

BI-ROTATIONAL HYPERSURFACE SATISFYING $\Delta^{III}\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}$ IN 4-SPACE

ERHAN GÜLER*, YUSUF YAYLI, AND HASAN HILMI HACISALİHOĞLU

Abstract. We examine the bi-rotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(u, v, w)$ with the third Laplace-Beltrami operator in the four dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^4 . Giving the i -th curvatures of the hypersurface \mathbf{x} , we obtain the third Laplace-Beltrami operator of the bi-rotational hypersurface satisfying $\Delta^{III}\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}$ for some 4×4 matrix \mathcal{A} .

1. Introduction

Chen ([13, 14, 15, 16]) examined the submanifolds of finite type whose immersion into \mathbb{E}^m (or \mathbb{E}_ν^m) via a finite number of eigenfunctions of their Laplacian.

Takahashi ([46]) introduced that a connected Euclidean submanifold is of 1-type if and only if it is either minimal in \mathbb{E}^m or minimal in some hypersphere of \mathbb{E}^m . 2-type spherical closed submanifolds were studied by [9, 10, 14]. Garay ([28]) worked an extension of Takahashi's theorem in \mathbb{E}^m . Cheng and Yau gave the hypersurfaces with the constant scalar curvature. Chen and Piccinni ([17]) considered the submanifolds with the finite type Gauss map in \mathbb{E}^m . Dursun ([23]) focused on the hypersurfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map in \mathbb{E}^{n+1} .

In \mathbb{E}^3 , Takahashi ([46]) gave that the minimal surfaces and spheres are the only surfaces satisfying the condition $\Delta r = \lambda r$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Ferrandez et al. ([25]) classified that the surfaces satisfying $\Delta H = AH$, $A \in \text{Mat}(3, 3)$ are either minimal, or an open piece of sphere or of a right circular cylinder. Choi and Kim ([20]) found the minimal helicoid in terms of the pointwise 1-type Gauss map of the first kind. Garay ([27]) worked on the certain class of the finite type surfaces of revolution. Dillen et al. ([21]) focused that the only surfaces satisfying $\Delta r = Ar + B$, $A \in \text{Mat}(3, 3)$, $B \in \text{Mat}(3, 1)$ are the minimal surfaces, the spheres and the circular cylinders. Stamatakis and Zoubi ([45]) obtained the surfaces of revolution satisfying $\Delta^{III}x = Ax$. Senoussi and Bekkar ([44])

Received December 3, 2021. Revised April 14, 2022. Accepted April 15, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53B25, 53C40.

Key words and phrases. bi-rotational hypersurface; Euclidean spaces, four space, Gauss map, i -th curvature, third Laplace-Beltrami operator.

*Corresponding author

introduced the helicoidal surfaces M^2 which are of finite type with respect to the fundamental forms I, II and III . Kim et al. ([37]) gave the Cheng-Yau's operator and the Gauss map of the surfaces of revolution.

In \mathbb{E}^4 , Moore ([41, 42]) gave the general rotational surfaces. Hasanis and Vlachos ([34]) studied the hypersurfaces with harmonic mean curvature vector field. Cheng and Wan ([18]) considered complete hypersurfaces with CMC. Kim and Turgay ([38]) studied surfaces with L_1 -pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Arslan et al. ([3]) introduced the Vranceanu surface with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Arslan et al. ([4]) introduced the generalized rotational surfaces. Arslan et al. ([5]) obtained the tensor product surfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Kahraman Aksoyak and Yaylı ([35]) considered the rotational surfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Güler et al. ([32]) worked on the helicoidal hypersurfaces. Güler et al. ([31]) introduced the Gauss map and the third Laplace-Beltrami operator of the rotational hypersurface. Güler and Turgay ([33]) obtained the Cheng-Yau's operator and the Gauss map of the rotational hypersurfaces. Güler ([30]) worked on the rotational hypersurfaces satisfying $\Delta^I R = AR$, where $A \in Mat(4, 4)$. He ([29]) also examined the fundamental form IV and curvature formulas of the hypersphere. Arslan et al. ([7]) introduced the rotational λ -hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces.

In Minkowski 4-space \mathbb{E}_1^4 , Ganchev and Milousheva ([26]) indicated the analogue of the surfaces of the Moore ([41, 42]). Arvanitoyeorgos et al. ([8]) studied that if the mean curvature vector field of M_1^3 satisfies the equation $\Delta H = \alpha H$ (α a constant), then M_1^3 has CMC; Arslan and Milousheva considered the meridian surfaces of elliptic or hyperbolic type with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Turgay introduced some classifications of a Lorentzian surfaces with the finite type Gauss map. Dursun and Turgay gave the space-like surfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map. Kahraman Aksoyak and Yaylı ([36]) worked on the general rotational surfaces with the pointwise 1-type Gauss map in \mathbb{E}_2^4 . Bektaş et al. ([11]) considered the surfaces in a pseudo-sphere with the 2-type pseudo-spherical Gauss map in \mathbb{E}_2^5 . They ([12]) also gave the pseudo-spherical submanifolds with the 1-type pseudo-spherical Gauss map.

