

THE CLASS OF WEAK w -PROJECTIVE MODULES IS A PRECOVER

HWANKOO KIM, LEI QIAO, AND FANGGUI WANG

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Denote by $w\mathcal{P}_w$ the class of weak w -projective R -modules and by $w\mathcal{P}_w^\perp$ the right orthogonal complement of $w\mathcal{P}_w$. It is shown that $(w\mathcal{P}_w, w\mathcal{P}_w^\perp)$ is a hereditary and complete cotorsion theory, and so every R -module has a special weak w -projective precover. We also give some necessary and sufficient conditions for weak w -projective modules to be w -projective. Finally it is shown that when we discuss the existence of a weak w -projective cover of a module, it is enough to consider the w -envelope of the module.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R is always a commutative ring with identity. We first review some related concepts of w -modules. A finitely generated ideal J of R is called a *GV-ideal* if the homomorphism $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(J, R)$ induced by the inclusion map $J \hookrightarrow R$ is an isomorphism. Denote by $\text{GV}(R)$ the set of GV-ideals of R . For any R -module N , set

$$\text{tor}_{\text{GV}(R)}(N) = \{x \in N \mid \text{there exists } J \in \text{GV}(R) \text{ such that } Jx = 0\},$$

which is a submodule of N , called the *total GV-torsion submodule* of N . If $\text{tor}_{\text{GV}(R)}(N) = N$, then N is called a *GV-torsion module*; if $\text{tor}_{\text{GV}(R)}(N) = 0$, then N is called a *GV-torsion-free module*. A GV-torsion-free module N is called a *w-module* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, N) = 0$ for any $J \in \text{GV}(R)$. Denote by \mathcal{W} the class of w -modules. The set of maximal w -ideals of R is denoted by $w\text{-Max}(R)$. By [10, Theorem 6.2.15], an R -module T is a GV-torsion module if and only if $T_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$ for any $\mathfrak{m} \in w\text{-Max}(R)$.

We also need the concept of strong w -modules. An R -module N is called a *strong w-module* if $\text{Ext}_R^k(T, N) = 0$ for any GV-torsion module T and any $k \geq 1$. For a discussion of strong w -modules, please refer to [12]. Denote by \mathcal{W}_∞ the class of strong w -modules.

Received February 21, 2021; Accepted October 14, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 13C10, 13D05, 13D07, 13D30.

Key words and phrases. Weak w -projective precover, w -operation (theory), cotorsion theory.

Since the w -operation on an integral domain can establish the concept of w -modules, which allows the w -operation to work in the category of modules, in 1997 the concepts of w -projective modules and w -flat modules over an integral domain were first introduced [8]. In [4] the definition of w -flat modules was extended to any commutative ring as follows. A module M is called a *w-flat module* if the functor $M \otimes -$ preserves a w -exact sequence into a w -exact sequence. In [11] the concepts of the w -flat dimension (w -fd) of a module and the w -weak global dimension (w -w.gl.dim) of a ring have been successively introduced. Using the w -weak global dimension of a ring, a Prüfer v -multiplication domain (PVMD for short) can be characterized homologically as an integral domain of w -w.gl.dim(R) ≤ 1 .

In 2015, the concept of w -projective modules was also extended to any commutative ring [9]. Let M be an R -module. Set $L(M) := (M/\text{tor}_{\text{GV}(R)}(M))_w$. Then M is called a *w-projective module* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(L(M), N)$ is a GV-torsion module for any w -module N . Denoted by \mathcal{P}_w the class of w -projective modules. One can use the w -projective modules to introduce the w -projective ideals. One hopes that some rings that used to be described by ideals can be described by the w -projective modules. For example, in [11] it is proved that an integral domain R is a PVMD if and only if every finitely generated submodule of a projective module is w -projective. As we all know, an integral domain R is a Dedekind domain if and only if each nonzero ideal is invertible; R is a Krull domain if and only if each nonzero ideal is w -invertible. Therefore, in the above sense, Krull domains can actually be considered as w -Dedekind domains. But a Dedekind domain is exactly an integral domain with global dimension at most 1, in other words, every submodule of a projective module is projective. In [14], the authors can only prove that an integral domain R is a Krull domain if and only if every submodule of a finitely generated projective module is w -projective. That is to say, the concept of w -projective modules cannot be used to obtain a complete characterization of the Krull domains corresponding to the Dedekind domains.

In order to give a complete homological characterization of Krull domains, the concept of weak w -projective modules is introduced in [12] with the aid of w -projective modules. Denote by ${}_R\mathfrak{M}$ the category of all R -modules. Set

$$\mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty} = \left\{ N \in \mathfrak{M} \left| \begin{array}{l} N \text{ is GV-torsion-free and} \\ \text{Ext}_R^k(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } M \in \mathcal{P}_w \text{ and any } k \geq 1 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

An R -module M is called a *weak w-projective module* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$ for any $N \in \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$. Denote by $w\mathcal{P}_w$ the class of weak w -projective modules. In [12] the authors pointed out: Every w -projective module must be weak w -projective. Conversely, every weak w -projective module of finite type and any weak w -projective ideal of an integral domain are all w -projective. At the same time, in [12] it is also given an example of a weak w -projective module over a UFD, which is not w -projective. In [12] it is also introduced the concept of the w -projective dimension (w -pd) of a module and the global w -projective

dimension (w -gl.dim) of a ring. With the help of the concepts of weak w -projective modules and the global w -projective dimension of a ring, in [12] the authors give a homological characterization of Krull domains: An integral domain R is a Krull domain if and only if every submodule of a projective module is weak w -projective, equivalently, w -gl.dim(R) ≤ 1 .

