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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the $n$-dimensional Möbius transformation. We obtain several conjugacy invariants and give a conjugacy classification for $n$-dimensional Möbius transformation.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we will adopt the same notations and definitions as in $[1,8,10,11]$ such as, complex Möbius transformations, $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, the Möbius group $M\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$, the Clifford matrix group $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$, the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ and so on. For example, complex Möbius transformations: Any $2 \times 2$ matrix $A$ in $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ induces complex Möbius transformations $g$ by the formula $A \rightarrow g_{A}=g$, where $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right), g_{A}=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d} ; \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ : The collection of all complex Möbius transformations for which $a d-b c$ takes the value 1 forms a group which can be identified with $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, a member $f$ of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is simple if it is conjugate in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to an element of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The map $f$ is $k$-simple if it may be expressed as the composite of $k$ simple transformations but no fewer. For more details, see $[1,4-6,8-10,12,13]$ etc.

It is well known that to study the conjugacy classification of Möbius transformation is very important and there has been an active research in this area. In 1983, Beardon [3] proved that $\operatorname{trace}^{2}(g)$ (we often abbreviate $\operatorname{trace}^{2}(g)$ to $\operatorname{tr}^{2}(g)$ or $\left.\tau_{g}^{2}\right)$ is invariant under any conjugation $g \mapsto h g h^{-1}$ and he established the conjugacy classification of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Let $g \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, if $\tau_{g}^{2} \geq 0$, then $g$ is 1 -simple and if $\operatorname{tr}^{2}(g)=4$, then $g$ is parabolic; if $\operatorname{tr}^{2}(g) \in[0,4)$, then $g$ is elliptic; if $\operatorname{tr}^{2}(g) \in(4, \infty)$, then $g$ is hyperbolic. If $\operatorname{tr}^{2}(g)$ is either not real or is negative, then $g$ is 2 -simple and loxodromic. In 2004, Foreman [7] used the quaternionic formalism of Möbius transformations on $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^{4}$ to derive conjugacy invariants on $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{H})$. For a matrix $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{H})$, we define

[^0]$\gamma_{A}=|a|^{2}+|d|^{2}+4 \operatorname{Re}(a) \operatorname{Re}(d)-2 \operatorname{Re}(b c)$ and $\delta_{A}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\tau_{A}\right)$. Foreman proved that $\gamma_{A}$ and $\delta_{A}$ on $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{H})$ are conjugate invariant. In 2008, by Foreman's conjugacy invariants, Parker [11] had the following conjugacy classification which was more general than Beardon's result. Let $g \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{H})$. Case (a) if $\tau_{g} \in \mathbb{R}$, then $g$ is 1 -simple and if $\delta_{g}^{2} \in[0,4)$, then $g$ is elliptic; if $\delta_{g}^{2}=4$, then $g$ is parabolic; if $\delta_{g}^{2} \in(4, \infty)$, then $g$ is loxodromic. Case (b) if $\beta_{g}=\delta_{g}$ and $\tau_{g} \notin \mathbb{R}$, then $g$ is 2 -simple if $\gamma_{g}-\delta_{g}^{2}<2$, then $g$ is elliptic; if $\gamma_{g}-\delta_{g}^{2}=2$, then $g$ is parabolic and if $\gamma_{g}-\delta_{g}^{2}>2$, then $g$ is loxodromic. Case (c) if $\beta_{g} \neq \delta_{g}$ then $g$ is 3-simple and loxodromic.

As the first main aim of this paper, we will study the conjugacy invariants further and prove.
Theorem 1.1. Given $A \in \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$, $c \in V^{n}$. Then $\gamma_{A}$ is preserved under conjugation in $\mathrm{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$. If $\tau_{A}$ is real, then it is also preserved under conjugation in $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$.

Following Theorem 1.1, we have:
Corollary 1.2. Let $f \in M\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ with $c \in V^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. Then $f$ is conjugate to a real Möbius transformation if and only if $\tau_{f} \in \mathbb{R}$.

