

Δ -TRANSITIVITY FOR SEMIGROUP ACTIONS

TIAOYING ZENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study Δ -transitivity, Δ -weak mixing and Δ -mixing for semigroup actions and give several characterizations of them, which generalize related results in the literature.

1. Introduction

By a (topological) dynamical system, we mean a pair (X, g) , where X is a compact metric space and $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map.

The study of transitive systems and its classification plays a big role in topological dynamics. Many authors have done much work in classifying transitive systems by their recurrence properties. A dynamical system (X, g) is Δ -transitive if for every $d \geq 2$ there exists a residual subset X_0 of X such that for every $x \in X_0$ the diagonal d -tuple $x^{(d)} =: (x, x, \dots, x)$ has a dense orbit under the action $g \times g^2 \times \dots \times g^d$. In [9], Moothathu showed that Δ -transitivity implies weakly mixing, but there exists some strongly mixing systems which are not Δ -transitive. He also pointed out that multi-transitivity, weakly mixing and Δ -transitivity were equivalent for a minimal homeomorphism. In [7], Kwietniak and Oprocha extended this result to a non-invertible case. Using a class of Furstenberg families introduced in [3], Chen, Li and Lü in [4] characterized the entering time sets of transitive points into open sets in multi-transitive and Δ -transitive systems, answering several problems proposed in [7].

Recall that a dynamical system (X, g) is Δ -weakly mixing if the product system $(X \times X, g \times g)$ is Δ -transitive. In [5], the authors showed that this kind of Δ -weakly mixing is in fact equivalent to Δ -transitivity, and then Δ -weakly mixing shares similar properties of Δ -transitivity.

A dynamical system (X, g) is Δ -mixing if for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and infinite subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, there exists a residual subset X_0 of X such that for every $x \in X_0$, $\{(g^n x, g^{2n} x, \dots, g^{mn} x) : n \in A\}$ is dense in X^m . In [9], Moothathu gave some relations among multi-transitivity, Δ -transitivity and Δ -mixing.

Received February 16, 2022; Accepted April 22, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 37B05; Secondary 54H15.

Key words and phrases. Semigroup actions, G -system, Δ -transitivity, Δ -weak mixing, Δ -mixing.

In the past years, much attention has been paid to the research of dynamical systems under general semigroup actions, see [2,6,13–15] and references therein. In [16], we studied multi-transitivity and Δ -transitivity for semigroup actions, while giving several characterizations of them. In [16], by a G -system, we mean a triple (X, G, π) , where G is a discrete semigroup, X is a Polish space (i.e., a complete metrizable space) and

$$\pi : G \times X \rightarrow X, \quad (g, x) \mapsto gx$$

is a continuous action on $G \times X$ with the property that $\pi(g_1, \pi(g_2, x)) = \pi(g_1 g_2, x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Usually, we write the G -system as a pair (X, G) . We define Δ -transitivity for the system (X, G) in [16] as follows: the system (X, G) is Δ -transitive if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a residual subset X_0 of X such that for each $x \in X_0$, $\{(gx, g^2x, \dots, g^nx) : g \in G\}$ is dense in X^n .

In this paper we define a more powerful definition of Δ -transitivity under semigroup actions and attain stronger consequences.

In [1], Blanchard and Huang defined a local version of weak mixing, so called weakly mixing set, and proved that positive topological entropy implies the existence of weakly mixing sets. In [10–12], Oprocha and Zhang also discussed local versions of weak mixing extensively. In [5], the authors studied the property of Δ -weakly mixing and showed that a topological dynamical system with positive topological entropy has many Δ -weakly mixing subsets. Recently in [8], Liu extended the results in [5] to countable torsion-free discrete nilpotent group actions.