Let \mathcal{A} be a class of modules, M be an R -module, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\varphi : A \rightarrow M$ be a homomorphism. Then (A, φ) is called an \mathcal{A} -precover of M if for any $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ and any homomorphism $f : A' \rightarrow M$, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A' \\ & \nearrow h & \downarrow f \\ A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M \end{array}$$

is commutative, equivalently, for any $A' \in \mathcal{A}$, $\text{Hom}_R(A', A) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} \text{Hom}_R(A', M) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence. Let (A, φ) be an \mathcal{A} -precover of a module M . When $A' = A$, $f = \varphi$, and the above diagram is commutative, it is said that (A, φ) is an \mathcal{A} -cover of M if h is an isomorphism. If any R -module M has \mathcal{A} -precover (resp., cover), then we say that \mathcal{A} is a precover (resp, cover) class.

Let \mathcal{S} be a class of modules. Set

$${}^{\perp}\mathcal{S} := \{A \in \mathfrak{M} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(A, C) = 0 \text{ for any } C \in \mathcal{S}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^{\perp} := \{B \in \mathfrak{M} \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(C, B) = 0 \text{ for any } C \in \mathcal{S}\},$$

are called the *left orthogonal complement* and the *right orthogonal complement* of \mathcal{S} , respectively [3]. Then obviously one has $w\mathcal{P}_w = {}^{\perp}(\mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty})$. In [1], the authors introduced and studied the right orthogonal complement of the class of w -flat modules. Also set

$${}^{\perp\infty}\mathcal{S} := \{A \in \mathfrak{M} \mid \text{Ext}_R^k(A, C) = 0 \text{ for any } C \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and any } k \geq 1\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^{\perp\infty} := \{B \in \mathfrak{M} \mid \text{Ext}_R^k(C, B) = 0 \text{ for any } C \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and any } k \geq 1\}.$$

In recent years, the cotorsion theory has received great attention from researchers. Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be two classes of modules. Then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is called a *cotorsion theory* if $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{A} = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{B}$. In addition, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is called a *hereditary cotorsion theory* if whenever $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow 0$ is exact with $A, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, one has $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$. And $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is called a *complete cotorsion theory* if for any R -module M , there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $K \in \mathcal{B}$. When a formulated pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of modules becomes a cotorsion pair, the classical homology method can be used very smoothly to characterize rings and modules. For the projective modules, a well-known theorem of Kaplansky states that a projective module over an arbitrary ring is a direct sum of countably generated projective modules. In 2020, Wang and Qiao established the w -version of Kaplansky's theorem [13]: If M is a w -projective w -module, then M has a w -projective w - \aleph_0 -continuous ascending chain (see the definition

later). Using this result, this article obtains the main result: $(w\mathcal{P}_w, w\mathcal{P}_w^\perp)$ is a hereditary and complete cotorsion theory, and so every module has a special weak w -projective precover.

2. Basic results

Denoted by \mathcal{FT} the class of GV-torsion-free modules. Let \mathcal{S} be a class of modules. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^\dagger &:= \mathcal{S}^\perp \cap \mathcal{FT} \\ &= \{N \in \mathfrak{M} \mid N \text{ is GV-torsion-free and } \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } M \in \mathcal{S}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} &:= \mathcal{S}^{\perp\infty} \cap \mathcal{FT} \\ &= \left\{ N \in \mathfrak{M} \mid \begin{array}{l} N \text{ is GV-torsion-free and} \\ \text{Ext}_R^k(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any } M \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and any } k \geq 1 \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\text{GV}(R)^* := \{R/J \mid J \in \text{GV}(R)\}.$$

Obviously $\text{GV}(R)^*$ is a set of modules.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_1$ be classes of modules. Then:*

- (1) $\mathcal{S} \subseteq {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}) \subseteq {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger)$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1$, then $\mathcal{S}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1^\dagger$ and $\mathcal{S}_1^{\dagger\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}$.
- (3) $(\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{S}_1)^\dagger = \mathcal{S}^\dagger \cap \mathcal{S}_1^\dagger$.

Proof. These are obvious. □

For $k \geq 1$, set

$$\mathcal{W}_k := \{N \in \mathcal{FT} \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(R/J, N) = 0 \text{ for any } J \in \text{GV}(R) \text{ and any } 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

By convention, we set $\mathcal{W}_0 := \mathcal{FT}$. A module N is called a w_k -module if $N \in \mathcal{W}_k$. It is known that a GV-torsion-free module N is a w -module if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, N) = 0$ for any GV-torsion-module C ([10, Theorem 6.2.7]).

Lemma 2.2. (1) If $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $\mathcal{W}_k \subseteq \mathcal{W}_i$.

