

On the Local Cohomology and Formal Local Cohomology Modules

SHAHRAM REZAEI* AND BEHRUZ SADEGHI

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University (PNU), Tehran, Iran

e-mail: sha.rezaei@gmail.com and b-sadeqi@student.pnu.ac.ir

ABSTRACT. Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring R and M be a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. We prove some results concerning the top local cohomology and top formal local cohomology modules. Among other things, we determine $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$ and $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$. Also, we obtain some relations between $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$, $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$ and $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$ and we get similar results for $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring with identity, \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals of R and M is a finitely generated R -module of dimension d . Recall that the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined as:

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}^n, M).$$

The reader can refer to [3], for the basic properties of local cohomology.

Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module. For each $i \geq 0$; $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) := \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M)$ is called the i -th formal local cohomology of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} . The basic properties of formal local cohomology modules are found in [1], [9] and [2].

In [8], we studied local cohomology module $\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$ and formal local cohomology module $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$. In this paper, we obtain some new results about them.

Here, we obtain some relations between $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$, $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$ and $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$. Also, we get similar results for $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$.

We determine the support of the top local cohomology module $\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim M}(M)$. More precisely, we will show that $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Supp}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Also,

* Corresponding Author.

Received July 31, 2022; revised November 20, 2023; accepted January 17, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D45, 14B15, 13E99.

Key words and phrases: formal local cohomology, local cohomology.

we prove that for an arbitrary Noetherian local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{F}_\mathfrak{a}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$.

2. Main Results

A non-zero R -module M is called secondary if its multiplication map by any element a of R is either surjective or nilpotent. A secondary representation for an R -module M is an expression for M as a finite sum of secondary submodules. If such a representation exists, we will say that M is representable. A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R is said to be an attached prime of M if $\mathfrak{p} = (N :_R M)$ for some submodule N of M . If M admits a reduced secondary representation, $M = S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_n$, then the set of attached primes $\text{Att}_R(M)$ of M is equal to $\{\sqrt{0 :_R S_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$.

Recall that for any R -module M , the cohomological dimension of M with respect to an ideal \mathfrak{a} is defined as $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \max\{i : H_\mathfrak{a}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ (see [5]). Also, $\text{Assh}_R M$ is defined as $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R M : \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M\}$.

We need the following lemmas in the proof of main results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of R and M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module with finite dimension $d > 0$. Then $\mathfrak{b}H_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) = 0$ if and only if $H_\mathfrak{a}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) = 0$.*

Proof. See [8, Theorem 2.3]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of R . Let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module with finite dimension $d > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{b}H_\mathfrak{a}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}M) = \dim(\mathfrak{b}M) = \dim M = d$.*

Proof. See [8, Corollary 2.4]. □

The following theorem is a main result of [8] and has a key role in our proofs.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of R . Let M be a finitely generated R -module with dimension $d > 0$. Then*

- i) $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{b}H_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}(H_\mathfrak{a}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$.*
- ii) $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(H_\mathfrak{a}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$.*

Proof. i) See [8, Theorem 2.5].

ii) See [8, Theorem 2.15]. □

In the following, we obtain a generalization of [6, Theorem 2.6].

Theorem 2.4. *Let M be a non-zero finitely generated R -module of dimension d , and let \mathfrak{b} be an ideal of R such that $\dim \mathfrak{b}M = d > 0$. If $T \subseteq \text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{b}M)$, then there exists an ideal \mathfrak{a} of R such that $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = T$.*

Proof. Let T be a subset of $\text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{b}M)$. By [6, Theorem 2.6], there exists an ideal \mathfrak{a} of R such that $\text{Att}_R(H_\mathfrak{a}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = T$. Therefore Theorem 2.3 (ii) implies that $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_\mathfrak{a}^d(M)) = T$, as required. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ and \mathfrak{c} be three ideals of a complete local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M*

a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. Then

$$\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(M)) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(M)).$$

