Nutrition Research and Practice

Original Research

Early childhood eating behaviors associated with risk of overweight and its socio-ecological determinants in Korean preschool children

Yeri Kim [1], **Jiye Kim** [2], **Bomi Lee** [3], **Seungyoun Jung** [1,4,5], **Seo-Jin Chung** [1], **Hyekyeong Kim** [2], **Nana Shin** [3], and **Yuri Kim** [1,4§]

[1]Department of Nutritional Science & Food Management, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 03760, Korea
[2]Department of Health Convergence, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 03760, Korea
[3]Department of Child Development & Intervention, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 03760, Korea
[4]Department of Nutritional Science & Food Management, Graduate Program in System Health Science & Engineering, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 03760, Korea
[5]Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA

§**Corresponding Author:**
Yuri Kim
Department of Nutritional Science and Food Management, Ewha Womans University, 52 Ewhayeodae-gil, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03760, Korea.
Tel. +82-2-3277-4485
Fax. +82-2-3277-2862
Email. yuri.kim@ewha.ac.kr

ORCID iDs
Yeri Kim
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9235-8100
Jiye Kim
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9597-8188
Bomi Lee
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1349-0104
Seungyoun Jung
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9887-2389

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: This study aimed to identify preschool children's eating behaviors associated with early childhood obesity and its multi-level, socio-ecological determinants.

SUBJECTS/METHODS: In a cross-sectional study of 364 mothers of preschool children aged 3–5 years, these children's healthy eating behaviors were assessed using a validated preschool nutrition quotient (NQ-P) questionnaire. The children's overweight or obesity statuses were determined based on body mass index percentiles from the 2017 Korean National Growth Chart. The associations between the NQ-P score and risk of overweight or obesity were examined using multivariable logistic regression. The associations of individual, maternal, physical, and media environmental factors with the NQ-P score were also examined using multivariable linear regression.

RESULTS: Preschool children with greater NQ-P scores were at a significantly lower risk of overweight or obesity ($P < 0.01$). The NQ-P score had a significantly positive association with maternal body mass index and an inverse association with household income (all $P < 0.05$). Maternal parenting and feeding practices exhibited associations with the NQ-P score. Positive associations were observed with "warm," "structured," and "autonomy-supportive" parenting as well as monitoring feeding practices (all $P < 0.05$). In addition, the NQ-P score had a significantly positive association with the childcare center's anti-obesogenic environment, such as the provision of nutritional and physical-activity support and vicinity of the built food environment to the home, including access to good-quality food, fruits and vegetables, and low-fat foods (all $P < 0.05$). Regarding media environments, the NQ-P score demonstrated more significant associations with viewing and eating and/or cooking content displayed on online video platforms (all $P < 0.05$) than with that on television.

CONCLUSIONS: Our findings confirm the significance of healthy eating behaviors in early-childhood-obesity prevention and underscore the importance of multilevel maternal, physical, and media environmental interventions that effectively guide eating behaviors in preschool children.

Keywords: Child; feeding behavior; obesity

Seo-Jin Chung
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8881-7036
Hyekyeong Kim
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6246-9942
Nana Shin
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7869-6875
Yuri Kim
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7606-8501

Conflict of Interest
The authors declare no potential conflicts of interests.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Kim Y, Shin N, Jung S, Kim H, Chung SJ; Formal analysis: Jung S, Kim Y; Investigation: Kim Y, Jung S, Lee B, Kim J; Methodology: Jung S; Supervision: Kim Y; Validation: Jung S; Writing - original draft: Kim Y, Jung S; Writing - review & editing: Kim Y, Jung S, Kim H, Chung SJ, Shin N.

INTRODUCTION

Overweight and obesity are defined as abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that impairs health. Over the past decades, the incidence of early childhood overweight and obesity has risen substantially, posing significant public health concerns [1]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 30 million children aged < 5 years were estimated to be overweight or obese in 2020. In addition, childhood obesity tends to persist into adulthood and increases the risk of obesity-related morbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases, later in adulthood [2]. Obesity prevention initiatives that commence early in childhood could, thus, potentially impart benefits.

Eating behaviors is a collective term that encompasses the patterns of food consumption habits, such as food choices and motives, meal timing and frequency, portion sizes, and dieting. Eating behaviors directly impact energy intake and balance; therefore, they represent a key intervention target for obesity prevention [3]. However, children's eating behaviors change with aging [4]. The early-childhood 3–5-year age range is a particularly critical period of growth and development of physical-function control, emotions, social cognition, and social awareness [4]. The inclination to accept or avoid foods also gradually begins to be shaped during this period with increasing exposure to new foods, and it is reinforced and maintained thereafter [5]. The nutritional needs, appetite, taste preferences, overall processes, and motives associated with food choices and dieting are, thus, distinct in early childhood [6]. Moreover, eating behavior is a cultural process affected by the availability or affordability of foods, food systems, and culinary traditions that are heterogeneous across regions [7]. Therefore, early childhood eating behaviors should be assessed based on regionally and culturally adapted environments according to their life-stage-specific eating transitions. However, considerably less attention has been focused on this phenomenon in previous studies that have investigated the association of eating behaviors with early childhood obesity in South Korea [8,9].

In addition, attempts to identify the determinants of early childhood eating behaviors have largely emphasized pediatric and/or parental characteristics [5,10,11], such as demographics, socioeconomic status, and physical and mental health. However, eating behaviors are complex phenomena emanating from the interplay of physiological, psychological, and physical and social environmental factors [12,13]. Diet during early childhood, in particular, is supervised by parents, predominantly mothers, whose parenting and feeding practices have been found to pose a significant impact on determining preschool children's exposure, acceptance, and response to foods [14,15]. Furthermore, with a greater number of women entering the workforce and children being increasingly exposed to media platforms at a younger age [16,17], the food environments of childcare centers [18], television (TV), and online food advertising [19,20] have emerged as novel, contemporary, physical, and social environmental targets for modulating eating behaviors in early childhood. Hence, a comprehensive investigation of these multi-level determinants of early childhood eating behaviors is important; however, studies that have undertaken such socio-ecological approaches in Korea are lacking.

