

Gamma Knife Radiosurgery for Trigeminal Neuralgia : Review and Update

Seunghoon Lee, Jung-Il Lee

Department of Neurosurgery, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Accurate diagnosis of trigeminal neuralgia (TN) is the starting point for optimal treatment. Gamma knife radiosurgery (GKRS) is currently regarded as one of the first-line treatment options for medically refractory TN. GKRS is a less invasive treatment with a low risk of complications than other surgical procedures that provides a favorable pain control Barrow Neurological Institute (BNI) I-IIIb rate of >75% at short-term follow-up. Drawbacks of GKRS include the latency period before pain relief and higher recurrence rate compared with microvascular decompression. Therefore, repeat treatment is necessary if the initial GKRS was effective but followed by recurrence. The concept of dose rate and the biologically effective dose of radiation has been actively studied in radiation oncology and is also applied in GKRS for TN to achieve high safety and efficacy by prescribing the optimal dose. Recent progress in functional imaging, such as diffusion tensor imaging, enables us to understand the pathophysiology of TN and predict the clinical outcome after GKRS. Here, we review TN, GKRS, and recent updates, especially in the concepts of radiation dose, diffusion tensor imaging studies, and repeat treatment in GKRS for TN.

Key Words : Radiosurgery · Trigeminal neuralgia · Radiation dosage · Diffusion tensor imaging · Retreatment.

INTRODUCTION

Facial pain can be caused by various types of neurological disorders and the differential diagnosis is mainly based on the patient's description of symptoms. To achieve successful treatment outcomes in trigeminal neuralgia (TN) by determining the optimal treatment option, accurate diagnosis is key. In the International Classification of Headache Disorders, third edition, TN is defined as "a disorder characterized by recurrent unilateral brief electric shock-like pains, abrupt in onset and termination, limited to the distribution of one or more divisions of the trigeminal nerve and triggered by innocuous

stimuli." TN is classified into classical, secondary, and idiopathic TN. Classical TN is diagnosed when no cause other than neurovascular compression is apparent. Secondary TN is caused by underlying diseases such as multiple sclerosis, brain tumors, and vascular malformation. If magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and electrophysiological tests show no significant abnormalities, the condition is considered idiopathic TN. A characteristic feature of TN may manifest with persistent background facial pain, which is referred to as TN with concomitant continuous pain. Previously, the terminology "atypical" or "type 2" has been used for this type of TN⁶⁸. We have offered surgery (microvascular decompression, MVD), radio-

• Received : December 8, 2021 • Revised : May 16, 2022 • Accepted : June 27, 2022

• Address for reprints : **Jung-Il Lee**

Department of Neurosurgery, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea
Tel : +82-2-3410-3494, Fax : +82-2-3410-0048, E-mail : jilee@skku.edu, ORCID : <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8143-5513>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

surgery, and percutaneous procedures (radiofrequency thermocoagulation, balloon compression, and glycerol rhizotomy) to patients with medically refractory TN⁶⁶. Indications, clinical outcomes, complications, and prognosis of each treatment option have been extensively studied and reviewed.

Gamma knife radiosurgery (GKRS) is currently regarded as one of the first-line treatment options for medically refractory TN since Lars Leksell used it for intractable TN patients in 1951³⁶. GKRS shows a short-term (less than 1 year) pain relief rate (with or without medication) of higher than 75% and long-term efficacy of approximately 50–60% after 5 years and 30–40% after 10 years^{33,40,41,52,65}. However, the recurrence rate is higher than 20% as demonstrated in multiple studies^{43,63}. At a certain point in the clinical pathway, recurrence or treatment failure should be considered, and other treatment options such as repeat GKRS should be considered. And multiple studies on biologically effective dose (BED) have been conducted in the field of radiation oncology over the past 30 years. Functional imaging, including diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), has been applied in various neurological disorders. These approaches were also applied in the patients with TN after GKRS. Herein, we review GKRS for intractable classical or idiopathic TN, focusing on the recently described application of dose rate, BED, and DTI and the clinical outcome and feasibility of repeat GKRS.

