Urease and nitrification inhibitors with pig slurry effects on ammonia and nitrous oxide emissions, nitrate leaching, and nitrogen use efficiency in perennial ryegrass sward
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Objective: The present study was conducted to assess the effect of urease inhibitor (hydroquinone [HQ]) and nitrification inhibitor (dicyandiamide [DCD]) on nitrogen (N) use efficiency of pig slurry for perennial ryegrass regrowth yield and its environmental impacts.

Methods: A micro-plot experiment was conducted using pig slurry-urea ¹⁵N treated with HQ and/or DCD and applied at a rate of 200 kg N/ha. The flows of N derived from the pig slurry urea to herbage regrowth and soils as well as soil N mineralization were estimated by tracing pig slurry-urea ¹⁵N, and the N losses via ammonia (NH₃) emission, and nitrate (NO₃⁻) leaching were quantified for a 56 d regrowth of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne) sward.

Results: Herbage dry matter at the final regrowth at 56 d was significantly higher in the HQ and/or DCD applied plots, with a 24.5% to 42.2% increase in ¹⁵N recovery by herbage compared with the control. Significant increases in soil ¹⁵N recovery were also observed in the plots applied with the inhibitors, accompanied by the increased N content converted to soil inorganic N (NH₄⁺+NO₃⁻) (17.3% to 28.8% higher than that of the control). The estimated loss, which was not accounted for in the herbage-soil system, was lower in the plots applied with the inhibitors (25.6% on average) than that of control (38.0%). Positive effects of urease and/or nitrification inhibitors on reducing N losses to the environment were observed at the final regrowth (56 d), at which cumulative NH₃ emission was reduced by 26.8% (on average 3 inhibitor treatments), N₂O emission by 50.2% and NO₃⁻ leaching by 10.6% compared to those of the control.

Conclusion: The proper application of urease and nitrification inhibitors would be an efficient strategy to improve the N use efficiency of pig slurry while mitigating hazardous environmental impacts.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen (N) is an essential nutrient as a key limiting factor of the growth and development of plants in agricultural ecosystems [1]. Incremental increases in global crop yields during the past several decades has mainly been dependent on the increasing application of synthetic N fertilizers. Animal manures have long been used as alternative organic N fertilizers. Most of the N in feces is present in organic form, while in urine, 65% to 90% of the N is present as urea [2]. In Korea, pig slurry is the most viable recycling option and represents more than 80% of all recycled animal manure [3] because pig farms usually have little or no arable land for forage production.

The amount of N supplied to agro-ecosystems is often higher than N uptake by crops. An excessive N input leads to N losses via volatilization of ammonia (NH₃), emission of...
nitrous oxide (N$_2$O), and leaching of NO$_3^-$-N, which pose a significant threat to the environmental quality of the atmosphere and aquatic systems [4]. Thus, management of N nutrition is important to increase crop productivity and control environmental pollution. The chemical or organic N applied to the soil, mainly in form of urea, hydrolyze into NH$_4^+$, hydroxyl, and carbonate ions by the microbial urease mediation. The NH$_4^+$ produced then converts to NH$_3$, which can be lost through volatilization under alkaline conditions. If soil condition does not favor volatilization, NH$_3$ can either be held in the soil via cation exchange or converted to NO$_3^-$, leading to N losses through leaching or denitrification. A proportion of volatilized and deposited NH$_3$ can generate N$_2$O, which is a long-lasting greenhouse gas, through both nitrification, in which aerobic oxidation of NH$_4^+$ to NO$_3^-$ and further NO$_3^-$, and denitrification, in which NO$_3^-$ is reduced to N$_2$O [5].