Therefore, the present study aimed to identify eating behaviors associated with early childhood obesity using a preschool nutrition quotient (NQ-P), a previously validated and comprehensive questionnaire assessing the multiple eating behavioral dimensions of preschool children aged 3–5 years in Korea [21]. Our understanding of obesity-associated childhood eating behaviors was evaluated by exploring preschool children's individual, maternal, physical, and media-related environmental factors to account for the complexity of eating behaviors.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study design and population

A cross-sectional survey was conducted. In the present study, email invitations were distributed to potential participants who were registered as panels in an online research company (Macromill Embrain Inc., Seoul, Korea). Prior to the study, potential participants were administered the online consent form, which contained details regarding the research objectives guiding the examination of the ecological factors of obesity and eating behaviors among preschoolers. Of those who consented to participate in the study, 365 mothers who each had at least one child aged 3–5 years were enrolled; however, one who had a child with an implausible body mass index (BMI) (BMI = 160 kg/m^2) was excluded from the present analyses.

Consequently, 364 mothers of preschool children aged 3–5 years were included in our study. Consent was obtained from the participants prior to their participation in the study. The study protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Ewha Womans University (IRB No: ewha-202103-0029-03).

Assessment of preschool children's eating behaviors

The preschool children's mothers completed the NQ-P, a validated diet quality and food-related behavior checklist for preschool children developed by the Korean Nutrition Society [21]. The NQ-P comprises 14 questions that assess the three dimensions of preschool children's eating behaviors: "balance" (five items), "moderation" (four items), and "environment" (five items). Questions on "balance" evaluate the overall diversity of food groups consumed and inquire about the frequencies of white milk, bean and bean product, meat, and fish consumption as well as the usual number of vegetable side dishes consumed during each meal. "Moderation" questions assess adherence to recommended intakes of food and nutrients that are related to chronic diseases and determine the frequencies of processed meat, fast food, sweet or greasy baked good, and processed beverage consumption. Questions regarding "environment" evaluate diet-related environment and feeding practices and establish the frequencies of breakfast consumption; familial support for healthy eating; eating without moving around; washing hands before meals; and TV, computer, and/or smart phone screen time.

Responses to questions specific to the "balance," "moderation," and "environment" dimensions were consolidated, resulting in three respective scores ranging from 0 to 100 [21]. The three scores were subsequently weight-averaged to generate an overall NQ-P score ranging from 0 to 100, which served as a composite indicator reflecting the overall aspects of preschool children's eating behaviors [21]. The higher the NQ-P score, the healthier the eating behavior.

Assessment of maternal parenting styles and feeding practices

Maternal parenting styles

The mothers' parenting styles were measured using the most recent version of the Parents as Social Context Questionnaire (PSCQ), which was originally developed by Skinner, Wellborn, and Regan and further expanded by Skinner *et al.* [22] to improve its reliability. The PSCQ comprises 31 questions using a four-point Likert scale ranging from "not at all" to "very much" and measures the following six core parenting subscales: "warmth" (six items), "structure" (five items), "autonomy support" (five items), "rejection" (five items), "chaos" (five items), and "coercion" (five items). These subscales can be aggregated into supportive (warmth, structure, and autonomy support) and unsupportive (rejection, chaos, and coercion) parenting. The "warmth" subscale refers to the expression of affection, love, appreciation, and emotional

support; the "structure" subscale refers to the provision of clear and consistent guidelines, expectations, and rules for child behavior; and the "autonomy-support" subscale refers to the provision of freedom of choice and expression and encouragement of children to actively explore their preferences and opinions. In contrast, the "rejection" subscale refers to overt communication entailing negative and hostile expressions toward the child, the "chaos" subscale refers to a lack of consistent discipline and unpredictable parental behaviors, and the "coercion" subscale refers to restrictive parental overcontrol based on an intrusive and autocratic style. The composite scores of the six parenting subscales were separately calculated by summing the responses to each subscale's specific questions, with a higher score indicating a greater probability of possessing a specific parenting style.

Maternal feeding practices
The mothers' feeding practices were measured using the Child Feeding Questionnaire (CFQ) developed by Birch *et al.* [23,24]. Of the 31 CFQ questions using a five-point Likert scale ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree," we selected *a priori* 15 questions related to the control of the child's food intake and feeding practices and measured three feeding-practice subscales: "restriction" (eight questions), "pressure to eat" (four questions), and "monitoring" (three questions). "Restriction" assesses the extent to which parents limit their children's access to foods. The "pressure to eat" subscale investigates parents' tendency to pressure their children to eat more food. "Monitoring" examines the extent to which parents oversee their child's eating. The three overall scores of the maternal-feeding-practice subscales were calculated by summing the responses to each subscale's specific questions; the higher the feeding practice score, the greater the tendency to use the respective feeding practice.

Assessment of obesogenic physical environmental factors
Obesogenic environment of the childcare center
The obesogenic environment of the childcare center was assessed using a modified version of the Environment and Policy Assessment and Observation (EPAO) questionnaire, which is commonly used to assess the obesogenic environmental factors of daycare centers [25,26]. In the EPAO, we *a priori* selected and modified 13 questions using a five-point Likert scale ranging from "not at all" to "very true" related to nutrition and physical activity programs. The 13 questions covered the following areas: use of the children's nutrition and physical activity facility, nutrition and physical activity programs, parental education programs on nutrition and physical activity, physical activity facilities in the childcare center, and teachers' adherence to healthy behaviors. We generated an overall anti-obesogenic childcare center score, summing all responses from the 13 items as well as the subscores for the nutritional (six items) and physical (six items) environments of the daycare center; a higher score represented a less obesogenic environment in the daycare center.

Built food environment near the home
The built food environment surrounding the home was assessed via questionnaires modified from the Parental Perceptions of Neighborhood Questionnaire developed by Ohri-Vachaspati *et al.* [27]. For the present study, we selected 10 items using a five-point Likert scale ranging from "not at all" to "very true" that assessed the neighborhood food environment. Thereafter, we summed the responses and calculated the scores to estimate the overall healthy food environment (10 items), access to good-quality food (three items), access to fruits and vegetables (three items), access to low-fat food (three items), and ease of using healthy food delivery services (one item) surrounding the home. A higher score represented a healthier built food environment surrounding the home.

Media environment

The media environment, that is, preschool children's screen time spent watching TV and online content, and in particular, consuming and/or cooking the viewed content, was assessed via the modified questionnaire originally developed by Ahn *et al.* [28] for the "Development of Media Recommendation Standards for Healthy Eating Habits" project, which was supported by the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare in 2019. The questionnaire included 18 questions using a five-point Likert scale inquiring about the usual frequency of watching programs on TV or online platforms and influence of TV food commercials.