PAST CONTROVERSIES ABOUT GKRS FOR TN AND CURRENT CONSENSUS

There were many controversial issues about the optimal conditions of GKRS in the early days of GKRS for TN. With the accumulation of clinical data, these issues have been clarified, although there are still some questions with no consensus. The optimal dose, target location, number of isocenters, and influence of dose rate were well defined in the early days of GKRS. In a trial by Lindquist et al.³⁷ in 1991, radiation was focused on the gasserian ganglion of the trigeminal nerve and was moved posteriorly to the retrogasserian ganglion and root entry zone (REZ)^{33,51–53}. A higher rate of pain reduction was reported with a higher dose focused on the retrogasserian target, which is the distal part of the cisternal segment of the trigeminal nerve. However, sensory complications occurred more frequently the closer the target was to the brainstem. Al-

though the results of the following clinical studies were not always consistent with the original report, a systemic review concluded that a higher dose on the retrogasserian target would be associated with more effective pain control and less frequent sensory complications compared to other targets^{42,47,64,67}. A maximum dose of 70–90 Gy has been recommended based on outcomes from multiple retrospective analyses. In general, a higher dose is associated with more prompt pain reduction and a higher rate of overall response^{29,31,32,39,52–54}. At the same time, a higher dose, particularly applied to the brainstem, is associated with a higher rate of trigeminal neuropathy^{4,8,10,15,19,20,22,23,30,45,48,49,56}. Not only the absolute dose but also dose rate was suggested as a factor that might influence the outcome. The hypothesis was that a higher dose rate would result in a stronger biological effect if the absolute dose was the same^{3,5}. Recent advances in knowledge related to this issue will be discussed in detail in the following part of this review. When GKRS using a single isocenter was compared with that using two isocenters, no benefit of using multiple isocenters was identified in prospective as well as retrospective studies^{2,17,50}. Various prognostic factors were investigated. Typical pain features of TN, old age, definite vascular compression on MRI, and no history of surgical treatments were associated with better outcomes after GKRS^{10,16,39,41,55}. Sensory changes after GKRS were associated with better pain relief, similar to other percutaneous procedures^{14,18}.

Currently, GKRS is one of the primary treatment options for TN and salvage treatment after the failure of other procedures. Although there is no high-level prospective randomized controlled trial or comparative study between GKRS and other modalities, distinctive features and current roles of GKRS can be summarized in the general context of clinical practice to support decision making. First, GKRS is the least invasive approach among the various treatment modalities except for medication. Procedure-related risks (e.g., hemorrhage, infection, cerebrospinal fluid leakage, nerve injury, etc.) are lower than in any other surgical procedure. Second, the outcome of GKRS is relatively unaffected by the neurosurgeon or individual patient characteristics. Experience or skill of the neurosurgeon or characteristics of the patient, such as anatomical variations, have less influence on the outcome of GKRS than on the outcome of MVD or percutaneous procedures. Third, GKRS is the only treatment modality that is accompanied by a latency period before pain relief³³. Fourth,

sensory complications after GKRS are lower than in various percutaneous procedures^{6,38,64}. Fifth, initial pain relief and freedom from recurrence are not superior to MVD performed by experienced neurosurgeons³⁸. Sixth, durability of the effectiveness of GKRS is superior to medication or other percutaneous procedures. Finally, the outcome of GKRS is not yet fully predictable, and further studies need to elucidate these hypothesis and questions to make GKRS a better treatment modality in TN.

DOSE RATE AND BIOLOGICALLY EFFECTIVE DOSE IN GKRS FOR TN

Cobalt-60, the radiation source of the GKRS, has a half-life of 5.26 years and decays spontaneously. The dose rate, which is defined as the amount of radiation absorbed by tissues per unit time, is reduced by half and the treatment duration is doubled after passage of a half-life when the prescription dose is constant. In radiation oncology, lower dose rates allow for more efficient repair of accumulated sublethal DNA damage within both tumors and surrounding normal tissues, which could potentially impact both tumor control and risks for toxicity in later phases of the treatment. Similarly, the dose rate of cobalt-60 was hypothesized to affect pain control in patients with TN⁵⁷. Calibration dose rate (CDR), a physical measurement in a standard phantom, is not the same as the dose rate in the tissue of a human patient. Because patient parameters depend on the activity of the sources, the collimator used, the individual patient geometry, and the degree of sector blocking, BED of a given physical radiation dose in tissue will decline as a function of increasing exposure time⁶³.