Recall that a group is *torsion-free* if any element has infinite order except the identity element. Liu defined Δ -transitivity in [8] as follows: Let (X, G) be a G -system, where G is a countable torsion-free discrete group with the unit e and $|X| \geq 2$. We say that (X, G) is Δ -transitive provided that there is a residual subset A of X such that for any $x \in A$, $d \geq 1$ and pairwise distinct $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, the orbit closure of the d -tuple (x, x, \dots, x) under the action $T_1 \times T_2 \times \dots \times T_d$ contains X^d , i.e.,

$$\overline{\{(T_1^n x, T_2^n x, \dots, T_d^n x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} = X^d.$$

Through the above ideas and results, we find that the definition of Δ -transitivity in [8] is a more general characterization of this property. There is a close connection between this definition of Δ -transitivity and other properties (such as topological entropy) in dynamical systems. In this paper, we define Δ -transitivity in a similar way as in [8] for semigroup actions. Our aim is to give characterizations of Δ -transitivity under semigroup actions of this notion and find some relations among Δ -transitivity, Δ -weakly mixing and Δ -mixing. Besides, we study local version of Δ -transitivity, and show that if G is abelian, a G -system is Δ -transitive if and only if it is Δ -weakly mixing while it is no longer true for Δ -transitive subsets and Δ -weakly mixing sets.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notions and results which will be used later. In Section 3, we study Δ-transitivity and Δ-mixing for semigroup actions. We give some characterizations of Δ-transitivity and discuss some properties of Δ-mixing for general semigroup actions.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z}_+ and \mathbb{Z} denote the set of all positive integers, non-negative integers and integers, respectively. The cardinality of a set B is denoted by $|B|$.

A subset $P \subset \mathbb{N}$ is *thick* if it contains arbitrarily long blocks of consecutive positive integers, that is, for every $n \geq 1$ there is $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{m_n, m_n + 1, \dots, m_n + n\} \subset P$. A subset P of \mathbb{N} is *syndetic* if it has a bounded gap, that is, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{n, n + 1, \dots, n + N\} \cap P \neq \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of \mathbb{N} with $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{A}$. If for every $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \subset A_1 \cap A_2$, then we say \mathcal{A} is a filter base.

Let G be a countable discrete semigroup with the identity e . By a G -system, we mean a pair (X, G) , where X is a compact metric space and there exists a continuous map $\phi : G \times X \rightarrow X$, $(g, x) \mapsto gx$ such that $\phi(e, x) = x$ and $\phi(h, \phi(gx)) = \phi(hg, x)$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$. For a G -system and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, (X^m, G) is also a G -system, where $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) := (gx_1, gx_2, \dots, gx_m)$ for any $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in X^m$ and $g \in G$. A semigroup G is *abelian* if $g_1g_2 = g_2g_1$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$. For a point $x \in X$, the *orbit* of x is the set $Gx := \{gx : g \in G\}$. For a point $x \in X$ and a subset U of X , we define the *hitting time set* of x into U by

$$N(x, U) = \{g \in G : gx \in U\}.$$

For two subsets U and V of X , we define the *hitting time set* of U and V by

$$N(U, V) = \{g \in G : U \cap g^{-1}V \neq \emptyset\}.$$

When G is the semigroup $(\mathbb{Z}_+, +)$, let $g : X \rightarrow X$, $x \rightarrow \phi(1, x)$. Then ϕ can be generated by g . In this case we denote the dynamical system by (X, g) .

A dynamical system (X, G) is called *transitive* if for every non-empty open subsets U and V of X , there exists $g \in G$ such that

$$U \cap g^{-1}V \neq \emptyset;$$

weakly mixing if $(X \times X, G)$ is transitive; *strongly mixing* if for every non-empty open subsets U and V of X , there exists a finite subset F of G such that

$$U \cap g^{-1}V \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall g \in G \setminus F.$$

Let G be a semigroup and \mathcal{P} denote the collection of all subsets of G . A subset \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{P} is called a *Furstenberg family* over G (or just a *family* over G), if it is hereditary upward, i.e., $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset G$ and $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ imply $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let (X, G) be a dynamical system and \mathcal{F} be a Furstenberg family over G . The system (X, G) is called \mathcal{F} -transitive if for every two non-empty open

subsets U and V of X , the hitting time set $N(U, V) \in \mathcal{F}$. We say that a point $x \in X$ is \mathcal{F} -transitive if for every non-empty open subset $U \subset X$, $N(x, U) \in \mathcal{F}$. The collection of \mathcal{F} -transitive points is denoted by $\text{Trans}_{\mathcal{F}}(X, G)$.

Convention. Unless otherwise specified, in the statement of our results we will assume that X has no isolated point and G is infinite.

