ON THE BOUNDS OF THE EIGENVALUES OF MATRIX POLYNOMIALS

WALI MOHAMMAD SHAH AND ZAHID BASHIR MONGA*

ABSTRACT. Let $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j z^j$, $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $0 \le j \le n$ be a matrix polynomial of degree n, such that

 $A_n \ge A_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge A_0 \ge 0, \ A_n > 0.$

Then the eigenvalues of P(z) lie in the closed unit disk.

This theorem proved by Dirr and Wimmer [IEEE Trans. Automat. Control 52(2007), 2151-2153] is infact a matrix extension of a famous and elegant result on the distribution of zeros of polynomials known as Eneström-Kakeya theorem. In this paper, we prove a more general result which inter alia includes the above result as a special case. We also prove an improvement of a result due to Lê, Du, Nguyên [Oper. Matrices, 13(2019), 937-954] besides a matrix extention of a result proved by Mohammad [Amer. Math. Monthly, vol.74, No.3, March 1967].

1. Introduction and statement of results

Let $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be the set of all $m \times m$ matrices with entries from the field \mathbb{C} . By a matrix polynomial we mean a function $P : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ defined by

(1)
$$P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j z^j, \ A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}.$$

If $A_n \neq 0$, then P(z) is said to be a matrix polynomial of degree n. If $A_n = I$, where I is the identity matrix, then the matrix polynomial P(z) is called monic. We say λ is an eigenvalue of P(z) if there exists $u \in \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that $P(\lambda)u = 0$. In this case **u** is said to be an eigenvector of P(z).

For matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, we write $A \ge 0$ and A > 0 if A is positive semidefinite and positive definite, respectively. $A \ge B$ and A > B mean $A - B \ge 0$ and A - B > 0, respectively.

We denote by $\lambda_{max}(A)$ and $\lambda_{min}(A)$ the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix A respectively. Also the spectral radius denoted by $\rho(A)$ of a

Received January 28, 2023. Revised April 14, 2023. Accepted April 14, 2023.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 12D10, 15A18, 30C15.

Key words and phrases: Matrix polynomial, Eigenvlaue, Eneström-Kakeya theorem.

^{*} Corresponding author.

⁽c) The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2023.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

matrix A is defined by

(2)
$$\rho(A) = \max\{|\lambda|; \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A\}$$

Dirr and Wimmer [3] proved the following result concerning the bounds on the eigenvalues of matrix polynomials.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j z^j$, $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $0 \le j \le n$ be a matrix polyno-

mial of degree n such that

(3)
$$A_n \ge A_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge A_0 \ge 0, \ A_n > 0.$$

Then the eigenvalues of P(z) lie in the closed unit disk $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

The Eneström-Kakeya theorem [4,7] is a special case of Theorem 1.1 if we put m = 1. Note that conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is also true if we replace relation symbol ">" by " \geq " in (3).

In this paper we first obtain the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.2. Let $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j z^j$ be a matrix polynomial such that $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, 0 \leq j \leq n$, are positive-definite. If the scalars $t_1 > t_2 \geq 0$ can be found such

that $0 \le j \le n$, are positive-definite. If the scalars $t_1 > t_2 \ge 0$ can be found such that

(4)
$$t_1 t_2 A_j + (t_1 - t_2) A_{j-1} - A_{j-2} \ge 0, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, n+1,$$

where $A_{-1} = A_{n+1} = 0$. Then the eigenvalues of P(z) lie in the closed disk

$$|\lambda| \le t_1$$

For $t_1 = 1, t_2 = 0$, Theorem 1.2 reduces to Theorem 1.1. Moreover a result due to Aziz and Mohammad [2] is a special case of Theorem 1.2 if we put m = 1.

On applying Theorem 1.2, to the matrix polynomial $Q(z) = z^n P\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$, we get the following:

COROLLARY 1.3. Let $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j z^j$ be a matrix polynomial such that $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, 0 \leq j \leq n$ are positive-definite. If $t_1 > t_2 \geq 0$ can be found such that

(6)
$$t_1 t_2 A_j + (t_1 - t_2) A_{j+1} - A_{j+2} \ge 0, \ j = -1, 0, \cdots, n-1,$$

where $A_{-1} = A_{n+1} = 0$. Then the eigenvalues of P(z) lie in the region

(7)
$$|\lambda| \ge \frac{1}{t_1}$$

On combining Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 and making $t_2 = 0$, a result due to Lê, Du and Nguyên [8, Theorem 2.6] follows immediately.

We next prove the following improvement of a result due to Lê, Du and Nguyên [8, Theorem 2.3].