Assessment of the overweight or obese statuses of preschool children and mothers

The mothers' and their preschool children's anthropometric data were collected via a questionnaire completed by the mothers. The mothers' BMI values were calculated as follows: weight/height^2 (kg/m^2). Maternal underweight, normal, overweight, and obese statuses were categorized according to the WHO obesity criteria. Overweight or obesity in preschool children was confirmed if their BMI values exceeded their corresponding age- and sex-specific 85th percentile BMI values based on the childhood and adolescent standard growth charts in South Korea (2017).

Other individual factors pertaining to preschool children and mothers

Other individual factors pertaining to preschool children (including age, sex, sleep duration, and attendance rate of all-day preschool) and mothers (including age, education, household income, and employment status) were collected via the questionnaire completed by the mothers. Regarding the physical activities of preschool children, mothers reported the average time their children spent per week on a variety of recreational activities. Thereafter, metabolic equivalent task hour (MET-h) values for each of these activities were assigned and summed to obtain the average total physical activity (in MET-h/wk).

Statistical analyses

Summary statistics were used to describe the study population with means ± SD for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables. Subsequently, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine the statistical significance of the observed differences in population characteristics across NQ-P-score quartiles for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables. To evaluate the association of the preschool children's eating behaviors measured by the NQ-P score with the risk of overweight or obesity, the NQ-P score was categorized into quartiles and odds ratios (ORs), and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated based on the NQ-P-score quartiles using logistic regression models. A test for trends between the NQ-P score and risk of obesity was conducted using the continuous term of the NQ-P score and its Wald test. NQ-P-score determinants were explored using a linear regression model that included the NQ-P score as a dependent variable and each of the potential determinants, selected *a priori*, such as the children's individual, maternal, physical, and media environmental factors, as independent variables. The statistical significance of the NP-Q-score determinants was evaluated using an *F*-test of a continuous term for continuous variables and that of a categorical term for categorical variables. To adjust for potential confounding factors, we selected variables associated with the preschool children's NQ-P scores at $P < 0.05$ in bivariate analyses or well-established risk factors for childhood obesity. Thereafter, the following final list of variables was included in the multivariate (MV) models: age (continuous, years), sex (boys, girls), physical activity (quintiles, MET-h/week), mother's education (≤ high school, bachelor's

degree, or ≥ master's degree), and mother's BMI (< 18.5, ≥ 18.5 and < 25, or ≥ 25 kg/m²). SAS (version 9.4; SAS Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for the analyses. All tests were two-sided, and statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the subjects

The study population's characteristics are shown in **Table 1**. Compared with the preschool children in the lowest NQ-P-score quartile, those with higher NQ-P scores were more likely to be physically active, sleep longer, and be of male sex and less likely to be overweight or obese. Regarding maternal characteristics, the preschool children's mothers with greater NQ-P scores were more likely to have a higher education degree and lower BMI and less likely to be overweight or obese than those with the lowest NQ-P scores. However, statistical significance was only achieved with obesity-related measures, such as the overweight or obese status of preschool children and mothers ($P < 0.01$).

Associations of preschool children's NQ-P scores and their overweight or obese statuses

On analyzing the associations between the preschool children's NQ-P scores and their overweight or obese statuses (**Table 2**), those with greater NQ-P scores were at a significantly lower risk of overweight or obesity (P for trend < 0.001). The MV-adjusted OR comparing preschool children in the highest NQ-P-score quartile (Q4) with those in the lowest NQ-P-score quartile (Q1) was 0.30 (0.11–0.63). In subsequent analyses, associations with individual subcomponents comprising overall NQ-P scores were examined to identify specific eating behaviors that might have contributed most significantly to the risk of overweight or obesity. A lower risk of overweight or obesity was suggested to be associated with greater consumption in

Table 1. Characteristics of preschool children according to the quartiles of the NQ-P score

Variables	NQ-P score				P-value[1]
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
NQ-P score, median (range)	47.41 (20.86–52.24)	56.57 (52.24–59.34)	61.82 (59.37–65.53)	71.44 (65.55–87.15)	< 0.001
Children characteristics					
Age (yrs)	4.1 ± 0.61	4.2 ± 0.61	4.1 ± 0.52	4.0 ± 0.54	0.378
Weight (kg)	17.7 ± 3.61	17.3 ± 3.49	17.4 ± 4.24	16.6 ± 2.33	0.059
Height (cm)	104.0 ± 8.23	102.6 ± 9.74	104.10 ± 8.48	103.71 ± 6.96	0.840
BMI (kg/m²)	16.5 ± 3.57	16.4 ± 2.40	16.1 ± 2.98	15.4 ± 1.41	0.006
Physical activity (MET-h/wk)[2]	15.1 ± 20.48	15.4 ± 14.39	16.8 ± 16.84	19.8 ± 26.02	0.100
Sleep duration (h/day)	10.7 ± 1.05	10.7 ± 1.00	10.71 ± 0.99	10.81 ± 1.04	0.453
Male (%)	52	44	58	56	0.226
Overweight or obese (%)[3]	30	26	23	9	0.004
Attending all day preschool (%)	87	79	80	74	0.173
Mother characteristics					
Age (yrs)	36.8 ± 4.37	35.4 ± 4.05	35.0 ± 4.77	36.2 ± 4.81	0.709
Weight (kg)	61.0 ± 9.41	59.5 ± 9.92	58.2 ± 10.28	57.5 ± 7.97	0.009
Height (cm)	161.9 ± 5.05	161.8 ± 4.43	161.9 ± 5.09	162.4 ± 4.82	0.458
BMI (kg/m²)	23.4 ± 3.53	22.7 ± 3.81	22.2 ± 3.92	21.8 ± 2.81	0.003
Overweight or obese (%)	31	24	18	10	0.004
≥ Bachelor degree (%)	85	84	84	92	0.253

Values are means ± SD or percentages, otherwise specified.
NQ-P, preschool children's Nutrition Quotient; BMI, body mass index; MET-h, metabolic equivalent task hours.
[1]P-value was tested using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables and the χ^2 test for the categorical variables.
[2]MET-h represents sum of the MET value of specific physical activities multiplied by the average time spent in each activity.
[3]Children was defined as overweight or obese if their BMI is above their corresponding age- and gender- specific 85th percentile BMI values based on the Childhood and Adolescent standard growth charts in South Korea (2017).