3. Δ -transitivity

In this section, we define Δ -transitivity for general semigroup actions and derive some properties of Δ -transitivity.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a subset of \mathbb{N} . We say that (X, G) is Δ - A -transitive if for any $d \geq 1$ and pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, there exists a dense subset Y of X such that for any $x \in Y$

$$\{(g_1^n x, g_2^n x, \dots, g_d^n x) : n \in A\}$$

is dense in X^d . If $A = \mathbb{N}$, we say that (X, G) is Δ -transitive briefly.

Let (X, G) be a G -system. For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, non-empty open subsets U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d , we set

$$N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : U_0 \cap g_1^{-n} U_1 \cap \dots \cap g_d^{-n} U_d \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The following proposition give some equivalent conditions of Δ - A -transitivity, which extends the result in [9] for semigroup actions.

Proposition 3.2. Let (X, G) be a G -system and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) (X, G) is Δ - A -transitive;
- (2) for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$ and non-empty open subsets U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d ,

$$N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

- (3) for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, there exists a residual subset Y of X such that for any $x \in Y$

$$\{(g_1^n x, g_2^n x, \dots, g_d^n x) : n \in A\}$$

is dense in X^d .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and g_1, \dots, g_d be pairwise distinct elements of $G \setminus \{e\}$. Let U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d be non-empty open subsets of X . Choose $x \in U_0$ satisfying that

$$\{(g_1^n x, g_2^n x, \dots, g_d^n x) : n \in A\}$$

is dense in X^d . Then there exists some $n \in A$ such that $(g_1^n x, g_2^n x, \dots, g_d^n x) \in U_1 \times U_2 \times \dots \times U_d$, that is

$$x \in U_0 \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^d g_i^{-n}(U_i),$$

and thus $n \in N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap A$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and g_1, \dots, g_d be pairwise distinct elements of $G \setminus \{e\}$. Let $\{B_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable base of open balls of X . Set

$$Y = \bigcap_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} \bigcup_{n \in A} \bigcap_{i=1}^d g_i^{-n}(B_{k_i}).$$

The set $\bigcup_{n \in A} \bigcap_{i=1}^d g_i^{-n}(B_{k_i})$ is clearly open, and it is dense by (2). So by Baire category theorem, Y is a dense G_δ subset of X . It is easy to see that for a point $x \in X$ the set $\{(g_1^n x, g_2^n x, \dots, g_d^n x) : n \in A\}$ is dense in X^d if and only if $x \in Y$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It is obvious. □

When G is abelian, the equivalent condition for Δ -transitivity can be simpler, see the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (X, G) be a G -system with G abelian. Then (X, G) is Δ -transitive if and only if for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that*

$$\{(g_1^n x, g_2^n x, \dots, g_d^n x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is dense in X^d .

Proof. The necessity is clear. Now we need to show the sufficiency. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d be non-empty open subsets of X . Choose $h \in G$ such that $y = hx \in U_0$. Let g_1, \dots, g_d be pairwise distinct elements of $G \setminus \{e\}$. As G is abelian, the set

$$\{(g_1^n y, g_2^n y, \dots, g_d^n y) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is dense in X^d . Hence $(g_1^n y, g_2^n y, \dots, g_d^n y) \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_d$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^d g_i^{-n}(U_i)$. Then by Proposition 3.2, (X, G) is Δ -transitive. □

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $G = \{\sigma^n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then (X, G) is the full shift $(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$. Since there is a point $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that for every $d \geq 2$, the diagonal d -tuple (x, x, \dots, x) has a dense orbit under the action $\sigma \times \sigma^2 \times \dots \times \sigma^d$. Hence (X, G) is Δ -transitive by Lemma 3.3.

We say that (X, G) is Δ - A -weakly mixing if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (X^n, G) is Δ - A -transitive. If $A = \mathbb{N}$, then we say that (X, G) is Δ -weakly mixing. Now we show that for a G -system and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, Δ - A -transitive and Δ - A -weakly mixing are equivalent with G abelian by proving the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *Let (X, G) be a G -system with G abelian and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, G) is Δ - A -transitive;

(2) the collection of hitting time sets

$$W := \{N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap A : d \in \mathbb{N}, \\ U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d \text{ are non-empty open subsets of } X \text{ and} \\ g_1, \dots, g_d \text{ are pairwise distinct elements in } G \setminus \{e\}\}$$

is a filter base;

(3) (X, G) is Δ - A -weakly mixing.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By Proposition 3.2, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$ and non-empty open subsets U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d , the set

$$N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

For any $N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap A$ and $N(V_0; V_1, \dots, V_r \mid g_{d+1}, \dots, g_{d+r}) \cap A$ in W , choose $g_0 \in G \setminus \{e\}$ such that $g_1, \dots, g_d, g_0, g_{d+1}g_0, \dots, g_{d+r}g_0$ are pairwise distinct elements in $G \setminus \{e\}$.