We give the bi-rotational hypersurface with the third Laplace-Beltrami operator in the four dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^4 . In Section 2, we show the fundamental notions of the four dimensional Euclidean geometry. We find the curvature formulas of a hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^4 in Section 3. We give the bi-rotational hypersurface in Section 4. Moreover, we study the bi-rotational hypersurface satisfying $\Delta^{III} \mathbf{x} = \mathcal{A} \mathbf{x}$ for some 4×4 matrix \mathcal{A} in \mathbb{E}^4 in Section 5. We serve a conclusion in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, giving some of basic facts and definitions, we describe the notations used in the paper.

Let \mathbb{E}^m denote a Euclidean m -space with the canonical Euclidean metric tensor given by $\tilde{g} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m dx_i^2$, where (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) is a rectangular coordinate system in \mathbb{E}^m . Consider an m -dimensional Riemannian submanifold of the space \mathbb{E}^m . We denote the Levi-Civita connections of \mathbb{E}^m and M by $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ , respectively. We shall use the letters X, Y, Z, W (resp., ξ, η) to denote the vectors fields tangent (resp., normal) to M . The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi &= -A_\xi(X) + D_X \xi,\end{aligned}$$

where h , D and A are the second fundamental form, the normal connection and the shape operator of M , respectively.

For each $\xi \in T_p^\perp M$, the shape operator A_ξ is a symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space $T_p M$ at $p \in M$. The shape operator and the second fundamental form are related by

$$\langle h(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle.$$

The Gauss and Codazzi equations are given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle &= \langle h(Y, Z), h(X, W) \rangle - \langle h(X, Z), h(Y, W) \rangle, \\ (\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) &= (\bar{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z),\end{aligned}$$

where R , R^D are the curvature tensors associated with connections ∇ and D , respectively, and $\bar{\nabla}h$ is defined by

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = D_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

2.1. Hypersurfaces of Euclidean space

Now, let M be an oriented hypersurface in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , \mathbf{S} its shape operator (i.e. Weingarten map) and x its position vector. We consider a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ consisting of principal directions of M corresponding from the principal curvature k_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n\}$ be its dual basis. Then the first structural equation of Cartan is

$$d\theta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j \wedge \omega_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where ω_{ij} denotes the connection forms corresponding to the chosen frame field. Then, from the Codazzi equation (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}e_i(k_j) &= \omega_{ij}(e_j)(k_i - k_j), \\ \omega_{ij}(e_l)(k_i - k_j) &= \omega_{il}(e_j)(k_i - k_l)\end{aligned}$$

for distinct $i, j, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We put $s_j = \sigma_j(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$, where σ_j is the j -th elementary symmetric function given by

$$\sigma_j(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq n} a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \dots a_{i_j}.$$

We use the following notation

$$r_i^j = \sigma_j(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{i-1}, k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, \dots, k_n).$$

By the definition, we have $r_i^0 = 1$ and $s_{n+1} = s_{n+2} = \dots = 0$. We call the function s_k as the k -th mean curvature of M . We would like to note that the functions $H = \frac{1}{n}s_1$ and $K = s_n$ are called the mean curvature and the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M , respectively. In particular, M is said to be j -minimal if $s_j \equiv 0$ on M .

In \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , to find the i -th curvature formulas \mathfrak{C}_i (sometimes the curvature formulas are represented as the mean curvature H_i , and sometimes as the Gaussian curvature K_i by the different writers, such as [1] and [39]. We will call it just the i -th curvature \mathfrak{C}_i in this paper.), where $i = 0, \dots, n$, firstly, we use the characteristic polynomial of \mathbf{S} :

$$P_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) = 0 = \det(\mathbf{S} - \lambda \mathcal{I}_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k s_k \lambda^{n-k},$$

where $i = 0, \dots, n$, \mathcal{I}_n denotes the identity matrix of order n . Then, we get the curvature formulas $\binom{n}{i} \mathfrak{C}_i = s_i$. That is, $\binom{n}{0} \mathfrak{C}_0 = s_0 = 1$ (by definition), $\binom{n}{1} \mathfrak{C}_1 = s_1, \dots, \binom{n}{n} \mathfrak{C}_n = s_n = K$.

