Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2022), No. 3, pp. 905–913 https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c200465 pISSN: 1225-1763 / eISSN: 2234-3024

METRIZABILITY AND SUBMETRIZABILITY FOR POINT-OPEN, OPEN-POINT AND BI-POINT-OPEN TOPOLOGIES ON C(X, Y)

BARKHA AND AZHUTHIL RAGHAVAN PRASANNAN

ABSTRACT. We characterize metrizability and submetrizability for pointopen, open-point and bi-point-open topologies on C(X, Y), where C(X, Y)denotes the set of all continuous functions from space X to Y; X is a completely regular space and Y is a locally convex space.

1. Introduction

Let $C_p(X, Y)$, $C_h(X, Y)$ and $C_{ph}(X, Y)$ denote the spaces of all continuous functions from space X to space Y, equipped with point-open, open-point and bi-point-open topology, respectively. While studying $C_{\tau}(X, Y)$, it is fundamental problem to establish the correspondence between topological and algebraic properties of spaces $C_{\tau}(X, Y)$, X and Y, where $C_{\tau}(X, Y)$ denotes the space C(X, Y) equipped with topology τ . There are various studies in which authors used the topological and algebraic properties of \mathbb{R} and examined the properties of $C_{\tau}(X, \mathbb{R})$ (see [2] and [1]). For locally convex space \mathbb{R} , it has been studied that X is countable if and only if $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is metrizable and X is separable if and only if $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$ is submetrizable (see [2]). Also, in [3] and [4], A. Jindal, \mathbb{R} . A. McCoy and S. Kundu characterized the metrizability and submetrizability on $C_p(X, \mathbb{R})$, $C_h(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $C_{ph}(X, \mathbb{R})$ with some conditions on X. In this paper, we generalize the theorems of metrizability and submetrizability on $C_p(X, Y)$, $C_h(X, Y)$ and $C_{ph}(X, Y)$, where Y is a locally convex space.

2. Definitions and notations

A topological linear space Y (over \mathbb{R}) is called a locally convex space [6] if every neighborhood of identity element $\overline{0}$ contains a convex neighborhood of $\overline{0}$. Let $V_1(p) = \{x \in Y : p(x) < 1\}$, where p is a seminorm. Note that, $V_1(p)$

©2022 Korean Mathematical Society

905

Received December 16, 2020; Revised November 11, 2021; Accepted December 24, 2021. 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C35; Secondary 54D10, 54H11, 54E35.

Key words and phrases. Point-open topology, open-point topology, bi-point-open topology, metrizability, submetrizability, G_{δ} -dense, first countable.

This work was financially supported by University Grants Commission, India.

is a convex set. A topological vector space Y is locally convex if and only if there exists a family \mathcal{P} of seminorms that generates the topology on Y. The collection $\{\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} r_i V(p_i) : p_i \in \mathcal{P}, r_i > 0\}$ is a neighborhood base at $\bar{0} \in Y$ for the topology on Y (see Theorems 11.3 and 12.4 in [6]).

The point-open topology p on C(X, Y) has a subbase consisting of the sets of the form $[x, V]^- = \{f \in C(X, Y) : f(x) \in V\}$, where V is an open set in Y and $x \in X$. The space C(X, Y) equipped with point-open topology is denoted by $C_p(X, Y)$.

The open-point topology h [3] on C(X, Y) has a subbase consisting of the sets of the form $[U, y]^- = \{f \in C(X, Y) : f^{-1}(y) \cap U \neq \phi\}$, where U is an open set in X and $y \in Y$. The space C(X, Y) equipped with open-point topology is denoted by $C_h(X, Y)$.

The bi-point-open topology ph [3] has subbasic open sets of both kinds: $[U,y]^- = \{f \in C(X,Y) : f^{-1}(y) \cap U \neq \phi\}$ and $[x,V]^+ = \{f \in C(X,Y) : f(x) \in V\}$, where U is an open set in X and $y \in Y$; $x \in X$ and V is open in Y. The space C(X,Y) equipped with bi-point-open topology is denoted by $C_{ph}(X,Y)$.