As the second main aim of this paper, by using Theorem 1.1, we will discuss the conjugacy classification of $n$-dimensional Möbius transformation.

Theorem 1.3. Let $f$ be an n-dimensional Möbius transformation with $c \in$ $V^{n} \backslash\{0\}$.
(a) If $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f$ is 1 -simple
(i) If $0 \leq \tau^{2}<4$, then $f$ is elliptic;
(ii) If $\tau^{2}=4$, then $f$ is parabolic;
(iii) If $\tau^{2}>4$, then $f$ is hyperbolic.
(b) If $\tau \notin \mathbb{R}$, then $f$ is not parabolic.
(i) If $\gamma-\delta^{2}>2$, then $f$ is loxodromic and $f$ is 2 -simple or 3 -simple;
(ii) If $\gamma-\delta^{2}=2$, then $f$ is elliptic and $f$ is 2 -simple;
(iii) If $\gamma-\delta^{2}<2$, then $f$ is fixed point free.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.1 is a generalization of Foreman's conjugacy invariants $\gamma$ and $\delta$ in [7].
Remark 1.5. Corollary 1.1 is a generalization of Theorem 1.2 in [11].
Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of Parker's conjugacy classification in [11] into the case of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{H})$.

## 2. Preliminaries

The Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ shall be the associative algebra over the reals generated by elements $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n-1}$ subject to the relations $i_{h}^{2}=-1$ and $i_{h} i_{t}=$ $-i_{t} i_{h}, i_{t}^{2}=-1$. Every $q \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$ has a unique representation of the form

$$
q=\sum q_{I} I, q_{I} \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } I=i_{v_{1}} i_{v_{2}} \cdots i_{v_{p}} \text { with } 0<v_{1}<\cdots<v_{p}<n .
$$

Clifford numbers of the from $q=q_{0}+q_{1} i_{1}+\cdots+q_{n-1} i_{n-1}$ are called vectors. Obviously, when $n=3, \mathcal{C}_{3}=\mathbb{H}$. The Clifford group $\Gamma_{n}$ consists of all $q \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$ which can be written an products of non-zero vectors in $V^{n}$. We denote this real vector space by $V^{n}$ and it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as a vector space. The algebra $a \in \mathcal{C}_{n}$ has three important involutions:
(1) $a^{\prime}=a_{0}+\sum a_{p} I_{p}^{\prime}, I_{p}^{\prime}=\left(-i_{1}\right)\left(-i_{2}\right) \cdots\left(-i_{p}\right)=(-1)^{p} I_{p}$;
(2) $a^{*}=a_{0}+\sum a_{p} I_{p}^{*}, I_{p}^{*}=i_{p} i_{p-1} \cdots i_{1}=(-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} I_{p}$;
(3) $\bar{a}=a_{0}+\sum a_{v} \overline{I_{v}}, \overline{I_{v}}=\left(-i_{p}\right)\left(-i_{p-1}\right) \cdots\left(-i_{1}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}} I_{p}$.

It is obvious that $(a b)^{\prime}=a^{\prime} b^{\prime},(a b)^{*}=b^{*} a^{*}, \overline{a b}=\bar{b} \bar{a}$. If $a, b \in \Gamma_{n}$, then $|a b|=|a||b|, a \bar{a}=\bar{a} a=|a|^{2}$.

From Ahlfors [2] we have the following general definition:
Definition 2.1. The matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ belongs to the group $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ if
(i) $a, b, c, d \in \Gamma_{n} \cup\{0\}$;
(ii) $a d^{*}-b c^{*}=1$;
(iii) $a b^{*}, c d^{*}, c^{*} a, d^{*} b \in V^{n}$.

An $n$-dimensional Möbius transformation $f$ is an invertible map of $\bar{V}^{n}=$ $V^{n} \cup\{\infty\}$ of the form $f(x)=(a x+b)(c x+d)^{-1}$ which is induced by the formula $A \rightarrow f_{A}=f$, where $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$. The map $A \mapsto f$ from $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to the Möbius group $M\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ is a surjective homomorphism. In future, whenever we refer to $A, f$ or an ' $n$-dimensional Möbius transformation', we refer to the quantities described above.