For a Euclidean submanifold $x: M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^m$, the immersion (M, x) is called *finite type*, if x can be expressed as a finite sum of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian Δ of (M, x) , i.e. $x = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$, where x_0 is a constant map, x_1, \dots, x_k non-constant maps, and $\Delta x_i = \lambda_i x_i$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. If λ_i are different, M is called k -type. See [14] for details.

Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(u, v, w)$ be an isometric immersion from $M^3 \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ to \mathbb{E}^4 . The product of $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$, $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$, $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$ of \mathbb{E}^4 is defined by

$$\vec{x} \times \vec{y} \times \vec{z} = \det \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a hypersurface \mathbf{x} in 4-space, we consider the symmetric matrices $(g_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$, $(h_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$, and $(t_{ij})_{3 \times 3}$, where (g_{ij}) , (h_{ij}) , and (t_{ij}) are the first, second, and the third fundamental form matrices, respectively. The coefficients of the symmetric matrices are given by $g_{11} = \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_u$, $g_{12} = \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_v$, $g_{22} = \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_v$, $g_{13} = \mathbf{x}_u \cdot \mathbf{x}_w$, $g_{23} = \mathbf{x}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}_w$, $g_{33} = \mathbf{x}_w \cdot \mathbf{x}_w$, $h_{11} = \mathbf{x}_{uu} \cdot e$, $h_{12} = \mathbf{x}_{uv} \cdot e$, $h_{22} = \mathbf{x}_{vv} \cdot e$, $h_{13} = \mathbf{x}_{uw} \cdot e$, $h_{23} = \mathbf{x}_{vw} \cdot e$, $h_{33} = \mathbf{x}_{ww} \cdot e$, $t_{11} = e_u \cdot e_u$,

$t_{12} = e_u \cdot e_v, t_{13} = e_u \cdot e_w, t_{22} = e_v \cdot e_v, t_{23} = e_v \cdot e_w, t_{33} = e_w \cdot e_w$, respectively. Here,

$$(1) \quad e = \frac{\mathbf{x}_u \times \mathbf{x}_v \times \mathbf{x}_w}{\|\mathbf{x}_u \times \mathbf{x}_v \times \mathbf{x}_w\|}$$

is the unit normal (i.e. the Gauss map) of the hypersurface \mathbf{x} .

The product matrices $(g_{ij})^{-1} \cdot (h_{ij})$ gives the matrix of the shape operator \mathbf{S} of hypersurface \mathbf{x} in 4-space. See [31, 32, 33] for details. Also, the product matrices $(h_{ij}) \cdot \mathbf{S}$ gives the matrix of the third fundamental form matrix of hypersurface \mathbf{x} in 4-space.

3. i -th Curvatures

In \mathbb{E}^4 , we use the following characteristic polynomial $P_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) = a\lambda^3 + b\lambda^2 + c\lambda + d = 0$ to compute the i -th mean curvature formula \mathfrak{C}_i , where $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$,

$$P_{\mathbf{S}}(\lambda) = \det(\mathbf{S} - \lambda\mathcal{I}_3) = 0.$$

Then, we obtain $\mathfrak{C}_0 = 1$ (by definition), $\binom{3}{1}\mathfrak{C}_1 = \binom{3}{1}H = -\frac{b}{a}$, $\binom{3}{2}\mathfrak{C}_2 = \frac{c}{a}$, $\binom{3}{3}\mathfrak{C}_3 = K = -\frac{d}{a}$.

Therefore, we find the i -th curvature formulas depends on the coefficients of the first and second fundamental forms in 4-space.

Theorem 1. *Any hypersurface \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{E}^4 has the following curvature formulas, $\mathfrak{C}_0 = 1$ (by definition),*

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{C}_1 = \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l} (g_{11}h_{22} + g_{22}h_{11} - 2g_{12}h_{12})g_{33} \\ + (g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2)h_{33} - g_{23}^2h_{11} - g_{13}^2h_{22} \\ - 2(g_{13}h_{13}g_{22} - g_{23}h_{13}g_{12} - g_{13}h_{23}g_{12} \\ + g_{11}g_{23}h_{23} - g_{13}g_{23}h_{12}) \end{array} \right)}{3[(g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2)g_{33} - g_{11}g_{23}^2 + 2g_{12}g_{13}g_{23} - g_{22}g_{13}^2]},$$

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{C}_2 = \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l} (g_{11}h_{22} + g_{22}h_{11} - 2g_{12}h_{12})h_{33} \\ + (h_{11}h_{22} - g_{12}^2)g_{33} - g_{11}h_{23}^2 - g_{22}h_{13}^2 \\ - 2(g_{13}h_{13}h_{22} - g_{23}h_{13}h_{12} - g_{13}h_{23}h_{12} \\ + g_{23}h_{23}h_{11} - h_{13}h_{23}g_{12}) \end{array} \right)}{3[(g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2)g_{33} - g_{11}g_{23}^2 + 2g_{12}g_{13}g_{23} - g_{22}g_{13}^2]},$$