A nonempty subset of a space X is said to be G_{δ} -dense [3] provided that it intersects every nonempty G_{δ} -subset of X.

Throughout this paper, X is a completely regular and Hausdorff space and $(Y, +, \times)$ denotes a locally convex Hausdorff space, where + and \times denote vector addition and scalar multiplication, respectively. $r \times y$ is a scalar multiplication of r and y, where $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in Y$. Let -y denote additive inverse of point y in Y and $-U = \{-1 \times u : u \in U\}$, where $-u = -1 \times u$. $V + U = \{v + u : u \in U, v \in V\}$, where u + v denotes the vector addition in Y. Let $\bar{0}$ denote the identity element in the space Y. Let \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} denote the set of all natural numbers and set of all real numbers, respectively. \mathcal{P} denotes the collection of all seminorms that generates the topology of Y. Let X and Y have the same topology. Also, $\bar{0}_X$ denotes the constant function which maps all points of X to $\bar{0}$. |Z| denotes the cardinality of set Z. Let X^0 denote the set of all isolated points in X.

3. Metrizability on $C_p(X,Y)$, $C_h(X,Y)$ and $C_{ph}(X,Y)$

We know that, each metrizable space is first countable, so first we will discuss about first countability. Recall that, character of a point $x \in X$, denoted by $\chi(X, x)$, is defined by

 $\chi(X, x) = \aleph_0 + \min\{|\mathcal{B}_x| : \mathcal{B}_x \text{ is a local base for } X \text{ at } x\}.$

If $\chi(X, x)$ is countable, then X is first countable.

Theorem 3.1. Let X and Y be any spaces. Then $|X| \leq \chi(C_p(X, Y))$.

Proof. Let the family \mathcal{B} form a base at $\overline{0}_X$ in $C_p(X,Y)$ such that $|\mathcal{B}| \leq \chi(C_p(X,Y), 0_X)$. We may assume that each member $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is of the form

$$\begin{split} & [x_1,U_1]^+ \cap \dots \cap [x_n,U_n]^+. \text{ For each } B \in \mathcal{B}, \text{ let } K(B) = \{x_1,\dots,x_n\}. \text{ Let } T = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} K(B). \text{ Clearly, } |T| \leq \chi(C_p(X,Y),0_X). \text{ Let if possible, there exists } x_0 \in X \setminus T. \text{ Then let } [x_0,V_1(p)] \text{ be a subbasic open set in } C_p(X,Y), \text{ where } p \in \mathcal{P}. \text{ Consider an arbitrary } B \in \mathcal{B}, \text{ where } B = [x_1,U_1]^+ \cap \dots \cap [x_n,U_n]^+. \\ \text{Since } X \text{ is completely regular, there exists a continuous function } f : X \to \mathbb{R} \\ \text{ such that } f(x_0) = 1 \text{ and } f(x_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \in 1,\dots,n. \text{ Take } y \in Y, \text{ where } p(y) = 1. \\ \text{Also, since } Y \text{ is a locally convex space, there exists a continuous function } h : \mathbb{R} \to Y \text{ defined by } h(a) = ay. \\ \text{ So the composition } h \circ f : X \to Y \\ \text{ is also continuous, where } h \circ f(x_i) = \bar{0} \text{ for all } i \in 1,\dots,n \text{ and } h \circ f(x_0) = y. \\ \text{ Note that, } h \circ f \in B \setminus [x_0,V_1(p)]. \\ \text{ This contradicts the fact that } \mathcal{B} \text{ forms a base } \\ \text{ at } \bar{0}_X \text{ for } C_p(X,Y). \\ \end{array}$$

Corollary 3.2. If $C_p(X, Y)$ is first countable, then X is countable.

Theorem 3.3. If $C_h(X, Y)$ is first countable, then X has a countable π -base.

