

## ON RECURSIONS FOR MOMENTS OF A COMPOUND RANDOM VARIABLE: AN APPROACH USING AN AUXILIARY COUNTING RANDOM VARIABLE

YOORA KIM\*

ABSTRACT. We present an identity on moments of a compound random variable by using an auxiliary counting random variable. Based on this identity, we develop a new recurrence formula for obtaining the raw and central moments of any order for a given compound random variable.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables. We denote by  $X$  the generic random variable for  $X_i$ . Let  $N$  be a non-negative integer-valued random variable. We assume that  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $N$  are independent. A compound random variable, denoted by  $S_N$ , is defined as

$$S_N = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_N.$$

In the case where  $N = 0$ , it is defined as  $S_N = 0$  by convention.

Compound random variables are extensions of random sums, which have been a classical focus in probability theory, encompassing fundamental concepts such as the central limit theorem and the law of large numbers [5, 6]. Moreover, compound random variables have gained significant attention in various practical domains, including insurance mathematics, risk management, and reliability (see [16] and the references therein). For example, in collective risk theory, it has been applied in a manner that  $N$  counts the number of claims arising from a portfolio during a certain period,  $X_i$  measures the amount of the  $i$ th of these claims, and  $S_N$  then represents the aggregate claims of the portfolio [7]. In accordance with this application,  $N$  is often called a *counting* random variable, whereas  $X$  a *severity* random variable.

---

Received March 28, 2023; Revised May 15, 2023; Accepted May 25, 2023.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 60G50.

*Key words and phrases*. Compound random variable, raw moment, central moment, recursion.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (No. NRF-2019R1F1A1060743).

\*Corresponding Author.

In this paper, we study the problem of obtaining the moments of a compound random variable. In particular, the purpose of this paper is to develop recurrence formulas for obtaining the moments of any order for a given compound random variable. As a sort of moment, we consider both the raw and the central moments because they can provide detailed information about the shape of a probability distribution. Moreover, we focus on a recurrence form of formulas because it can be efficient from a computational point of view when obtaining higher-order moments. To achieve this objective, we propose an approach that utilizes an auxiliary counting random variable  $\tilde{N}$  derived from  $N$ . The proposed approach yields a new recurrence formula in a structured form that consists of finite terms, where each term is decomposed into three factors: (i) a constant determined by the moments of the counting random variable  $N$  and the severity random variable  $X$ ; (ii) a binomial coefficient; and (iii) a lower-order moment of a compound random variable. The factorized structure of our recurrence formula can provide the advantage of further reducing computational complexity. In addition, our approach introduces a new method for determining the moments of  $S_N$ , contributing to the enrichment and complementation of the existing understanding of compound random variables. For an explicit formula, we can refer to [2], where Grubbström and Tang presented a closed-form formula for the moments of  $S_N$ , provided that the severity random variable  $X$  is non-negative.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first overview a list of related works and clarify the difference with this work. In Section 3, we then present a main theorem, from which we obtain a recurrence formula for the raw moment of  $S_N$  in Section 4 and the one for the central moment in Section 5. The proof of the main theorem is given in Section 6. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 7.

## 2. Related Works

There have been extensive studies on the moments of a compound random variable. A variety of analytic formulas have been presented in a closed or recurrent form. In deriving recurrence formulas, existing works often assume that the counting random variable  $N$  belongs to a special family. De Pril [1] and Sundt [15] considered a class of counting random variables satisfying

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{P}(N = n) = \left(a + \frac{b}{n}\right) \mathbb{P}(N = n - 1), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

for some constants  $a < 1$  and  $b$  such that  $a + b \geq 0$ . This family of counting distributions is referred to as Panjer's class [10]. Murat and Szynal [9] and Murat [7] considered a more broadened Panjer's class in which  $N$  satisfies

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{P}(N = n) = \left(a + \frac{b}{n + c}\right) \mathbb{P}(N = n - 1), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

for some constants  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ . Hesselager [3] generalized the Panjer's class to the one in which the ratio between successive probabilities on  $N$  can be written as

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{P}(N = n) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^k a_i n^i}{\sum_{i=0}^k b_i n^i} \mathbb{P}(N = n - 1), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

for some integer  $k$  and constants  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ).