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{C}_3 = \frac{(h_{11}h_{22} - h_{12}^2)h_{33} - h_{11}h_{23}^2 + 2h_{12}h_{13}h_{23} - h_{22}h_{13}^2}{(g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2)g_{33} - g_{11}g_{23}^2 + 2g_{12}g_{13}g_{23} - g_{22}g_{13}^2}.$$

See [29] for details.

4. Bi-Rotational Hypersurface

In this section, we define the rotational hypersurface, then find its curvatures in Euclidean 4-space \mathbb{E}^4 . We would like to note that the definition of

rotational hypersurfaces in Riemannian space forms were defined in [22]. A rotational hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{E}^{n+1}$ generated by a curve γ around an axis γ that does not meet γ is obtained by taking the orbit of γ under those orthogonal transformations of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} that leaves τ pointwise fixed (See [22, Remark 2.3]).

We use the curve γ as $(\mathbf{f}(u), 0, \mathbf{g}(u), 0)$ with following rotation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos v & -\sin v & 0 & 0 \\ \sin v & \cos v & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos w & -\sin w \\ 0 & 0 & \sin w & \cos w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}(u) \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{g}(u) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and then give the following definition:

Definition 1. A bi-rotational hypersurface in \mathbb{E}^4 is defined by

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{x}(u, v, w) = (\mathbf{f}(u) \cos v, \mathbf{f}(u) \sin v, \mathbf{g}(u) \cos w, \mathbf{g}(u) \sin w),$$

where \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} are differentiable functions, and $0 \leq v, w \leq 2\pi$.

Remark 1. While $\mathbf{f}(u) = \mathbf{g}(u) = 1$ in (5), we obtain the Clifford torus in \mathbb{E}^4 . See [2, 48] for details. Moreover, when $v = w$ in (5), we get the tensor product surface in \mathbb{E}^4 . See [5, 43] for details.

Considering the first derivatives of (5) with respect to u, v, w , respectively,

$$\mathbf{x}_u = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}' \cos v \\ \mathbf{f}' \sin v \\ \mathbf{g}' \cos w \\ \mathbf{g}' \sin w \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_v = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{f} \sin v \\ \mathbf{f} \cos v \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\mathbf{g} \sin w \\ \mathbf{g} \cos w \end{pmatrix},$$

we find the first quantities of (5):

$$(6) \quad (g_{ij}) = \text{diag}(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2, \mathbf{f}^2, \mathbf{g}^2),$$

where \mathbf{f}' and \mathbf{g}' denote the first order derivative of \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} respect to u , respectively. Here,

$$g = \det(g_{ij}) = \mathbf{f}^2 \mathbf{g}^2 (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2).$$

By using (1), we get the Gauss map of the bi-rotational hypersurface (5):

$$(7) \quad e = \frac{1}{(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{1/2}} (-\mathbf{g}' \cos v, -\mathbf{g}' \sin v, \mathbf{f}' \cos w, \mathbf{f}' \sin w).$$

With the help of the second derivatives of (5) with respect to u, v, w , and the Gauss map (7) of the bi-rotational hypersurface (5), we have the second quantities:

$$(8) \quad (h_{ij}) = \text{diag} \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}' \mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}' \mathbf{f}''}{(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{1/2}}, \frac{\mathbf{f} \mathbf{g}'}{(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{1/2}}, -\frac{\mathbf{g} \mathbf{f}'}{(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{1/2}} \right),$$

where \mathbf{f}'' and \mathbf{g}'' denote the second order derivative of \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} respect to u , respectively. Then, we get

$$h = \det (h_{ij}) = -\frac{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{f}''\mathbf{g}')}{(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{3/2}}.$$

By using (6) and (8), we calculate the following shape operator matrix of the bi-rotational hypersurface (5):

$$\mathbf{S} = \text{diag} \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}''}{(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{3/2}}, \frac{\mathbf{g}'}{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{1/2}}, -\frac{\mathbf{f}'}{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{1/2}} \right).$$

Finally, using (2), (3), and (4), with (6), (8), respectively, we find the curvatures of the bi-rotational hypersurface (5):