THEOREM 1.4. Let $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_j z^j$, be a matrix polynomial such that $A_j \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, 0 \leq j \leq n$ satisfy

(8)
$$A_n \ge A_{n-1} \ge \ldots \ge A_0 \ge 0, \ A_n > 0.$$

Then the eigenvalues of P(z) lie in the annular region

(9)
$$\frac{\lambda_{\min}(A_0)}{\lambda_{\max}(2A_n - A_0)} \le |\lambda| \le 1.$$

The bound obtained is sharp and equality holds for $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} Iz^{i}$.

A result due to Gardner and Govil [5] is a special case of Theorem 1.4, if we put in particular m = 1. Also note that if $A_0 > 0$, then the lower bound given by Theorem 1.4 is always better than that obtained in [8, Theorem 2.3]. Finally we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 1.5. Let
$$P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j z^j + I z^n$$
, $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, 0 \le j \le n$ be a monic

matrix polynomial. Denote

(10)
$$L_p = n^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|A_j\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the subordinate matrix norm. Then the eigenvalues of P(z) lie in the closed disk

(11)
$$|\lambda| \le \max\left(L_p, L_p^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)$$

The bound obtained is sharp and equality holds for $P(z) = Iz^n - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} Iz^j$.

A result due to Mohammad [10] is a special case of Theorem 1.5, if we put m = 1. Letting $q \to \infty$ in Theorem 1.5 we get the following.

COROLLARY 1.6. The eigenvalues of $P(z) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A_j z^j + I z^n, A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, 0 \le j \le j$ n-1 lie in the closed disk

(12)
$$|\lambda| \le \max(L_1, L_1^{\frac{1}{n}}),$$

(13)
$$L_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|A_j\|$$

In the special case when $\|\cdot\|$ is the subordinate norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ defined by $\|A\|_2 :=$ $\max_{\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u}=1} \sqrt{(A\mathbf{u})^*(A\mathbf{u})}, \ A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, \text{ then for a Hermitian matrix } A, \ \|A\|_2 = \rho(A). \text{ In this}$ context we have the following.

Shah. W. and Monga. Z.

COROLLARY 1.7. Let $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j z^j + I z^n$ be a matrix polynomial such that $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, 0 \le j \le n-1$ are Hermitian matrices. Denote

(14)
$$L'_{p} = n^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\rho(A_{j}) \right)^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

Then the eigenvalues of P(z) satisfy

(15)
$$|\lambda| \le \max(L'_p, {L'_p}^{\frac{1}{n}}).$$

Letting $q \to \infty$ in Corollary 1.7 we get the following.

COROLLARY 1.8. Let $P(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_j z^j + I z^n$ be a matrix polynomial $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}, 0 \le j \le n-1$ are Hermitian. Then the eigenvalues of P(z) lie in the closed disk (16) $|\lambda| \le \max(L'_1, L'_1^{\frac{1}{n}}),$

where

(17)
$$L'_{1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \rho(A_{j}).$$

2. Lemma and proofs of theorems

For the proof of these theorems we need the following lemma (for reference see. [6]).

LEMMA 2.1. Let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ be a Hermitian matrix, then

(18)
$$\lambda_{\min}(M) = \min_{u \in \mathbb{C}^m, u^* u = 1} \{ u^* M u \}$$

(19)
$$\lambda_{\max}(M) = \max_{\boldsymbol{u} \in C^m, \boldsymbol{u}^* \boldsymbol{u} = 1} \{ \boldsymbol{u}^* M \boldsymbol{u} \}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Let λ be an eigenvalue of P(z) and **u** be the corresponding eigenvector. Define

$$P_{\mathbf{u}}(z) = \mathbf{u}^* P(z) \mathbf{u}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{u}^* A_j \mathbf{u} z^j.$$

Since $\mathbf{u}^* A_j \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}$, therefore $\mathbf{u}^* P(z) \mathbf{u}$ is a polynomial with complex coefficients. Since

$$t_1 t_2 A_j + (t_1 - t_2) A_{j-1} - A_{j-2} \ge 0, \ j = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$$

we obtain

$$\mathbf{u}^{*} \left(t_{1} t_{2} A_{j} + (t_{1} - t_{2}) A_{j-1} - A_{j-2} \right) \mathbf{u} \ge 0,$$

i.e.,

(20)
$$t_1 t_2 \mathbf{u}^* A_j \mathbf{u} + (t_1 - t_2) \mathbf{u}^* A_{j-1} \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^* A_{j-2} \mathbf{u} \ge 0.$$

Define

$$G_{\mathbf{u}}(z) = (t_2 + z)(t_1 - z)P_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$$