Few studies have evaluated the impact of CDR or BED on clinical outcomes in TN, and the results were inconsistent. Balamucki et al.⁵ studied 239 GKRS procedures in patients with TN and found no significant association between dose rate or treatment time and pain control rate. Arai et al.³ studied 165 patients with medically intractable TN who underwent 80-Gy GKRS using a single 4-mm collimator uniformly. The authors divided the patients into a low dose rate (1.21 to 2.05 Gy/min) and a high dose rate (2.06 to 3.74 Gy/min) group. The results were not significantly different in terms of pain control or trigeminal dysfunction³. Both studies claimed that the patients could consider receiving similar treatment with

GKRS at any time during the first half-life of a cobalt source. However, recent studies have shown opposite results. Lee et al.³⁵ suggested that a higher dose rate of >2 Gy/min results in more pain control at early follow-up and a lower recurrence rate at later follow-up. The authors studied 133 patients with TN who were treated with 80-Gy GKRS using a single 4-mm isocenter without blocking, and within a dose rate from 1.28–2.95 Gy/min³⁵. Tuleasca et al.⁶³ suggested that safety and efficacy of GKRS in patients with TN might be achieved by prescribing a specific BED. Specifically, they calculated an optimal BED range associated with both long-term pain-free incidence of 90% and low risk of hypesthesia development of less than 10%. The optimal BED was determined to be 1820–1962.5 Gy_{2.47} (the BED was calculated with the tissue specific constant of 2.47. The tissue was white matter of central nervous system, and the authors named the unit of BED value of GKRS for TN as Gy_{2.47})⁶³. A recently published paper by Barzaghi et al.⁷ also shows that the radiation time and the prescription dose are important factors using the concept of BED in terms of long-term pain control. Long-lasting pain control was observed with a value of 2.5 Gy/min⁷. However, the number of participants in this study was very small, and earlier studies showed negative results. Further studies will need to elucidate the correlation of dose rate and outcomes and its clinical significance.

DTI IN TN

DTI can identify brain white matter tracts by tractography and offers a non-invasive, *in vivo* approach to assess axon and myelin microstructures using quantitative diffusion parameters. Fractional anisotropy (FA) is the most commonly used DTI metric to characterize white matter microstructure and is a strong prognostic indicator of clinical progression and treatment response in several pathological disorders. Other DTI metrics include radial diffusivity (RD), axial diffusivity (AD), and mean diffusivity (MD), which correlate with myelination, axonal integrity, and neuroinflammation, respectively^{1,44,58-60,62}. Recent multisensor, high-spatial-resolution nerve-specific DTI protocols have enabled detailed visualization of both the peripheral and central (brainstem) components of the trigeminal nerve pathway^{9,11,12}.

TN studies using DTI have been performed to understand

the pathophysiology and to show the clinical correlation of DTI. Patients with TN have lower FA and higher AD, RD, and MD within the TN-affected, ipsilateral trigeminal nerve REZ^{13,24,34}. Trigeminal tractography could detect the radiosurgical target where FA values were dropped by 47% focally. This finding showed highly focal changes after GKRS. Radial but not axial diffusivities increased significantly after GKRS, suggesting that this irradiation technique primarily affects myelin. The reversal of FA towards baseline values correlated with pain recurrence at the long-term follow-up²⁵. Different patterns of pre-treatment diffusivities can differentiate responders from non-responders to treatment. Long-term responders have unique microstructural abnormalities (lower AD and MD) localized to the cisternal segment of the trigeminal nerve, whereas non-responders have abnormalities located more centrally (lower FA at REZ, higher AD at the pontine segment). This may reflect that the TN-induced structural alterations may have functional consequences, resulting in central manifestations of TN pain²⁷. FA remained lower at the long-term follow-up (24 months after GKRS) in responders. Therefore, a decrease in FA was suggested to be potentially useful as a biomarker for successful pain relief²⁸.

In a recently published paper, different DTI metrics were found across different subtypes of TN (classical, multiple sclerosis, solitary pontine lesion) that could differentiate good treatment responders and classical TN from other subtypes. This clinical response spectrum was associated with the degree of brainstem trigeminal fiber microstructural abnormalities. Specifically, microstructural abnormalities in the affected pontine trigeminal fibers (lower FA and higher RD) were found in treatment non-responders compared with responders and controls⁶². Further studies are needed to strengthen the role of the DTI metrics as biomarkers in TN. These biomarkers may be used in the differential diagnosis of TN, which relies solely on the patient's description and in predicting the prognosis after treatment.