Then for any $n \in N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d, V_0, V_1, \dots, V_r \mid g_1, \dots, g_d, g_0, g_{d+1}g_0, \dots, g_{d+r}g_0) \cap A$,

$$U_0 \cap g_1^{-n}U_1 \cap \dots \cap g_d^{-n}U_d \cap g_0^{-n}V_0 \cap g_0^{-n}g_{d+1}^{-n}V_1 \cap \dots \cap g_0^{-n}g_{d+r}^{-n}V_r \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus $U_0 \cap g_1^{-n}U_1 \cap \dots \cap g_d^{-n}U_d \neq \emptyset$ and $V_0 \cap g_{d+1}^{-n}V_1 \cap \dots \cap g_{d+r}^{-n}V_r \neq \emptyset$, which implies that $n \in N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap N(V_0; V_1, \dots, V_r \mid g_{d+1}, \dots, g_{d+r}) \cap A$. Then we have

$$N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d, V_0, V_1, \dots, V_r \mid g_1, \dots, g_d, g_0, g_{d+1}g_0, \dots, g_{d+r}g_0) \cap A \\ \subset N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap N(V_0; V_1, \dots, V_r \mid g_{d+1}, \dots, g_{d+r}) \cap A.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $m, n \geq 1$, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m be pairwise distinct elements of $G \setminus \{e\}$ and $U_{1,0}, U_{2,0}, \dots, U_{n,0}, U_{1,1}, U_{2,1}, \dots, U_{n,1}, \dots, U_{1,m}, U_{2,m}, \dots, U_{n,m}$ be non-empty open subsets of X . It is easy to check that

$$N(U_{1,0} \times \dots \times U_{n,0}; U_{1,1} \times \dots \times U_{n,1}, \dots, U_{1,m} \times \dots \times U_{n,m} \mid g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \\ \cap A \\ = N(U_{1,0}; U_{1,1}, \dots, U_{1,m} \mid g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \cap \dots \\ \cap N(U_{n,0}; U_{n,1}, \dots, U_{n,m} \mid g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m) \cap A.$$

Since the collection of hitting time sets is a filter base, we know that the intersection above is not empty. By Proposition 3.2, (X^n, G) is Δ - A -transitive.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It is obvious. □

We immediately have the following.

Corollary 3.6. *Let (X, G) be a G -system with G abelian and $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. (X, G) is Δ - A -weakly mixing if and only if (X^n, G) is Δ - A -weakly mixing for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

We have the following observations about the hitting time sets of Δ -transitive systems for abelian semigroup actions.

Proposition 3.7. *Let (X, G) be a G -system with G abelian. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, G) is Δ -transitive;
- (2) for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, non-empty open subsets U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d of X and pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, $N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d)$ is thick of \mathbb{N} ;
- (3) for any syndetic subset A of \mathbb{N} , (X, G) is Δ - A -transitive.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (1) It is obvious.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $U_0, U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d$ be non-empty open subsets of X and g_1, \dots, g_d be pairwise distinct elements of $G \setminus \{e\}$. By Proposition 3.5(2) with $A = \mathbb{N}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap N(U_0; g_1^{-1}U_1, \dots, g_d^{-1}U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \\ \cap \dots \cap N(U_0; g_1^{-n}U_1, \dots, g_d^{-n}U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus $N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d)$ is thick of \mathbb{N} .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let A be a syndetic subset of \mathbb{N} . Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d be non-empty open subsets of X and g_1, \dots, g_d be pairwise distinct elements of $G \setminus \{e\}$. Since the intersection of any thick set and a syndetic set is not empty, then $N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 3.2, this implies that (X, G) is Δ - A -transitive. \square

For $n \geq 2$, we say that a non-empty subset $F \subset G$ is an *independent set* for (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n) if for every non-empty finite subset $J \subset F$ and $\sigma \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^J$,

$$\bigcap_{g \in J} g^{-1}U_{\sigma(g)} \neq \emptyset.$$

We have the following characterization of Δ -transitivity by independent sets of open sets.