Table 2. OR[1] and 95% CIs of preschool children's overweight or obese status[2] according to quartiles of the NQ-P score

Variables	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P-trend[3]
NQ-P score					
Median score (range)	47.41 (20.86–52.24)	56.57 (52.24–59.34)	61.82 (59.37–65.53)	71.44 (65.55–87.15)	
No. of cases/Non-cases	27/64	24/67	21/70	8/83	
Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.85 (0.44–1.62)	0.71 (0.37–1.38)	0.23 (0.10–0.54)	< 0.001
Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.97 (0.50–1.89)	0.76 (0.38–1.52)	0.30 (0.11–0.63)	0.001
Individual components of NQ-P score - Balance					
Median score	47.73	60.89	68.32	76.87	
No. of cases/Non-cases	24/67	28/63	16/75	12/79	
Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.24 (0.65–2.36)	0.60 (0.29–1.22)	0.42 (0.20–1.22)	0.006
Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	1.73 (0.85–3.49)	0.74 (0.35–1.55)	0.51 (0.23–1.31)	0.025
Moderation					
Median score	31.72	43.46	54.98	71.73	
No. of cases/Non-cases	26/65	20/71	17/74	17/74	
Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.70 (0.36–1.38)	0.57 (0.29–1.15)	0.57 (0.29–1.15)	0.133
Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.80 (0.40–1.61)	0.59 (0.29–1.21)	0.68 (0.32–1.41)	0.272
Environment					
Median score	38.15	59.54	70.02	79.48	
No. of cases/Non-cases	29/62	25/67	12/78	14/77	
Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.80 (0.42–1.51)	0.33 (0.16–0.70)	0.39 (0.19–0.80)	0.002
Multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI)	1.00 (ref)	0.74 (0.38–1.47)	0.31 (0.14–0.67)	0.36 (0.17–0.71)	0.003

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NQ-P, preschool children's Nutrition Quotient; BMI, body mass index.
[1]MV-model adjusted for preschool children's age (continuous, years), sex (boys, girls), and physical activity (quartiles, mets-h/wk) and mother's education (≤ high school, bachelor degree, ≥ master degree), household income (< 20th, 20th-<40th, 40-<60th, 60-<80th, ≥ 80th percentile), and mother's BMI (< 18.5, 18.5-<25, ≥ 25 kg/m^{2}).
[2]Children was defined as overweight or obese if their BMI is above their corresponding age- and gender- specific 85th percentile BMI values based on the Childhood and Adolescent standard growth charts in South Korea (2017).
[3]P-trend was tested from the model with a continuous term of NQ-P score and using the Wald test of it.

the "balance" dimension (*P* for trend < 0.05) and being in a healthy environment (*P* for trend < 0.01), although quartile-specific comparisons were only significant with "environment." Eating in moderation was not associated with the risk of overweight or obesity.

Associations of preschool children's NQ-P scores and their socio-ecological determinants

Tables 3-**6** show the results for the associations of NQ-P scores with the children's individual, maternal, and parenting factors as well as the physical and media-related environmental factors. Pre-school children's individual factors, such as age, sex, physical activity, sleep duration, or the number of siblings, were not associated with NQ-P scores (**Table 3**). In contrast, the NQ-P score exhibited a significantly inverse association with household income (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score ≥ 80th percentile vs. < 20th percentile: 61.4 vs. 64.6) and the obese statuses of the mothers (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score BMI > 30 kg/m^{2} vs. < 18.5 kg/m^{2}: 59.0 vs. 57.2) (all *P* for trend < 0.05) but not with their age, education, or employment status (**Table 3**).

Analyses of maternal parenting styles and feeding practices (**Table 4**) revealed that both maternal parenting styles and feeding practices had strong associations with the NQ-P score. The NQ-P score exhibited a significantly positive association with increasing levels of "warmth" (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 59.0 vs. 57.2), "structured" (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 60.6 vs. 57.3), and "autonomy-supportive" parenting styles (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 61.0 vs. 58.3) (all *P* for trend < 0.05). In contrast, significant inverse associations of NQ-P scores with greater levels of "rejection" (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 58.6 vs. 61.1), "chaotic" (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 56.6 v. 61.6), and "coercive" parenting styles (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 57.4 vs. 63.3) (all *P* for trend < 0.05) were observed. Among the maternal feeding practices, significant positive associations were observed with maternal monitoring

Table 3. Mean[1] and 95% CIs of the NQ-P score across preschool children's and mother's characteristics

Variables	Unadjusted model	P-value[2]	Multivariable-adjusted model	P-value[2]
Preschool children characteristics				
Age		0.234		0.542
< 4 yrs	59.7 (58.1–61.3)		60.8 (58.0–63.6)	
≥ 4 and < 5 yrs	59.0 (57.6–60.4)		60.4 (57.5–63.3)	
≥ 5 yrs	53.7 (49.5–58.0)		57.3 (52.4–62.2)	
Sex		0.681		0.431
Boys	59.2 (57.7–60.6)		61.0 (58.1–63.8)	
Girls	58.7 (57.2–60.3)		60.1 (57.3–62.9)	
Physical activity		0.062		0.090
Q1	56.9 (54.9–59.0)		59.1 (56.0–62.3)	
Q2	59.2 (57.1–61.4)		60.4 (57.3–63.6)	
Q3	59.5 (57.4–61.5)		60.6 (57.6–63.7)	
Q4	60.3 (58.2–62.4)		61.7 (58.4–65.1)	
Sleep duration		0.639		0.772
Q1	58.6 (56.1–61.0)		60.5 (57.0–64.0)	
Q2	58.5 (56.7–60.3)		60.4 (57.4–63.4)	
Q3	60.5 (58.4–62.6)		61.9 (58.7–65.1)	
Q4	58.3 (56.2–60.5)		59.2 (56.0–62.4)	
No. of Sibling		0.741		0.978
0	58.7 (57.2–60.3)		60.4 (57.6–63.3)	
1	59.4 (57.8–61.0)		60.7 (57.8–63.7)	
≥ 2	58.3 (55.3–61.3)		60.0 (56.3–63.8)	
Mother characteristics				
Age		0.710		0.987
Q1	59.0 (57.0–61.3)		60.8 (57.6–64.0)	
Q2	58.3 (56.1–60.6)		59.9 (56.7–63.0)	
Q3	59.8 (57.9–61.7)		61.5 (58.3–64.8)	
Q4	58.4 (56.3–60.4)		60.0 (56.7–63.2)	
Education		0.062		0.093
≤ High school	56.1 (53.3–58.8)		58.5 (55.0–61.9)	
Bachelor degree	59.3 (58.1–60.5)		61.0 (58.5–63.4)	
≥ Master degree	60.8 (57.4–64.2)		62.0 (57.9–66.1)	
Household Income		0.034		0.019
< 20th household income	62.6 (56.0–69.2)		64.6 (57.7–71.5)	
20th–< 40th household income	55.9 (52.9–58.9)		56.8 (53.2–60.4)	
40th–< 60th household income	58.5 (56.4–60.6)		60.5 (57.6–63.3)	
60th–< 80th household income	58.4 (56.5–60.3)		59.2 (56.5–61.9)	
≥ 80th household income	60.8 (59.0–62.7)		61.4 (58.4–64.4)	
Employment status		0.609		0.891
Unemployed	58.4 (56.8–60.0)		58.8 (55.1–62.4)	
Part-time	59.9 (56.4–63.4)		61.1 (58.6–63.7)	
Full-time	59.3 (57.8–60.8)		62.1 (58.0–66.2)	
Obesity status		0.001		0.007
BMI < 18.5	63.1 (59.6–66.7)		64.5 (60.3–68.7)	
18.5 ≤ BMI < 23	59.5 (58.1–60.9)		61.1 (58.3–63.7)	
23 ≤ BMI < 25	60.4 (57.9–62.8)		61.4 (58.1–64.7)	
25 ≤ BMI < 30	54.2 (51.7–56.8)		56.4 (53.0–59.9)	
30 ≤ BMI	56.3 (51.5–61.2)		59.0 (53.6–64.4)	

