INTRODUCTION

The shallow underwater acoustic (UWA) channel has been considered one of the most difficult channels for wireless communication because of several shortcomings, such as low data rate, bandwidth limitation, high multipath interference, major Doppler shifts, and severe fading [1–3]. The main motivation to use sound waves over electromagnetic signals is due to the relatively lower attenuation in the underwater environment [4]. A UWA channel has poor communication quality and high propagation delay; consequently, the bit error rate (BER) is increased [5]. However, the channel coding techniques can significantly decrease BER at the expense of some bandwidth loss of communication.

The channel coding techniques add a redundancy of useful bits for data protection in a noisy channel [6]. The following commonly employed channel coding techniques in wireless communication have been thoroughly investigated considering UWA: low-density parity check (LDPC) code, convolution code (CC), Reed-Solomon (RS) code, and turbo code. Seo and others [7] assessed the effectiveness of the RS code and CC in the context of a UWA fading channel. The simulated findings of the research domain of underwater communication have garnered much interest among researchers exploring underwater activities. The underwater environment differs from the terrestrial setting. Some of the main challenges in underwater communication are limited bandwidth, low data rate, propagation delay, and high bit error rate (BER). As such, this study assessed the underwater acoustic (UWA) aspect and explored the expression of error performance based on t-distribution noise. Filter orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing refers to a new waveform candidate that has been adopted in UWA, along with turbo and polar codes. The empirical outcomes demonstrated that the noise did not adhere to Gaussian distribution, whereas the simulation results revealed that the filter applied in orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing could significantly suppress out-of-band emission. Additionally, the performance of the turbo code was superior to that of the polar code by 2 dB at BER $10^{-3}$.
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RS code and CC demonstrated better performance for selective and nonselective frequency fading, respectively. Another study tested various types of error correction schemes, including CC and RS block codes, on a UWA channel [8]. The results revealed that both RS code and CC could reduce BER to $10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$, although CC displayed better quality than the RS code. Huang and others [9] proposed nonbinary LDPC codes in multichannel UWA communications. Their findings showed that the scheme could hit a zero BER with real data when compared to a large BER via CC. This is because the LDPC code has greater error correction capability than CC does, despite the drawbacks of the former [5,10]. In the context of a very shallow Singaporean water channel, a study assessed coded orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) based on non-Gaussian noise [2]. Here, two convolution codes were applied between each code interleaver, whereas the Viterbi algorithm was used at the receiver to decode CC. Consequently, the BER performance of OFDM was significantly improved by incorporating an interleaver and concatenated CC. The performance of the system was due to low BER and a simplified coded OFDM scheme that was intricate in its computation for underwater communication. The UWA single-carrier code division multiple access (UWA/SCCDMA) simulation system based on MATLAB was proposed by Liu and others (2017) [11]. Based on repeat accumulate (RA), turbo, and LDPC coding, the simulation results for RS, turbo, and LDPC coding showed that the UWA/SCCDMA displayed exceptional performance, whereby the BER was below $10^{-6}$ for the UWA channel with a low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) ranging between $−12$ dB and $−10$ dB. The system demonstrated the best performance for turbo coding with the Log-MAP algorithm, and the channel simulation was based on additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN). Turbo code has certain drawbacks, such as the need for an interleaver, high algorithm complexity of decoding, and time delay, whereas LDPC codes are stronger than turbo codes in the underwater digital speech communication system. This was observed by [12] who compared the efficiency of LDPC and turbo codes using a code rate of 1/2. The results revealed a higher efficiency of digital speech signal transmission upon using LDPC code. Note that the channel used by [12] was AWGN. However, if the system can handle long delays and complexities, the turbo code is recommended, as it is one of the most efficient error correction codes.

Orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing has been considered an effective multichannel modulation system across numerous communication-based systems [2]. OFDM depends on the split of the existing bandwidth into several subcarriers, whereby every subcarrier would experience frequency-flat fading. Notably, OFDM is robust against multipath channels. This is accomplished by incorporating a greater cyclic prefix than the long delay of multipath. The single-tap filter is also demanded by the receiver equalizer for every subcarrier.

Orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing could offer reliable communication upon being embedded with channel coding. In fact, several underwater channels have applied systems coded with OFDM such as [1,3]. However, several issues need to be addressed in using OFDM [9], such as the issue of the peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR). Although huge power back-off can enhance the transmission range, the PAPR can be significantly reduced by using various reduction techniques, namely selective clipping method, partial transmission sequence method, and mapping method [13,14].

In signal processing, the Gaussian distribution has some essential properties with low computational complexity, whereby white Gaussian noise can be applied for background noise. Other underwater noises observed in a UWA channel are environment noise, target self-noise, and radiation noise. These noises are viewed as complex non-Gaussian noises that can increase the probability error of code words at the receiver end in a communication system. Several studies assessed various locations for UWA noise and revealed that noise did not adhere to the Gaussian distribution [4,15–19]. The use of a probability density function (PDF), along with a wide tail, could identify the performance and type of noise [18–20]. A study confirmed that the algorithm proposed based on Gaussian mixture (GM) successfully estimated the noise PDF [21]. Chitre [4] discovered that noise dispersion in shallow water adhered to symmetric $\alpha$-stable to snap shrimp-dominated ambient noise with a parameter characteristic of 1.69 and a scale parameter of $6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mu$Pa. Some researchers observed that the distribution of noise in an underwater setting failed to adhere to the Gaussian distribution [3,9,22,23]. Therefore, the BER is high in underwater communication due to nonwhite and non-Gaussian noise properties [24].

This paper proposes a new polar code construction scheme adequate for multichannel UWA F-OFDM communication systems, and the results were compared with those of turbo code. In addition, the expression of error symbol performance based on a non-Gaussian distribution channel is presented in this paper.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The UWA noise model is elaborated in Section 2. Section 3 presents the communication system design. The filter-OFDM for UWA and channel coding are shown in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. The results and discussion are presented in Section 6. Finally, this paper is concluded in Section 7.

## 2 | UNDERWATER ACOUSTIC NOISE MODEL

Defining noise characteristics in communication is significant for the system design because they determine the type of channel used in communications. Numerous studies have explored various seas, including shallow waters. Noise distributions do not adhere to the Gaussian distribution. In this study, field experiments were conducted to analyze noise characteristics.

Field trials were performed at Senggarang, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia (latitude 1°49′21.8″N and longitude
102°50′14.3″E), on May 16, 2018, by using GPS to gather samples of signal, and to determine the UWAN statistical attributes. The study area is illustrated in Figure 1. The signal samples were obtained using a broadband hydrophone (Dolphin EAR 200 Series) positioned approximately 2.5 km offshore at the frequency range 7 kHz–22 kHz. From the measurements obtained at a depth of 15 m, those ranging 1 m–12 m were considered for this study. Using TDS-3, the measured temperature of sea surface was 29°C, and the speed of wind was seven knots. With a salinity of 35 ppt and 7.8 pH, a sample with a duration of 20 s was obtained at every depth. The hydrophone was used to record UWAN, and the samples were converted into discrete time to enable more storage of processing in a personal computer. The measurements were based on various depths. Table 1 presents the factor specifications for data collection in this study. The time representation waveform for UWAN data gathered from two depths (8 m and 12 m) is presented in Figure 2. Figure 3 displays the time and frequency representation of the data. It describes both the time and frequency of the noise signal.

The data distribution was analyzed using the Gaussian and t-distributions by applying the fitting tool in MATLAB. By comparing the two distribution methods, Figure 4 shows that the PDF of UWAN adhered to the t-distribution, whereas the Gaussian distribution appeared inapt for UWAN.

Table 2 presents the degree of freedom for various depths for a short duration out of the total time. The state of UWAN was assumed stationary [17], and the average degree of freedom was approximately 3. As UWAN was impulsive, the period of analysis was altered for the varied depths.