Proposition 3.8. *Let (X, G) be a G -system with G abelian. If (X, G) is Δ -transitive, then for any $d \geq 2$, non-empty open subsets U_1, \dots, U_d of X and pairwise distinct g_1, \dots, g_d of $G \setminus \{e\}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J := \{g_1^n, \dots, g_d^n\}$ is an independent set for (U_1, \dots, U_d) .*

Proof. Fix $d \geq 2$, non-empty open subsets V, U_1, \dots, U_d of X and pairwise distinct g_1, \dots, g_d of $G \setminus \{e\}$, by Proposition 3.5(2) with $A = \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n \in \bigcap \{N(V; U_{s_1}, \dots, U_{s_d} \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) : s_i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

Now we show that $J := \{g_1^n, \dots, g_d^n\}$ is an independent set for (U_1, \dots, U_d) . It is clear that for any $\sigma \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}^J$,

$$\bigcap_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} (g_i^n)^{-1}U_{\sigma(g_i^n)} \neq \emptyset,$$

which implies that J is an independent set for (U_1, \dots, U_d) . \square

Let (X, G) be a G -system and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a vector in \mathbb{N}^r . We say that the system (X, G) is Δ - \mathbf{a} -transitive if there exists a dense subset X_0 of X such that for each $x \in X_0$, $\{(g^{a_1}x, \dots, g^{a_r}x) : g \in G\}$ is dense in X^r . In [16], we defined a different Δ -transitivity and characterized Δ - \mathbf{a} -transitivity by $\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{a}]$ -transitive points under semigroup actions. Here we obtain a similar result.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and g_1, \dots, g_d be pairwise distinct elements of $G \setminus \{e\}$. We define the family generated by $\mathbf{g} = \{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{g}]$ as

$$\{F \subset G : \forall h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d \in G, \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } h_1g_1^n, h_2g_2^n, \dots, h_dg_d^n \in F\}.$$

Theorem 3.9. *Let (X, G) be a G -system with G a group. Then (X, G) is Δ -transitive if and only if for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairwise distinct elements g_1, \dots, g_d of $G \setminus \{e\}$, $\text{Trans}_{\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{g}]}(X, G)$ is residual in X , where $\mathbf{g} := \{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$.*

Proof. Necessity. Let $\{B_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable base of open balls of X . For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and pairwise distinct elements g_1, \dots, g_d of $G \setminus \{e\}$, set

$$Y = \bigcap_{(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{i=1}^d g_i^{-n}(B_{k_i}).$$

Since (X, G) is Δ -transitive, by Proposition 3.2 we obtain that Y is a dense G_δ subset of X . Now we only need to show that $Y \subset \text{Trans}_{\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{g}]}(X, G)$. Choose $x \in Y$ and a non-empty open subset U of X . Let $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d\}$ be a finite subset of G . Then there exists $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that

$$B_{k_1} \times B_{k_2} \times \dots \times B_{k_d} \subset h_1^{-1}U \times h_2^{-1}U \times \dots \times h_d^{-1}U.$$

By the construction of Y , there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^d g_i^{-n}(B_{k_i})$, then $g_i^n x \in B_{k_i} \subset h_i^{-1}U$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Hence we obtain that $\{h_1g_1^n, h_2g_2^n, \dots, h_dg_d^n\} \subset N(x, U)$ and $x \in \text{Trans}_{\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{g}]}(X, G)$.

Sufficiency. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. For any non-empty open subsets $U_0, U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d$ of X and pairwise distinct elements g_1, \dots, g_d of $G \setminus \{e\}$, there exists $x \in U_0$ which is a $\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{g}]$ -transitive point where $\mathbf{g} = \{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$. Thus there exist h_1, \dots, h_d such that $h_i x \in U_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. By the continuity of h_i , there is a neighborhood U of x such that $h_i U \subset U_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. By the definition of $\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{g}]$ -transitive point, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(h_1^{-1}g_1^n x, h_2^{-1}g_2^n x, \dots, h_d^{-1}g_d^n x) \in U \times \dots \times U.$$