Proof. Since $C_h(X,Y)$ is first countable, $C_h(X,Y)$ has a countable pseudocharacter. Then there exists countable family α of open sets in $C_h(X,Y)$ such that $\{\bar{0}_X\} = \cap \alpha$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that each member A of α is of the form $[V_1, x_1] \cap \cdots \cap [V_n, x_n]$, where for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, V_i is open in X and $x_i \in Y$. Let $K(A) = \{V_1, \ldots, V_n\}$ and let $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{A \in \alpha} K(A)$. Note that, \mathcal{B} is a countable family of open sets. We show that \mathcal{B} forms a π -base for the space X. Let V be an open set in X containing x. Then there exists a continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f(x) = 1 and f(v) = 0 for all v in V^c . Take $y \in Y$, where p(y) = 1 for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Since Y is a locally convex space, there exists a continuous function $h : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ defined by h(a) = ay, where p(y) = 1. So the composition $h \circ f : X \to Y$ is also continuous, where $h \circ f(v) = \overline{0}$ for all v in V^c and $h \circ f(x) = y$. There exists $A \in \alpha$ such that $h \circ f \notin A$. It follows that there exists $U \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\bar{0} \notin g \circ f(U)$. We claim that, $U \subset V$. Let $u \in U$, then $q \circ f(u) \neq \overline{0}$, this implies $u \in V$. Hence $U \subset V$ and \mathcal{B} forms a π -base for X.

Recall that, for any space X and any point $x \in X$, the pseudocharacter of x in X, denoted by $\psi(X, x)$, is defined by $\psi(X, x) = \aleph_0 + \min\{|\gamma| : \gamma \text{ is a family of nonempty open subsets in X such that } \cap \gamma = \{x\}\}.$

The pseudocharacter $\psi(X)$ of X is given by

$$\psi(X) = \sup\{\psi(X, x) : x \in X\}.$$

If $C_p(X, Y)$ is metrizable, then Y is metrizable (see Exercise 1 on page 68 in [5]). But a locally convex space Y is metrizable if and only if it has a countable base at $\overline{0}$ (see Theorem 13.1 in Chapter 2 of [6]). So, the first countability of Y is necessary condition for metrizability of $C_p(X, Y)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let X^0 be G_{δ} -dense in X and Y has a countable base at $\overline{0}$. Then the following statements are equivalent: (a) $C_h(X,Y)$ is metrizable.

- (b) $C_h(X,Y)$ is first countable.
- (c) X has a countable π -base.
- (d) X^0 is countable.
- (e) X is countable and discrete.
- (f) $C_p(X, Y)$ is metrizable.

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) Since Y has a countable base at $\overline{0}$, it is first countable. It implies that each singleton set in Y is a G_{δ} -set. So, $C_h(X, Y)$ is a topological group (the proof is similar to proof for $C_h(X, \mathbb{R})$ in [3]). A topological group is metrizable if and only if it is first countable (see Theorem 3.3.12 in [1]).

(b) \Rightarrow (c) It follows from Theorem 3.3.

 $(c) \Rightarrow (b)$ We show that the constant function $\bar{0}_X$ in $C_h(X, Y)$ has a countable base. Let $\mathcal{B}' = \{\bigcap_{i=1}^n [B_i, \bar{0}] : B_i \in \mathcal{B}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the collection of open sets containing $\bar{0}_X$, where \mathcal{B} denotes the countable π -base of X. Note that, \mathcal{B}' is a countable collection. We show that \mathcal{B}' forms a local base at $\bar{0}_X$ in $C_h(X, Y)$. Let $B = [V_1, \bar{0}]^- \cap \cdots \cap [V_n, \bar{0}]^-$ be any open set in $C_h(X, Y)$ containing $\bar{0}_X$, where V_i is open in X for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Since \mathcal{B} forms the countable π -base for X, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_i \subset V_i$. Clearly, $\bar{0}_X \in [B_1, \bar{0}]^- \cap \cdots \cap [B_n, \bar{0}]^- \subset B$. Hence \mathcal{B}' forms a local base at $\bar{0}_X$ in $C_h(X, Y)$. So each element in $C_h(X, Y)$ has a countable local base, because $C_h(X, Y)$ is a topological group.

 $(c) \Rightarrow (d), (d) \Rightarrow (e) and (e) \Rightarrow (c)$ These are easy to prove.