(2) \mathcal{W}_k is closed under extensions.

(3) Let $N \in \mathcal{W}_k$. Then $N \in \mathcal{W}_{k+1}$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^{k+1}(M, N) = 0$ for any GV-torsion module M .

Proof. (1) and (2) are trivial. We will prove only (3). It is enough to show the necessity. Assume that $N \in \mathcal{W}_{k+1}$. If $k = 0$, then $N \in \mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{W}$. Thus by [10, Theorem 6.2.7], $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$ for any GV-torsion module M . Consider the case $k = 1$. Let M be a GV-torsion module. Then for any $x \in M$, there exists $I_x \in \text{GV}(R)$ such that $I_x x = 0$. Set $F := \bigoplus_{x \in M} R/I_x$. Then F is a GV-torsion module. Let e_x denote the element in F that takes the value $1 + I_x$ at the component x , and the other components take the value 0. Define $h : F \rightarrow M$

by $h(e_x) = x$. Then h is an epimorphism. Set $A := \text{Ker}(h)$. Then it follows from the exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(A, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(F, N) = 0$ that $\text{Ext}_R^2(M, N) = 0$. Now the assertion follows by induction. \square

Proposition 2.3. *The following are equivalent for a GV-torsion-free module N .*

- (1) $N \in \mathcal{W}_\infty$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/J, N) = 0$ for any $J \in \text{GV}(R)$ and any $i \geq 1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) This is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $k \geq 1$ and set

$$\mathcal{W}'_k := \left\{ N \in \mathcal{FT} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0 \text{ for any GV-torsion module } M \\ \text{and any } 1 \leq i \leq k \end{array} \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\mathcal{W}'_k = \mathcal{W}_k$. Thus $N \in \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \mathcal{W}'_k = \mathcal{W}_\infty$. \square

Let M and N be R -modules. A homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called a w -*monomorphism* (resp., a w -*epimorphism*, a w -*isomorphism*) if $f_{\mathfrak{m}} : M_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a monomorphism (resp., an epimorphism, an isomorphism) for any maximal w -ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . And M is said to be w -*isomorphic* to N provided that there exist an R -module L and two w -isomorphisms $f : L \rightarrow M$ and $g : L \rightarrow N$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let \mathcal{S} be a class of modules such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{FT}$. Set $\mathcal{A} := {}^\perp \mathcal{S}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) \mathcal{A} is closed under w -isomorphisms.
- (2) $\text{GV}(R) \cup \text{GV}(R)^* \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_2$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $J \in \text{GV}(R)$. Since $R \in \mathcal{A}$ and J and R are w -isomorphic, it follows that $J \in \mathcal{A}$. Also since R/J and 0 are w -isomorphic, it follows that $R/J \in \mathcal{A}$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $N \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, N) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(J, N) = 0$ for any $J \in \text{GV}(R)$. Thus N is a w_2 -module. Therefore $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_2$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be a w -isomorphism. By [10, Proposition 6.3.4], there exist a module B and exact sequences $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow M' \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, where A and C are GV-torsion modules. If $M \in \mathcal{A}$, then for any $N \in \mathcal{S}$ it follows from the exact sequence $0 = \text{Hom}_R(A, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(B, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$ that $\text{Ext}_R^1(B, N) = 0$. Again by the exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(C, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M', N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(B, N) = 0$ it follows that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M', N) = 0$, that is, $M' \in \mathcal{A}$.

On the other hand, assume that $M' \in \mathcal{A}$. By Lemma 2.2, $\text{Ext}_R^2(C, N) = 0$. By the exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(M', N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(B, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(C, N) = 0$ it follows that $\text{Ext}_R^1(B, N) = 0$. Also by the exact sequence $0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(B, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(A, N) = 0$, it follows that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$, i.e., $M \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore \mathcal{A} is closed under w -isomorphisms. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathcal{S} be a class of modules. Set $\mathcal{A} := {}^\perp\mathcal{S}$. If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_\infty$, then \mathcal{A} is closed under w -isomorphisms.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 2.4 and the fact that $\mathcal{W}_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{W}_2$. \square

Example 2.6. (1) It is easy to see that $(\text{GV}(R)^*)^\dagger = \mathcal{W}$.
 (2) By Proposition 2.3, $(\text{GV}(R)^*)^{\dagger\infty} = \mathcal{W}_\infty$.
 (3) By Theorem 2.4, $(\text{GV}(R)^* \cup \text{GV}(R))^\dagger = \mathcal{W}_2$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let \mathcal{S} be a class of modules satisfying $\text{GV}(R)^* \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Then:*

- (1) $\mathcal{S}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_\infty$.
- (2) If $\text{GV}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, then $\mathcal{S}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{W}_2$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Example 2.6. \square

3. The class of weak w -projective modules is a precover

Let \mathcal{A} be a class of modules and M be an R -module. If there is a continuous ascending chain of submodules of M :

$$(3.1) \quad 0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_\alpha \subseteq M_{\alpha+1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_\lambda = M$$

such that $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ for any $\alpha < \lambda$, then M is called an \mathcal{A} -filtered module. A continuous ascending chain (3.1) is called an \mathcal{A} -filtration of M .