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be an immersion given by (5). The \mathbf{x} has the following (mean) 1-curvature*

$$\mathfrak{C}_1 = \frac{(\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'')\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g} - (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}' - \mathbf{g}\mathbf{g}')}{3\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be an immersion given by (5). The \mathbf{x} has the following 2-curvature*

$$\mathfrak{C}_2 = \frac{(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}' - \mathbf{g}\mathbf{g}')(\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'' - \mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'') - (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'}{3\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^2}.$$

Corollary 3. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be an immersion given by (5). The \mathbf{x} has the following (Gaussian) 3-curvature*

$$\mathfrak{C}_3 = -\frac{\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'')}{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^{5/2}}.$$

Example 1. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be an immersion given by (5). When the curve γ of \mathbf{x} is parametrized by the arc length, and $\mathbf{f}(u) = \cos u$, $\mathbf{g}(u) = \sin u$, the bi-rotational hypersurface has the following curvatures*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_1 &= 1, \text{ i.e. } \mathbf{x} \text{ has positive CMC,} \\ \mathfrak{C}_2 &= 1, \text{ i.e. } \mathbf{x} \text{ has positive constant 2-curvature,} \\ \mathfrak{C}_3 &= 1, \text{ i.e. } \mathbf{x} \text{ has positive CGC.} \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be an immersion given by (5). When the curve γ of \mathbf{x} is parametrized by the arc length, and $\mathbf{f}(u) = \mathbf{g}(u) = \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}$, the bi-rotational hypersurface has the following curvatures*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}_1 &= 0, \text{ i.e. } \mathbf{x} \text{ is 1-minimal,} \\ \mathfrak{C}_2 &= -\frac{1}{3u^2}, \text{ i.e. } \mathbf{x} \text{ has negative 2-curvature,} \\ \mathfrak{C}_3 &= 0, \text{ i.e. } \mathbf{x} \text{ is 3-minimal.} \end{aligned}$$

Next, with the help of the Gauss map (7), and of the third fundamental form matrix $III = (t_{ij})$, we obtain the following coefficients of the third fundamental form matrix of (5):

$$(9) \quad (t_{ij}) = \text{diag} \left(\frac{(\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'')^2}{(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)^2}, \frac{\mathbf{g}'^2}{\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2}, \frac{\mathbf{f}'^2}{\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2} \right).$$

5. Bi-Rotational Hypersurface Satisfying $\Delta^{III}\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{x}$

In this section, we give the third Laplace–Beltrami operator of a smooth function. Then we calculate the third Laplace–Beltrami operator of the bi-rotational hypersurface.

The inverse of the matrix III , i.e. (t_{ij}) is given by

$$\frac{1}{t} \begin{pmatrix} t_{22}t_{33} - t_{23}t_{32} & -(t_{12}t_{33} - t_{13}t_{32}) & t_{12}t_{23} - t_{13}t_{22} \\ -(t_{21}t_{33} - t_{31}t_{23}) & t_{11}t_{33} - t_{13}t_{31} & -(t_{11}t_{23} - t_{21}t_{13}) \\ t_{21}t_{32} - t_{22}t_{31} & -(t_{11}t_{32} - t_{12}t_{31}) & t_{11}t_{22} - t_{12}t_{21} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \det(t_{ij}) \\ &= t_{11}t_{22}t_{33} - t_{11}t_{23}t_{32} + t_{12}t_{31}t_{23} - t_{12}t_{21}t_{33} + t_{21}t_{13}t_{32} - t_{13}t_{22}t_{31}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2. The third Laplace–Beltrami operator of a smooth function $\phi = \phi(x^1, x^2, x^3) |_{\mathbf{D}}$ ($\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$) of class C^3 is defined by

$$(10) \quad \Delta^{III}\phi = \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(t^{1/2} t^{ij} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^j} \right),$$

where $(t^{ij}) = (t_{kl})^{-1}$ and $t = \det(t_{ij})$.

We can also write (10), as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^{III}\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{|t|^{1/2}} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{11} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^1} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{12} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{13} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^3} \right) \\ &-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{21} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{22} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^2} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{23} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^3} \right) \\ &+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{31} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^1} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{32} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{33} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^3} \right) \end{aligned} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any rotational hypersurface $t_{ij} = 0$, when $i \neq j$. Hence, we re-write the third Laplace–Beltrami operator $\Delta^{III}\phi$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta^{III}\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{|t|^{1/2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{11} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{22} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \left(|t|^{1/2} t^{33} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^3} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, more clear form of the third Laplace–Beltrami operator of any rotational hypersurface $\mathbf{x}(u, v, w)$ is given by

$$(11) \quad \Delta^{III}\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{|t|^{1/2}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{t_{22}t_{33}}{|t|^{1/2}} \mathbf{x}_u \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(\frac{t_{11}t_{33}}{|t|^{1/2}} \mathbf{x}_v \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left(\frac{t_{11}t_{22}}{|t|^{1/2}} \mathbf{x}_w \right) \right\}.$$