 $(e) \Rightarrow (f)$ If Y has a countable base at $\overline{0}$, then it is metrizable (see Theorem 13.1 in Chapter 2 of [6]). Since X is countable, $C_p(X,Y)$ is metrizable (see Exercise 1 on page 68 in [5]).

(f) \Rightarrow (d) Since $C_p(X, Y)$ is metrizable, it is first countable. By Corollary 3.2, X is countable. So X^0 is countable. \Box

Theorem 3.5. Let X^0 be G_{δ} -dense in X and Y has a countable base at $\overline{0}$. Then following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ is metrizable.
- (b) $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ is first countable.
- (c) X is a countable and discrete space.
- (d) $C_h(X,Y)$ is metrizable.
- (e) $C_p(X,Y)$ is metrizable.

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) Since Y has a countable base at $\overline{0}$, it is first countable. It implies that each singleton set in Y is a G_{δ} -set. So, $C_{ph}(X, Y)$ is a topological group (the proof is similar to proof for $C_{ph}(X, \mathbb{R})$ in [3]). A topological group is metrizable if and only if it is first countable (see Theorem 3.3.12 in [1]).

(c) \Leftrightarrow (d) It follows from Theorem 3.4.

(a) \Rightarrow (d) Being a metrizable space, $C_{ph}(X, Y)$ has a countable pseudocharacter. There exists a countable family α of open sets such that $\cap \alpha = \{\bar{0}_X\}$. Let each member A of α be of the form $A = [V_1, \bar{0}]^- \cap \cdots \cap [V_n, \bar{0}]^- \cap [x_1, U_1]^+ \cap$

908

 $\cdots \cap [x_m, U_m]^+$. Since X^0 is G_{δ} -dense in X for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists $v_i \in X^0 \cap V_i$. So $[\{v_1\}, \bar{0}]^- \cap \cdots \cap [\{v_n\}, \bar{0}]^- \cap [x_1, U_1]^+ \cap \cdots \cap [x_m, U_m]^+ \subset A$. Let $K(A) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n, x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ and $\mathcal{W} = \bigcup_{A \in \alpha} K(A)$. Note that, \mathcal{W} is countable. Now we show that $\overline{\mathcal{W}} = X$. Let if possible $\overline{\mathcal{W}} \neq X$, there exists $x_0 \in X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{W}}$. Since X is completely regular, there exists a continuous function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x_0) = 1$ and f(a) = 0 for all a in $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$. Take $y \in Y$, where p(y) = 1 for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Since Y is a locally convex space, there exists a continuous function $h \circ f : X \to Y$ is also continuous, where $h \circ f(a) = \overline{0}$ for all a in $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ and $h \circ f(x_0) = y$. This implies that $h \circ f \in \cap \alpha$. We arrive at a contradiction. So X is separable. It follows that X^0 is countable. Therefore, $C_h(X, Y)$ is metrizable (using Theorem 3.4).

 $(c) \Rightarrow (b)$ Since X is a countable and discrete space, $C_p(X, Y)$ and $C_h(X, Y)$ are first countable (use Theorem 3.4). So by definition of bi-point-open topology, $C_{ph}(X, Y)$ is first countable.

(d) \Leftrightarrow (e) It follows from Theorem 3.4.

4. Submetrizability on $C_p(X,Y)$, $C_h(X,Y)$ and $C_{ph}(X,Y)$

Recall that, the weight of a space X is defined by $w(X) = \aleph_0 + \min\{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \text{ is a base for } X\}$. The *i*-weight of a space X is defined by $iw(X) = \aleph_0 + \min\{w(Z) : \text{ there is a continuous one-to-one map from X onto } Z\}$. The density of X is given by $d(X) = \aleph_0 + \min\{|D| : D \text{ is a dense subset of } X\}$.

Theorem 4.1. Let X and Y be spaces. Then $iw(C_p(X,Y)) \leq d(X) \cdot w(Y)$.