A real Möbius transformation in $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ is a member of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ with real coefficients. A member $f$ of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ is simple if it is conjugate in $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to an element of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The map $f$ is $k$-simple if it may be expressed as the composite of $k$ simple transformations but no fewer. The group $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ contains $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ as subgroup and we further have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. If $A \in \operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right), c \in V^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, then $A$ is less than 4 -simple.
Proof. A has the factorization

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a c^{-1} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c^{*-1} & 0 \\
0 & c
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & c^{-1} d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \beta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & \beta \\
0 & r^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \beta^{-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & 1 \\
0 & r^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

then $\left(\begin{array}{cc}r & \beta \\ 0 & r^{-1}\end{array}\right)$ is 1-simple, where $\beta \in V^{n}, r \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
Suppose that $t \in V^{n}$ and $|t|=1$. There exist real numbers $x$ and $y$ and purely imaginary unit vector $\mu$ such that $t=x+\mu y$, where $\mu=\mu_{1} i_{1}+\mu_{2} i_{2}+$ $\cdots+\mu_{n-1} i_{n-1},|\mu|=1$.

From the matrix equation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu & 1 \\
1 & \mu
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x+\mu y & 0 \\
0 & x-\mu y
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mu & 1 \\
1 & \mu
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & -y \\
y & x
\end{array}\right)
$$

we see that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}t & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}\end{array}\right)$ is 1-simple.
Further, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
|c|^{-1} & a c^{-1} \\
0 & |c|
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{t} & 0 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & c^{-1} d \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $c=|c| t,|t|=1, t \in V^{n}$.
From the above discussion, we have $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mid c c^{-1} & a c^{-1} \\ 0 & |c|\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & c^{-1} d \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ are 1-simple, $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\bar{t} & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{array}\right)$ is 1 -simple, then $A$ is less than 4 -simple.

## 3. The proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to [1], we have $\mathrm{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ is generated by matrices of the from

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & 0 \\
0 & r^{-1}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\beta \in V^{n}, r \in \mathbb{R},|\lambda|=1, \lambda \in \Gamma_{n}$. Denote one of these matrices by $P$. Let $B=P A P^{-1}$. It suffices to show that for each choice of $P$, we have $\tau_{A}=\tau_{B}$ and $\gamma_{A}=\gamma_{B}$ :