CI, confidence interval; NQ-P, preschool children's Nutrition Quotient; BMI, body mass index.
[1]MV-model adjusted for preschool children's age (continuous, years), sex (boys, girls), and physical activity (quartiles, mets-h/wk) and mother's education (≤ high school, bachelor degree, ≥ master degree), household income (< 20th, 20th-<40th, 40-<60th, 60-<80th, ≥ 80th percentile), and mother's BMI (< 18.5, 18.5-< 25, ≥ 25 kg/m²).
[2]P-values were calculated using an F-test, using a continuous term for continuous variables or a nominal term for categorical variables.

feeding practices (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 60.6 vs. 56.9) (P for trend < 0.01). No associations with "maternal restriction" or "pressure to eat" were noted.

Table 5 shows the associations of the NQ-P score with the preschool children's physical environments. Healthy supportive environments in childcare centers demonstrated

Table 4. Mean[1] and 95% CI of the NQ-P score across maternal parenting styles and feeding practices

Variables	Unadjusted model	*P*-value[2]	Multivariable-adjusted model	*P*-value[2]
Maternal parenting style				
Supportive parenting				
Warmth		< 0.001		< 0.001
Q1	56.9 (54.8–58.9)		57.2 (54.7–59.8)	
Q2	58.6 (56.6–60.7)		59.6 (56.9–62.3)	
Q3	58.3 (56.4–60.2)		59.0 (56.4–61.5)	
Q4	62.4 (60.2–64.5)		59.0 (56.4–61.5)	
Structure		0.017		0.014
Q1	57.4 (54.8–59.9)		57.3 (54.3–60.4)	
Q2	57.4 (55.1–59.8)		58.6 (55.6–61.5)	
Q3	59.2 (57.6–61.0)		59.7 (57.4–62.1)	
Q4	60.5 (58.5–62.5)		60.6 (58.0–63.2)	
Autonomy support		0.004		0.017
Q1	57.4 (55.3–59.4)		58.3 (55.6–60.9)	
Q2	58.2 (56.5–59.9)		58.8 (56.4–61.1)	
Q3	60.5 (57.3–63.8)		60.4 (56.7–64.1)	
Q4	60.8 (58.8–62.8)		61.0 (58.4–63.6)	
Unsupportive parenting				
Rejection		0.013		0.020
Q1	61.0 (58.9–63.1)		61.1 (58.5–63.7)	
Q2	58.8 (56.8–60.8)		59.3 (56.7–62.0)	
Q3	57.6 (55.6–59.6)		58.1 (55.5–60.7)	
Q4	58.4 (56.2–60.7)		58.6 (55.8–61.3)	
Chaos		< 0.001		< 0.001
Q1	61.8 (59.7–63.8)		61.6 (59.0–64.2)	
Q2	60.2 (57.5–62.9)		60.6 (57.5–63.7)	
Q3	58.4 (56.7–60.0)		58.6 (56.3–61.0)	
Q4	55.9 (53.7–58.0)		56.6 (53.8–59.4)	
Coercion		< 0.001		< 0.001
Q1	62.6 (60.5–64.7)		63.3 (60.6–66.1)	
Q2	59.6 (57.7–61.5)		60.0 (57.5–62.4)	
Q3	56.7 (54.7–58.8)		57.8 (55.1–60.4)	
Q4	56.8 (54.7–58.9)		57.4 (54.7–60.0)	
Maternal feeding practices				
Restriction		0.058		0.103
Q1	56.7 (54.5–58.9)		57.3 (54.5–60.0)	
Q2	59.8 (57.8–61.8)		60.0 (57.5–62.6)	
Q3	59.9 (57.9–61.9)		60.3 (57.7–62.9)	
Q4	59.1 (56.9–61.2)		59.2 (56.4–62.1)	
Pressure to eat		0.404		0.472
Q1	58.9 (56.6–61.1)		59.9 (57.2–62.7)	
Q2	58.1 (56.3–60.0)		58.1 (55.6–60.5)	
Q3	58.9 (56.9–60.9)		59.3 (56.7–61.9)	
Q4	60.3 (58.0–62.7)		60.9 (58.0–63.8)	
Monitoring		0.019		0.005
Q1	56.9 (54.9–59.0)		56.9 (54.4–59.5)	
Q2	55.1 (51.6–58.7)		55.6 (51.6–63.1)	
Q3	60.5 (58.9–62.0)		60.9 (58.7–63.1)	
Q4	59.6 (57.5–61.8)		60.6 (57.7–3.5)	

CI, confidence interval; NQ-P, preschool children's Nutrition Quotient.
[1]Multivariate model adjusted for preschool children's age (continuous, years), sex (boys, girls), and physical activity (quartiles, mets-h/wk) and mother's education (≤ high school, bachelor degree, ≥ master degree), household income (< 20th, 20th–< 40th, 40–< 60th, 60–< 80th, ≥ 80th percentile), and mother's body mass index (< 18.5, 18.5–<25, ≥ 25 kg/m²).
[2]*P*-values were calculated using an F-test, using a continuous term for each factor of maternal parenting styles and feeding practices.