REPEAT GKRS FOR TN

Patient characteristics important for determining the optimal treatment option usually do not change when TN relapses. If there is evidence of neurovascular compression and the general condition of the patient allows, MVD is considered as

the first treatment option. However, if the patient is inoperable and repeat GKRS is considered as the next treatment option, the efficacy and complications are key factors in choosing repeat GKRS. Repeat GKRS shows favorable clinical outcomes (BNI I–IIIb) in a median of 71.5% (range, 50–95%) of the patients. Although only minimal facial numbness was reported by patients, the occurrence of trigeminal nerve dysfunction was increased following repeat GKRS in a median of 42% (range, 11–74%) of the patients. The maximum target dose was reduced at the second radiation in most institutions by a median of 10 Gy (range, 0.9–35)^{4,8,10,15,19,20,22,23,30,45,48,49,56}. The trigeminal target at the second GKRS was usually placed more distally or proximally to minimize overlap. Tempel et al.⁶¹ placed the target where the overlap of the two radiosurgical volumes was by approximately 50%.

Several studies have tried to identify prognostic factors for repeat GKRS in patients with recurrent TN. Age, gender, duration of symptoms prior to initial GKRS, and the interval between GKRSs have no significant effect on outcomes⁴⁵. Good outcome (BNI I–IIIb) following the first GKRS is a major predictive factor for favorable response to repeat GKRS^{22,23,45,46,48}. Thirty-nine percent of patients with no response to the first procedure could still be treated by repeat GKRS⁴⁶. The facial numbness following not only repeat GKRS but also initial successful GKRS is another well-known positive predictive factor for a good response to repeat GKRS^{4,22,26,30,48}. However, a higher cumulative GKRS dose was associated with a greater likelihood of sensory sequelae, and the cumulative doses to the lateral pontine edge (>44–108.5 Gy) or to the target (115–130 Gy) were correlated with a newly occurring trigeminal sensory loss^{4,15,26,46}. Most of trigeminal nerve dysfunction was minimal facial numbness after repeat GKRS; the most significant form was anesthesia dolorosa, which occurred in 1.3% of the patients from one study²².

An additional third GKRS for recurrent TN after repeat GKRS has not been well described. The few reports have been limited to case reports or case series with a small number of patients. Tempel et al.⁶¹ performed a third GKRS in 17 patients, with a favorable pain control rate (BNI I–IIIb) in 94% of the patients initially and 76.4% at a mean follow-up of 22.9 months (range, 3–60). The outcome of the third GKRS was comparable to the outcome of the second GKRS for TN. The maximal treatment dose at the third procedure was a mean of 62.9 Gy (range, 40–80), and the mean cumulative dose was

208.5 Gy (range, 150–240). Although three patients (17.6%) developed new or had worse trigeminal nerve dysfunction after the first GKRS and another two patients (11.8%) after the second procedure, no patient experienced additional sensory disturbances after the third procedure⁶¹. A recently published study enrolled 22 cases, and favorable pain control rate (BNI I–IIIb) was achieved in 81.8% of these cases. The 1, 3, and 5-year rates of favorable pain relief were 62.7%, 53.8%, and 40.3%, respectively. The median dose at the third GKRS was 75 Gy (interquartile range, 75–80), and the median maximal radiosurgical dose to the trigeminal nerve was 222.4 Gy (interquartile range, 200.8–232.3). Ten cases (45.5%) experienced new or worsening facial numbness, a rate similar to that of the second GKRS. Four cases of dry eye and one case of corneal abrasion were reported, especially in cases with proximally placed shots²¹. Third GKRS procedure for TN may be a viable treatment option in patients who are inoperable. Treatment results are similar to those seen in the initial and second GKRS, but trigeminal nerve dysfunction occurs at a higher rate if the shot is placed proximally along the nerve^{21,46}.