Various management practices and technologies have attempted to enhance N fertilizer use efficiency while minimizing N losses to the environment. One of the strategies is the use of inhibitors of urea hydrolysis (urease inhibitors) and of ammonia oxidation (nitrification inhibitors), which have been shown to be effective in enhancing N use efficiency by delaying nitrification/denitrification [6,7]. The efficacy of urease and/or nitrification inhibitors in mitigating NH$_3$ and N$_2$O emissions varies with soil pH [8], type and level of applied N sources [9], the concentration of inhibitors [10], soil texture [11], as well as climatic factors such as rainfall [12]. Martins et al [7] showed that the urease and nitrification inhibitors enhanced urea-$^{15}$N recovery by maize and increased grain yield. In a meta-analysis with 111 datasets from 39 studies [5], nitrification inhibitors are effective in reducing N$_2$O emissions with the highest inhibitory effect in grassland and followed by cropland, upland, and paddy. Li et al [13] reported that N$_2$O emission was efficiently lower in urea together with urease and nitrification inhibitors than with either a single urease or nitrification inhibitor. However, the flux of N derived from animal manure to pasture plants and soil has not been fully elucidated. In addition, few studies have assessed the effects of inhibitors on gaseous emissions and nitrate leaching from animal manure-based N [14].

In the present study, we hypothesized that the synergistic effect of urease inhibitor (hydroquinone [HQ]) and nitrification inhibitor (dicyandiamide [DCD]) may improve N use efficiency of pig slurry and minimize the N losses to the environment by regulating N mineralization processes in soil. To test this hypothesis, the turnover of pig slurry-urea $^{15}$N and its flow into the plant and soil inorganic N components were directly quantified while accounting for N losses to the environment (NH$_3$ and N$_2$O emissions and nitrate leaching). The resulting data were interpreted regarding the effectiveness of HQ and/or DCD.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Experimental design

The study was based on field experiments conducted on a permanent grass sward consisting mainly of perennial rye-grass (Lolium perenne) on sandy loamy soil. The soil chemical properties of the experimental site are presented in Table 1. During the experimental period, the typical climate was temperate with high humidity, with an average temperature of 22.5°C and total precipitation of 420 mm. Four treatments of slurry application were compared: i) only pig slurry as a control, ii) HQ treatment (pig slurry + urease inhibitor [HQ, C$_6$H$_4$(OH)$_2$]), iii) DCD treatment (pig slurry + nitrification inhibitor [DCD, NH$_2$F]), and iv) HQ and DCD combination treatment. The experiment in a randomized complete block design consisted of four replications. Each treatment plot measured 2.5 m×10 length experiment and contained 12 micro-plots (0.5 m×0.5 m) for monitoring the fate of $^{15}$N-labeled pig slurry. To prevent surface runoff and contamination by slurry application, there was a 2 m margin between plots with a 0.45 m metal retainer inserted 30 cm deep soil. The bottomless acrylic chambers (0.2 m diameter and 0.3 m length) were used for collecting gas samples and suction cups (P80, eco Tech, Bonn, Germany) for collecting leachate samples.

#### Pig slurry treatments and $^{15}$N labeling

The pig slurry was obtained from pig livestock farm and stored in concrete tanks at ambient temperature for approximately 1 week. Four different 400 L plastic containers filled with pig slurry were mixed with 0.3% HQ or 5% DCD of the total-N in pig slurry, respectively. The slurry urea fraction of four treatments were labeled by thoroughly mixing with highly enriched $^{15}$N urea (98% $^{15}$N atom excess) just before application. The applied pig slurries were analyzed at the time of application. The pig slurry contained on average (kg/m$^2$) 1.58±0.32 total N, 0.98±0.12 urea, 0.199±0.02 NH$_4^+$-N and

### Table 1. Soil chemical properties of experimental site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>pH$_{\text{water}}$ (1:5)</th>
<th>EC (D$s^{-1}/m$)</th>
<th>OM (%)</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
<th>Available P (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Exchangeable cation (cmol$^{-1}$/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>243.3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
0.143±0.01 NO₃⁻-N with ¹⁵N-urea enrichment of 5.001±0.012 atom %. Treated pig slurry at a rate of 200 kg N/ha (e.g., 316 L per 25 m² plot, which contained 95.8 kg P/ha and 127 kg K/ha) was applied after herbage was cut at 50 mm above ground level [3].

**Herbage, soil, gases, and leachate sampling**

The herbage sample was harvested from four randomly placed micro-plots by cutting manually, and the remained stubble was approximately 50 mm. About 500 g of collected herbage sample was chopped into 20 mm long segments, and then lyophilized, ground, and stored in a vacuum desiccator for chemical analysis. The soil samples were collected by soil cores (0 to 0.3 m depth) randomly using a 0.3 m m² each of micro-plots that herbage sampling place. The collected soil samples were air-dried, ground, and sieved to <0.15 mm. The herbage and soil sampling were done at 7, 14, and 56 d after pig slurry application, respectively.