In order to determine when $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion theory, the following lemma is very effective and will be used later.

Lemma 3.1 (Eklof–Trlifaj). *Let \mathcal{S} be a set of modules. Then:*

- (1) *Let N be an R -module. Then there exists a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow Q \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, where $Q \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$ and A is an \mathcal{S} -filtered module, and thus $A \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$.*
- (2) *$({}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\perp), \mathcal{S}^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion theory.*

Proof. See [2] or [7, Theorem 2.2]. \square

In order to make Lemma 3.1 apply to the context of a class of related modules, we make corresponding modifications to it, but note that the idea belongs to Eklof–Trlifaj essentially.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{GV}(R)^* \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ be a set of modules, where $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{FT}$.*

- (1) *Let N be a GV-torsion-free module. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow Q \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where $Q \in \mathcal{S}^\dagger$ and A is an \mathcal{S} -filtered module such that $A \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger)$.

- (2) *Let M be an R -module. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $P \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger)$ and $B \in \mathcal{S}^\dagger$.

Proof. (1) Set $X := \bigoplus_{S \in \mathcal{S}_1} S$ and $Y := \bigoplus_{J \in \text{GV}(R)} R/J$. Then X is a GV-torsion-free module and Y is a GV-torsion module. Set $\mathcal{S} = X \oplus Y$. Then $\mathcal{S}^\perp = \{S\}^\perp$. Thus we may assume that \mathcal{S} is the class of modules composed of the fixed module S and its direct sums. Let $0 \rightarrow K_1 \xrightarrow{\mu_1} F_1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow K_2 \xrightarrow{\mu_2} F_2 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$ be exact sequences, where F_1 and F_2 are free modules. Set $F := F_1 \oplus F_2$ and $K := K_1 \oplus K_2$. Then $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\mu} F \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, where $\mu := \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2$. Since X is GV-torsion-free, K_1 is a w -module. Since Y is GV-torsion, we have $(K_2)_w = F_2$.

Take a regular cardinal λ so that K has a generating system Z with $|Z| < \lambda$.

Set $Q_0 := N$. Then Q_0 is GV-torsion-free. For $\alpha < \lambda$, if Q_α has been constructed, select a free module F'_α and an epimorphism $\delta_\alpha : F'_\alpha \rightarrow Q_\alpha$. Set $I_\alpha := \text{Hom}_R(K, Q_\alpha)$ to be a new index set and define $\mu_\alpha : K^{(I_\alpha)} \rightarrow F^{(I_\alpha)}$ as the homomorphism of direct sums, which is induced by μ . Then μ_α is a monomorphism and $\text{Coker}(\mu_\alpha) = S^{(I_\alpha)}$.

Define $\varphi_\alpha : K^{(I_\alpha)} \oplus F'_\alpha = (\bigoplus_{f \in I_\alpha} K_f) \oplus F'_\alpha \rightarrow Q_\alpha$, where $K_f = K$, by $\varphi_\alpha([u_f], z) = \sum_{f \in I_\alpha} f(u_f) + \delta_\alpha(z)$, where $u_f \in K_f, z \in F'_\alpha$. Since δ_α is an epimorphism, so is φ_α . In addition, for any $f \in I_\alpha$, let $i_f : K \rightarrow K^{(I_\alpha)}$ and $j_f : F \rightarrow F^{(I_\alpha)}$ be the natural imbeddings. Then one has

$$(3.4) \quad f = \varphi_\alpha i_f \quad \text{and} \quad j_f \mu = \mu_\alpha i_f.$$

Now assume that if $\beta \leq \alpha$, then Q_β has been constructed (if α is a limit ordinal, set $Q_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} Q_\beta$), in particular, Q_α has been constructed. Construct the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{(I_\alpha)} \oplus F'_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\mu_\alpha \oplus 1} & F^{(I_\alpha)} \oplus F'_\alpha & \longrightarrow & S^{(I_\alpha)} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_\alpha & & \downarrow \psi_\alpha & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Q_\alpha & \xrightarrow{h_\alpha} & Q_{\alpha+1} & \longrightarrow & Q_{\alpha+1}/Q_\alpha \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

One gets $Q_{\alpha+1}$. At this time ψ_α is an epimorphism. As you can see from the above diagram, if Q_α is a GV-torsion-free module, then $\text{Ker}(\psi_\alpha) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_\alpha)$ is a w -module, and thus $Q_{\alpha+1}$ is also a GV-torsion-free module. Hence by a transfinite induction, we see that each Q_α is a GV-torsion-free module.

Set $Q := \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} Q_\alpha = \lim_{\alpha < \lambda} Q_\alpha$. Then Q is a GV-torsion-free module. Set

$A := Q/N$ and $A_\alpha := Q_\alpha/N$. Then $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha \cong Q_{\alpha+1}/Q_\alpha \cong S^{(I_\alpha)}$. Since $Q = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} Q_\alpha$, one gets that $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} A_\alpha$. Thus A is an \mathcal{S} -filtered module, and thus one has $A \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$. Since $\mathcal{S}^\dagger \subseteq \mathcal{S}^\perp$, one has $A \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger)$.