The equations (1), (2), and (3) are of a first-order recursion. Schröter [12] considered a second-order recursion given by

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{P}(N = n) = \left(a + \frac{b}{n}\right) \mathbb{P}(N = n - 1) + \frac{c}{n} \mathbb{P}(N = n - 2), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

for some constants  $a < 1$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  with  $\mathbb{P}(N = -1) = 0$ . Sundt [14], Murat and Szydal [8], and Murat [7] generalized the second-order recursion in (4) to a  $k$ th-order recursion given by

$$(5) \quad \mathbb{P}(N = n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(a_i + \frac{b_i}{n}\right) \mathbb{P}(N = n - i), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

for some integer  $k$  and constants  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) with  $\mathbb{P}(N = n) = 0$  for  $n < 0$ . Sundt [15] further extended the  $k$ th-order recursion in (5) as

$$\mathbb{P}(N = n) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(a_i + \frac{b_i}{n}\right) \mathbb{P}(N = n - i), \quad n = r + 1, r + 2, r + 3, \dots,$$

for some positive integer  $r$ .

As such, existing works are often based on the assumption that the counting random variable  $N$  of  $S_N$  belongs to a specific class. In this paper, we propose a different approach by introducing an auxiliary random variable  $\tilde{N}$  derived from  $N$ . Our work is motivated by [11] where a secondary random variable  $M$ , called a size-biased version of  $N$ , is exploited to obtain a recurrence formula for the probability mass function of  $S_N$ , provided that the severity random variable  $X$  takes on positive integer values.

Recently, Kim and Kim [4] developed a recurrence formula for higher-order moments of a compound random variable  $S_N$  when  $N$  follows a Binomial distribution. Seong [13] extended the result in [4] by including it as a special case. In this paper, we further extend the result in [13] by providing a more computationally efficient result that uses the sum of finite terms, where each term is decomposed into three factors under a regular structure. In addition, we also provide a recurrence formula for the moments of  $N$  as a by-product of our main result.

### 3. Preliminary Analysis

In this section, we perform a preliminary analysis with the aim of developing recurrence formulas for the moments of  $S_N$ . To begin, let  $\tilde{N}$  be a random variable that takes on non-negative integer values. We assume that the distribution of  $\tilde{N}$  is determined by that of  $N$  as follows:

$$(6) \quad \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = n) = \frac{(n+1)\mathbb{P}(N = n+1)}{\mathbb{E}[N]}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Below we give examples of  $\tilde{N}$  for well-known counting random variables  $N$  [11, 13].

**Example 3.1.** Let  $N$  be a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}(N = n) = e^{-\lambda}\lambda^n/n!$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\mathbb{E}[N] = \lambda$ , the relation (6) gives rise to the probability mass function of  $\tilde{N}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = n) &= \frac{n+1}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^n}{n!}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\tilde{N}$  also follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $N$  be a Binomial random variable with parameters  $(m, p)$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}(N = n) = \binom{m}{n}p^n(1-p)^{m-n}$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ) and  $\mathbb{E}[N] = mp$ , the relation (6) gives rise to the probability mass function of  $\tilde{N}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = n) &= \frac{n+1}{mp} \cdot \binom{m}{n+1} p^{n+1} (1-p)^{m-n-1} \\ &= \frac{n+1}{mp} \cdot \frac{m!}{(n+1)!(m-n-1)!} p^{n+1} (1-p)^{m-n-1} \\ &= \frac{(m-1)!}{n!(m-n-1)!} p^n (1-p)^{m-n-1} \\ &= \binom{m-1}{n} p^n (1-p)^{m-1-n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, m-1. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\tilde{N}$  follows a Binomial distribution with parameters  $(m-1, p)$ .

**Example 3.3.** Let  $N$  be a negative Binomial random variable with parameters  $(m, p)$ . Since  $\mathbb{P}(N = n) = \binom{n+m-1}{n} p^m (1-p)^n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\mathbb{E}[N] =$

$m(1 - p)/p$ , the relation (6) gives rise to the probability mass function of  $\tilde{N}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = n) &= \frac{n + 1}{m(1 - p)/p} \cdot \binom{n + m}{n + 1} p^m (1 - p)^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{n + 1}{m(1 - p)/p} \cdot \frac{(n + m)!}{(n + 1)!(m - 1)!} p^m (1 - p)^{n+1} \\ &= \frac{(n + m)!}{n!m!} p^{m+1} (1 - p)^n \\ &= \binom{n + m}{n} p^{m+1} (1 - p)^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\tilde{N}$  follows a negative Binomial distribution with parameters  $(m + 1, p)$ .