Airtight acrylic chambers were located to 50 mm depth soil in each experimental plot for gas sampling. To collect NH₃ emission, the acid trap system method was used as described by Ndegwa et al [15] with modifications. Each chamber was connected to NH₃-N trapping bottles containing 150 mL of 0.2 mol/L H₂SO₄ and a vacuum system to pull air through the chambers. The NH₃-N traps a constant rate of 1.5 L per minute. Each chamber was closed with silicon sealing and clamped for 24 hours. The NH₄-N sampling in each treatment block was done at the same time over 1 hour to avoid the impact of extraneous gases. The N₂O gas was collected by using a syringe before NH₃ emission sampling and then stored in 10 mL of vacutainer tube. The gas of NH₄-N and N₂O was collected daily for the first 14 d, then at intervals 1 to 2 weeks. The leachate samples were obtained by suction cups in each plot at a depth of 0.5 m for NO₃⁻-N analysis. Soil water samples were obtained by applying a tension – 250 hPa. A sampling of NO₃⁻-N was done weekly and stored at – 20°C.

**Measurements and chemical analysis**

The herbage was harvested from each micro-plot and converted to kg/ha. To calculate the N recovery in herbage (kg N/ha), the converted estimate was multiplied by the N concentration determined in the subsamples. The stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, IsoPrime, GV Instrument, Manchester, UK) was used for measuring the total N content and ¹⁵N atom % of herbage, soil, and pig slurry samples. Inorganic nitrogen was extracted with 2 M KCl and the NH₄⁺-N was determined by distillation in an alkaline medium (MgO). The same procedure was used for NO₃⁻-N after reduction with Devarda’s alloy. The N liberated from each distillation was collected in H₂SO₄ and then evaporated to dryness to analyze the determination of ¹⁵N atom % excess of each N fraction. The total N and inorganic N (NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N) concentration in soil samples were converted to kg N/ha using soil bulk density. To determination of NH₃ volatilization, the solution collected by acid traps in the form of (NH₄)₂SO₄ was quantified by a colorimetric determination with ammonium color reagent (Nessler’s reagent, Sigma, 72190; St. Louis, MO, USA) as described by Kim and Kim [16]. N₂O concentration in gas samples collected was determined using a gas chromatograph (GC-7890A, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and with a HP-Plot 5A column (30 m×0.53 mm×25 μm) under the following conditions: column oven temperature 40°C; injector temperature 100°C; detector temperature 300°C; carrier gas helium (2 mL/min). The N₂O fluxes were calculated as described by Guo et al [6]. The concentration of NO₃⁻-N recovery was determined by ion chromatography DX 120 Dionex as described by Hamonts et al [17]. The total NH₃, N₂O emission, and NO₃⁻ leaching over the entire experimental period were calculated by the sum of daily measurements.

The determined ¹⁵N atom % excess abundances in the total N and inorganic N fractions in herbage and soil samples were converted to relative specific activity and the amount of N derived from pig slurry urea (NdSU) in herbage samples was calculated as described by Park et al [18]. The ratio between the NdSU and the quantity of applied N was applied for percentage of slurry urea-N recovery in the total N, NH₄⁺, and NO₃⁻ fractions in herbage and soil. Therefore, the part not recovered in herbage and soil indicate the percentage of loss.

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of variance was conducted to assess the effects of urease and/or nitrification inhibitors with pig slurry at each sampling time on herbage yield, N uptake, gas emissions, leaching, and the fate of slurry urea-N. Statistical analysis were conducted using the SAS 9.1.3 software.

**RESULTS**

**Dry matter, total N and N amount derived from slurry urea in herbage**

Herbage dry matter (DM) was not influenced by the application of urease and nitrification inhibitors during the first 14 d. However, at final regrowth at 56 d, combined application of HQ and DCD (HQ+DCD) induced the highest herbage DM yield (+30.8%), followed by DCD (+14.5%) and HQ (+9.6%) single applications, compared to that in the control (only pig slurry applied) (Figure 1A). Total N content in herbage increased only in the HQ+DCD plot from 14 d, in which it was 21% to 33% higher than that in the control (Figure 2025 www.animbiosci.org 2025
The amount of NdfSU in herbage at the final regrowth at 56 d significantly increased only at 56 d by 24.5%, 33.0%, and 42.2% in the HQ, DCD, and HQ+DCD applied plots, respectively, compared to that of the control (p<0.001) (Figure 1C). However, among the HQ, DCD, and HQ+DCD applied plots, there were no significant differences.