Proof. Let $d(X) = \tau$. Let Z be a subset of X such that $\overline{Z} = X$ and $\tau = |Z|$. Let $\pi_Z : C_p(X,Y) \to \pi_Z(C_p(X,Y)) \subset C_p(Z,Y)$ be the restriction map defined by $\pi_Z(f) = f|_Z$, where $f|_Z$ denotes the restriction of function f on subspace Z. It is easy to see that π_Z is continuous and onto. Let $f_1, f_2 \in C_p(X,Y), f_1 \neq f_2$. Then continuity of f_1, f_2 and $\overline{Z} = X$ imply that $f|_1(Z) \neq f|_2(Z)$. So $\pi_Z(f_1) \neq \pi_Z(f_2)$. It follows that map π_Z is one to one. We have $w(\pi_Z(C_p(X,Y))) \leq w(C_p(Z,Y)) \leq |Z| \cdot w(Y) = d(X) \cdot w(Y)$, and hence $iw(C_p(X,Y)) \leq w(\pi_Z(C_p(X,Y))) \leq d(X) \cdot w(Y)$.

Corollary 4.2. Let X be separable and Y be a second countable space. Then $C_p(X,Y)$ is submetrizable.

Example 4.3. Let $X = \{a\}$ and $Y = C_p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Note that, X being a singleton set with discrete topology is separable and $C_p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is not second countable (use Theorem I.1.1 in [2]). But, by Theorem I.1.4 in [2], $C_p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is submetrizable. It is easy to see that $C_p(X, Y)$ is homeomorphic to $C_p(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. So, $C_p(X, Y)$ is also submetrizable. Hence, the second countability is not a necessary condition for submetrizability of $C_p(X, Y)$.

In Example 4.3, Y is not even first countable but it has a countable pseudocharacter (use Theorem I.1.4 in [2]). Later, we will see that it is necessary for

Y to have a countable pseudocharacter for submetrizability of $C_p(X, Y)$. Now, we will discuss the converse of Corollary 4.2. Since each submetrizable space has a countable pseudocharacter, so first, we will discuss about pseudocharacter character.

Theorem 4.4. Let $C_p(X, Y)$ has a countable pseudocharacter. Then Y has a countable pseudocharacter at $\overline{0}$.

Proof. Since $C_p(X, Y)$ has a countable pseudocharacter, there exists a countable family γ of open sets in $C_p(X, Y)$ such that $\cap\{\gamma\} = \{0_X\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that each W in γ is of the form $[x_1, U_1]^+ \cap \cdots \cap [x_m, U_m]^+$, where $\overline{0} \in U_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $S(W) = \{U_1, \ldots, U_m\}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \{S(W) : W \in \gamma\}$. Since γ is countable, so is \mathcal{C} . Note that, \mathcal{C} is a countable collection of nonempty open sets in Y. Let if possible, there exists $y_0 \in \cap\{\mathcal{C}\}$ such that $y_0 \neq \overline{0}$. Let f be the constant function mapping each member of X to y_0 . Then, it is easy to see that, $f \in \cap \gamma$ and $f \neq \overline{0}$. We arrive at contradiction. Hence, Y has a countable pseudocharacter at $\overline{0}$. \Box

Theorem 4.5. Let X and Y be spaces. Then $d(X) \leq \psi(C_{ph}(X,Y))$.

Proof. Let γ be a family of open sets containing $\overline{0}_X$ such that $\cap \gamma = \{\overline{0}_X\}$ and $|\gamma| \leq \psi(C_{ph}(X,Y), \overline{0}_X)$. We can assume that each member G of γ can be written as $[x_1, U_1]^+ \cap \cdots \cap [x_n, U_n]^+ \cap [V_1, \overline{0}]^- \cap \cdots \cap [V_m, \overline{0}]^-$. Let $y_i \in V_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. For each $G \in \gamma$, let $K(G) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$. Let $Z = \bigcup_{G \in \gamma} K(G)$. Then $|Z| \leq |\gamma|$. We show that $\overline{Z} = X$. Suppose $x_0 \in X \setminus \overline{Z}$, then there exists a continuous function f such that $f(\overline{Z}) = \{0\}$ and $f(x_0) = 1$. Take $y \in Y$, where p(y) = 1 for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Since Y is a locally convex space, there exists a continuous function $h : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ defined by h(r) = ry. So the composition $h \circ f : X \to Y$ is also continuous, where $h \circ f(a) = \overline{0}$ for all a in \overline{Z} and $h \circ f(x_0) = y$. This implies that $h \circ f \in \cap \gamma$ but $h \circ f \neq \overline{0}_X$. We arrive at a contradiction.