Thus $g_i^n x \in U_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore

$$N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \neq \emptyset$$

and by Proposition 3.2 (X, G) is Δ -transitive. □

A factor map $\pi : (X, G) \rightarrow (Y, G)$ between two G -systems is a continuous onto map satisfying that $g\pi = \pi g$ for every $g \in G$. We have the following.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $\pi : (X, G) \rightarrow (Y, G)$ be a factor map between two G -systems. If (X, G) is Δ -transitive, then so is (Y, G) .*

Proof. Assume that (X, G) is Δ -transitive. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d be non-empty open subsets of Y and g_1, \dots, g_d be pairwise distinct elements of $G \setminus \{e\}$. Since (X, G) is Δ -transitive, $N(\pi^{-1}(U_0); \pi^{-1}(U_1), \dots, \pi^{-1}(U_d) \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \neq \emptyset$. That is, (Y, G) is Δ -transitive. \square

In [8], the author studied some properties of Δ -transitive subsets and Δ -weakly mixing subsets for countable torsion-free discrete group actions. If G is abelian, then by Proposition 3.5(2) with $A = \mathbb{N}$ we know that a G -system is Δ -transitive if and only if it is Δ -weakly mixing. But this is no longer true for Δ -transitive subsets and Δ -weakly mixing sets, as the authors proved that they are not equivalent for \mathbb{Z} -system in [5]. Here we define the local notions of Δ -transitivity and Δ -weakly mixing for G -systems and obtain some similar results.

Definition 3.11. Let (X, G) be a G -system and B be a closed subset of X with $|B| \geq 2$.

- (1) we say that B is a Δ -transitive subset of (X, G) if there is a residual subset B_0 of B such that for any $x \in B_0$, $d \geq 1$ and pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, the orbit closure of the d -tuple (x, x, \dots, x) under the action $g_1 \times g_2 \times \dots \times g_d$ contains B^d , i.e.,

$$\overline{\{(g_1^n x, g_2^n x, \dots, g_d^n x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} \supseteq B^d.$$

- (2) we say that B is a Δ -weakly mixing subset of (X, G) if B^m is a Δ -transitive subset of (X^m, G) for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

We can easily see that X is a Δ -transitive (resp. Δ -weakly mixing) subset of (X, G) if and only if the G -system (X, G) is Δ -transitive (resp. Δ -weakly mixing).

The proof of the following two lemmas are similar with Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 of [8], we present the results without proof here.

Lemma 3.12. *Let (X, G) be a G -system and B be a closed subset of X with $|B| \geq 2$. Then B is Δ -transitive if and only if for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$ and non-empty open subsets U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d of X with $U_i \cap B \neq \emptyset$, $i = 0, \dots, d$,*

$$N(U_0 \cap B; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \neq \emptyset.$$

Lemma 3.13. *Let (X, G) be a G -system and B be a closed subset of X with $|B| \geq 2$. Then B is a Δ -weakly mixing subset of X if and only if for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, non-empty open subsets U_1, \dots, U_d and V_1, \dots, V_d of X with $U_i \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $V_i \cap B = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$, we have*

$$\bigcap_{\sigma \in \{1, \dots, d\}^{d+1}} N(V_{\sigma(1)} \cap B; U_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, U_{\sigma(d+1)} \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \neq \emptyset.$$

We say that (X, G) is Δ -mixing if it is Δ - A -transitive for any infinite subset A of \mathbb{N} . We have the following equivalent condition about Δ -mixing.

Corollary 3.14. *Let (X, G) be a G -system. Then (X, G) is Δ -mixing if and only if for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$, non-empty open subsets U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d ,*

$$\mathbb{N} \setminus N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d)$$

is finite.

Proof. Necessity. Assume that there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$ and non-empty open subsets U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d such that the set $\mathbb{N} \setminus N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d)$ is infinite. Then there exists an infinite subset $A := \mathbb{N} \setminus N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d)$ such that $A \cap N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) = \emptyset$, which implies that (X, G) is not Δ - A -transitive by Proposition 3.2, contradiction.

Sufficiency. If there exists an infinite subset A such that (X, G) is not Δ - A -transitive, then by Proposition 3.2, there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise distinct $g_1, \dots, g_d \in G \setminus \{e\}$ and non-empty open subsets U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d such that $A \cap N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) = \emptyset$, then $\mathbb{N} \setminus N(U_0; U_1, \dots, U_d \mid g_1, \dots, g_d) \supset A$ is an infinite set, contradiction. \square

We immediately have the following statements.