Proof. Clearly, we can assume that $\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(M) \neq 0$ and so by Lemma 2.2 we have $\dim \mathfrak{b}M = d$. Assume that $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(M))$. Theorem 2.3 (ii) implies that $\text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. By [4, Corollary 3.4], we conclude that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$ and so $\text{Ann}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Ann}_R(H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Thus by Theorem 2.3 (i) it follows that $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(M))$. Conversely, let $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(M))$. By Theorem 2.3 (i), we have $\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$. Then by Theorem [7, 2.9] we conclude that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$ and so $\text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{c}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.3, (ii). \square

Theorem 2.6. Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of R and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. Then

$$\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Supp}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)).$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we can assume that $\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \neq 0$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we have $\dim_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = d$. By [3, 7.1.7], $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$ is artinian and so $\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$ is artinian. Thus $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) \subseteq \text{Max}(R)$. Assume that $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$ such that $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$. Thus $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{m}} H_{\mathfrak{a}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}^d(M_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq 0$ and so by [3, Theorem 6.4.1], it follows that $\dim_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(M_{\mathfrak{m}}) = d$. By Lemma 2.1 we conclude that $H_{\mathfrak{a}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}^d(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{m}} M_{\mathfrak{m}}) \neq 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Supp}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Therefore

$$\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)).$$

Similar to the above method, we can show that

$$\text{Supp}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) \subseteq \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M))$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 2.7. Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of R and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. Let $\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then

$$\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } R : \exists \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}(\mathfrak{b}M) \text{ s.t. } \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}, \text{cd}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\mathfrak{a}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}, \frac{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}) = d\}.$$

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from Theorem 2.6 and [4, 2.7]. \square

Corollary 2.8. Let \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{c} be three ideals of R and M, N two finitely generated R -modules of dimension $d > 0$. If $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{c}N)$ then

$$i) \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{c} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(N)).$$

$$ii) \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{c}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(N)).$$

Proof. Since $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{c}N)$ we conclude that $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}M) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c}N)$ by [5, Theorem 2.2]. If $\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = 0$ then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) = 0$ and so $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}M) < d$ by Lemma 2.1. Thus $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c}N) < d$ and so $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{c}N) = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, it follows that $\mathfrak{c}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(N) = 0$ and the result follows in this case.

Now, assume that $\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $\dim_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}M) = d$. But $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{c}N)$ implies that $\dim_R(\mathfrak{c}N) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{c}N) = d$ and $\text{Assh}_R \mathfrak{b}M = \text{Assh}_R \mathfrak{c}N$. Now Corollary 2.7 shows that, $\text{Supp}_R \mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = \text{Supp}_R \mathfrak{c}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(N)$. On the other hand, since $\text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{c}N)$ we have

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) : \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R/\mathfrak{p}) = d\} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{c}N) : \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R/\mathfrak{p}) = d\}.$$

Now, the result (ii) follows immediately from [8, Corollary 2.16], as required. \square

In the above results, we saw that some basic properties of two R -modules $\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$ are the same. The following result shows that these two R -modules are isomorphic under certain conditions.

Theorem 2.9. *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of R and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. In each of the following cases, $\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$.*

- i) $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M/\mathfrak{b}M) < d - 1$.*
- ii) $\mathfrak{b}M = M$.*
- iii) $\mathfrak{b} = Rx$ where x is a non-zerodivisor on M .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we can assume that $\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \neq 0$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have $\dim_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = d$. Assume that $\mathfrak{c} := \text{Ann}_R M$. Since $\text{Supp}_R(R/\mathfrak{c}) = \text{Supp}_R(M)$, by [5, Theorem 2.2] $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, R/\mathfrak{c}) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = d$. Thus by the Independence Theorem ([3, Theorem 4.2.1]) $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}R/\mathfrak{c}, R/\mathfrak{c}) = d$ and so $H_{\mathfrak{a}R/\mathfrak{c}}^i(R/\mathfrak{c}) = 0$ for all $i > d$. It follows that $H_{\mathfrak{a}R/\mathfrak{c}}^d(-)$ is a right exact functor on the category of R/\mathfrak{c} -modules and R/\mathfrak{c} -homomorphisms. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)/\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) &\simeq (H_{\mathfrak{a}R/\mathfrak{c}}^d(R/\mathfrak{c}) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{c}} M) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{b} \\ &\simeq H_{\mathfrak{a}R/\mathfrak{c}}^d(R/\mathfrak{c}) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{c}} M/\mathfrak{b}M \\ &\simeq H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M/\mathfrak{b}M). \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M/\mathfrak{b}M) < d - 1$ then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M/\mathfrak{b}M) = 0$ and so by the above isomorphism we conclude that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = \mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$. On the other hand, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{b}M \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(M/\mathfrak{b}M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M/\mathfrak{b}M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M/\mathfrak{b}M) < d - 1$ we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{d-1}(M/\mathfrak{b}M) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M/\mathfrak{b}M) = 0$ and so the above exact sequence implies that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$. But, we showed that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = \mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$ and so the proof is complete in this case.