Corollary 4.6. Let $C_{ph}(X, Y)$ be submetrizable. Then X is separable.

Proof. Since each submetrizable space has a countable pseudocharacter, separability of X follows from Theorem 4.5. \Box

Corollary 4.7. Let $C_h(X,Y)$ be submetrizable. Then X is separable.

Proof. Since $C_h(X,Y) \leq C_{ph}(X,Y)$, $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ is also submetrizable. So by Corollary 4.6, X is separable.

Corollary 4.8. Let $C_p(X,Y)$ be submetrizable. Then X is separable and Y has a countable pseudocharacter at $\overline{0}$.

Proof. Since $C_p(X,Y) \leq C_{ph}(X,Y)$, $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ is also submetrizable. So by Corollary 4.6, X is separable. Also, since each submetrizable space has a countable pseudocharacter, so by Theorem 4.4, Y has a countable pseudocharacter at $\overline{0}$.

910

Corollary 4.9. Let X be any space and Y be a second countable space. Then $d(X) = iw(C_p(X, Y)) = \psi(C_p(X, Y)).$

Proof. Since $iw(C_p(X,Y)) \ge \psi(C_p(X,Y))$, it is enough to show that

$$iw(C_p(X,Y)) \le d(X) \le \psi(C_p(X,Y)).$$

Since Y is second countable, $iw(C_p(X,Y)) \leq d(X)$ follows from Theorem 4.1. $d(X) \leq \psi(C_p(X,Y))$ follows from Theorem 4.5.

Recall that, if the set $\{(x,x) : x \in X\}$ is a G_{δ} -set in the product space $X \times X$, that is, the space X has a G_{δ} -diagonal, then every point in X is a G_{δ} -set. Note that every metrizable space has a G_{δ} -diagonal. Consequently every submetrizable space also has a G_{δ} -diagonal. Also, compact subsets, countably compact subsets and the singletons in a submetrizable space are G_{δ} -sets. So far in this section, we have discussed about necessary conditions on X and Y for submetrizability of $C_p(X,Y)$, $C_h(X,Y)$ and $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ and we are looking forward to studying sufficient conditions on X and Y for the same purpose in future. But for now, we characterize submetrizability of $C_p(X,Y)$, $C_h(X,Y)$ and $C_{ph}(X,Y)$.

Theorem 4.10. Let X be space and Y be a second countable space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ is submetrizable.
- (b) $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ has a G_{δ} -diagonal.
- (c) $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ has a countable pseudocharacter.
- (d) $\{\overline{0}_X\}$ is a G_{δ} -set in $C_{ph}(X, Y)$.
- (e) X is separable.
- (f) $C_p(X,Y)$ is submetrizable.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) It follows from the above discussion.

(d) \Rightarrow (e) If $\overline{0}_X$ is a G_{δ} -set in $C_{ph}(X, Y)$, then there exists a countable family α of open sets such that $\cap \alpha = \{\overline{0}_X\}$. We can assume that each member $A \in \alpha$ is of the form $[x_1, U_1]^+ \cap \cdots \cap [x_n, U_n]^+ \cap [V_1, \overline{0}]^- \cap \cdots \cap [V_m, \overline{0}]^-$, where $x_i \in X$ and U_i is open in Y for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$; V_j is open in X for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\overline{0} \in Y$. Fix $y_i \in V_j$ for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. For each A in α , let $K(A) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$, let $W = \bigcup_{A \in \alpha} K(A)$. We show that $\overline{W} = X$. Suppose $x_0 \in X \setminus \overline{W}$, then there exists a continuous function f such that $f(\overline{W}) = \{0\}$ and $f(x_0) = 1$. Take $y \in Y$, where p(y) = 1 for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Since Y is a locally convex space, there exists a continuous function $h : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ defined by h(r) = ry. So the composition $h \circ f : X \to Y$ is also continuous, where $h \circ f(a) = \overline{0}$ for all a in \overline{W} and $h \circ f(x_0) = y$. This implies that $h \circ f \in \cap \alpha$ but $h \circ f \neq \overline{0}_X$. We arrive at a contradiction.