Considering (9), and differentiating $\frac{t_{22}t_{33}}{|t|^{1/2}} \mathbf{x}_u$, $\frac{t_{11}t_{33}}{|t|^{1/2}} \mathbf{x}_v$, $\frac{t_{11}t_{22}}{|t|^{1/2}} \mathbf{x}_w$, with respect to u, v, w , respectively, and substituting them into (11), we get the following

$$\Delta^{III}\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{III}\mathbf{x}_1 \\ \Delta^{III}\mathbf{x}_2 \\ \Delta^{III}\mathbf{x}_3 \\ \Delta^{III}\mathbf{x}_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}(u) \cos v \\ \mathbf{F}(u) \sin v \\ \mathbf{G}(u) \cos w \\ \mathbf{G}(u) \sin w \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}(u) = - \frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'^3 (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2) \mathbf{f}''' + \mathbf{f}'^2\mathbf{g}'^2 (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2) \mathbf{g}''' \\ + (\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'') \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{f}\mathbf{g}'^2\mathbf{f}''^2 \\ -2(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{g}'' + \mathbf{g}'^2(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)) \mathbf{f}'' \\ + \mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}''(\mathbf{f}\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)) \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)}{\mathbf{g}'^2 (\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'')^3},$$

$$\mathbf{G}(u) = - \frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\mathbf{f}'^2\mathbf{g}'^2 (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2) \mathbf{f}''' + \mathbf{f}'^3\mathbf{g}' (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2) \mathbf{g}''' \\ + (\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'') \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{g}\mathbf{g}'^2\mathbf{f}''^2 \\ -\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'(2\mathbf{g}\mathbf{g}'' + (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)) \mathbf{f}'' \\ + \mathbf{f}'^2\mathbf{g}''(\mathbf{g}\mathbf{g}'' - 2(\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)) \end{array} \right\} \end{array} \right\} (\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{g}'^2)}{\mathbf{f}'^2 (\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'')^3},$$

and \mathbf{f}''' and \mathbf{g}''' denote the third order derivative of \mathbf{f} and \mathbf{g} respect to u , respectively.

6. Conclusion

With the help of the findings of the previous section, we have the following results:

Corollary 4. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be an immersion given by (5). Then bi-rotational hypersurface \mathbf{x} satisfies $\Delta^{III}\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}$, where*

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{diag} \left(\frac{\mathbf{F}}{\mathbf{f}} \mathcal{I}_2, \frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{g}} \mathcal{I}_2 \right),$$

and $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Mat}(4, 4)$, \mathcal{I}_2 is identity matrix.

Corollary 5. *Let $\mathbf{x} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be an immersion given by (5). When the curve γ of bi-rotational hypersurface \mathbf{x} is parametrized by the arc length, the \mathbf{x} holds $\Delta^{III}\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{B}\mathbf{x}$, where*

$$\mathcal{B} = \text{diag} (\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{I}_2, \mathfrak{q}\mathcal{I}_2),$$

and

$$p(u) = \frac{(\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}''' - \mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}''')\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'^2 + (\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'' - \mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'') \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{g}'^2\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{f}'^2\mathbf{g}'^2) \\ -\mathbf{g}'(2\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'' + \mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' + 2\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{f}''\mathbf{g}'') \end{array} \right\}}{\mathbf{g}'^2(\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'')^3},$$

$$q(u) = \frac{(\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}''' - \mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}''')\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'^2 + (\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'' - \mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'') \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{g}'^2\mathbf{f}'^2 + \mathbf{f}'^2\mathbf{g}'^2) \\ -\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'' + 2\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' + 2\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'') \end{array} \right\}}{\mathbf{f}'^2(\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{g}'' - \mathbf{g}'\mathbf{f}'')^3},$$

and $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Mat}(4, 4)$, \mathcal{I}_2 is the identity matrix.

Corollary 6. Let $\mathbf{x} : M^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^4$ be an immersion given by (5). When the curve γ of \mathbf{x} is parametrized by the arc length, and $\mathbf{f}(u) = \cos u$, $\mathbf{g}(u) = \sin u$, the bi-rotational hypersurface \mathbf{x} supplies $\Delta^{III}\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{C}\mathbf{x}$, where

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{a}\mathcal{I}_2, \mathbf{b}\mathcal{I}_2),$$

$\mathbf{a}(u) = -3 \cos u$, $\mathbf{b}(u) = -3 \sin u$, and $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Mat}(4, 4)$, \mathcal{I}_2 is the identity matrix.