In the first case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a+\beta c & -a \beta-\beta c \beta+b+\beta d \\
c & d-c \beta
\end{array}\right) \\
& \tau_{B}=a+\beta c+(d-c \beta)^{*}=\tau_{A} \\
& \gamma_{B}=|a+\beta c|^{2}+|d-c \beta|^{2}+4 \operatorname{Re}(a+\beta c) \operatorname{Re}(d-c \beta) \\
&-2 \operatorname{Re}[(-a \beta-\beta c \beta+b+\beta d) c] \\
&=|a|^{2}+|d|^{2}+2|\beta c|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}(a \cdot \overline{\beta c})-2 \operatorname{Re}(d \cdot \overline{c \beta}) \\
&+4 \operatorname{Re}(a) \operatorname{Re}(d)-4 \operatorname{Re}(a) \operatorname{Re}(c \beta)+4 \operatorname{Re}(\beta c) \operatorname{Re}(d) \\
&-4 \operatorname{Re}(\beta c) \operatorname{Re}(c \beta)+2 \operatorname{Re}(a \beta c)+2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta c \beta c)-2 \operatorname{Re}(b c)-2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta d c) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta, c \in V^{n}$, then $\beta c=q_{0}+q_{1} I_{1}+q_{2} I_{2}$. With the third involution, we have $\overline{\beta c}=q_{0}-q_{1} I_{1}-q_{2} I_{2}$. This shows that $\beta c+\overline{\beta c}$ is real. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{B}= & \gamma_{A}+2 \operatorname{Re}(a \cdot \overline{\beta c})-4 \operatorname{Re}(a) \operatorname{Re}(c \beta)+2 \operatorname{Re}(a \beta c)+2|\beta c|^{2} \\
& -4 \operatorname{Re}(\beta c) \operatorname{Re}(c \beta)+2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta c \beta c)-2 \operatorname{Re}(d \cdot \overline{c \beta})+4 \operatorname{Re}(\beta c) \operatorname{Re}(d)-2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta d c) \\
= & \gamma_{A}+2 \operatorname{Re}(a)[\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\beta c}+\beta c)-2 \operatorname{Re}(c \beta)]+2 \operatorname{Re}(\beta c)[\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\beta c}+\beta c)-2 \operatorname{Re}(c \beta)] \\
& +\operatorname{Re}(d)[-\operatorname{Re}(\overline{c \beta}+c \beta)+2 \operatorname{Re}(c \beta)]=\gamma_{A} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second case we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & 0 \\
0 & r^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & 0 \\
0 & r^{-1}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & r^{2} b \\
r^{-2} c & d
\end{array}\right), \\
& \tau_{B}=a+d^{*}=\tau_{A}, \\
& \gamma_{B}=|a|^{2}+|d|^{2}+4 \operatorname{Re}(a) \operatorname{Re}(d)-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(r^{2} b \cdot r^{-2} b\right)=\gamma_{A} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the third case we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d & -c \\
-b & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\tau_{A}$ is real, then $\tau_{A}=a+d^{*}=\left(a+d^{*}\right)^{*}=\tau_{B}$,

$$
\gamma_{B}=|a|^{2}+|d|^{2}+4 \operatorname{Re}(d) \operatorname{Re}(a)-2 \operatorname{Re}[(-c) \cdot(-b)]=\gamma_{A} .
$$

In the forth case we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda a \bar{\lambda} & \lambda b \lambda^{*} \\
\lambda^{\prime} \bar{\lambda} c & \lambda^{\prime} d \lambda^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\tau_{A}$ is real, then $\tau_{B}=\lambda\left(a+d^{*}\right) \bar{\lambda}=\left(a+d^{*}\right) \lambda \bar{\lambda}=\tau_{A}$,

$$
\gamma_{B}=|a|^{2}+|d|^{2}+4 \operatorname{Re}(\lambda a \bar{\lambda}) \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda^{\prime} d \lambda^{*}\right)-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda b \lambda^{*} \cdot \lambda^{\prime} c \bar{\lambda}\right)=\gamma_{A}
$$

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Let $f \in M\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ with $c \in V^{n} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f$ is conjugate to a real Möbius transformation, then $\tau_{f}$ is real, by Theorem 1.1. Conversely, According to Theorem 5.5 in [5], if $\tau_{f}$ is real and $c \in V^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, then $f$ is conjugate to a real Möbius transformation.

Now, we give a classification to the elements of $M\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ as follows. In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will adopt the following classification [6, 12-14].

Non-trivial element $f \in M\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right)$ is called
(1) fixed-point-free if it has no fixed points in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ and $f$ can be conjugate in $\mathrm{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{array}{c}\lambda-r^{2} t^{\prime} \\ t\end{array} \lambda^{\prime}\right),|\lambda|<1, r \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$;
(2) loxodromic if it (and its Poincare extension $\tilde{f}$ ) has two fixed points in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ (and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}$ ) and $f$ can be conjugate in SL $\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & r^{-1} \lambda^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$, where $r>0$, $r \neq 1, \lambda \in \Gamma_{n}$ and $|\lambda|=1 ;$
(3) parabolic if it has only one fixed point in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ and its Poincaré extension has infinitely many fixed points in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}$ and $f$ can be conjugate in $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ 0 & a^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$, where $a, b \in \Gamma_{n},|a|=1, b \neq 0$ and $a b=b a^{\prime} ;$
(4) elliptic if it has at least two fixed points in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}$ and $f$ can be conjugate in SL $\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$, where $u \in \Gamma_{n},|u|=1$ and $u \notin \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Case (a), using Theorem 1.1, $f$ is conjugate to a real Möbius transformation. Then corresponds to the usual classification for real Möbius transformations.