Soil N dynamics

The inhibitors (HQ and/or DCD) did not affect the total N pool size in soil throughout the regrowth period (Figure 2A). However, the NdfSU in the soil at 56 d increased by 11.8%, 12.7%, and 20.3%, respectively, in the HQ, DCD, and HQ+DCD plots compared with the control (Figure 2B). The content of NH4+-N in soil was significantly reduced by the application of the inhibitors during the first 14 d with a stronger effect of HQ, whereas it was higher than control in the DCD plot or recovered to the control level in the HQ and HQ+DCD plot at 56 d (Figure 3A). The amount of N derived from slurry urea in the soil NH4+ fraction (NdfSU-NH4+) during the first 14 d of regrowth showed a similar pattern, with a significant reduction following HQ and/or DCD application (Figure 3B). The final NdfSU-NH4+ at 56 d was the highest in the HQ+DCD plot (4.9 kg N/ha) and followed by the DCD (4.2 kg N/ha), HQ (3.0 kg N/ha), and control (1.8 kg N/ha) plot. The content of NO3--N in the soil was lower in all plots applied with the inhibitors than that in the control throughout the whole regrowth period (Figure 3C). The amount of N derived from slurry urea in the soil

Figure 1. Dry matter (A), total nitrogen (B) and the amount of N derived from pig slurry urea (NdfSU) (C) in herbage as affected by pig slurry with urease inhibitor (HQ, H) and/or nitrification inhibitor (DCD, D) during regrowth of perennial ryegrass sward. HQ, hydroquinone; DCD, dicyandiamide.

Different letters indicate significant differences at p<0.05 according to the Duncan’s multiple range test.

Figure 2. Total N (A) and the amount of N derived from pig slurry urea (NdfSU) (B) in soil as affected by pig slurry with urease inhibitor (HQ, H) and/or nitrification inhibitor (DCD, D) during regrowth of perennial ryegrass sward. HQ, hydroquinone; DCD, dicyandiamide.

Different letters indicate significant differences at p<0.05 according to the Duncan’s multiple range test.
NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} fraction (NdfSU-NO\textsubscript{3}−) also remained lower until 14 d. The final NdfSU-NO\textsubscript{3}− at 56 d significantly increased in the DCD (+14.5% compared to that of the control) and HQ+DCD (+22.5%) plots (Figure 3D).

Recovery of pig slurry-urea \textsuperscript{15}N

The percentage of pig slurry-urea \textsuperscript{15}N recovered in herbage averaged over all treatments gradually increased from 3.9% (at 7 d) to 26.5% (at 56 d), whereas the soil \textsuperscript{15}N recovery decreased from 67.3% to 44.8% over the same period (Table 2). Thus, at the end of regrowth (56 d after pig slurry application), the herbage \textsuperscript{15}N recovered was higher in the HQ and/or DCD plots than in the control plots, with no significant difference among the three inhibitors treatments. The soil \textsuperscript{15}N recovery was also significantly increased by the inhibitor treatments. The percentage of \textsuperscript{15}N recovered in the soil inorganic N (NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and NO\textsubscript{3}−) pool at 56 d was 22.4%, 33.6%, 31.5%, and 36.2% in the control, HQ, DCD, and HQ+DCD plots, respectively. The estimated N loss at the final regrowth was in the range of 21.6% to 28.4% in the plots applied with the inhibitors, and it was relatively higher in the control treatment (38.0%).