Corollary 3.15. *If (X, G) is Δ -mixing, then it is strongly mixing.*

Example 3.16. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) and $G = SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Then it is the linear action of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on the torus $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$, see Example 8 in [2]. Since the action is not strongly mixing, we obtain that (X, G) is not Δ -mixing by Corollary 3.15.

Corollary 3.17. *(X, G) is Δ -mixing if and only if (X^n, G) is Δ -mixing for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Corollary 3.18. *If (X, G) is Δ -mixing, then it is Δ - A -weakly mixing for any infinite subset A .*

Proof. Suppose (X, G) is Δ -mixing, then (X^n, G) is Δ -mixing for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Corollary 3.17. Hence (X^n, G) is Δ - A -transitive for any infinite subset A of \mathbb{N} . Then (X, G) is Δ - A -weakly mixing for any infinite subset A . \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Jian Li and Yini Yang for helpful suggestions. The author was supported by NNSF of China (grant number 12001239) and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2020A1515111113) and YITP of Guangdong Provincial Department of Education of China (grant number 2019KQNCX145).

References

- [1] F. Blanchard and W. Huang, *Entropy sets, weakly mixing sets and entropy capacity*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **20** (2008), no. 2, 275–311. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2008.20.275>
- [2] G. Cairns, A. Kolganova, and A. Nielsen, *Topological transitivity and mixing notions for group actions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **37** (2007), no. 2, 371–397. <https://doi.org/10.1216/rmj/1181068757>
- [3] Z. Chen, J. Li, and J. Lü, *On multi-transitivity with respect to a vector*, Sci. China Math. **57** (2014), no. 8, 1639–1648. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-014-4797-z>
- [4] Z. Chen, J. Li, and J. Lü, *Point transitivity, Δ -transitivity and multi-minimality*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **35** (2015), no. 5, 1423–1442. <https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.106>
- [5] W. Huang, J. Li, X. Ye, and X. Zhou, *Positive topological entropy and Δ -weakly mixing sets*, Adv. Math. **306** (2017), 653–683. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2016.10.029>
- [6] E. Kontorovich and M. Megrelishvili, *A note on sensitivity of semigroup actions*, Semigroup Forum **76** (2008), no. 1, 133–141. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-007-9033-5>
- [7] D. Kwietniak and P. Oprocha, *On weak mixing, minimality and weak disjointness of all iterates*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **32** (2012), no. 5, 1661–1672. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385711000599>
- [8] K. Liu, *Δ -weakly mixing subset in positive entropy actions of a nilpotent group*, J. Differential Equations **267** (2019), no. 1, 525–546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2019.01.018>
- [9] T. K. S. Moothathu, *Diagonal points having dense orbit*, Colloq. Math. **120** (2010), no. 1, 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.4064/cm120-1-9>
- [10] P. Oprocha and G. Zhang, *On local aspects of topological weak mixing in dimension one and beyond*, Studia Math. **202** (2011), no. 3, 261–288. <https://doi.org/10.4064/sm202-3-4>
- [11] P. Oprocha and G. Zhang, *Topological aspects of dynamics of pairs, tuples and sets, in Recent progress in general topology. III*, 665–709, Atlantis Press, Paris, 2013. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6239-024-9_16
- [12] P. Oprocha and G. Zhang, *On local aspects of topological weak mixing, sequence entropy and chaos*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **34** (2014), no. 5, 1615–1639. <https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2013.13>
- [13] F. Polo, *Sensitive dependence on initial conditions and chaotic group actions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 8, 2815–2826. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-10-10286-X>
- [14] J. Wang, *Almost strong mixing group actions in topological dynamics*, arXiv:1405.5971 [math.DS].
- [15] H. Wang, Z. Chen, and H. Fu, *M-systems and scattering systems of semigroup actions*, Semigroup Forum **91** (2015), no. 3, 699–717. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00233-015-9736-y>
- [16] T. Zeng, *Multi-transitivity and Δ -transitivity for semigroup actions*, Topology Appl. **226** (2017), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2017.04.030>

TIAOYING ZENG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
JIAYING UNIVERSITY
MEIZHOU, GUANGDONG 514015, P. R. CHINA
Email address: zengtiaoying@126.com