= $\mathbf{u}^* \left(\left(t_1 t_2 + (t_1 - t_2)z - z^2 \right) \sum_{j=0}^n A_j z^j \right) \mathbf{u}$
= $\mathbf{u}^* \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(t_1 t_2 A_j + (t_1 - t_2) A_{j-1} - A_{j-2} \right) z^j \right) \mathbf{u}$,

where

$$A_{-2} = A_{-1} = A_{n+1} = A_{n+2} = 0.$$

Let

$$H_{\mathbf{u}}(z) = z^{n+2} G_{\mathbf{u}} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$$

= $\mathbf{u}^* \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+2} (t_1 t_2 A_j + (t_1 - t_2) A_{j-1} - A_{j-2}) z^{n-j+2}\right) \mathbf{u}$
= $-\mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u} + K_{\mathbf{u}}(z),$

(21) where

$$K_{\mathbf{u}}(z) = \mathbf{u}^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \left(t_1 t_2 A_{n-j+2} + (t_1 - t_2) A_{n-j+1} - A_{n-j} \right) z^j \right) \mathbf{u}.$$

Then, by (20),

$$\max_{\substack{z|=\frac{1}{t_1}}} |K_u(z)| \le \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} |\mathbf{u}^* (t_1 t_2 A_{n-j+2} + (t_1 - t_2) A_{n-j+1} - A_{n-j}) \mathbf{u}| \frac{1}{t_1^j}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \mathbf{u}^* (t_1 t_2 A_{n-j+2} + (t_1 - t_2) A_{n-j+1} - A_{n-j}) \mathbf{u} \frac{1}{t_1^j}$$
$$= \mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u}.$$

Since $K_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$ is a polynomial with complex coefficients, by Schwarz's lemma (for ref. see [1]) we have for $|z| \le \frac{1}{t_1}$

$$|K_{\mathbf{u}}(z)| \le (\mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u}) t_1 |z|.$$

Therefore from (21), we have for $|z| \leq \frac{1}{t_1}$

$$|H_{\mathbf{u}}(z)| \ge |\mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u} z t_1|$$

= $\mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u} (1 - |z|t_1).$

Thus we get for $|z| < \frac{1}{t_1}$

$$|H_{\mathbf{u}}(z)| > 0$$

Consequently the zeros of $H_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$ lie in $|z| \ge \frac{1}{t_1}$ and thus that of $G_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$ lie in $|z| \le t_1$. Therefore all the zeros of $P_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$ lie in the closed disk $|z| \le t_1$. Since λ is a zero of $P_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$, therefore $|\lambda| \leq t_1$. That is the eigenvalues of P(z) lie in the closed disk

 $|\lambda| \le t_1.$

This proves the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.4: For the proof of the upper bound (see [3, Theorem 2.1]). To prove the lower bound, let λ be an eigenvalue of P(z) and **u** be the corresponding unit eigenvector. Define

(22)
$$P_{\mathbf{u}}(z) = \mathbf{u}^* P(z) \mathbf{u}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{u}^* A_j \mathbf{u} z^j.$$

From (8), it follows that

(23)
$$\mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u} \ge \mathbf{u}^* A_{n-1} \mathbf{u} \ge \ldots \ge \mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u} \ge 0.$$

Define

$$G_{\mathbf{u}}(z) = (1-z)P_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$$

= $(1-z)\sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathbf{u}^{*}A_{j}\mathbf{u}z^{j}$
= $\mathbf{u}^{*}A_{0}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}(A_{j} - A_{j-1})z^{j} - A_{n}z^{n+1}\right)\mathbf{u}$
= $\mathbf{u}^{*}A_{0}\mathbf{u} + H_{\mathbf{u}}(z),$

where

$$H_{\mathbf{u}}(z) = \mathbf{u}^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - A_{j-1}) z^j - A_n z^{n+1} \right) \mathbf{u}.$$

Thus for |z| = 1, we have on using (23)

$$|H_{\mathbf{u}}(z)| = \left| \mathbf{u}^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (A_j - A_{j-1}) z^j - A_n z^{n+1} \right) \mathbf{u} \right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbf{u}^* A_j \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^* A_{j-1} \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u}$$
$$= \mathbf{u}^* (2A_n - A_0) \mathbf{u}.$$

Now $H_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$ is a polynomial with complex coefficients, therefore by Schwarz's lemma (for ref. see [1]) we have for $|z| \leq 1$

$$|H_{\mathbf{u}}(z)| \le \mathbf{u}^* (2A_n - A_0)\mathbf{u}|z|.$$

Thus we have for $|z| \leq 1$

$$|G_{\mathbf{u}}(z)| \ge |\mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u}| - |\mathbf{u}^* (2A_n - A_0) \mathbf{u} z|$$