\textbf{NH\textsubscript{3}, N\textsubscript{2}O emission, and NO\textsubscript{3}− leaching}

The N losses through gaseous emissions of ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3}) and N\textsubscript{2}O as well as aqueous nitrate (NO\textsubscript{3}−) leaching were quantified. On average, 58.8% of total NH\textsubscript{3} emission during a 56 d period of regrowth occurred within the first 14 d after application of pig slurry to the soil. The daily NH\textsubscript{3} emission during this period was relatively lower in the HQ and DCD+HQ plots than in the control and DCD plots (Figure 4A). Cumulative NH\textsubscript{3} emission during 56 d of regrowth decreased by 30.0%, 16.3%, and 34.1% in the HQ, DCD, and DCD+HQ plots compared with the control plots (Figure 5A). Consistent with NH\textsubscript{3} emission, significant effects of inhibitors in reducing daily N\textsubscript{2}O emission was observed, with a stronger effect observed for DCD (Figure 4B). N\textsubscript{2}O emission in all treatments decreased to near the background level after 56 d.
of application of pig slurry. Cumulative N₂O emission throughout the experimental period decreased by 40.7%, 59.8%, and 50.0% in the HQ, DCD, and DCD+HQ plots compared with the control plot (Figure 5B). The weekly cumulative NO₃⁻ leaching was lower in the plots applied with the inhibitors, especially prior to 21 d after pig slurry application. Overall DCD application (e.g., DCD and HQ+DCD treatment) was more effective in reducing NO₃⁻ leaching (Figure 4C). Cumulative NO₃⁻ leaching for the whole experimental period declined by 7.0%, 12.9%, and 11.8% in the HQ, DCD, and DCD+HQ plots, respectively, compared with the control plots (Figure 5C).

**DISCUSSION**

**Regrowth and pig slurry-urea ¹⁵N recovery in herbage**

The efficacy of different types of urease inhibitors [HQ, phenyl phosphorodiamidate (PPDA), and N-(n-butyl) thiophosphoric triamide (NBPT)] and nitrification inhibitors (DCD, 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate [DMPP], Nitrapyrin, and thiosulphate) have been tested to improve N use efficiency while minimizing N losses to the environment. For instance, a meta-analysis of 113 field experiments showed that the effectiveness of various urease and nitrification inhibitors was relatively consistent across land use types in both chemical and organic N fertilizers [19]. In this context, we focused on urease inhibitor HQ and nitrification inhibitor DCD because HQ is lower cost [20], DCD is less volatile, and easily blended with fertilizers [5]. In the present study, single or combined HQ and DCD treatments did not influence the amount of NdfSU in herbage during the first 14 d of regrowth, whereas at the final regrowth (56 d) positive effects of HQ and/or DCD were observed, at which NdfSU was enhanced by 33.2% (on average 3 inhibitor treatments) compared with the control (Figure 1C). Consistent with NdfSU, the final herbage DM at 56 d significantly increased in the HQ and/or DCD applied plots (Figure 1A). This indicated that inorganic N might be more available during the later period of regrowth due to delayed hydrolysis of urea in pig slurry by HQ, and reduced oxidation of NH₄⁺ to NO₃⁻ by DCD. In addition, these results indicated that early regrowth might be less dependent on exogenous N uptake by plants [21]. At final regrowth (56 d), the recovery of pig slurry-urea ¹⁵N varied within the range of 26.4% to 30.2% in the HQ and/or DCD applied plots, which was higher than that of the control (21.2%) (Table 2). By using ¹⁵N tracing, Choi et al [22] revealed that N is produced from organic amendments and N uptake was more pronounced during the later growth period of Chinese cabbage.

**Soil mineralization and pig slurry-urea ¹⁵N recovery**

Plant uptake of N released from animal manure gradually increases with progressing regrowth of perennial grasses [3,18,23]. In the present study, at the final regrowth (56 d), we found a significant increase in herbage N content in the HQ+DCD plot, and NdfSU in herbage of all plots applied with the inhibitors (Figure 1B). However, the soil total N content was not affected by the inhibitors throughout the experimental period (Figure 2A). This indicates that enhanced N uptake and herbage growth in the HQ and/or DCD applied plots are due to inorganic N released from organic N rather than the N pool size in soil [3,23]. The NdfSU in the soil total N gradually decreased from 134.6 (at 7 d) to 89.2 kg N/ha (at 56 d) (based on average values of 4 treatments),