Let us prove that $Q \in \mathcal{S}^\perp$. For this, it is sufficient to prove that $\mu^* : \text{Hom}_R(F, Q) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, Q)$ is an epimorphism. Let $g : K \rightarrow Q$ be a homomorphism. Since the generating system Z of K satisfies $|Z| < \lambda$ and $Q =$

$\bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} Q_\alpha$, there exists an ordinal $\alpha < \lambda$ such that $\text{Im}(g) \subseteq Q_\alpha$. Thus there exists a homomorphism $f : K \rightarrow Q_\alpha$ such that $g(x) = f(x)$ for any $x \in K$. By the pushout diagram above and (3.4), one has $\psi_\alpha j_f \mu = \psi_\alpha \mu_\alpha i_f = h_\alpha \varphi_\alpha i_f = h_\alpha f$. Define $\sigma : F \rightarrow Q$ by $\sigma(z) = \psi_\alpha j_f(z) \in Q_{\alpha+1} \subseteq Q$. Then one can verify directly that $g = \sigma \mu = \mu^*(\sigma)$. Thus μ^* is an epimorphism. Therefore $Q \in \mathcal{S}^\perp \cap \mathcal{FT} = \mathcal{S}^\dagger$.

(2) Take an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where F is a projective module. Then N is a GV-torsion-free module. By (1), there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow Q \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, where $Q \in \mathcal{S}^\dagger$ and $A \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger)$. Consider the following commutative diagram with two exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

where the square diagrams in the upper left and lower corners are pushout diagrams. Since $F, A \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger)$, one has $P \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger)$. Therefore one gets the exact sequence (3.3) by taking $B := Q$. \square

Let \mathcal{A} be a class of modules. Then an \mathcal{A} -precover $f : C \rightarrow M$ of M is said to be *special* if f is surjective and $\text{Ker}(f) \in \mathcal{A}^\perp$. In other words, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $C \in \mathcal{A}$ and $K \in \mathcal{A}^\perp$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{GV}(R)^* \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ be a set of modules, where $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{FT}$. Set $\mathcal{A} := {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger)$. If \mathcal{A} is closed under w -isomorphisms, then $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion theory.*

Proof. Note that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^\perp)$ is the cotorsion theory generated by \mathcal{S}^\dagger . Let us prove that any module M has a special \mathcal{A} -precover.

By Lemma 3.2, there is an exact sequence (3.3), where $P \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{S}^\dagger \subseteq ({}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger))^\perp = \mathcal{A}^\perp$. Therefore M has a special \mathcal{A} -precover. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let \mathcal{S} be a class of modules such that $\text{GV}(R)^* \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Set $\mathcal{B} := {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\dagger_\infty)$. Then:*

- (1) $\mathcal{S}^\dagger_\infty$ is closed under direct products, direct summands, and cokernels of monomorphisms.
- (2) \mathcal{B} is closed under direct sums, direct summands, kernels of epimorphisms, and w -isomorphisms.
- (3) $\mathcal{B}^\dagger = \mathcal{B}^\dagger_\infty = \mathcal{S}^\dagger_\infty$.

Proof. (1) Obviously $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}$ is closed under direct products and direct summands. Obviously $\mathcal{S}^{\perp\infty}$ is closed under cokernels of monomorphisms. By [12, Proposition 2.2(2)], \mathcal{W}_∞ is also closed under cokernels of monomorphisms. Since $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} = \mathcal{S}^{\perp\infty} \cap \mathcal{W}_\infty$, $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}$ is closed under cokernels of monomorphisms.

(2) Obviously \mathcal{B} is closed under direct sums and direct summands. By (1), \mathcal{B} is closed under kernels of epimorphisms. By Corollary 2.5, \mathcal{B} is closed under w -isomorphisms.

(3) Obviously we have that $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} \subseteq (\perp(\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}))^\perp \cap \mathcal{FT} = \mathcal{B}^\dagger$. Since \mathcal{B} is closed under kernels of epimorphisms, we have $\mathcal{B}^{\perp\infty} = \mathcal{B}^\perp$. Thus we have $\mathcal{B}^\dagger = \mathcal{B}^{\perp\infty} \cap \mathcal{FT} = \mathcal{B}^{\dagger\infty}$. Since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, it follows that $\mathcal{B}^\dagger = \mathcal{B}^{\dagger\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}$. Therefore $\mathcal{B}^\dagger = \mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}$. \square

Let M be an R -module. Then M is said to be w - \aleph_0 -generated if there exist a countably generated free module F and a w -epimorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow M$.

Let M be a w -projective w -module. If there is a continuous ascending chain of w -projective w -submodules of M :

$$0 = M_0 \subseteq M'_1 \subseteq M'_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M'_\alpha \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M'_\lambda = M$$

such that each factor $M'_{\alpha+1}/M'_\alpha$ is a w - \aleph_0 -generated w -projective module, then it is said that M has a w -projective w - \aleph_0 -continuous ascending chain. It follows from [13, Theorem 3.5] that if M is a w -projective w -module, then M has a w -projective w - \aleph_0 -continuous ascending chain.