We assume that the random variable  $\tilde{N}$  is independent of  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . Then, the sum  $S_{\tilde{N}} = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{\tilde{N}}$  forms another compound random variable which is independent of  $S_N$ . In the following theorem, we present a relation between the moments of  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$  about points  $c$  and  $\tilde{c}$ , respectively.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any  $c, \tilde{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have*

(7)

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_N - c)^{k+1}] = \sum_{i=0}^k c_i \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c})^k] - c \mathbb{E}[(S_N - c)^k], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $c_i$  is a constant that is determined by the moments of the counting random variable  $N$  and the severity random variable  $X$  as

(8)

$$c_i = \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (\tilde{c} - c)^j \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1-j}].$$

*Proof.* The proof is given in Section 6. □

### 4. Raw Moments

In this section, we derive a recurrence formula for the raw moments of  $S_N$ . We then give examples of how our formula can be used.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The raw moments of  $S_N$  can be obtained by*

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_N)^{k+1}] = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}})^{k-i}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $a_i$  is given by

$$a_i = \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1}].$$

*Proof.* Substituting  $c = \tilde{c} = 0$  in Theorem 3.1 gives Theorem 4.1. □

We note that Theorem 4.1 corresponds to Theorem 1 of [13] in its fundamental aspects. However, the distinction lies in the structure of the recurrence formula expressed in Theorem 4.1, which decomposes each term into three regular factors: (i) a constant  $a_i$  determined by the moments of the counting random variable  $N$  and the severity random variable  $X$ ; (ii) a binomial coefficient  $\binom{k}{i}$ ; and (iii) a lower-order raw moment of a compound random variable,  $\mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}})^{k-i}]$ . The difference and benefit of this factorization become more apparent in Theorem 5.1, where we derive a recurrence formula for the central moments of  $S_N$ .

**Example 4.1.** Suppose that  $N$  follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Then,  $\tilde{N}$  also follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  as illustrated in Example 3.1. Accordingly, to simplify notation, we denote by  $\mu_k$  the  $k$ th raw moment of the compound Poisson random variables  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ , i.e.,

$$\mu_k = \mathbb{E}[(S_N)^k] = \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}})^k].$$

From Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$(9) \quad \mu_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \binom{k}{i} \mu_{k-i},$$

where  $a_i$  is given by

$$(10) \quad a_i = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1}].$$

With the initial value  $\mu_0 = 1$ , we can find  $\mu_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) sequentially using (9) and (10). For example, the first three raw moments of  $S_N$  are

$$\mu_1 = a_0 \binom{0}{0} \mu_0 = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X],$$

$$\mu_2 = a_0 \binom{1}{0} \mu_1 + a_1 \binom{1}{1} \mu_0 = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X^2] + \lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[X]^2,$$

$$\mu_3 = a_0 \binom{2}{0} \mu_2 + a_1 \binom{2}{1} \mu_1 + a_2 \binom{2}{2} \mu_0 = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X^3] + 3\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[X^2] + \lambda^3 \mathbb{E}[X]^3.$$

**Example 4.2.** Suppose that  $N$  follows a Binomial distribution with parameters  $(m, p)$ . Then,  $\tilde{N}$  also follows a Binomial distribution, but with parameters  $(m - 1, p)$  as shown in Example 3.2. Accordingly, we denote by  $\mu_k(m, p)$  and  $\mu_k(m - 1, p)$  the  $k$ th raw moments of the compound Binomial random variables  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$\mu_k(m, p) = \mathbb{E}[(S_N)^k],$$

$$\mu_k(m - 1, p) = \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}})^k].$$

From Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \mu_{k+1}(m, p) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \binom{k}{i} \mu_{k-i}(m - 1, p),$$

where  $a_i$  is given by

$$(12) \quad a_i = mp\mathbb{E}[X^{i+1}].$$

With the initial value  $\mu_0(m, p) = 1$ , we can find  $\mu_k(m, p)$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) sequentially using (11) and (12). For example, the first three raw moments of  $S_N$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(m, p) &= a_0 \underbrace{\binom{0}{0} \mu_0(m-1, p)}_{=1} = mp\mathbb{E}[X], \\ \mu_2(m, p) &= a_0 \underbrace{\binom{1}{0} \mu_1(m-1, p)}_{=(m-1)p\mathbb{E}[X]} + a_1 \underbrace{\binom{1}{1} \mu_0(m-1, p)}_{=1} \\ &= mp\mathbb{E}[X^2] + m(m-1)p^2\mathbb{E}[X]^2, \\ \mu_3(m, p) &= a_0 \underbrace{\binom{2}{0} \mu_2(m-1, p)}_{=(m-1)p\mathbb{E}[X^2] + (m-1)(m-2)p^2\mathbb{E}[X]^2} + a_1 \underbrace{\binom{2}{1} \mu_1(m-1, p)}_{=(m-1)p\mathbb{E}[X]} + a_2 \underbrace{\binom{2}{2} \mu_0(m-1, p)}_{=1} \\ &= mp\mathbb{E}[X^3] + 3m(m-1)p^2\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[X^2] + m(m-1)(m-2)p^3\mathbb{E}[X]^3. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 4.3.** Suppose that  $N$  follows a negative Binomial distribution with parameters  $(m, p)$ . Then,  $\tilde{N}$  also follows a negative Binomial distribution, but with parameters  $(m + 1, p)$  as shown in Example 3.3. Accordingly, we denote by  $\mu_k(m, p)$  and  $\mu_k(m + 1, p)$  the  $k$ th raw moments of the compound negative Binomial random variables  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k(m, p) &= \mathbb{E}[(S_N)^k], \\ \mu_k(m + 1, p) &= \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}})^k]. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$(13) \quad \mu_{k+1}(m, p) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \binom{k}{i} \mu_{k-i}(m + 1, p),$$

where  $a_i$  is given by

$$(14) \quad a_i = m \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right) \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1}].$$