significantly positive associations with the NQ-P score (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1 = 62.6 vs. 56.2 for an overall anti-obesogenic environment, 61.6 vs. 56.8 for a nutritionally supportive environment, and 62.1 vs. 56.2 for a physical activity supportive environment) (all *P* < 0.001). Similarly, analyses of the built food environment surrounding the

Table 5. Mean[1] and 95% CIs of the NQ-P score according to preschool children's health supportive physical environment

Variables	Unadjusted model	P-value[2]	Multivariable-adjusted model	P-value[2]
Anti-obesogenic environment of childcare center				
Overall anti-obesogenic environment		< 0.001		< 0.001
Q1	55.9 (53.9–57.9)		56.2 (53.5–58.8)	
Q2	58.6 (56.5–60.7)		58.9 (56.2–61.5)	
Q3	58.7 (56.6–60.8)		58.9 (6.2–61.6)	
Q4	62.7 (60.6–64.8)		62.6 (60.0–65.2)	
Nutrition environment		< 0.001		< 0.001
Q1	56.6 (54.5–58.8)		56.8 (54.1–59.6)	
Q2	58.0 (56.0–60.0)		58.1 (55.4–60.9)	
Q3	59.5 (57.4–61.6)		59.7 (57.0–62.3)	
Q4	61.3 (59.1–63.4)		61.6 (59.1–64.1)	
Physical activity environment		< 0.001		< 0.001
Q1	55.5 (53.3–57.7)		56.2 (53.4–58.9)	
Q2	59.0 (57.2–60.9)		59.2 (56.6–61.8)	
Q3	58.8 (56.4–61.1)		58.7 (55.8–61.6)	
Q4	61.7 (59.7–63.8)		62.1 (59.5–64.6)	
Built food environment near the home				
Overall healthy food environment		< 0.001		0.004
Q1	57.3 (55.3–59.3)		57.8 (55.3–60.3)	
Q2	55.9 (53.7–58.1)		56.8 (54.0–59.7)	
Q3	60.6 (58.6–62.6)		60.8 (58.2–63.5)	
Q4	61.5 (59.4–63.5)		61.5 (58.9–64.1)	
Access to good quality of food		0.001		0.002
1 (very difficult)	49.8 (35.9–63.7)		51.9 (38.0–65.9)	
2	54.0 (48.0–59.9)		54.2 (48.2–60.2)	
3	55.6 (53.1–58.1)		56.8 (54.0–59.7)	
4	59.8 (58.4–61.2)		60.5 (58.2–62.7)	
5 (very easy)	60.1 (58.1–62.1)		60.9 (58.2–63.6)	
Access to fruits and vegetables		0.003		0.009
Q1	55.4 (52.9–57.8)		56.4 (53.6–59.2)	
Q2	57.9 (55.6–60.3)		58.7 (55.6–61.7)	
Q3	60.4 (58.8–61.9)		60.6 (58.3–62.8)	
Q4	59.9 (57.6–62.3)		60.8 (57.8–63.7)	
Access to low-fat food		0.020		0.030
Q1	58.4 (6.2–60.7)		58.7 (55.9–61.5)	
Q2	56.4 (54.4–58.4)		57.2 (54.7–59.8)	
Q3	59.9 (58.2–61.7)		60.4 (58.0–62.9)	
Q4	61.3 (58.9–63.7)		61.3 (58.4–64.3)	
Ease of healthy food delivery service		0.015		0.083
Q1	56.8 (54.5–59.1)		58.0 (55.1–60.8)	
Q2	59.9 (57.3–62.4)		60.1 (57.1–63.0)	
Q3	58.5 (57.0–60.0)		58.8 (56.5–61.1)	
Q4	61.7 (59.2–64.1)		61.7 (58.7–64.8)	

CI, confidence interval; NQ-P, preschool children's Nutrition Quotient.
[1]Multivariate model adjusted for preschool children's age (continuous, years), sex (boys, girls), and physical activity (quartiles, mets-h/wk) and mother's education (≤ high school, bachelor degree, ≥ master degree), household income (< 20th, 20th-<40th, 40-<60th, 60-<80th, ≥ 80th percentile), and mother's body mass index (< 18.5, 18.5-< 25, ≥ 25 kg/m²).
[2]P-values were calculated using an F-test, using a continuous term for each physical environmental factor.

home revealed that a healthy food environment was associated with a higher NQ-P score (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 61.5 vs. 57.8, P for trend < 0.01). Specifically, better access to "good-quality food," "fruits and vegetables," and "low-fat food" was significantly associated with greater NQ-P scores (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 60.9 vs. 51.9 for "access to good-quality food," 60.8 vs. 56.4 for "access to fruits and vegetables," and 61.3 vs. 58.7 for "access to low-fat food") (P for trend < 0.05). However, no association between NQ-P scores and the ease of healthy-food delivery service use was observed.

Table 6. Mean[1] and 95% CIs of the NQ-P score according to preschool children's sedentary media environment (screen time on TV and online platform)