Proposition 3.5. (1) $w\mathcal{P}_w^\dagger = \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{GV}(R)^* \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ be a set of modules, where \mathcal{S}_1 is the class of w -projective w - \aleph_0 -generated w -modules. Then $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} = \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$.

(3) Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{GV}(R)^* \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ be a set of modules, where $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{R\}$. Then $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} = \mathcal{W}_\infty$.

Proof. (1) This follows immediately from Proposition 3.4 by setting $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{P}_w$.

(2) Since $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_w$, we have $\mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}$. Let $N \in \mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty}$. For any w -projective w -module P , by [13, Theorem 3.5] P is an \mathcal{S}_1 -filtered module. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^i(P, N) = 0$ for any $i \geq 1$. By Proposition 2.7, N is a strong w -module. Let P be a w -projective module. Then one has the following two exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{tor}_{\text{GV}(R)}(P) \rightarrow P \rightarrow P/\text{tor}_{\text{GV}(R)}(P) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Q_w \rightarrow Q_w/Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where $Q := P/\text{tor}_{\text{GV}(R)}(P)$ is GV-torsion-free. Considering two long exact sequences induced by the above two exact sequences, it follows that $\text{Ext}_R^i(P, N) = 0$ for any w -projective module P and any $i \geq 1$ since \mathcal{P}_w is closed under w -isomorphisms. Thus $N \in \mathcal{P}_w^{\perp\infty} \cap \mathcal{FT} = \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$. Therefore $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} = \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$.

(3) This is trivial. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \text{GV}(R)^* \cup \mathcal{S}_1$ be a set of modules, where $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{FT}$. Set $\mathcal{B} := {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty})$. Then $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^\perp)$ is a hereditary and complete cotorsion theory.*

Proof. For any $M \in \mathcal{S}$, fix a projective resolution of M . Let \mathcal{L}_M be the set of all syzygies of this projective resolution of M (including M itself as -1 syzygy). Set $\mathcal{L} := \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \mathcal{L}_M$. Then \mathcal{L} is again a set. Note that \mathcal{L} can be split into $\mathcal{L} = \text{GV}(R)^* \cup \mathcal{L}_1$, where \mathcal{L}_1 is the set of all syzygies of $M \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and all non-negative syzygies of $R/J \in \text{GV}(R)^*$. Then $\mathcal{L}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{FT}$.

Let $N \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp\infty}$. For any $X \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists an exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow P_k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where each P_i is a projective module and $M \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus one has $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{k+2}(M, N) = 0$. Therefore $N \in \mathcal{L}^\perp$.

On the other hand, let $N \in \mathcal{L}^\perp$. For any $Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and any $k \geq -1$, by considering the exact sequence (3.5), one has $\text{Ext}_R^{k+2}(Y, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(X, N) = 0$. Thus $N \in \mathcal{S}^{\perp\infty}$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}^\perp = \mathcal{S}^{\perp\infty}$. By Theorem 3.3, $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^\perp)$ is a complete cotorsion theory. It follows by Proposition 3.4 that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^\perp)$ is a hereditary cotorsion theory. \square

Now we are ready to state the main theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *$(w\mathcal{P}_w, w\mathcal{P}_w^\perp)$ is a hereditary and complete cotorsion theory, and so every module has a special weak w -projective precover.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S}_1 be the collection of all $w\aleph_0$ -generated w -projective w -modules and set $\mathcal{S} := \text{GV}(R)^* \cup \mathcal{S}_1$. Since the collection of all \aleph_0 -generated modules is a set, \mathcal{S} is also a set. By Proposition 3.5(2), $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} = \mathcal{W}_\infty$. By Theorem 3.6, $(w\mathcal{P}_w, w\mathcal{P}_w^\perp)$ is a hereditary and complete cotorsion theory. \square

According to [5, 6], we say that a module M is a w_∞ -projective module if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$ for any strong w -module N . Denote by \mathcal{P}_{w_∞} the class of w_∞ -projective modules. Then $\mathcal{P}_{w_\infty} = {}^\perp\mathcal{W}_\infty$.

Theorem 3.8. *$(\mathcal{P}_{w_\infty}, \mathcal{P}_{w_\infty}^\perp)$ is a hereditary and complete cotorsion theory.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{S}_1 := \{R\}$ and $\mathcal{S} := \text{GV}(R)^* \cup \mathcal{S}_1$. Then \mathcal{S} is a set of modules. By Proposition 3.5, $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} = \mathcal{W}_\infty$. Thus $\mathcal{P}_{w_\infty} = {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty})$. Now the assertion follows by Theorem 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let M be a w -module. Then there is a special weak w -projective precover of M , $\varphi : P \rightarrow M$ such that P is a w -module and $\text{Ker}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$.*

Proof. We use the notation \mathcal{L} as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 and the notation \mathcal{S} as in Proposition 3.5(2). Then $\mathcal{L}^\dagger = \mathcal{S}^{\dagger\infty} = \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$. Now the assertion follows by Theorem 3.6. \square

Recall that a class of modules is said to be hereditary if it is closed under isomorphic copies and submodules.