With the initial value  $\mu_0(m, p) = 1$ , we can find  $\mu_k(m, p)$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) sequentially using (13) and (14). For example, the first three raw moments of  $S_N$

are

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(m, p) &= a_0 \binom{0}{0} \underbrace{\mu_0(m+1, p)}_{=1} = m \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right) \mathbb{E}[X], \\ \mu_2(m, p) &= a_0 \binom{1}{0} \underbrace{\mu_1(m+1, p)}_{=(m+1)\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)\mathbb{E}[X]} + a_1 \binom{1}{1} \underbrace{\mu_0(m+1, p)}_{=1} \\ &= m \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right) \mathbb{E}[X^2] + m(m+1) \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right)^2 \mathbb{E}[X]^2, \\ \mu_3(m, p) &= a_0 \binom{2}{0} \underbrace{\mu_2(m+1, p)}_{=(m+1)\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)\mathbb{E}[X^2]} + a_1 \binom{2}{1} \underbrace{\mu_1(m+1, p)}_{=(m+1)\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)\mathbb{E}[X]} + a_2 \binom{2}{2} \underbrace{\mu_0(m+1, p)}_{=1} \\ &\quad + (m+1)(m+2) \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right)^2 \mathbb{E}[X]^2 \\ &= m \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right) \mathbb{E}[X^3] + 3m(m+1) \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right)^2 \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[X^2] \\ &\quad + m(m+1)(m+2) \left( \frac{1-p}{p} \right)^3 \mathbb{E}[X]^3. \end{aligned}$$

If the severity random variable  $X$  is degenerate with  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = 1$ , then we have  $S_N = N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}} = \tilde{N}$ . Hence, from Theorem 4.1, we can obtain the raw moments of  $N$  as in the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** *The raw moments of  $N$  can be obtained by*

$$\mathbb{E}[N^{k+1}] = \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}^{k-i}], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 4.1 for  $X$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = 1$ . In this case, we have  $a_i = \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1}] = \mathbb{E}[N]$  for all  $i$ . Hence, by substituting  $a_i = \mathbb{E}[N]$  and replacing  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$  by  $N$  and  $\tilde{N}$ , respectively, we have Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

### 5. Central Moments

In this section, we derive a recurrence formula for the central moments of  $S_N$ . We then give examples of how our formula can be used.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[S_N]$  and  $\tilde{\mu} = \mathbb{E}[S_{\tilde{N}}]$  denote the expectations of  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ , respectively. Then, the central moments of  $S_N$  can be obtained by*

$$\mathbb{E}[(S_N - \mu)^{k+1}] = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{\mu})^{k-i}] - b_0 \mathbb{E}[(S_N - \mu)^k], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$



where  $b_i$  is given by

$$b_i = \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (d_N - 1)^j \mathbb{E}[X]^j \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1-j}],$$

where  $d_N = \frac{\text{Var}(N)}{\mathbb{E}[N]}$  is the dispersion index of  $N$ .

*Proof.* We substitute  $c = \mu (= \mathbb{E}[S_N])$  and  $\tilde{c} = \tilde{\mu} (= \mathbb{E}[S_{\tilde{N}}])$  in Theorem 3.1. We then denote by  $b_i$  the resulting  $c_i$  in (8), i.e.,

$$b_i = \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (\tilde{\mu} - \mu)^j \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1-j}].$$

Here, the difference  $\tilde{\mu} - \mu$  is obtained by using Wald's equality as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu} - \mu &= \mathbb{E}[S_{\tilde{N}}] - \mathbb{E}[S_N] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}]\mathbb{E}[X] - \mathbb{E}[N]\mathbb{E}[X] \\ &= (\mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}] - \mathbb{E}[N])\mathbb{E}[X], \end{aligned}$$

in which  $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}] - \mathbb{E}[N]$  can be found by subtracting 1 from the dispersion index  $d_N$  of  $N$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}] - \mathbb{E}[N] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \cdot \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = n) - \mathbb{E}[N] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+1)}{\mathbb{E}[N]} \cdot \mathbb{P}(N = n+1) - \mathbb{E}[N] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k-1)k}{\mathbb{E}[N]} \cdot \mathbb{P}(N = k) - \mathbb{E}[N] \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[N]} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 \cdot \mathbb{P}(N = k) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k \cdot \mathbb{P}(N = k) \right) - \mathbb{E}[N] \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[N]} \left( \mathbb{E}[N^2] - \mathbb{E}[N] \right) - \mathbb{E}[N] \\ &= \frac{\text{Var}(N)}{\mathbb{E}[N]} - 1 \\ &= d_N - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $b_i$  is determined by the moments of  $N$  and  $X$  as

$$b_i = \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (d_N - 1)^j \mathbb{E}[X]^j \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1-j}].$$