CONCLUSIONS

GKRS has become one of the well-established primary treatment modalities for medically refractory TN and salvage treatment for patients following the failure of other treatments. It is the least invasive treatment option, with the highest safety profile among various neurosurgical treatment modalities. Initial pain relief can be achieved in the majority of patients, and durability of the effectiveness is favorable compared to other treatments including medication. Meanwhile, disadvantages of GKRS include a latency period before pain relief and a substantial rate of recurrence at the long-term follow-up. Recent advances in radiation biology and neuroimaging are expected to refine GKRS techniques and improve outcomes in patients with TN.

AUTHORS' DECLARATION

Conflicts of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Informed consent

This type of study does not require informed consent.

Author contributions

Conceptualization : JIL; Writing - original draft : SL; Writing - review & editing : SL, JIL

Data sharing

None

Preprint

None

ORCID

Seunghoon Lee <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1937-0074>
Jung-II Lee <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8143-5513>

References

- Alexander AL, Lee JE, Lazar M, Field AS : Diffusion tensor imaging of the brain. **Neurotherapeutics** 4 : 316-329, 2007
- Alpert TE, Chung CT, Mitchell LT, Hodge CJ, Montgomery CT, Bogart JA, et al. : Gamma knife surgery for trigeminal neuralgia: improved initial response with two isocenters and increasing dose. **J Neurosurg** 102 Suppl : 185-188, 2005
- Arai Y, Kano H, Lunsford LD, Novotny J Jr, Niranjana A, Flickinger JC, et al. : Does the Gamma Knife dose rate affect outcomes in radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia? **J Neurosurg** 113 Suppl : 168-171, 2010
- Aubuchon AC, Chan MD, Lovato JF, Balamucki CJ, Ellis TL, Tatter SB, et al. : Repeat gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** 81 : 1059-1065, 2011
- Balamucki CJ, Stieber VW, Ellis TL, Tatter SB, Deguzman AF, McMullen KP, et al. : Does dose rate affect efficacy? The outcomes of 256 gamma knife surgery procedures for trigeminal neuralgia and other types of facial pain as they relate to the half-life of cobalt. **J Neurosurg** 105 : 730-735, 2006
- Barker FG 2nd, Jannetta PJ, Bissonette DJ, Larkins MV, Jho HD : The long-term outcome of microvascular decompression for trigeminal neuralgia. **N Engl J Med** 334 : 1077-1083, 1996
- Barzaghi LR, Albano L, Scudieri C, Gigliotti CR, Del Vecchio A, Mortini P : Factors affecting long-lasting pain relief after Gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia: a single institutional analysis and literature review. **Neurosurg Rev** 44 : 2797-2808, 2021
- Baschnagel AM, Cartier JL, Dreyer J, Chen PY, Pieper DR, Olson RE, et al. : Trigeminal neuralgia pain relief after gamma knife stereotactic ra-