The PDF of t-distribution is expressed by the following equation [25]:

\[
\rho(l, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma[(\nu+1)/2]}{\sqrt{\pi \nu} \Gamma(\nu/2)} \left(1 + \frac{l^2}{\nu}\right)^{-(\nu+1)/2}
\]

where \(\Gamma(\cdot)\) refers to the gamma function, and \(\nu\) denotes the degree of freedom that controls the distribution. A low \(\nu\) results in wider PDF tails, whereas a high \(\nu\) decreases the tails and converts it to Gaussian distribution. \(\rho(l, \nu)\) refers to the probability of observing a particular value of \(l\) from t-distribution with \(\nu\). The PDF in (1) displays zero mean and its variance is \(\nu/\nu - 2\), where \(\nu \geq 2\). This signifies that the UWAN dismissed AWGN but adhered to t-distribution. To model a random variable \(X\) with variance \(\sigma > 2\), the following changes in variables should be made:

\[
l = \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\sigma^2(\nu-2)}} x.
\]
Accordingly, a new scaled PDF function can be written as,

\[ f_T(x, \nu) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{\pi} (\nu - 2) \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2 (\nu - 2)}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}}. \quad (3) \]

For \( \nu = 3 \), the PDF is,

\[ f_T(x, 3) = \frac{0.636}{\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}\right)^{-2}. \quad (4) \]

The quadrature phase-shift keying (QPSK) constellation has dual BPSK signals at the quadrature stage. As noise does not rely statistically on quadrature elements, the following depicts the two-bit symbol for correct decision probability [16]:

\[ P_c = (1 - P_2)^2, \quad (6) \]

where \( P_2 \) indicates the symbol error probability for BPSK modulation order. As \( P_2 = P_{BPSK} \), the QPSK symbol error probability is as follows:

\[ P_{QPSK} = 1 - P_c = 2P_{BPSK} \left(1 - 0.5P_{BPSK}\right). \quad (7) \]

When \( \nu = 3 \), (5) is substituted in (7) so that the QPSK symbol error probability is given as,

\[ P_{QPSK} = 1.272 \sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}} \int_0^\infty \left[1 + \frac{2E_b}{N_0} (x+1)^2\right]^{-2} dx \left(1 - 0.318 \sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}} \int_0^\infty \left[1 + \frac{2E_b}{N_0} (x+1)^2\right]^{-2} dx\right). \quad (8) \]

### 3 | COMMUNICATION SYSTEM DESIGN

A UWA multicarrier F-OFDM communication system structure is proposed in this paper, in which the signal processing embeds a transmitter and receiver. Figure 5 illustrates the underwater acoustic system (UWAS) based on F-OFDM, where the input data sequence \( X \) was encoded initially to generate the encoded data sequence \( X' \). Various phase-shift keying (PSK) modulation families were used to map \( X' \). Next, the baseband data were oversampled by inserting zeroes between the samples. The inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) was used to convert the data sequence to the time domain from the frequency domain.

\[ x(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X_k e^{2\pi kn/N}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N - 1. \quad (9) \]

The cyclic prefix (CP) operation expanded the OFDM signal in time domain, in which the CP had a 7% value from the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Period (s)</th>
<th>Degree of freedom (μm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2** Degree of freedom for the various depths
Finally, the OFDM signal \( x(n) \) was moved to a transmitter filter \( f(n) \) to generate an F-OFDM transmitting signal \( g(n) \).

\[
g(n) = x(n) \ast f(n). \tag{10}
\]

The signal obtained at the receiver end was passed to a matching filter [28]. The serial data were converted into parallel, and the CP was discarded. Subsequently, fast Fourier transform (FFT) was employed to convert the data sequence to the frequency domain from the time domain. Oversampling was removed, a parallel signal was converted into serial, and various PSK demodulations were applied. Finally, decoding was performed to recover the encoded input data stream.