---

**Table 2. Recovery percentage of pig slurry-urea ¹⁵N in herbage and soil, and calculated N loss as affected by pig slurry with urease inhibitor (HQ) and/or nitrification inhibitor (DCD) during the regrowth of perennial ryegrass sward**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Herbage</th>
<th>Soil Total N</th>
<th>(NH₄⁺-N)</th>
<th>(NO₃⁻-N)</th>
<th>N loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 7</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.0±0.1</td>
<td>6.7±2.2</td>
<td>(27.4±0.8)</td>
<td>(4.4±0.3)</td>
<td>31.4±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>4.0±0.1</td>
<td>6.7±2.4</td>
<td>(20.6±0.6)</td>
<td>(2.8±0.1)</td>
<td>28.2±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCD</td>
<td>3.9±0.2</td>
<td>6.6±1.8</td>
<td>(28.1±0.9)</td>
<td>(3.1±0.2)</td>
<td>29.7±1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HQ+DCD</td>
<td>3.8±0.3</td>
<td>7.0±1.2</td>
<td>(16.5±0.5)</td>
<td>(2.4±0.1)</td>
<td>25.8±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 14</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7.1±1.0</td>
<td>5.7±2.2</td>
<td>(13.2±0.5)</td>
<td>(12.5±1.5)</td>
<td>35.3±1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>6.7±0.5</td>
<td>6.0±2.3</td>
<td>(8.0±0.5)</td>
<td>(10.6±0.9)</td>
<td>32.7±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCD</td>
<td>7.2±0.3</td>
<td>6.3±2.1</td>
<td>(10.4±0.4)</td>
<td>(12.3±1.4)</td>
<td>29.3±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HQ+DCD</td>
<td>6.1±0.2</td>
<td>6.7±2.3</td>
<td>(7.2±0.4)</td>
<td>(9.3±0.7)</td>
<td>26.5±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 56</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>21.2±0.7</td>
<td>40.8±2.0</td>
<td>(0.9±0.1)</td>
<td>(21.5±1.4)</td>
<td>38.0±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>28.2±1.7</td>
<td>44.9±1.0</td>
<td>(1.5±0.1)</td>
<td>(32.1±1.6)</td>
<td>27.0±2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DCD</td>
<td>26.4±1.5</td>
<td>45.2±1.8</td>
<td>(2.1±0.1)</td>
<td>(29.4±1.5)</td>
<td>28.4±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HQ+DCD</td>
<td>30.2±0.9</td>
<td>48.3±2.1</td>
<td>(2.4±0.1)</td>
<td>(36.2±1.7)</td>
<td>21.6±2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of pig slurry-urea ¹⁵N in the soil inorganic N (NH₄⁺-N or NO₃⁻-N) pool.

The values are ± standard error of four replicates.

HQ, hydroquinone; DCD, dicynadiamide.

Different letters in vertical column indicate significant differences at p<0.05 according to the Duncan’s multiple range test.
corresponding to a decrease of $^{15}$N recovery in soil from 67.0% to 44.8% (Figure 2). This implies that N released from the applied urea in pig slurry dilutes the soil inorganic N pool, which is available for herbage regrowth. However, the NdfSU in herbage was not significantly affected by HQ and/or DCD application during the first 14 d of regrowth, although the amount of N derived from the pig slurry-urea in the soil NH$_4^+$ (NdfSU-NH$_4^+$) or NO$_3^-$ fractions (NdfSU-NO$_3^-$) decreased in the HQ and/or DCD treatments from 7 d (Figure 3). This may reflect a common N utilization pattern during the early regrowth characterized by low exogenous N uptake because shoot regrowth during this period depends on a large portion of endogenous N rather than exogenous N uptake [21]. In addition, during the first 7 d of regrowth, urea $^{15}$N in pig slurry was mineralized mainly to NH$_4^+$-N, which accounted for 63.6% to 88.6% of total NdfSU in the soil min-