Case (b). We first prove that $f$ is not parabolic. Suppose $f$ is parabolic. Let $\beta=\frac{1}{2}\left(c^{-1} d+a c^{-1}\right)$ and $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}\left(a c^{-1}+c^{-1} d\right)$, we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\beta \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma c & \sigma c \sigma-c^{-1} \\
c & c \sigma
\end{array}\right)
$$

$f$ is parabolic $\Leftrightarrow f$ has only one fixed point on $M\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n}\right) \Leftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{c}\sigma c \sigma c \sigma-c^{-1} \\ c \\ c \sigma\end{array}\right)$ has only one fixed point on $V^{n}$. Suppose that $v$ is the fixed point of $\binom{\sigma c \sigma c \sigma-c^{-1}}{c}$. Then $v$ is the fixed point of $\left(\begin{array}{c}\sigma c \sigma c \sigma-c^{-1} \\ c \\ c \sigma\end{array}\right) \Leftrightarrow v$ satisfies the condition $c(v+\sigma) c(v-$ $\sigma)=-1 \Leftrightarrow v$ and $-v$ are simultaneously fixed points. So $A$ is parabolic $\Leftrightarrow v=-v \Leftrightarrow \sigma c \sigma-c^{-1}=0 \Leftrightarrow(c \sigma)^{2}=1$.

Since $(c \sigma)^{2}+|c \sigma|^{2}=(c \sigma)(c \sigma+\overline{c \sigma})=2, c \sigma+\overline{c \sigma}$ is real, then $c \sigma$ is real. This is a contradiction since $f$ is conjugate to a real Möbius transformation.
(i) If $f$ is loxodromic, $A$ is conjugate in $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}r \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & r^{-1} \lambda^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$, where $|\lambda|=$ $1, r>0, r \neq 1, \lambda \in \Gamma_{n}$, the map satisfies:

$$
\gamma-\delta^{2}=r^{2}+r^{-2}+\left[2-\left(r^{2}+r^{-2}\right)\right] R e^{2}(\lambda)>2
$$

since

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r \lambda & 0 \\
0 & r^{-1} \lambda^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & 0 \\
0 & r^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $u, v$ be fixed points of $A$ and we make the specific choice $h$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
h=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -u \\
(u-v)^{-1} & -(u-v)^{-1} v
\end{array}\right) \\
h f h^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(u-v)(c v+d)(u-v)^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & c u+d
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
c u+d=c\left(u+c^{-1} d\right)=r^{-1} \lambda^{\prime}, r^{-1}=\left|c\left(u+c^{-1} d\right)\right|, \lambda^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}^{\prime} \lambda_{2}^{\prime}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{\prime} \in V^{n}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r \lambda & 0 \\
0 & r^{-1} \lambda^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r & 0 \\
0 & r^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1, we have $f$ is 2 -simple or 3 -simple.
(ii) If $f$ is elliptic, $f$ can be conjugate in $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$, where $u \in \Gamma_{n}$, $|u|=1$ and $u \notin \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\gamma-\delta^{2}=|u|^{2}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2}+4 \operatorname{Re}(u) \operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime}\right)-\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(u+u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}=2
$$

Similar discussions as above, $f$ is conjugate to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$, then $f$ is $2-$ simple.
(iii) If $f$ is fixed point free, $f$ can be conjugate in $\operatorname{SL}\left(2, \Gamma_{n}\right)$ to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & -r^{2} t^{\prime} \\ t & \lambda^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$, $|\lambda|<1, r \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$.

$$
\gamma-\delta^{2}=2\left(|\lambda|^{2}+r^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(t^{\prime} t\right)\right)<2
$$

Remark 3.1. Similarly, $c \in V^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ can be replaced by $b \in V^{n} \backslash\{0\}$.
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