- diosurgery. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* **117** : 107-111, 2014
9. Behan B, Chen DQ, Sammartino F, DeSouza DD, Wharton-Shukster E, Hodaie M : Comparison of diffusion-weighted MRI reconstruction methods for visualization of cranial nerves in posterior fossa surgery. *Front Neurosci* **11** : 554, 2017
 10. Brisman R : Repeat gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* **81** : 43-49, 2003
 11. Chen DQ, DeSouza DD, Hayes DJ, Davis KD, O'Connor P, Hodaie M : Diffusivity signatures characterize trigeminal neuralgia associated with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* **22** : 51-63, 2016
 12. Danyluk H, Sankar T, Beaulieu C : High spatial resolution nerve-specific DTI protocol outperforms whole-brain DTI protocol for imaging the trigeminal nerve in healthy individuals. *NMR Biomed* **34** : e4427, 2021
 13. DeSouza DD, Hodaie M, Davis KD : Abnormal trigeminal nerve microstructure and brain white matter in idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia. *Pain* **155** : 37-44, 2014
 14. Dhople AA, Adams JR, Maggio WW, Naqvi SA, Regine WF, Kwok Y : Long-term outcomes of Gamma knife radiosurgery for classic trigeminal neuralgia: implications of treatment and critical review of the literature. Clinical article. *J Neurosurg* **111** : 351-358, 2009
 15. Dvorak T, Finn A, Price LL, Mignano JE, Fitzek MM, Wu JK, et al. : Retreatment of trigeminal neuralgia with Gamma knife radiosurgery: is there an appropriate cumulative dose? Clinical article. *J Neurosurg* **111** : 359-364, 2009
 16. Erbay SH, Bhadelia RA, Riesenburger R, Gupta P, O'Callaghan M, Yun E, et al. : Association between neurovascular contact on MRI and response to Gamma knife radiosurgery in trigeminal neuralgia. *Neuroradiology* **48** : 26-30, 2006
 17. Flickinger JC, Pollock BE, Kondziolka D, Phuong LK, Foote RL, Stafford SL, et al. : Does increased nerve length within the treatment volume improve trigeminal neuralgia radiosurgery? A prospective double-blind, randomized study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* **51** : 449-454, 2001
 18. Fountas KN, Lee GP, Smith JR : Outcome of patients undergoing gamma knife stereotactic radiosurgery for medically refractory idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia: Medical College of Georgia's experience. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* **84** : 88-96, 2006
 19. Gellner V, Kurschel S, Kreil W, Holl EM, Ofner-Kopeinig P, Unger F : Recurrent trigeminal neuralgia: long term outcome of repeat Gamma knife radiosurgery. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **79** : 1405-1407, 2008
 20. Hasegawa T, Kondziolka D, Spiro R, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD : Repeat radiosurgery for refractory trigeminal neuralgia. *Neurosurgery* **50** : 494-500; discussion 500-502, 2002
 21. Helis CA, Hughes RT, Munley MT, Bourland JD, Jacobson T, Lucas JT, et al. : Results of a third Gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. *J Neurosurg* **134** : 1237-1243, 2020
 22. Helis CA, Lucas JT Jr, Bourland JD, Chan MD, Tatter SB, Laxton AW : Repeat radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. *Neurosurgery* **77** : 755-761; discussion 761, 2015
 23. Herman JM, Petit JH, Amin P, Kwok Y, Dutta PR, Chin LS : Repeat gamma knife radiosurgery for refractory or recurrent trigeminal neuralgia: treatment outcomes and quality-of-life assessment. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* **59** : 112-116, 2004
 24. Herweh C, Kress B, Rasche D, Tronnier V, Tröger J, Sartor K, et al. : Loss of anisotropy in trigeminal neuralgia revealed by diffusion tensor imaging. *Neurology* **68** : 776-778, 2007
 25. Hodaie M, Chen DQ, Quan J, Laperriere N : Tractography delineates microstructural changes in the trigeminal nerve after focal radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. *PLoS One* **7** : e32745, 2012
 26. Huang CF, Chuang JC, Tu HT, Chou MC : Microsurgical outcomes after failed repeated Gamma Knife surgery for refractory trigeminal neuralgia. *J Neurosurg* **105 Suppl** : 117-119, 2006
 27. Hung PS, Chen DQ, Davis KD, Zhong J, Hodaie M : Predicting pain relief: use of pre-surgical trigeminal nerve diffusion metrics in trigeminal neuralgia. *Neuroimage Clin* **15** : 710-718, 2017
 28. Hung PS, Tohyama S, Zhang JY, Hodaie M : Temporal disconnection between pain relief and trigeminal nerve microstructural changes after Gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. *J Neurosurg* **133** : 727-735, 2019
 29. Kim YH, Kim DG, Kim JW, Kim YH, Han JH, Chung HT, et al. : Is it effective to raise the irradiation dose from 80 to 85 Gy in Gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia? *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* **88** : 169-176, 2010
 30. Kimball BY, Sorenson JM, Cunningham D : Repeat Gamma knife surgery for trigeminal neuralgia: long-term results. *J Neurosurg* **113 Suppl** : 178-183, 2010
 31. Kondziolka D, Flickinger JC, Lunsford LD, Habeck M : Trigeminal neuralgia radiosurgery: the University of Pittsburgh experience. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* **66 Suppl 1** : 343-348, 1996
 32. Kondziolka D, Lunsford LD, Flickinger JC, Young RF, Vermeulen S, Duma CM, et al. : Stereotactic radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia: a multi-institutional study using the gamma unit. *J Neurosurg* **84** : 940-945, 1996
 33. Kondziolka D, Zorro O, Lobato-Polo J, Kano H, Flannery TJ, Flickinger JC, et al. : Gamma knife stereotactic radiosurgery for idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia. *J Neurosurg* **112** : 758-765, 2010
 34. Leal PRL, Roch JA, Hermier M, Souza MAN, Cristino-Filho G, Sindou M : Structural abnormalities of the trigeminal root revealed by diffusion tensor imaging in patients with trigeminal neuralgia caused by neurovascular compression: a prospective, double-blind, controlled study. *Pain* **152** : 2357-2364, 2011
 35. Lee JY, Sandhu S, Miller D, Solberg T, Dorsey JF, Alonso-Basanta M : Higher dose rate Gamma knife radiosurgery may provide earlier and longer-lasting pain relief for patients with trigeminal neuralgia. *J Neurosurg* **123** : 961-968, 2015
 36. Leksell L : The stereotaxic method and radiosurgery of the brain. *Acta Chir Scand* **102** : 316-319, 1951
 37. Lindquist C, Kihlström L, Hellstrand E : Functional neurosurgery--a future for the Gamma knife? *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg* **57** : 72-81, 1991
 38. Linskey ME, Ratanatharathorn V, Peñagaricano J : A prospective cohort study of microvascular decompression and Gamma knife surgery in patients with trigeminal neuralgia. *J Neurosurg* **109 Suppl** : 160-172, 2008