Figure 4. Daily emissions of ammonia (A) and nitrous oxide (B) and weekly accumulative nitrate concentration in leachate (C) from the control (○), urease inhibitor (HQ, ■), nitrification inhibitor (DCD, ●) and the combination of HQ and DCD (H+D, ▲) during regrowth of perennial ryegrass sward; HQ, hydroquinone; DCD, dicyandiamide.
eral N (sum of NdfSU-NH$_4^+$ and NdfSU-NO$_3^-$) (Figure 3). The NdfSU-NH$_4^+$ was lower in the plots applied with the inhibitors, especially in the presence of HQ (e.g., HQ and HQ+DCD treatments) during the first 14 d, suggesting that HQ delayed the hydrolysis of urea in pig slurry [7]. The NdfSU-NH$_4^+$ in soil slowed down with progressing regrowth with an opposite increase in the NdfSU-NO$_3^-$ (Figure 3B, D), reflecting nitrification of the NH$_4^+$ released from pig slurry-urea. The NdfSU-NO$_3^-$ in the soil at 56 d of regrowth was significantly higher in the presence of the inhibitors, especially in the presence of DCD (e.g., DCD and HQ+DCD treatments), compared with the control (Figure 3). At the final regrowth (56 d), the N content converted to soil inorganic N from pig slurry-urea (NdfSU-NH$_4^+$ + NdfSU-NO$_3^-$) was higher in the presence of DCD (70.4 to 77.3 kg N/ha) compared to that of control (60.0 kg N/ha) (Figure 3). Retention of higher NdfSU-NH$_4^+$ and NdfSU-NO$_3^-$ in the soils amended the inhibitors may reflect the active onset of hydrolysis of urea and subsequent nitrification during the latter regrowth period when the uptake of exogenous N strongly occurs as a primary N source for the herbage regrowth [21]. Thus, enhanced final regrowth yield (Figure 1A) and higher NdfSU in herbage at 56 d (Figure 1C) in the HQ and/or DCD plots are certainly attributed to the higher availability of N released from pig slurry, as evidenced by higher percentages of urea $^{15}$N recovered in the soil inorganic N, i.e., 38.6%, 33.6%, and 31.5% of the $^{15}$N applied in the DCD, HQ, and HQ+DCD plots, respectively, compared with the control (22.4%). Many studies have shown positive effects of urease and/or nitrification inhibitors on plant nutrient availability in soil, enhancing yields of annual crops [24,25] and herbage in perennial grasslands [26].

**N losses via NH$_3$, N$_2$O emissions, and NO$_3^-$ leaching**  
Although the N in animal manure, especially for urine where urea makes up 65% to 90% of N, is economically attractive, it may also result in environmental pollution via N losses as odorous gases (e.g., NH$_3$ and H$_2$S), greenhouse gases (e.g., N$_2$O and CH$_4$) and NO$_3^-$ N leaching when inefficiently used by plants. The options using inhibitors of the N cycle, such as urease and nitrification inhibitors, have been evaluated to mitigate N losses from chemical N fertilizers, mainly urea [25,27] and from animal manure [28]. The present $^{15}$N recovery data has shown that 38.0%, 27.0%, 28.4%, and 21.6% of applied N were unaccounted in the control, HQ, DCD, and HQ+DCD plots, respectively (Table 2). In this study, these percentages were designated as the estimated N loss and the noxious N losses to NH$_3$, N$_2$O emission, and NO$_3^-$ leaching.

The application of animal manure causes NH$_3$ volatilization via the N decomposition present in the feces and urea hydrolysis. Urea is hydrolyzed by urease and produces NH$_3$ and carbonic acid. Thus, significant enhancement of daily NH$_3$ emission after animal manure application has been observed in various cropping systems [23,29]. In the present study, daily NH$_3$ emission significantly reduced in the presence of HQ (e.g., HQ and HQ+DCD plots) during the first 14 d (Figure 4A), when a large portion of NH$_3$ emission (58.8%, averaged over 4 treatments, of total NH$_3$ emission) occurred (Figure 5A). This result indicates that the urease inhibitor HQ efficiently abates the pool of NH$_3^+$ (Figure 3A) by slowing the hydrolysis of urea, which alleviates the subsequent NH$_3$ emission, especially during the early period. Zhengping et al [20] estimated in the laboratory incubation that a urease inhibitor NBPT decreased NH$_3$ volatilization.

**Figure 5.** Total emission of ammonia (A) and nitrous oxide (B) and nitrate leaching (C) as estimated by cumulative amount for 56 days of regrowth. Different letters indicate significant differences at p<0.05 according to the Duncan’s multiple range test.
by 18% after 14 d of incubation, while PPDA decreased NH₃ volatilization by 9% after 10 d.