Lemma 3.10. *If \mathcal{P}_w is a hereditary class of modules, then $w\mathcal{P}_w^\dagger = \mathcal{P}_w^\dagger$.*

Proof. If \mathcal{P}_w is a hereditary class of modules, then $\mathcal{P}_w^\perp = \mathcal{P}_w^{\perp\infty}$, and thus $\mathcal{P}_w^\dagger = \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$. Now the assertion immediately follows by applying Proposition 3.5(1). \square

In the following result, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for weak w -projective modules to be w -projective.

Theorem 3.11. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) *Every weak w -projective module is w -projective.*
- (2) *Every weak w -projective w -module is w -projective.*
- (3) *$(\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{P}_w^\perp)$ is a hereditary cotorsion theory and every w -module has a special \mathcal{P}_w -precover of a w -module.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) This follows by Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 3.9.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be a weak w -projective w -module. By assumption, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that P is a w -projective w -module and $A \in \mathcal{P}_w^\perp$. Since any GV-torsion module is w -projective, A is a w -module. By Lemma 3.10, $A \in \mathcal{P}_w^\dagger = \mathcal{P}_w^{\dagger\infty}$. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, A) = 0$, and so the above exact sequence is split. Therefore M is a w -projective module.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let M be a weak w -projective module. It follows from [12, Corollary 2.7] that $L(M)$ is a weak w -projective module. By assumption, $L(M)$ is a w -projective module. So M is a w -projective module. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Let \mathcal{A} be a class of modules which is closed under w -isomorphisms. Let M be a GV-torsion-free module and $\varphi : P \rightarrow M$ be an \mathcal{A} -cover. Then:*

- (1) *P is a GV-torsion-free module.*
- (2) *If φ is a special \mathcal{A} -cover and M is a w -module, then P is a w -module.*

Proof. Set $T := \text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(P)$ and $B := P/T$. Then B is a GV-torsion-free module. Let $\pi : P \rightarrow B$ be a natural homomorphism. Since M is a GV-torsion-free module, φ induces a homomorphism $\psi : B \rightarrow M$ such that $\psi(\bar{x}) = \varphi(x)$ for any $x \in P$, that is $\psi\pi = \varphi$. Since \mathcal{A} is closed under w -isomorphisms, it follows that $B \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus there is a homomorphism $h : B \rightarrow P$ such that $\varphi h = \psi$. So $\varphi h\pi = \psi\pi = \varphi$. Hence $h\pi$ is an isomorphism, and thus π is an isomorphism. Therefore P is a GV-torsion-free module.

(2) By (1), $A := \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is also a GV-torsion-free module. Since \mathcal{A} is closed under w -isomorphisms, \mathcal{A} contains all GV-torsion modules. So A is a w -module. It follows from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ that P is a w -module. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let \mathcal{A} be a class of modules closed under w -isomorphisms. Let M be a GV-torsion-free module. Then M has a special \mathcal{A} -cover if and only*

if M_w has a special \mathcal{A} -cover. In addition, if M is GV-torsion-free and B is a special \mathcal{A} -cover of M , then B_w is a special \mathcal{A} -cover of M_w .

Proof. Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow M_w$ be an \mathcal{A} -cover of M_w . Set $T := M_w/M$. Then T is a GV-torsion module. Let $\pi : M_w \rightarrow T$ be a natural homomorphism. Set $g := \pi\varphi$, $A := \text{Ker}(\varphi)$, and $B := \text{Ker}(g)$. Then one has the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{g} & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \varphi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi & & \parallel & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M_w & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where $\varphi_0 = \varphi|_B$. It follows that $\varphi_0 : B \rightarrow M$ is a special \mathcal{A} -precover of M .

Let $h : B \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism such that $\varphi_0 h = \varphi_0$. By [10, Theorem 6.3.2], h can be extended only to a homomorphism $h' : P \rightarrow P$. So $\varphi h'$ is an extension of $\varphi_0 h$. Again by [10, Theorem 6.3.2], $\varphi h' = \varphi$. So h' is an isomorphism. Thus h is a monomorphism.

Let $x \in B$. Then there is $y \in P$ such that $h'(y) = x$. So $gh'(y) = \pi\varphi h'(y) = \pi\varphi(y) = g(y)$. Therefore $b := y - h'(y) = y - x \in \text{Ker}(g) = B$. So $y = b + x \in B$, which results in $x = h(y)$. Thus h is an epimorphism. So h is an isomorphism, and thus $\varphi_0 : B \rightarrow M$ is an \mathcal{A} -cover of M .

Conversely, let $\alpha : B \rightarrow M$ be an \mathcal{A} -cover of M and $P := B_w$. It follows from Proposition 3.12(1) that B is a GV-torsion-free module. By [10, Theorem 6.3.2], α induces a unique homomorphism $\varphi : P \rightarrow M_w$. Set $T := P/B$ and $T_2 := M_w/M$. Then T and T_2 are GV-torsion modules. Thus one has the following commutative diagram with two exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \beta & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M_w & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & T_2 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Set $A := \text{Ker}(\alpha)$, $D := \text{Ker}(\varphi)$, and $T_1 := \text{Ker}(\beta)$. It follows from the snake lemma that one has the following exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow D \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow 0$. Because $A \in \mathcal{A}^\perp$, one has $\text{Ext}_R^1(T_1, A) = 0$. Thus $D \cong A \oplus T_1$. Since D is GV-torsion-free, it follows that $T_1 = 0$, and so $D = A$. Since α is an epimorphism, φ is also an epimorphism, and thus β is an isomorphism. Hence φ is a special \mathcal{A} -precover of M_w .