= $\mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^* (2A_n - A_0) \mathbf{u} |z|.$

150

On the bounds of the eigenvalues of matrix polynomials

Notice that $\frac{\mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}^* (2A_n - A_0) \mathbf{u}} \leq 1$. So that if $|z| < \frac{\mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{u}^* (2A_n - A_0) \mathbf{u}}$, then $G_{\mathbf{u}}(z) \neq 0$ and in turn $P_{\mathbf{u}}(z) \neq 0$. Therefore, the zeros of $P_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$ lie in the region

$$|z| \ge \frac{\mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u}}{2\mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u}}$$

ш

Since λ is a zero of $P_{\mathbf{u}}(z)$, therefore

(24)
$$|\lambda| \ge \frac{\mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u}}{2\mathbf{u}^* A_n \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^* A_0 \mathbf{u}}$$

This gives on using Lemma 2.1

(25)
$$|\lambda| \ge \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A_0)}{\lambda_{\max}(2A_n - A_0)}$$

This proves the theorem completely.

Proof of Theorem 1.5: Let \mathbf{u} be a unit vector. Then

(26)
$$\|P(z)\mathbf{u}\| = \left\|\mathbf{u}z^{n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{j}\mathbf{u}z^{j}\right\|$$
$$\geq \|\mathbf{u}z^{n}\| - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|A_{j}\mathbf{u}z^{j}\|$$
$$\geq |z^{n}| - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|A_{j}\| |z|^{j}.$$

Thus by Holder's inequality, we have

(27)
$$\|P(z)\mathbf{u}\| \ge |z|^n - n^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\|A_j\| \|z\|^j \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = |z|^n \left(1 - n^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\|A_j\|}{|z|^{(n-j)}} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)$$

Let $|z| > \max(1, L_p)$. Then

$$\|P(z)\mathbf{u}\| \ge |z|^n \left(1 - \frac{n^{\frac{1}{q}}}{|z|} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \|A_j\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$
$$= |z|^n \left(1 - \frac{L_p}{|z|}\right)$$
$$> 0.$$

Therefore each eigenvalue of P(z) lies in the closed disk

$$(28) |\lambda| \le \max\{1, L_p\}.$$

The result follows from (28), if $L_p \ge 1$. If however $L_p < 1$, then from (28), it follows

$$|\lambda| \le 1.$$

1	Б	1
Т	J	Т

Assume $L_p^{\frac{1}{n}} < |z| \le 1$, then from (27) we have

$$||P(z)\mathbf{u}|| \ge |z|^n \left(1 - \frac{n^{\frac{1}{q}}}{|z|^n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} ||A_j||^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$$
$$= |z|^n \left(1 - \frac{L_p}{|z|^n}\right)$$
$$> 0.$$

Thus in this case the result also follows and hence the theorem is proved completely. $\hfill \Box$

References

- L. V. Ahlfors, Complex analysis: an introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1978.
- [2] A. Aziz and Q. G. Mohammad, On the zeros of a certain class of polynomials and related analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 75 (1980), 495–502.
- [3] G. Dirr and H. K. Wimmer, An Eneström-Kakeya theorem for hermitian polynomial matrices, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control 52 (2007), 2151–2153.
- [4] G. Eneström, Härledning af en allmän formel för antalet pensionärer, Ofv. af. Kungl. Vetenskaps-Akademeins Förhandlingen, No. (Stockholm, 1893).
- [5] R. B. Gardner and N. K. Govil, Some generalizations of Eneström-Kakeya theorem, Acta Math. Hungar., 74(1-2) (1997), 125-134.
- [6] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- S. Kakeya, On the limits of the roots of an algebraic equations with positive coefficients, Tohoku Math J. 2 (1912-13), 140–142.
- [8] C. T. Lê, T. H. B. Du, T. D. Nguyên, On the location of eigenvalues of matrix polynomials, Oper. Matrices, 13(2019), 937–954.
- [9] M. Marden, Geometry of Polynomials, Mathematical Surveys Number 3, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (1966).
- [10] Q. G. Mohammad, Location of the Zeros of Polynomials, Amer. Math. Monthly, vol.74, No.3, March 1967.

Wali Mohammad Shah

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, Ganderbal-191201, India *E-mail*: wali@cukashmir.ac.in

Zahid Bashir Monga

Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kashmir, Ganderbal-191201, India *E-mail*: zahidmonga@cukashmir.ac.in.