N₂O emission from animal manure is associated with soil mineralization processes because N₂O is generated primarily through microbial nitrification of NH₄⁺ to NO₃⁻ and then NO₂⁻; and denitrification of NO₃⁻ to N₂O [28]. In the present study, daily N₂O emissions ranged from 0.84 to 18.60 g N₂O-N/ha/d. The significant reduction of N₂O emission by DCD treatments, as estimated by 59.8% of reduction by DCD alone and 50.0% by HQ+DCD compared with the control (Figure 6B), suggested that the nitrification inhibitor DCD deactivates the enzymes responsible for the oxidation of NH₄⁺, reducing its conversion to NO₂⁻, which limits the pool of denitrification for N₂O emission [5] as well as susceptible leaching [6]. The present data showed that the urease inhibitor HQ also significantly reduced N₂O emission by 40.7% compared to the control, confirming that HQ plays an important role in reducing N₂O emission by reducing the pool of NH₄⁺ released from urea hydrolysis (Figure 3A), which is a primary source of nitrification and of following denitrification [5,9]. The stronger effect of nitrification inhibitors, compared with that of the urease inhibitor, on reducing N₂O emission has also been shown in several crop fields applied with urea [9]. Nitrification inhibitors have been shown to successfully reduce N₂O emission from various cropping systems [24,27] and pastures [26].

In this study, positive effects of HQ and/or DCD in reducing NO₃⁻ leaching from the soil were observed, as demonstrated by 7.0%, 12.9%, and 11.8% reductions in NO₃⁻ leaching in the soil in the HQ, DCD, and HQ+DCD plots, respectively. This result may reflect the priming effect of the inhibitors on delaying nitrification, as shown by the lower level of soil NO₃⁻ (Figure 3C) and slightly higher NH₄⁺ (Figure 3A). Other studies have shown that nitrification inhibitors efficiently reduced NO₃⁻ leaching from the soil amended with NH₄⁺-based N fertilizer (including urea-based or other organic amendments, which subsequently convert to NH₄⁺) by retaining N in the soil NH₄⁺ form over a longer period, reducing the peak concentration of soil NO₃⁻ and the potential for N losses through denitrification or NO₃⁻ leaching from the soil [30]. In addition, Zaman and Blennerhassett [14] revealed that the addition of urease inhibitor NBPT reduces NO₃⁻ leaching to a greater extent for synthetic fertilizer and animal excreta.

In conclusion, with progressing regrowth of perennial ryegrass pasture, the uptake of applied pig slurry-urea¹⁵N by herbage gradually increases, whereas soil urea¹⁵N recovery decreased. The herbage urea¹⁵N recovery was not affected by the application of HQ and/or DCD during the first 14 d of regrowth. However, at the final regrowth (56 d), application of HQ and/or DCD resulted in an increase in urea¹⁵N recovery in both the herbage and soil, with the strongest effect observed for HQ+DCD. The conversion of pig slurry urea-derived N into soil NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ fractions were reduced by the inhibitors, with a higher effect observed for HQ during the first 14 d. The conversion of pig slurry-urea N into soil NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ fractions was enhanced especially in the presence of DCD during the latter regrowth period. Higher retention of soil inorganic N derived from pig slurry-urea at the final regrowth (56 d) in the HQ and/or DCD plots was in line with the enhanced herbage N recovery as well as the reduced N losses. The application of HQ and/or DCD resulted in the efficient reduction of NH₃, N₂O emission, and NO₃⁻ leaching. Application of HQ or DCD alone also significantly reduced N losses. Therefore, it can be concluded that HQ and DCD efficiently improve the N use efficiency of pig slurry-urea, contributing a positive role in reducing N losses to the environment.

IMPLICATIONS

Management strategies of animal manure are necessary to improve nitrogen use efficiency while minimizing N losses to environmental pollution. The application of urease inhibitor (hydroquinone) and/or nitrification inhibitor (dicyandiamide) may enhance the nitrogen use efficiency of pig slurry by delaying the hydrolysis of urea and nitrification, thereby alleviating the nitrogen losses to nitrate leaching, ammonia, and nitrous oxide emission. Appropriate utilization of urease and nitrification inhibitors for pig slurry application to the grassland would be an efficient way to improve the nitrogen use efficiency, leading to a significant reduction of nitrate leaching and hazardous gases emission to the atmosphere.
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