Variables	Unadjusted model	P-value[2]	Multivariable-adjusted model	P-value[2]
TV				
Frequency of watching TV		0.127		0.216
None	65.5 (60.1–70.9)		66.2 (60.4–71.9)	
< 1 time/mon	54.6 (50.3–58.9)		55.1 (50.6–59.6)	
≥ 1 time/mon and < 1 time/wk	64.4 (59.0–69.9)		64.8 (59.0–70.5)	
≥ 1 time/wk	60.3 (58.0–62.6)		60.3 (57.6–63.1)	
≥ 1 time/day	58.3 (57.0–59.5)		59.1 (57.0–61.2)	
Frequency of watching eating or cooking contents on TV		0.611		0.558
None	59.2 (57.9–60.5)		59.7 (57.6–61.8)	
< 1 time/mon	58.0 (55.3–60.6)		58.1 (55.1–61.3)	
≥ 1 time/mon and < 1 time/wk	58.6 (54.1–63.0)		58.8 (54.0–63.5)	
≥ 1 time/wk	59.8 (56.1–63.5)		59.9 (55.9–63.9)	
≥ 1 time/day	57.4 (53.1–61.8)		58.1 (53.4–62.8)	
Influence of exposure to food advertising on TV		0.013		0.012
1 (never wanted to buy advertised food)	59.0 (56.2–62.0)		59.6 (56.1–62.9)	
2	62.2 (60.0–64.5)		62.7 (59.8–65.6)	
3	58.6 (56.4–60.8)		59.0 (56.3–61.7)	
4	57.9 (56.3–59.5)		58.3 (56.1–60.6)	
5 (have wanted to buy advertised food)	51.0 (43.6–58.4)		51.1 (43.5–58.7)	
Online video platform				
Frequency of watching online video platforms		< 0.001		< 0.001
None	64.7 (62.0–67.5)		64.8 (61.7–68.0)	
< 1 time/mon	55.9 (52.5–59.3)		55.9 (52.2–59.7)	
≥ 1 time/mon and < 1 time/wk	63.9 (59.0–68.9)		63.8 (58.6–69.0)	
≥ 1 time/wk	59.7 (57.8–61.6)		59.9 (57.4–62.4)	
≥ 1 time/day	56.9 (55.3–58.4)		57.6 (55.3–59.8)	
Frequency of watching eating or cooking contents on online video platforms		0.002		0.006
None	59.0 (57.6–60.3)		59.5 (57.3–61.7)	
< 1 time/mon	58.2 (55.3–61.1)		58.6 (55.3–61.9)	
≥ 1 time/mon–< 1 time/wk	57.1 (52.3–61.9)		57.2 (52.0–62.3)	
≥ 1 time/wk	57.2 (54.1–60.3)		57.2 (53.7–60.8)	
≥ 1 time/day	50.6 (46.3–54.9)		53.2 (48.6–57.9)	
Influence of exposure to food advertising on online video platforms		< 0.001		< 0.001
1 (never wanted to buy advertised food)	59.8 (57.2–62.4)		60.9 (57.8–64.0)	
2	61.1 (58.9–63.3)		62.0 (59.1–64.8)	
3	56.7 (54.5–58.9)		57.3 (54.6–60.0)	
4	56.7 (54.8–58.5)		57.0 (54.6–59.4)	
5 (have wanted to buy advertised food)	48.5 (41.3–55.7)		49.3 (42.0–56.6)	

CI, confidence interval; NQ-P, preschool children's Nutrition Quotient.
[1]Multivariate model adjusted for preschool children's age (continuous, years), sex (boys, girls), and physical activity (quartiles, mets-h/wk) and mother's education (≤ high school, bachelor degree, ≥ master degree), household income (< 20th, 20th–< 40th, 40–< 60th, 60–< 80th, ≥ 80th percentile), and mother's body mass index (< 18.5, 18.5–< 25, ≥ 25 kg/m^2).
[2]P-values were calculated using an F-test, using a continuous term for each sedentary media environmental factor.

On investigating media influences on NQ-P scores (**Table 6**), online video platforms were suggested to exert a stronger influence than TV. The frequencies of watching TV and viewing eating- or cooking-related TV content were not associated with the NQ-P score, although the preschool children who tended to request food products shown in TV commercials had lower NQ-P scores (MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 51.1 vs. 59.6) (P for trend < 0.05). However, overall exposure to online video platforms was significantly associated with lower NQ-P scores (P for trend < 0.01; MV-adjusted mean of the NQ-P score Q4 vs. Q1: 57.6 vs. 64.8 for "frequency of watching online video platforms," 53.2 vs. 59.5 for "frequency of watching online eating or cooking content," and 49.3 vs. 60.9 for "influence of online video-platform food commercials"). However, for the mothers, neither watching TV nor using online video platforms, regardless of the program type, was associated with the NQ-P score (**Supplementary Table 1**).

DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study that sought to identify eating behaviors associated with the risk of overweight or obesity and its socio-ecological determinants among preschool children, greater NQ-P scores were significantly associated with a lower risk of overweight or obesity. This dose–response inverse trend was consistently observed, especially with greater consumption in the "balance" dimension and having a healthy environment among all behavioral dimensions that contribute to the NQ-P score. Interestingly, the NQ-P score was more significantly associated with maternal, physical, and media-related environmental factors than with the child's individual characteristics. For instance, while no associations were observed with the children's individual factors, the NQ-P score exhibited significantly inverse associations with maternal obesity and household income; positive associations with the monitoring of feeding practices and positive parenting styles, such as the "warm," "structured," and "autonomy-supportive" approaches; and inverse associations with the "rejection," "chaotic," and "coercive" parenting approaches. A greater NQ-P score was also observed among children who attended childcare centers that provided greater support in terms of nutrition and physical activity or had a built food environment near their homes that offered greater access to healthier foods. Finally, the NQ-P score was also significantly inversely associated with increased overall viewing and, in particular, consumption and/or cooking of content displayed on online video platforms; nonetheless, no association observed with TV viewing.

To our knowledge, three cross-sectional studies on preschool children in South Korea have examined the association between NQ-P scores and the risk of overweight [5,8,9]. Contrary to our inverse association of NQ-P scores with the risk of overweight, these previous studies reported no association. However, none of them controlled for both child and maternal confounding factors, thus underscoring the significance of our results. Substantial evidence supports the results of our study. Healthy eating behaviors characterized by the NQ-P score, such as consuming a variety of foods, limiting high-fat intake, consuming processed energy-dense foods, or avoiding skipping meals, are well-known to lead to balanced nutrient and energy consumption and improved overall diet quality [21]. A large meta-analysis [29] and most longitudinal studies on children [30,31], though not all [32,33], consistently reported the benefits of healthy eating behaviors or the consumption of high-quality diets in lowering childhood-obesity risk. Our observations align with the existing sequential axis of "healthy eating behavior," "high quality of diet," and "obesity prevention," [34] and further corroborates the usefulness of the NQ-P score in early obesity-intervention programs.

Interestingly, in our secondary analysis examining associations with the NQ score's sub-behavioral dimensions, we observed various associations with the risk of overweight or obesity. The inverse association with the risk of overweight or obesity was consistently observed with the "balance" and "environment" scores, but not with the "moderation" score. The possible explanation for this observation is unclear. However, evidence indicates that eating behavioral traits associated with obesity potentially exhibit age-related variations with increasing food experiences and self-control ability. For example, a study on children aged 6–8 years reported prominent associations with "moderation" and "regularity" scores, while consumption in the "moderation" and "diversity" dimensions stood out in another study on children aged 9–11 years [35]. However, these studies' results focused on preschool-aged children, whereas the study population in our study was limited and mixed. An unexpected positive association with the "balance" score [9], an inverse association with the "moderation" score [8], and no association with the "environment" score [5,8,9]

have previously been observed, exhibiting inconsistency with our results. Further large, prospective investigations are warranted to confirm our findings.