39. Longhi M, Rizzo P, Nicolato A, Foroni R, Reggio M, Gerosa M : Gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia: results and potentially predictive parameters--part I: idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia. **Neurosurgery** **61** : 1254-1260; discussion 1260-1261, 2007
40. Lucas JT Jr, Nida AM, Isom S, Marshall K, Bourland JD, Laxton AW, et al. : Predictive nomogram for the durability of pain relief from Gamma knife radiation surgery in the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** **89** : 120-126, 2014
41. Marshall K, Chan MD, McCoy TP, Aubuchon AC, Bourland JD, McMullen KP, et al. : Predictive variables for the successful treatment of trigeminal neuralgia with gamma knife radiosurgery. **Neurosurgery** **70** : 566-572; discussion 572-573, 2012
42. Matsuda S, Serizawa T, Nagano O, Ono J : Comparison of the results of 2 targeting methods in Gamma Knife surgery for trigeminal neuralgia. **J Neurosurg** **109 Suppl** : 185-189, 2008
43. Mendelson ZS, Velagala JR, Kohli G, Heir GM, Mammis A, Liu JK : Pain-free outcomes and durability of surgical intervention for trigeminal neuralgia: a comparison of gamma knife and microvascular decompression. **World Neurosurg** **112** : e732-e746, 2018
44. O'Donnell LJ, Westin CF : An introduction to diffusion tensor image analysis. **Neurosurg Clin N Am** **22** : 185-196, viii, 2011
45. Omar NB, Amburgy JW, Self DM, Christen AM, Larios EA, Ditty BJ, et al. : Repeat gamma knife stereotactic radiosurgery in the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia: a single-center experience and focused review of the literature. **J Clin Neurosci** **70** : 102-107, 2019
46. Park KJ, Kondziolka D, Berkowitz O, Kano H, Novotny J Jr, Niranjan A, et al. : Repeat gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. **Neurosurgery** **70** : 295-305; discussion 305, 2012
47. Park SH, Hwang SK, Kang DH, Park J, Hwang JH, Sung JK : The retrogas-serian zone versus dorsal root entry zone: comparison of two targeting techniques of Gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. **Acta Neurochir (Wien)** **152** : 1165-1170, 2010
48. Pollock BE, Foote RL, Link MJ, Stafford SL, Brown PD, Schomberg PJ : Repeat radiosurgery for idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** **61** : 192-195, 2005
49. Pollock BE, Foote RL, Stafford SL, Link MJ, Gorman DA, Schomberg PJ : Results of repeated gamma knife radiosurgery for medically unresponsive trigeminal neuralgia. **J Neurosurg** **93 Suppl 3** : 162-164, 2000
50. Pollock BE, Phuong LK, Gorman DA, Foote RL, Stafford SL : Stereotactic radiosurgery for idiopathic trigeminal neuralgia. **J Neurosurg** **97** : 347-353, 2002
51. Rand RW, Jacques DB, Melbye RW, Copcutt BG, Levenick MN, Fisher MR : Leksell Gamma knife treatment of tic douloureux. **Stereotact Funct Neurosurg** **61 Suppl 1** : 93-102, 1993
52. Régis J, Tuleasca C, Resseguier N, Carron R, Donnet A, Gaudart J, et al. : Long-term safety and efficacy of Gamma knife surgery in classical trigeminal neuralgia: a 497-patient historical cohort study. **J Neurosurg** **124** : 1079-1087, 2016