If $\mathfrak{b}M = M$ then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)/\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \simeq H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M/\mathfrak{b}M) = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{b}H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$. Since $\mathfrak{b}M = M$ we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$ and the result follows in this case.

Now assume that $\mathfrak{b} = Rx$ and x is a non-zero-divisor on M . The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M/xM) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\dim M/xM = d - 1$, by [3, 6.1.2] we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M/xM) = 0$. Thus, the above exact sequence implies that $xH_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the R -module homomorphism $\varphi : M \xrightarrow{x} xM$ is an isomorphism and it follows that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(xM)$. Therefore $xH_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(xM)$, as required. \square

In the remainder, we prove some results about $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$. For our proofs, we need the following theorems.

Theorem 2.10. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module. Then*

$$\dim_R M/\mathfrak{a}M = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. See [9, Theorem 4.5]. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = 0 \iff \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) = 0.$$

Proof. See [8, Theorem 3.3]. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) \neq 0$. Then $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \neq 0$ and $\dim(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = \dim(\mathfrak{b}M/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}M) = \dim \mathfrak{b}M = d$.*

Proof. See [8, Corollary 3.4]. \square

Theorem 2.13. *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. Then*

- i) $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Ann}(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$.
- ii) $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$.

Proof. i) See [8, Theorem 3.6].

ii) See [8, Theorem 3.13]. \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ and \mathfrak{c} be three ideals of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M, N two finitely generated R -modules of dimension $d > 0$ such that $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{c}N)$. Then $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(N))$.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(M) = 0$ then by Theorem 2.11, $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}^d(\mathfrak{b}M) = 0$ and so by Theorem 2.10, $\dim(\mathfrak{b}M/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}M) < d$. Since $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{c}N)$ we conclude that

$\dim(\mathfrak{c}N/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}N) < d$ and by Theorem 2.10, $\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{c}N) = 0$. Therefore by Theorem 2.11, $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(N) = 0$. Thus $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(N)) = \emptyset$ and so the result follows in this case.

Now, assume that $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) \neq 0$. If $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(N) = 0$ then similar to the above argument it follows that $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(N) \neq 0$ and so by Corollary 2.12 we have $\dim \mathfrak{b}M = \dim \mathfrak{c}N = d$. Since $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{c}N)$ it is easy to see that $\text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{c}N)$ and so $\text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{b}M) \cap \text{Var}(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{c}N) \cap \text{Var}(\mathfrak{a})$. Therefore, by [8, Corollary 3.14], we have $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(N))$, as required. \square

Theorem 2.15. *Let \mathfrak{b} be an ideal of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) , and let M be a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$ such that $\dim_R(\mathfrak{b}M) = d$. If $T \subseteq \text{Assh}_R(\mathfrak{b}M)$, then $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = T$ where $\mathfrak{a} := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in T} \mathfrak{p}_i$ is an ideal of R .*

Proof. By [7, Theorem 2.2], $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = T$ where $\mathfrak{a} := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \in T} \mathfrak{p}_i$ is an ideal of R . Now Theorem 2.13 (ii) implies that $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = T$. \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{c} be three ideals of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. Then*

$$\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M)) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M)).$$

Proof. \Rightarrow : If $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) = 0$ then $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \emptyset$. By assumption we conclude that $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M)) = \emptyset$ and so $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M) = 0$.