Since  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[S_N] = \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X] = b_0$ , for a coherent formulation, we express the second term on the right-hand side of (7) as

$$\mu \mathbb{E}[(S_N - \mu)^k] = b_0 \mathbb{E}[(S_N - \mu)^k].$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

We note that Theorem 5.1, similar to Theorem 4.1, presents the recurrence formula in a structured form by decomposing each term into three regular factors: (i) a constant  $b_i$  determined by the moments of the counting random variable  $N$  and the severity random variable  $X$ ; (ii) a binomial coefficient  $\binom{k}{i}$ ; and (iii) lower-order central moments of compound random variables,  $\mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{\mu})^{k-i}]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[(S_N - \mu)^k]$ . The factorized structure of our recurrence formula can simplify the computation of the central moments, as demonstrated in the following examples.

**Example 5.1.** Suppose that  $N$  follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ . Then,  $\tilde{N}$  also follows a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  as illustrated in Example 3.1. Accordingly, to simplify notation, we denote by  $\eta_k$  the  $k$ th central moment of the compound Poisson random variables  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ , i.e.,

$$\eta_k = \mathbb{E}[(S_N - \mathbb{E}[S_N])^k] = \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \mathbb{E}[S_{\tilde{N}}])^k].$$

From Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$(15) \quad \eta_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i \binom{k}{i} \eta_{k-i} - b_0 \eta_k.$$

The dispersion index of  $N$  is  $d_N = \frac{\text{Var}(N)}{\mathbb{E}[N]} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} = 1$ . Hence,  $b_i$  becomes

$$(16) \quad b_i = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1}].$$

With the initial value  $\eta_0 = 1$ , we can find  $\eta_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) sequentially using (15) and (16). For example, the first three central moments of  $S_N$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= b_0 \binom{0}{0} \eta_0 - b_0 \eta_0 = 0, \\ \eta_2 &= b_0 \binom{1}{0} \eta_1 + b_1 \binom{1}{1} \eta_0 - b_0 \eta_1 = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X^2], \\ \eta_3 &= b_0 \binom{2}{0} \eta_2 + b_1 \binom{2}{1} \eta_1 + b_2 \binom{2}{2} \eta_0 - b_0 \eta_2 = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X^3]. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 5.2.** Suppose that  $N$  follows a Binomial distribution with parameters  $(m, p)$ . Then,  $\tilde{N}$  follows a Binomial distribution with parameters  $(m - 1, p)$  as shown in Example 3.2. Accordingly, we denote by  $\eta_k(m, p)$  and  $\eta_k(m - 1, p)$  the  $k$ th central moments of the compound Binomial random variables  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ ,

respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k(m, p) &= \mathbb{E}[(S_N - \mathbb{E}[S_N])^k], \\ \eta_k(m - 1, p) &= \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \mathbb{E}[S_{\tilde{N}}])^k]. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$(17) \quad \eta_{k+1}(m, p) = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i \binom{k}{i} \eta_{k-i}(m - 1, p) - b_0 \eta_k(m, p).$$

The dispersion index of  $N$  is  $d_N = \frac{\text{Var}(N)}{\mathbb{E}[N]} = \frac{mp(1-p)}{mp} = 1 - p$ . Hence,  $b_i$  becomes

$$(18) \quad b_i = mp \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (-p)^j \mathbb{E}[X]^j \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1-j}].$$

With the initial value  $\eta_0(m, p) = 1$ , we can find  $\eta_k(m, p)$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) sequentially using (17) and (18). For example, if we want to find the first three central moments of  $S_N$ , we compute *a priori*  $b_0, b_1, b_2$  using (18):

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= mp\mathbb{E}[X], \\ b_1 &= mp\mathbb{E}[X^2] - mp^2\mathbb{E}[X]^2, \\ b_2 &= mp\mathbb{E}[X^3] - 2mp^2\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[X^2] + mp^3\mathbb{E}[X]^3. \end{aligned}$$