4 | FILTER-OFDM FOR UWA

F-OFDM has been recommended as a candidate waveform framework for 5G wireless communication. The aim of F-OFDM is to minimize interruptions between adjacent subbands. Hence, to mitigate the out-of-band emission (OOBE), the OFDM baseband signal is usually filtered using a bandlimited filter in every subband. This constricts the interruption from adjacent subbands to an extent. The suppression of OOBE, asynchronous transmission, and low latency are the typical features of filter-based waveform frameworks. Reducing the guard band between the symbols to increase the band in OFDM is essential to improve the data rate by minimizing the OOBE as illustrated in Figure 6. Thus, F-OFDM was applied to UWAS to improve the spectral efficiency and to increase the data rate.
The design of the filter is integral for F-OFDM to achieve both frequency localization and more flexibility for time-frequency localization, mainly because the desired frequency-domain localization leads to dispersion in the time domain \[29\]. The signal in an OFDM system has a rectangular pulse shape (sinc function), which leads to large side lobes on both sides of the signal in the frequency domain. Consequently, the frequency spectrum is not well localized. The sinc impulse response filter in F-OFDM, that is, a low-pass filter (LPF), is a suitable spectrum-shaping filter for the system due to its ability to suppress OOBE, in addition to not distorting the signal passband. A time windowing mask offers excellent time localization, in addition to assuring a smooth transition at both filter impulse response ends \[30\]. The FIR filter is designed by multiplying the infinite impulse response of the LPF with a finite time-domain window \[31\]. The sinc impulse response filter of the time domain is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(n) &= h_{LPF}(n) \cdot w(n), \\
    h_{LPF}(n) &= \sin(w_c \cdot n) / \left( w_c \cdot n \right).
\end{align*}
\]

where \( L \) symbolizes the length of the filter, which is equal to half of the OFDM symbol length + 1, and \( \alpha \) indicates the factor of roll-off, which limits the shape of the window to \( 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \). Figure 7 shows that \( \alpha = 1 \) denotes a hamming window, whereas \( \alpha = 0 \) signifies a rectangular window. F-OFDM allows its filter length to exceed that of the CP to gain a balance for time-frequency localization and to attain better flexibility for the design of the filter \[33\]. However, more balance is acquired for time-frequency localization with the factor of roll-off in the RRC window. Consequently, the RRC window is more suitable for the F-OFDM system than the other windows.

\[ w_{RRC}(n) = \left[ 0.5 \left( 1 + \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n}{L-1} \right) \right) \right]^\alpha. \]  

5  |  CHANNEL CODING

To gain an efficient acoustic system with a highly improved communication link, the channel coding was applied to any remaining error in the system. The main objective is to increase the BER reduction rate to an optimum level. In this study, two channel coding techniques were applied, namely turbo code and polar code.

5.1  |  Turbo code

Turbo codes are generally made of two parallel convolution encoders segregated by an interleaver \[34\]. The aim is to select the most appropriate interleaver and to construct polynomial codes for each encoder. As each encoder is deemed convolutional, the interleaver is assessed as a new component at the encoding stage. A turbo encoder using an interleaver is presented in Figure 8. The
initial encoder contains a systematic stream output, $u_t$, and a parity stream, $b_1$, whereas the second individual encoder has an interleaving of the systematic stream and a second parity stream, $b_2$, thus resulting in 1/2 turbo code. Furthermore, trellis termination is necessary at the decoder to accept the first and last encoder states, mainly to hinder performance deterioration.

At the decoding part, two soft-input-soft-output (SISO) decoders represent the turbo decoder. The structures of convolutional and turbo decoders are nearly the same, except for some minor changes. The decoding iterative scheme consists of an essential a posteriori probability (APP) decoder, interleaver, and de-interleaver [35]. After encoding, the entire n-bit turbo code word could be assembled into the frame, modulated, transmitted over the channel, and then decoded. Let $U_i$ denote the modulating code bit (which could be either a systematic or parity bit), and $Y_i$ denote the corresponding received signal. Note that $U_i$ can only be 0 or 1, and $Y_i$ is a soft value. Therefore, the input of turbo decoder can be obtained by the following form [34]:

$$ R(c_i) = \log \left( \frac{p(y_i|c_i = 1)}{p(y_i|c_i = 0)} \right), $$

where $P(Y_i|U_i = j)$ is the conditional probability of the receiving signal $Y_i$ given that the code bit $U_i = j$ was transmitted. The probabilistic expression shown in (14) is called a log-likelihood ratio (LLR), and it is used throughout the decoding process. The calculation of the LLR equation requires not only a received signal sample $Y_i$, but also some statistical knowledge from the channel based on the experimental measurements. For instance, if BPSK modulation is employed over a $t$-distribution channel in (4), then the corresponding decoder input in LLR form can be expressed as follows:

$$ R(U_i) = \log \left( \frac{\sigma + (Y_i - 1)}{\sigma + (Y_i + 1)} \right), $$

where $F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

A larger input size can be acquired with this Kronecker product, as it allows the possession of dual power lengths in the polar codes. The descriptive code $N$ refers to $n = \log_2(N)$, whereas the following expresses the encoding:

$$ G = F^\otimes n $$

where $F^\otimes n$ denotes the F Kronecker product at $n$ times. As illustrated in Figure 9A for code length = 4, the encoding is as given in (17).
Although belief propagation can be used to decode polar codes, successive cancelation (SC) is the standard decoding algorithm. This SC decoder may be obtained from the encoder directly along the probabilistic nodes. Both XOR and connection nodes are represented as follows. Calculations for LLRs $a$ and $b$ [35] performed by $f$ and $g$ nodes within the LLR domain are expressed as follows:

\[
f(a, b) = \log \left( \frac{e^{a+b} + 1}{e^a + e^b} \right),
\]
\[
g(a, b, s) = (-1)^s a + b
\]

where $s$ reflects the partial sum. This sum is obtained from past decoded bits to be incorporated into the present $g$ node. The yield gained after applying $f$ into an approximation is expressed as follows:

\[
f(a, b) = \text{sign}(a)\text{sign}(b)\min(|a|, |b|).
\]

Figure 9B propagates the LLRs from right to left. This is denoted as $L^2_i$ in the figure. Upon passing LLRs using correct $f$ nodes, the initial bit, $u_1$, may be directly decoded, whereas the $g$ node is used to decode $u_2$, which requires the partial sum. With the sole involvement of $u_1$, the partial sum becomes equivalent to $u_1$. The decoder sets the value to zero if $u_1$ is in a frozen state. Next, $u_1$ and LLRs are used for the decoding of $u_2$, thus resulting in a lower $u_2$ decoding performance. The decoding process halts after accessing all the nodes.

6 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results of the UWAS simulations to evaluate the performance of channel coding based on OFDM and F-OFDM. Table 3 shows the simulation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modulation constellation ($M$)</td>
<td>2, 4, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcarriers ($N$)</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of symbols</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree of freedom ($nu$)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll-off factor ($\alpha$)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter length ($L$)</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic prefix (CP)</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of filters</td>
<td>Hanning windowed sinc filters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 10 Comparison of F-OFDM and OFDM BER at $nu = 3$: (A) BPSK, (B) QPSK, and (C) 16PSK
applied for the communication scheme. The UWAS was evaluated in two phases. First, the BER performance of both turbo and polar codes was assessed and compared in terms of SNR. Second, both F-OFDM and OFDM were analyzed and their performances were compared in terms of power spectral density (PSD). The results of the simulations were obtained from the fitting tool of MATLAB in the presence of additive $t$-distribution noise with a $nu$ value of 3.

6.1 BER performance

The simulation results of BER with $E_b/N_0$ are shown in Figure 10. The turbo code data of OFDM and F-OFDM are presented in pink and red, respectively. The polar code data of OFDM and F-OFDM are presented in black and blue, respectively. The uncoded data for OFDM and F-OFDM are presented in yellow and green, respectively. The Max-Log-MAP and SC decoding algorithms were employed for the turbo and polar codes, respectively. Note that both algorithms have used an $R$ rate of $1/2$. Figure 10A illustrates that the performance yielded by the turbo code is better than that of the polar code in F-OFDM and OFDM by 2 dB at BER $10^{-3}$. The performance variance between uncoded OFDM and F-OFDM was nearly nonexistent, whereas a substantial variance in performance was observed between uncoded turbo and polar codes, that is, approximately 8 and 6 dB, respectively. According to the results shown in Figure 10, the performance of BER depends on the modulation family used and the words of constellation mapping. A high order of constellation reduces the performance of BER, as the latter is highly sensitive to the interference.