Now let $h : P \rightarrow P$ be a homomorphism such that $\varphi h = \varphi$. Consider the following diagram with exact two rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & h_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow h & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Then $\pi h = \beta^{-1}\pi_1\varphi h = \beta^{-1}\pi_1\varphi = \pi$, and so the square diagram on the right is a commutative diagram. Thus $h_0 : B \rightarrow B$ makes the left square a commutative diagram. Since α is the restriction of φ on B , one has $\alpha h_0 = \alpha$. So h_0 is an isomorphism, and thus h is an isomorphism. Therefore φ is an \mathcal{A} -cover of M_w . \square

Proposition 3.14. *Let \mathcal{A} be a class of modules which is closed under w -isomorphisms. Let M be an R -module and set $T := \text{tor}_{\text{GV}}(M)$. If $\varphi : P \rightarrow M/T$ is a special \mathcal{A} -cover which makes the pullback diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & P & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \varphi & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M/T & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

then $\alpha : P_1 \rightarrow M$ is a special \mathcal{A} -cover.

Proof. Because P_1 is w -isomorphic to P , one has $P_1 \in \mathcal{A}$. Set $A := \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. Since $\text{Ker}(\alpha) \cong A$, it follows that $\alpha : P_1 \rightarrow M$ is a special \mathcal{A} -precover. Let $h : P_1 \rightarrow P_1$ be a homomorphism such that $\alpha h = \alpha$. It follows from Proposition 3.12(1) that P is a GV-torsion-free module. Thus h induces a homomorphism $\bar{h} : P \rightarrow P$ such that $\varphi \bar{h} = \varphi$. So \bar{h} is an isomorphism. Thus one has the following commutative diagram with two exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \bar{h} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

So h is an isomorphism. Therefore α is a special \mathcal{A} -cover. \square

Remark 3.15. Taking $\mathcal{A} := w\mathcal{P}_w$, by Theorem 3.13 and Proposition 3.14, in order to discuss the existence of a weak w -projective cover of a module, just consider whether the w -module has a weak w -projective cover.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks for the referee for his/her careful reading and helpful comments. This research was supported by the Academic Research Fund of Hoseo University in 2019 (20190817).

References

- [1] F. A. A. Almahdi, M. Tamekkante, and R. A. K. Assaad, *On the right orthogonal complement of the class of w -flat modules*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **33** (2018), no. 2, 159–175.
- [2] P. C. Eklof and J. Trlifaj, *How to make Ext vanish*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), no. 1, 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/33.1.41>
- [3] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 30, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110803662>
- [4] H. Kim and F. Wang, *On LCM-stable modules*, J. Algebra Appl. **13** (2014), no. 4, 1350133, 18 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498813501338>
- [5] Y. Y. Pu, W. Zhao, G. H. Tang, and F. G. Wang, *w_∞ -projective modules and Krull domains*, Commun. Algebra, to appear.
- [6] Y. Y. Pu, W. Zhao, G. H. Tang, and F. G. Wang, *w_∞ -Warfield cotorsion modules and Krull domains*, Algebra Colloq., to appear.
- [7] J. Trlifaj, *Covers, envelopes, and cotorsion theories*, Lecture notes for the workshop, Homological Methods in Module Theory, 10–16 September, Cortona, 2000.
- [8] F. Wang, *On w -projective modules and w -flat modules*, Algebra Colloq. **4** (1997), no. 1, 111–120.
- [9] F. Wang and H. Kim, *Two generalizations of projective modules and their applications*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 6, 2099–2123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2014.07.025>
- [10] F. Wang and H. Kim, *Foundations of commutative rings and their modules*, Algebra and Applications, 22, Springer, Singapore, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3337-7>
- [11] F. Wang and L. Qiao, *The w -weak global dimension of commutative rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), no. 4, 1327–1338. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2015.52.4.1327>
- [12] F. Wang and L. Qiao, *A homological characterization of Krull domains II*, Comm. Algebra **47** (2019), no. 5, 1917–1929. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2018.1524007>
- [13] F. Wang and L. Qiao, *A new version of a theorem of Kaplansky*, Comm. Algebra **48** (2020), no. 8, 3415–3428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2020.1739289>
- [14] F. G. Wang and D. C. Zhou, *A homological characterization of Krull domains*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **55** (2018), no. 2, 649–657. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b170203>

HWANKOO KIM
 DIVISION OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING
 HOSEO UNIVERSITY
 ASAN 31499, KOREA
Email address: hkkim@hoseo.edu

LEI QIAO
 COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND SOFTWARE SCIENCE
 SICHUAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY
 CHENGDU 610068, P. R. CHINA
Email address: lqiao@sicnu.edu.cn

FANGGUI WANG
 COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND SOFTWARE SCIENCE
 SICHUAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY
 CHENGDU 610068, P. R. CHINA
Email address: wangfg2004@163.com