We then use (17) in a recursive manner as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(m, p) &= b_0 \binom{0}{0} \underbrace{\eta_0(m - 1, p)}_{=1} - b_0 \underbrace{\eta_0(m, p)}_{=1} = 0, \\ \eta_2(m, p) &= b_0 \binom{1}{0} \underbrace{\eta_1(m - 1, p)}_{=0} + b_1 \binom{1}{1} \underbrace{\eta_0(m - 1, p)}_{=1} - b_0 \underbrace{\eta_1(m, p)}_{=0} \\ &= mp\mathbb{E}[X^2] - mp^2\mathbb{E}[X]^2, \\ \eta_3(m, p) &= b_0 \binom{2}{0} \underbrace{\eta_2(m - 1, p)}_{=\frac{(m-1)p\mathbb{E}[X^2]}{-(m-1)p^2\mathbb{E}[X]^2}} + b_1 \binom{2}{1} \underbrace{\eta_1(m - 1, p)}_{=0} + b_2 \binom{2}{2} \underbrace{\eta_0(m - 1, p)}_{=1} - b_0 \underbrace{\eta_2(m, p)}_{=\frac{mp\mathbb{E}[X^2]}{-mp^2\mathbb{E}[X]^2}} \\ &= mp\mathbb{E}[X^3] - 3mp^2\mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[X^2] + 2mp^3\mathbb{E}[X]^3. \end{aligned}$$

**Example 5.3.** Suppose that  $N$  follows a negative Binomial distribution with parameters  $(m, p)$ . Then,  $\tilde{N}$  follows a negative Binomial distribution with parameters  $(m + 1, p)$  as shown in Example 3.3. Accordingly, we denote by  $\eta_k(m, p)$  and  $\eta_k(m + 1, p)$  the  $k$ th central moments of the compound negative Binomial random variables  $S_N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ , respectively, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k(m, p) &= \mathbb{E}[(S_N - \mathbb{E}[S_N])^k], \\ \eta_k(m + 1, p) &= \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \mathbb{E}[S_{\tilde{N}}])^k]. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$(19) \quad \eta_{k+1}(m, p) = \sum_{i=0}^k b_i \binom{k}{i} \eta_{k-i}(m+1, p) - b_0 \eta_k(m, p).$$

The dispersion index of  $N$  is  $d_N = \frac{\text{Var}(N)}{\mathbb{E}[N]} = \frac{m(1-p)/p^2}{m(1-p)/p} = \frac{1}{p}$ . Hence,  $b_i$  becomes

$$(20) \quad b_i = m \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{j+1} \mathbb{E}[X]^j \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1-j}].$$

With the initial value  $\eta_0(m, p) = 1$ , we can find  $\eta_k(m, p)$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) sequentially using (19) and (20). For example, if we want to find the first three central moments of  $S_N$ , we compute *a priori*  $b_0, b_1, b_2$  using (20):

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 &= m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) \mathbb{E}[X], \\ b_1 &= m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) \mathbb{E}[X^2] + m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}[X]^2, \\ b_2 &= m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) \mathbb{E}[X^3] + 2m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[X^2] + m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^3 \mathbb{E}[X]^3. \end{aligned}$$

We then use (19) in a recursive manner as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(m, p) &= b_0 \underbrace{\binom{0}{0} \eta_0(m+1, p)}_{=1} - b_0 \underbrace{\eta_0(m, p)}_{=1} = 0, \\ \eta_2(m, p) &= b_0 \underbrace{\binom{1}{0} \eta_1(m+1, p)}_{=0} + b_1 \underbrace{\binom{1}{1} \eta_0(m+1, p)}_{=1} - b_0 \underbrace{\eta_1(m, p)}_{=0} \\ &= m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) \mathbb{E}[X^2] + m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}[X]^2, \\ \eta_3(m, p) &= b_0 \underbrace{\binom{2}{0} \eta_2(m+1, p)}_{=(m+1)\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)\mathbb{E}[X^2]} + b_1 \underbrace{\binom{2}{1} \eta_1(m+1, p)}_{=0} + b_2 \underbrace{\binom{2}{2} \eta_0(m+1, p)}_{=1} - b_0 \underbrace{\eta_2(m, p)}_{=m\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)\mathbb{E}[X^2]} \\ &\quad + m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}[X]^2 + m \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^3 \mathbb{E}[X]^3. \end{aligned}$$

As noted in Section 4, we have  $S_N = N$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}} = \tilde{N}$  if the severity random variable  $X$  is degenerate with  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = 1$ . Hence, similarly to Corollary 4.2, we can obtain the central moments of  $N$  as follows.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $\rho = \mathbb{E}[N]$  and  $\tilde{\rho} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{N}]$  denote the expectations of  $N$  and  $\tilde{N}$ , respectively. Then, the central moments of  $N$  can be obtained by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(N - \rho)^{k+1}] &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{i=0}^k (d_N)^i \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{N} - \tilde{\rho})^{k-i}] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[(N - \rho)^k], \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_N = \frac{\text{Var}(N)}{\mathbb{E}[N]}$  is the dispersion index of  $N$ .