Example 3. The bi-rotational hypersurface

$$(12) \quad \xi(u, v, w) = \left(\frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2} \cos v, \frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2} \sin v, \frac{2u}{1+u^2} \cos w, \frac{2u}{1+u^2} \sin w \right)$$

satisfies $\xi = e$, has the following shape operator matrix $\mathbf{S} = -\mathcal{I}_3$, where \mathcal{I}_3 is the identity matrix, and has the following curvatures $\mathfrak{C}_i = -1$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, the hypersurface ξ supplies

$$\Delta^{III}\xi = -3\xi.$$

Here, $H\mathfrak{C}_2 = -K$, $H^2 = -\mathfrak{C}_2$, and $H^3 = K$, i.e., the hypersurface (12) is the bi-rotational umbilical hypersphere.

References

- [1] L. J. Alias and N. Gürbüz, *An extension of Takashi theorem for the linearized operators of the highest order mean curvatures*, *Geom. Dedicata* **121** (2006), 113–127.
- [2] Y. Aminov, *The geometry of submanifolds*, Gordon and Breach Sci. Pub., Amsterdam, 2001.
- [3] K. Arslan, B. K. Bayram, B. Bulca, Y. H. Kim, C. Murathan, and G. Öztürk, *Vranceanu surface in \mathbb{E}^4 with pointwise 1-type Gauss map*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (2011), no. 1, 41–51.
- [4] K. Arslan, B. K. Bayram, B. Bulca, and G. Öztürk, *Generalized rotation surfaces in \mathbb{E}^4* , *Results Math.* **61** (2012), no. 3, 315–327.
- [5] K. Arslan, B. Bulca, B. Kılıç, Y. H. Kim, C. Murathan, and G. Öztürk, *Tensor product surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map*, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **48** (2011), no. 3, 601–609.
- [6] K. Arslan and V. Milousheva, *Meridian surfaces of elliptic or hyperbolic type with pointwise 1-type Gauss map in Minkowski 4-space*, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **20** (2016), no. 2, 311–332.
- [7] K. Arslan, A. Sütveren, and B. Bulca, *Rotational λ -hypersurfaces in Euclidean spaces*, *Creat. Math. Inform.* **30** (2021), no. 1, 29–40.

- [8] A. Arvanitoyeorgos, G. Kaimakamis, and M. Magid, *Lorentz hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}_1^4 satisfying $\Delta H = \alpha H$* , Illinois J. Math. **53** (2009), no. 2, 581–590.
- [9] M. Barros and B. Y. Chen, *Stationary 2-type surfaces in a hypersphere*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **39** (1987), no. 4, 627–648.
- [10] M. Barros and O. J. Garay, *2-type surfaces in S^3* , Geom. Dedicata **24** (1987), no. 3, 329–336.
- [11] B. Bektaş, E. Ö. Canfes, and U. Dursun, *Classification of surfaces in a pseudo-sphere with 2-type pseudo-spherical Gauss map*, Math. Nachr. **290** (2017), no. 16, 2512–2523.
- [12] B. Bektaş, E. Ö. Canfes, and U. Dursun, *Pseudo-spherical submanifolds with 1-type pseudospherical Gauss map*, Results Math. **71** (2017), no. 3, 867–887.
- [13] B. Y. Chen, *On submanifolds of finite type*, Soochow J. Math. **9** (1983), 65–81.
- [14] B. Y. Chen, *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.
- [15] B. Y. Chen, *Finite type submanifolds and generalizations*, University of Rome, 1985.
- [16] B. Y. Chen, *Finite type submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean spaces and applications*, Kodai Math. J. **8** (1985), no. 3, 358–374.
- [17] B. Y. Chen and P. Piccinni, *Submanifolds with finite type Gauss map*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **35** (1987), 161–186.
- [18] Q. M. Cheng and Q. R. Wan, *Complete hypersurfaces of \mathbb{R}^4 with constant mean curvature*, Monatsh. Math. **118** (1994), 171–204.
- [19] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, *Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature*, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), 195–204.
- [20] M. Choi and Y. H. Kim, *Characterization of the helicoid as ruled surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **38** (2001), 753–761.
- [21] F. Dillen, J. Pas, and L. Verstraelen, *On surfaces of finite type in Euclidean 3-space*, Kodai Math. J. **13** (1990), 10–21.
- [22] M. P. Do Carmo and M. Dajczer, *Rotation hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), 685–709.
- [23] U. Dursun, *Hypersurfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (2007), no. 5, 1407–1416.
- [24] U. Dursun and N. C. Turgay, *Space-like surfaces in Minkowski space \mathbb{E}_1^4 with pointwise 1-type Gauss map*, Ukrainian Math. J. **71** (2019), no. 1, 64–80.
- [25] A. Ferrandez, O. J. Garay, and P. Lucas, *On a certain class of conformally at Euclidean hypersurfaces*, Global Analysis and Global Differential Geometry, 48–54, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1990.
- [26] G. Ganchev and V. Milousheva, *General rotational surfaces in the 4-dimensional Minkowski space*, Turkish J. Math. **38** (2014), 883–895.
- [27] O. J. Garay, *On a certain class of finite type surfaces of revolution*, Kodai Math. J. **11** (1988), 25–31.
- [28] O. J. Garay, *An extension of Takahashi’s theorem*, Geom. Dedicata **34** (1990), 105–112.
- [29] E. Güler, *Fundamental form IV and curvature formulas of the hypersphere*, Malaya J. Mat. **8** (2020), no. 4, 2008–2011.
- [30] E. Güler, *Rotational hypersurfaces satisfying $\Delta^I R = AR$ in the four-dimensional Euclidean space*, Politeknik Dergisi **24** (2021), no. 2, 517–520.
- [31] E. Güler, H. H. Hacısalihoğlu, and Y. H. Kim, *The Gauss map and the third Laplace–Beltrami operator of the rotational hypersurface in 4-space*, Symmetry **10** (2018), no. 9, 1–12.
- [32] E. Güler, M. Magid, and Y. Yaylı, *Laplace–Beltrami operator of a helicoidal hypersurface in four-space*, J. Geom. Symmetry Phys. **41** (2016), 77–95.
- [33] E. Güler and N. C. Turgay, *Cheng–Yau operator and Gauss map of rotational hypersurfaces in 4-space*, Mediterr. J. Math. **16** (2019), no. 3, 1–16.