(e) \Leftrightarrow (f) It follows from Corollary 4.9.

(f) \Rightarrow (a) It is immediate since $C_p(X, Y) \leq C_{ph}(X, Y)$.

Theorem 4.11. If X^0 is dense in X and Y is a second countable space, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $C_h(X,Y)$ is submetrizable.
- (b) $C_h(X,Y)$ has a G_{δ} -diagonal.
- (c) $C_h(X,Y)$ has a countable pseudocharacter.
- (d) $\{\overline{0}_X\}$ in C(X,Y) is a G_{δ} -set in $C_h(X,Y)$.
- (e) $C_{ph}(X,Y)$ is submetrizable.
- (f) $C_p(X,Y)$ is submetrizable. (g) X^0 is countable.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) It follows from the above discussion.

(d) \Rightarrow (e) Since $C_h(X,Y) \leq C_{ph}(X,Y)$, $\{\bar{0}_X\}$ is also a G_{δ} -set in $C_{ph}(X,Y)$. Then Theorem 4.10 implies that $C_{ph}(X, Y)$ is submetrizable.

(e) \Rightarrow (f) It is immediate from Theorem 4.10.

(f) \Rightarrow (g) If $C_p(X,Y)$ is submetrizable, then X is separable. Hence X^0 is countable.

(g) \Rightarrow (a) Consider the restriction map $\pi_{X^0}: C_h(X,Y) \to C_h(X^0,Y)$ defined by $\pi_{X^0}(f) = f|_{X^0}$. Let $[V, y]^-$ be any subbasic open set in $C_h(X^0, Y)$, where V is open in X^0 and $y \in Y$. Since V is open in X^0 and X^0 is open in X, V is open in X. So $\pi_{X^0}([V, y]^-) \subset [V, y]^-$. Hence π_{X^0} is continuous. Let $f,g \in C_p(X,Y)$. Then the continuity of f,g and $\overline{X^0} = X$ imply that $f|_{X^0} \neq g|_{X^0}$. So $\pi_{X^0}(f) \neq \pi_{X^0}(g)$. It follows that map π_{X^0} is one to one. Since X^0 is a countable and discrete subspace of X, the space $C_h(X^0, Y)$ is metrizable (using Theorem 3.4). Hence $C_h(X, Y)$ is submetrizable.

Acknowledgment. The authors are thankful to referee for valuable suggestions and comments which led to a considerable improvement of the earlier version of this paper.

References

- [1] A. Arhangel'skii and M. Tkachenko, Topological groups and related structures, Atlantis Studies in Mathematics, 1, Atlantis Press, Paris, 2008. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-91216-35-0
- [2] A. V. Arkhangel'skii, Topological function spaces, translated from the Russian by R. A. M. Hoksbergen, Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 78, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [3] A. Jindal, R. A. McCoy, and S. Kundu, The open-point and bi-point-open topologies on C(X), Topology Appl. 187 (2015), 62-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2015.02. 004
- [4] A. Jindal, R. A. McCoy, and S. Kundu, The open-point and bi-point-open topologies on C(X): submetrizability and cardinal functions, Topology Appl. 196 (2015), part A, 229-240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2015.09.042
- [5] R. A. McCoy and I. Ntantu, Topological properties of spaces of continuous functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1315, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/BFb0098389
- [6] A. E. Taylor and D. C. Lay, Introduction to Functional Analysis, reprint of the second edition, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Melbourne, FL, 1986.

BARKHA DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF DELHI DELHI PIN-110007, INDIA Email address: barkhaedu@gmail.com

Azhuthil Raghavan Prasannan Maharaja Agrasen College University of Delhi Vasundhara enclave, Delhi Pin-110096, India *Email address*: arprasannan@gmail.com