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 5.1 for  $X$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(X = 1) = 1$ . In this case, we have  $\mathbb{E}[X]^j = \mathbb{E}[X^{i+1-j}] = 1$  for all  $i, j$ . Hence,  $b_i$  in Theorem 5.1 reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (d_N - 1)^j \\ &= \mathbb{E}[N] (d_N - 1 + 1)^i \\ &= \mathbb{E}[N] (d_N)^i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by substituting  $b_i = \mathbb{E}[N] (d_N)^i$  and replacing  $(S_N, \mu)$  and  $(S_{\tilde{N}}, \tilde{\mu})$  by  $(N, \rho)$  and  $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{\rho})$ , respectively, we have Corollary 5.2. □

### 6. Proof of Theorem 3.1

By the linearity of expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(S_N - c)^{k+1}] &= \mathbb{E}[(S_N - c)(S_N - c)^k] \\ (21) \quad &= \mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k] - c \mathbb{E}[(S_N - c)^k]. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we complete the proof in two steps. First, we show that the first term on the right-hand side of (21) can be written as

$$(22) \quad \mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k] = \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k],$$

where  $X$  is the generic random variable for  $X_i$  and is independent of  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ . Second, we show that the term on the right-hand side of (22) can be further written as

$$(23) \quad \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k] = \sum_{i=0}^k c_i \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c})^k],$$

where  $c_i$  is given in (8). Combining (21), (22) and (23) then yields the theorem.

To begin, we evaluate the expectation  $\mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k]$  by conditioning on  $N$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N = n) \mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k \mid N = n] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N = n) \mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k \mid N = n] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N = n) \mathbb{E}[S_n(S_n - c)^k],\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows because we have defined  $S_0 = 0$  by convention, and the third one follows from the independence of  $N$  and  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . Since  $X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots$  are independent and identically distributed, we have

$$X_1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - c \right) \stackrel{d}{=} X_2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - c \right) \stackrel{d}{=} \dots \stackrel{d}{=} X_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - c \right),$$

where the symbol  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  denotes *equal in distribution*. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[S_n(S_n - c)^k] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - c \right)^k \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[ X_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n X_j - c \right)^k \right] \\ &= n \mathbb{E}[X_n (S_n - c)^k],\end{aligned}$$

which, in turn, leads to

$$\mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(N = n) n \mathbb{E}[X_n (S_n - c)^k].$$

From (6), we have  $\mathbb{P}(N = n) n = \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = n - 1)$  for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . We use this relation and then make the change of variable  $l = n - 1$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k] &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = n - 1) \mathbb{E}[X_n (S_n - c)^k] \\ (24) \qquad &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = l) \mathbb{E}[X_{l+1} (S_{l+1} - c)^k].\end{aligned}$$

Here, the expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X_{l+1}(S_{l+1} - c)^k]$  can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[X_{l+1}(S_{l+1} - c)^k] &= \mathbb{E}[X_{l+1}(S_l + X_{l+1} - c)^k] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[X_{l+1}(S_l + X_{l+1} - c)^k \mid \tilde{N} = l] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[X_{\tilde{N}+1}(S_{\tilde{N}} + X_{\tilde{N}+1} - c)^k \mid \tilde{N} = l] \\
 (25) \qquad &= \mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k \mid \tilde{N} = l],
 \end{aligned}$$

where, in the second equality, the independence of  $\tilde{N}$  and  $\{X_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is used. Substituting (25) into the right-hand side of (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[S_N(S_N - c)^k] &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tilde{N} = l) \mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k \mid \tilde{N} = l] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k \mid \tilde{N}]\right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k],
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows (22).

Now we apply the Binomial expansion to the term on the right-hand side of (22). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k] &= \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}\left[X(\{S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c}\} + \{X + \tilde{c} - c\})^k\right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}\left[X \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c})^i (X + \tilde{c} - c)^{k-i}\right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c})^i] \mathbb{E}[X(X + \tilde{c} - c)^{k-i}],
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from the independence of  $X$  and  $S_{\tilde{N}}$ . Applying the Binomial expansion again to the factor  $\mathbb{E}[X(X + \tilde{c} - c)^{k-i}]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[X(X + \tilde{c} - c)^{k-i}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[X \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \binom{k-i}{j} (\tilde{c} - c)^j X^{k-i-j}\right] \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \binom{k-i}{j} (\tilde{c} - c)^j \mathbb{E}[X^{k+1-i-j}].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the term on the right-hand side of (22) can be expressed as

$$(26) \qquad \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k] = \sum_{i=0}^k d_{k,i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c})^i],$$

where  $d_{k,i}$  is given by

$$d_{k,i} = \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k-i}{j} (\tilde{c} - c)^j \mathbb{E}[X^{k+1-i-j}].$$