- [34] Th. Hasanis and Th. Vlachos, *Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{E}^4 with harmonic mean curvature vector field*, Math. Nachr. **172** (1995), 145–169.
- [35] F. Kahraman Aksoyak and Y. Yaylı, *Flat rotational surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map in \mathbb{E}^4* , Honam Math. J. **38** (2016), no. 2, 305–316.
- [36] F. Kahraman Aksoyak and Y. Yaylı, *General rotational surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map in pseudo-Euclidean space \mathbb{E}_2^4* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **46** (2015), no. 1, 107–118.
- [37] D. S. Kim, J. R. Kim, and Y. H. Kim, *Cheng-Yau operator and Gauss map of surfaces of revolution*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **39** (2016), no. 4, 1319–1327.
- [38] Y. H. Kim and N. C. Turgay, *Surfaces in \mathbb{E}^4 with L_1 -pointwise 1-type Gauss map*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **50** (2013), no. 3, 935–949.
- [39] W. Kühnel, *Differential geometry: curves-surfaces-manifolds*, Third ed. Translated from the 2013 German ed. AMS, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [40] T. Levi-Civita, *Famiglie di superficie isoparametriche nell'ordinario spazio euclideo*, Rend. Acad. Lincei **26** (1937), 355–362.
- [41] C. L. E. Moore, *Surfaces of rotation in a space of four dimensions*, Ann. Math. **21** (1919), 81–93.
- [42] C. L. E. Moore, *Rotation surfaces of constant curvature in space of four dimensions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1920), 454–460.
- [43] S. Özkaldı and Y. Yaylı, *Tensor product surfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 and Lie groups*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **33** (2010), no. 1, 69–77.
- [44] B. Senoussi and M. Bekkar, *Helicoidal surfaces with $\Delta^J r = Ar$ in 3-dimensional Euclidean space*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **60** (2015), no. 3, 437–448.
- [45] S. Stamatakis and H. Zoubi, *Surfaces of revolution satisfying $\Delta^{III}x = Ax$* , J. Geom. Graph **14** (2010), no. 2, 181–186.
- [46] T. Takahashi, *Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), 380–385.
- [47] N. C. Turgay, *Some classifications of Lorentzian surfaces with finite type Gauss map in the Minkowski 4-space*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **99** (2015), no. 3, 415–427.
- [48] D. W. Yoon, *Some properties of the Clifford torus as rotation surfaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (2003), no. 6, 907–915.

Erhan Güler
 Department of Mathematics, Bartın University,
 Bartın 74100, Turkey.
 E-mail: eguler@bartin.edu.tr

Yusuf Yaylı
 Department of Mathematics, Ankara University,
 Ankara 06100, Turkey.
 E-mail: yayli@science.ankara.edu.tr

Hasan Hilmi Hacısalihoğlu
 Department of Mathematics, Bilecik Şeyh Edebali University,
 Bilecik 11230, Turkey.
 E-mail: hacisalihaklu@bilecik.edu.tr