In contrast, comparing Figure 10A with Figure 11A, it can be observed that the BER is improved to $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ at 7 dB approximately, and $1.02 \times 10^{-4}$ at 5 dB for turbo and polar coding, respectively, when $nu$ is increased. Therefore, the $t$-distribution converges to Gaussian distribution as $nu$ increases. This improvement in BER is similarly observed when QPSK and 16 QPSK are considered.

The use of polar and turbo codes has both advantages and disadvantages related to the information performance, error correction performance, and computational complexity. The computational complexity of a polar decoder is lower than that of a turbo decoder. However, in terms of information performance, the polar code uses low coding rates and long block lengths. For practical applications, such features should be considered when choosing the channel code.

6.2 Computational complexity

The parameters related to the computational complexity used for the turbo code are the information block length ($K$), the

FIGURE 11 Comparison of F-OFDM and OFDM BER at $nu = 4$: (A) BPSK, (B) QPSK, and (C) 16PSK
memory length of the component ($m$), and the number of iterations ($I_{\text{max}}$) according to [37]. The encoded block length ($N$) is related to the polar code [36]. Equations (21) and (22) show the computational complexities of turbo and polar codes, respectively.

$$C_T = I_{\text{max}} \times 16 \times K \times 2^m + I_{\text{max}} \times 8 \times K \times 2^m,$$

(21)

$$C_P = N \cdot \log_2(N),$$

(22)

where $K$ is a set varying as $32 \leq k \leq 1024$ bits, $N = k/R$, $R = 1/2, m = 10$, and $I_{\text{max}} = 8$. The efficiency of the polar code technique was evaluated in terms of its ability in reducing the computational complexity. Figure 12 shows the variation of the computational complexity with respect to the $K$ value. By comparing both techniques, it can be observed that the lowest computational complexity was reported for the polar code.

### 6.3 Performance PSD

In this section, the performances of F-OFDM and OFDM schemes are evaluated based on the PSD. Figure 13 presents the differences in the PSDs resulting from the signals of F-OFDM and OFDM. The OOB for turbo and polar codes based on OFDM was $-45$ dBW/Hz. However, the OOB was $-80.02$ dBW/Hz for the turbo code and $-85.56$ dBW/Hz for the polar code after using the F-OFDM scheme. Therefore, F-OFDM provides better frequency localization compared with OFDM.

Figure 14 shows the baseband impulse response of the built filter. It can be observed that the main energy of the filters is limited to the main lobe of sin. Therefore, the energy of the filter remains confined to the length of the CP. The BER values shown in Figure 10 indicate the limited ISI.
CONCLUSION

This paper presented a new waveform F-OFDM system based on the UWA communication channel. The new system successfully suppressed the guard band, and its ISI was decreased by using a filter. Upon comparing the performances of polar and turbo codes, this study proposes polar coding based on the UWA channel coding scheme. The results obtained from MATLAB simulations highlighted the feasibility offered by polar coding based on the UWA channel coding scheme. This coding scheme also enhanced the rate of corrected data, in addition to minimizing the BER in the communication system effectively. As for the turbo code, its error rate was lower than that of the polar code. However, the drawbacks of the turbo code are a massive algorithm complexity for decoding, a demand for an interleaver, and time delay. This indicates the higher efficiency of polar codes for underwater communication system compared with that of turbo codes. Regarding complexity and time delay, the turbo code appeared to be competitive in correcting error codes. Moreover, when the SNR exceeded 8 dB, the error rate in the system was $10^{-3}$ for BPSK and QPSK. Thus, reliable underwater communication can be achieved when the SNR exceeds 8 dB.
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