In order to reduce the computational complexity involved in (26), we seek to find a simpler expression for  $d_{k,i}$ . We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{k+1,i+1} &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^{(k+1)-(i+1)} \binom{k+1}{i+1} \binom{(k+1)-(i+1)}{j} (\tilde{c}-c)^j \mathbb{E}[X^{(k+1)+1-(i+1)-j}] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \binom{k+1}{i+1} \binom{k-i}{j} (\tilde{c}-c)^j \mathbb{E}[X^{k+1-i-j}] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[N] \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \frac{k+1}{i+1} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k-i}{j} (\tilde{c}-c)^j \mathbb{E}[X^{k+1-i-j}] \\
 &= \frac{k+1}{i+1} \cdot d_{k,i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

That is, the following relation holds for any successive terms  $d_{k,i}$  and  $d_{k+1,i+1}$ :

$$d_{k+1,i+1} = \frac{k+1}{i+1} \cdot d_{k,i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

By induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{k,i} &= \frac{k}{i} \cdot d_{k-1,i-1} \\
 &= \frac{k}{i} \cdot \frac{k-1}{i-1} \cdot d_{k-2,i-2} \\
 &= \frac{k}{i} \cdot \frac{k-1}{i-1} \cdot \frac{k-2}{i-2} \cdot d_{k-3,i-3} \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &= \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)\cdots(k-i+1)}{i(i-1)(i-2)\cdots(1)} \cdot d_{k-i,0} \\
 &= \binom{k}{i} d_{k-i,0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, with  $d_{i,0} = c_i$ , we can simplify the expression in (26) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[N] \mathbb{E}[X(S_{\tilde{N}} + X - c)^k] &= \sum_{i=0}^k d_{k-i,0} \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c})^i] \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k d_{i,0} \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c})^{k-i}] \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^k c_i \binom{k}{i} \mathbb{E}[(S_{\tilde{N}} - \tilde{c})^{k-i}],
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows (23). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.



## 7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have derived a formula for the raw and central moments of a compound random variable by utilizing an auxiliary counting random variable. This utilization allows us to derive a recurrence formula in a structured form having three regular factors, which provides the advantage of reducing the computational complexity involved in obtaining higher-order moments. A potential future direction is to identify the class of counting random variables to which our formula can be effectively applied.

## References

- [1] N. De Pril, *Moments of a class of compound distributions*, Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 1986(2) (1986), 117-120.
- [2] R.W. Grubbström and O. Tang, *The moments and central moments of a compound distribution*, European Journal of Operational Research, 170(1) (2006), 106-119.
- [3] O. Hesselerager, *A recursive procedure for calculation of some compound distributions*, ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA, 24(1) (1994), 19-32.
- [4] D. Kim and Y. Kim, *Recurrence relations for higher order moments of a compound binomial random variable*, East Asian Mathematical Journal, 34(1) (2018), 59-67.
- [5] T. Loc Hung, *On the rates of convergence in central limit theorems for compound random sums of independent random variables*, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, 42(2) (2021), 374-393.
- [6] ———, *On the weak laws of large numbers for compound random sums of independent random variables with convergence rates*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, 48(4) (2022), 1967-1989.
- [7] M. Murat, *Recurrence relations for moments of doubly compound distributions*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 79(3) (2012), 481-492.
- [8] M. Murat and D. Szynal, *On moments of counting distributions satisfying the  $k$ th-order recursion and their compound distributions*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 92(4) (1998), 4038-4043.
- [9] M. Murat and D. Szynal, *On computational formulas for densities and moments of compound distributions*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 99(3) (2000), 1286-1299.
- [10] H.H. Panjer, *Recursive evaluation of a family of compound distributions*, ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA, 12(1) (1981), 22-26.
- [11] E. Peköz and S.M. Ross, *Compound random variables*, Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences, 18(4) (2004), 473-484.
- [12] K.J. Schröter, *On a family of counting distributions and recursions for related compound distributions*, Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 1990(2-3) (1990), 161-175.
- [13] S.K. Seong, *Recurrence formulas for the raw moments and the central moments of compound random variables*, Master's Thesis, University of Ulsan, 2020 (2020), 1-20.
- [14] B. Sundt, *On some extensions of Panjer's class of counting distributions*, ASTIN Bulletin: The Journal of the IAA, 22(1) (1992), 61-80.
- [15] B. Sundt, *Some recursions for moments of compound distributions*, Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 33(3) (2003), 487-496.
- [16] F. Tank and S. Eryilmaz, *On bivariate compound sums*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 365(1) (2020), 112371.

YOORA KIM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ULSAN, ULSAN 44610, KOREA

Email address: yrkim@ulsan.ac.kr