper lobe; RML, right middle lobe.
sel using various instruments, such as graspers, an electrocautery tip, and a suction device. After clearing the soft tissue around the anterior and posterior surfaces of the target vessel, instruments (e.g., a right-angled clamp and endoscopic stapler) can be easily and safely passed along.

Several useful methods exist to facilitate hilar and perivascular dissection, including bimanual dissection, holding the shaft of the instruments short, digging or tunneling, and spreading. First, for effective dissection, coordinating 2 hands is crucial. If the surgeon uses only 1 hand, dissection will become more difficult and unsafe. Unless the surgeon has only 1 hand, the dissection will be more effective if the surgeon takes advantage of the non-dominant hand (the left hand if one is right-handed and vice versa). Constant practice in daily life is necessary to get used to using one’s non-dominant hand. Second, it feels unexpectedly effective if one holds the shaft of every instrument, not its handle. In the VATS procedure, a fulcrum effect always occurs since there is a long distance between the port entrance and the target structure. If one holds the instrument rather short or even in the middle, the fulcrum is shortened, and then the dissection process becomes much easier (Fig. 3). Third, if the surgeon identifies the appropriate plane after the initial dissection, further dissection can be easily conducted, as if he or she is digging or tunneling using 2 instruments of both hands. In the same context, one can make the dissection safer and more effective by spreading out the jaws of the graspers. Finally, it is crucial to keep in mind the principle that one should conduct peribronchial dissection rather than perivascular dissection every time one dissects a vessel. If one focuses on perivascular dissection too much, dissection could be more difficult and dangerous since it is possible to denude the surface of the vessel, especially in elderly patients with fragile vascular walls. Alternatively, if one simply focuses on peribronchial dissection, not perivascular dissection, and then assesses the lymph nodes around the bronchus, one might eventually realize that perivascular dissection is conducted after completing the peribronchial dissection.

Although VATS lobectomy is easy to perform in cases of complete interlobar fissure, partially complete or totally incomplete fissures are often identified. For partially complete fissures, bidirectionally dissecting the plane is helpful. Imagine the situation of playing around the seashore as a child making a sand tunnel. During this activity, we carefully dissect the sand in one way first and then in the opposite way, not to destroy the tunnel. As with the sand tunnel, it will be much safer if one completely dissects around the surrounding structure bidirectionally before encircling the fissure and even any vital structures. However, for totally incomplete fissures, adopting a completely different approach is necessary, such as the fissureless technique or the hilar-first technique [42]. In this situation, one must dissect the hilar structure first and then divide the pulmonary vein and arteries, followed by the bronchus, and divide the fissure last. Before one attempts this technique, it is crucial to gain experience through practice and to reach a complete understanding of the anatomy.

Conventionally, the sequence of the division of bronchovascular structures is as follows: pulmonary vein, pulmonary artery, and then the lobar bronchus. Theoretically, the pulmonary-vein-first technique prevents tumor cells from spreading into the systemic circulation, which could result from surgical manipulation, whereas the pulmonary-artery-first technique can cause tumor cells to spread into the systemic circulation via the intact pulmonary vein [43]. Some investigators have shown that the ligation of the pulmonary vein first during surgery may help prevent blood
micro-metastases [44]. However, in another randomized controlled trial comparing long-term survival according to the sequence of pulmonary vessel ligation, no significant differences were found between the 2 groups [45]. Conversely, early division of the pulmonary vein can cause venous congestion of the target lobe, leading to difficulties in exposure, manipulation, and specimen removal after the main procedure. However, there is still no consensus regarding the sequence of the division of the vascular structures, and further studies should be conducted before drawing firm conclusions.

**Conclusion**

As minimally invasive techniques, such as the VATS approach, have been introduced into the field of surgical treatment of lung cancer, patients have been able to benefit from less invasive surgical approaches with lower morbidity and higher recovery, without compromising oncological outcomes. Nonetheless, the VATS approach is only a method for surgically treating patients, not a purpose per se. Assessing the indications for VATS lobectomy and preparing patients before surgery are crucial for obtaining excellent outcomes. To conduct VATS lobectomy safely and effectively, it is of fundamental importance to keep in mind the principles of the procedure and to practice the procedure regularly.
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