53. Régis J, Tuleasca C, Resseguier N, Carron R, Donnet A, Yomo S, et al. : The very long-term outcome of radiosurgery for classical trigeminal neuralgia. **Stereotact Funct Neurosurg** **94** : 24-32, 2016
54. Shaya M, Jawahar A, Caldito G, Sin A, Willis BK, Nanda A : Gamma knife radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia: a study of predictors of success, efficacy, safety, and outcome at LSUHSC. **Surg Neurol** **61** : 529-534; discussion 534-535, 2004
55. Sheehan J, Pan HC, Stroila M, Steiner L : Gamma knife surgery for trigeminal neuralgia: outcomes and prognostic factors. **J Neurosurg** **102** : 434-441, 2005
56. Shetter AG, Rogers CL, Ponce F, Fiedler JA, Smith K, Speiser BL : Gamma knife radiosurgery for recurrent trigeminal neuralgia. **J Neurosurg** **97(5 Suppl)** : 536-538, 2002
57. Smith DR, Saadatmand HJ, Wu CC, Black PJ, Wu YR, Lesser J, et al. : Treatment outcomes and dose rate effects following Gamma knife stereotactic radiosurgery for vestibular schwannomas. **Neurosurgery** **85** : E1084-E1094, 2019
58. Song SK, Sun SW, Ju WK, Lin SJ, Cross AH, Neufeld AH : Diffusion tensor imaging detects and differentiates axon and myelin degeneration in mouse optic nerve after retinal ischemia. **Neuroimage** **20** : 1714-1722, 2003
59. Song SK, Sun SW, Ramsbottom MJ, Chang C, Russell J, Cross AH : Demyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. **Neuroimage** **17** : 1429-1436, 2002
60. Song SK, Yoshino J, Le TQ, Lin SJ, Sun SW, Cross AH, et al. : Demyelination increases radial diffusivity in corpus callosum of mouse brain. **Neuroimage** **26** : 132-140, 2005
61. Tempel ZJ, Chivukula S, Monaco EA 3rd, Bowden G, Kano H, Niranjan A, et al. : The results of a third Gamma knife procedure for recurrent trigeminal neuralgia. **J Neurosurg** **122** : 169-179, 2015
62. Tohyama S, Walker MR, Zhang JY, Cheng JC, Hodaie M : Brainstem trigeminal fiber microstructural abnormalities are associated with treatment response across subtypes of trigeminal neuralgia. **Pain** **162** : 1790-1799, 2021
63. Tuleasca C, Paddick I, Hopewell JW, Jones B, Millar WT, Hamdi H, et al. : Establishment of a therapeutic ratio for Gamma knife radiosurgery of trigeminal neuralgia: the critical importance of biologically effective dose versus physical dose. **World Neurosurg** **134** : e204-e213, 2020
64. Tuleasca C, Régis J, Sahgal A, De Salles A, Hayashi M, Ma L, et al. : Stereotactic radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia: a systematic review. **J Neurosurg** **130** : 733-757, 2018
65. Wang DD, Raygor KP, Cage TA, Ward MM, Westcott S, Barbaro NM, et al. : Prospective comparison of long-term pain relief rates after first-time microvascular decompression and stereotactic radiosurgery for trigeminal neuralgia. **J Neurosurg** **128** : 68-77, 2018
66. Wang JY, Bender MT, Bettogowda C : Percutaneous procedures for the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia. **Neurosurg Clin N Am** **27** : 277-295, 2016
67. Xu Z, Schlesinger D, Moldovan K, Przybylowski C, Sun X, Lee CC, et al. : Impact of target location on the response of trigeminal neuralgia to stereotactic radiosurgery. **J Neurosurg** **120** : 716-724, 2014
68. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. **Cephalalgia** **38** : 1-211, 2018