Now we assume that $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M) \neq 0$. Thus, by Corollary 2.12 $\dim \mathfrak{b}M = d$ and by Theorem 2.13 (ii) and our assumption, we have $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Therefore, by [2, 3.4], we have $\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \cong \mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$. Thus $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$ and so the assertion follows from Theorem 2.13 (i).

\Leftarrow : We can (and do) assume that $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M) \neq 0$. Then, by Theorem 2.13 (i) and our assumption, we have $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Therefore, by [6, Corollary 3.9 (i)], we conclude that $\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \cong \mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$. Thus $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Therefore, the assertion follows from Theorem 2.13 (ii). \square

Theorem 2.17. *Let \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{c} be three ideals of a complete local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. Then*

$$\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_a^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M)) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_a^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M)).$$

Proof. \Rightarrow : We can assume that $\mathfrak{b}H_a^d(M) \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M) \neq 0$ and so $\dim \mathfrak{b}M = d$. If $\text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_a^d(M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M))$ then by Theorem 2.3 (ii) and Theorem 2.13 (ii) we conclude that $\text{Att}_R(H_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. By [7, Theorem 2.5], it follows that $H_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \cong \mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$ and so $\text{Ann}_R(H_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Thus by Theorem 2.3 (i) and Theorem 2.13 (i) we have $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_a^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M))$, as required.

\Leftarrow): Assume that $\text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}H_a^d(M)) = \text{Ann}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_c^d(M))$. By Theorem 2.3 (i) and Theorem 2.13 (i), we have $\text{Ann}_R H_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M) = \text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$. Then by [7, Corollary 2.9] we conclude that $H_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \cong \mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$ and so $\text{Att}_R(H_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Att}_R(\mathfrak{F}_c^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$. Now, the result follows from Theorem 2.3 (ii) and Theorem 2.13 (ii). \square

Theorem 2.18 *Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two ideals of a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension $d > 0$. Then*

$$\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M))$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.11, we can (and do) assume that $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) \neq 0$ and so by Corollary 2.12 we have $\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M) \neq 0$ and $\dim \mathfrak{b}M = d$. But, by [2, Lemma 2.2] $\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)$ is an artinian R -module and so $\text{Supp}(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)$ is artinian and so $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M) \neq 0$ is artinian. Thus $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M)) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Since $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ we conclude that $\text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{F}_a^d(\mathfrak{b}M)) = \text{Supp}_R(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{F}_a^d(M))$, as required. \square

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the referee for careful reading and many useful suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Asgharzadeh and K. Divaani-Aazar, *Finiteness properties of formal local cohomology modules and Cohen-Macaulayness*, Comm. Algebra, **39**(2011), 1082–1103.
- [2] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh and S. Rezaei, *Artinianness and attached primes of formal local cohomology modules*, Algebra Colloq., **21**(2) (2014), 307–316.
- [3] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology; an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge(1998).
- [4] K. Divaani-Aazar, *Vanishing of the top local cohomology modules over Noetherian rings*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., **119**(1)(2009), 23–35.
- [5] K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Naghipour and M. Tousi, *Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130**(12)(2002), 3537–3544.
- [6] A. R. Nazari and F. Rastgoo, *Top local cohomology and top formal local cohomology modules with specified attached primes*, J. Algebr. Syst., **8**(2)(2021), 155–164.
- [7] S. Rezaei *Some results on top local cohomology and top formal local cohomology modules*, Comm. Algebra, **45**(5) (2017), 1935–1940.
- [8] S. Rezaei and B. Sadeghi, *On the top local cohomology and formal local cohomology modules*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **60**(1)(2023), 149–160.
- [9] P. Schenzel, *On formal local cohomology and connectedness*, J. Algebra, **315**(2)(2007), 894–923.