Eating behavior is a complex trait that is influenced by multiple personal, interpersonal, and socio-environmental factors [7,13]. In our analyses investigating the multifaceted factors of eating behaviors, an association between a lower NQ-P score and increased maternal BMI was noted. Mothers with overweight or obesity tend to be less knowledgeable regarding nutrition [36] and consume diets low in fruits, vegetables, and whole grains [37]. Considering that mothers are the primary caregivers who manage the home food environment, determine children's exposure to food, and serve as "eating" role models [38], our results potentially suggest the negative influence of unfavorable eating behaviors or home food environments conferred by mothers with overweight or obesity on their children's eating behaviors. To date, of the studies that have explored the parental factors of preschool-aged children's eating behavior [5,10,11], only one has exclusively reported an association with maternal BMI values [10]. The results were consistent with those of the present study, suggesting lower NQ scores of children with increasing maternal BMI values, although the results were merely marginally significant ($P = 0.056$) [10]. Similarly, other studies on parental BMI, > 90% of which were mothers, reported lower fruit and greater sugar-sweetened beverage consumption among girls aged 3 years with increasing parental BMI [11].

As additional maternal factors, we further explored both maternal parenting styles and feeding practices, given their direct impact on child eating behavior. Parenting style refers to the general behavioral strategy used to modify or maintain a child's eating behavior, whereas feeding practices represent specific rules that parents apply to control when, what, and how to eat [14]. In the present study, significant associations between NQ-P scores and all maternal parenting styles were identified. Positive and inverse associations with supportive (warm, structure, and autonomy support) and unsupportive (rejection, chaos, and coercion) parenting styles were noted, respectively. Our results potentially indicate that moderately restrictive parenting styles involving encouragement and less pressure may create a positive, emotional tone and social context for eating occasions and family eating environments and contribute to establishing desirable eating behaviors in children [14,15]. Interestingly, this result markedly coincides with the positive association observed with "monitoring feeding practice" in this study but not with other more forceful feeding practices, such as "coercion" and "pressure to eat." In agreement with these results, authoritative parenting, characterized by a nurturing and supportive approach yet setting limits for children, has previously been reported to be associated with positive eating habits, whereas child abuse or neglect has been associated with dietary problems [23,39].

Childcare centers offer childcare for preschool-aged children of working parents and have manifested considerable growth in response to an increasing number of dual-working parents and government support [40]. This socio-environmental change has redirected extensive public health attention toward childcare centers as the new intervention frontier to foster healthy behaviors [6]. Repeated exposure to healthy foods and nutritional education programs at the childcare center can increase familiarity with foods, ultimately enhancing children's acceptability of, attitudes toward, and preference for healthy foods before they establish their eating behaviors [15]. The greater NQ-P scores observed among preschool-aged children who attended childcare centers, which provide greater nutritional and physical-activity support, upheld this notion. These results are also consistent with the most recent large, qualitative reviews that examined the effectiveness of promoting healthy eating programs in childcare

settings [6] and found significant improvements in children's dietary intakes and food choices via interventions involving targeted diets, food provision, education, physical activity at an individual level, and parental engagement [6]. Similar results were observed in a subsequent randomized controlled trial of 433 preschool children wherein a greater intake of fruits and vegetables was reported in children who attended childcare centers that offered physical activity and healthy-eating programs than in those who did not [41].

In the present study, better access to "healthy food ingredients," "fruits and vegetables," and "low-fat food" near the home was significantly associated with greater NQ-P scores. The built food environment in residential areas serves as an influential junction determining access to food, thus affecting food exposure, availability, and intake [42]. Indeed, a high density of fast-food-type restaurants and convenience stores as well as a low density of healthy food outlets near the home were negatively associated with fruit and vegetable consumption and fiber intake and positively associated with the proportion of energy obtained from fat and sugar-sweetened beverages [43,44]. Similarly, a previous study on adolescent and preschool-aged children reported that proximity to corner stores played a significant role in determining unhealthy snacking [45]. Our results further confirm that a limited healthy food environment near the home may aggravate the pediatric risk of fostering poor eating behaviors and potentially contribute adversely to the development of early childhood obesity [46].

Currently, children are exposed to various media platforms, including modern digital devices (tablets, smartphones, computers, etc.) and traditional TV [16,17]. These media platforms broadcast extensive food-related content [47] and unhealthy food advertisements [48] that serve as external unhealthy food stimuli. Indeed, substantial evidence has demonstrated the direct deleterious effect of children's media usage and exposure on actual food recalls, attitudes, preferences, and intakes [19,20]. Our results, in which relatively low NQ-P scores were associated with an increased frequency of viewing online video platforms and being responsive to their content, are consistent with those reported in previous literature.

However, in the present study, associations were generally apparent only with online video platforms, which was unexpected. No prior study on preschool children was available for comparison because the present study is the first to associate various media platform types with the eating behaviors of preschool children. Nonetheless, consistent with our results, a previous study on adults in Korea reported a more pronounced association between binge alcohol consumption and watching online eating programs and further reported no association with viewing eating programs on TV [49]. One possible explanation for this is that child content on TV is more likely to be regulated by the government than that on online media channels, leaving more aggressive, stimulus food-related content and unhealthy food advertisements on online media channels [47]. In addition, a recent study reported a sizeable number of smartphone and tablet PC users among > 90% and > 60% of Korean preschool children in 2017 and 2018, respectively [16]. The frequency of and time spent on digital devices gradually increased and surpassed those of TV in 2017–2018 [16]. Our findings potentially indicate the greater appeal of online media to preschool children based on their ease with and increase in digital-device usage than with the traditional TV platform, thus negatively affecting their eating behaviors. However, in our study, the preschool children's mothers who frequently used online platforms were found to be more overweight and less educated, phenomena that tend to be associated with obesogenic home environments and parenting styles. Our positive association between viewing online platforms and unhealthy eating behaviors could be alternatively explained as unmeasured obesogenic environments reflected by watching online

platforms. Considering the paucity of data on the influence of online media platform on establishing eating behaviors early in life, further research is warranted.

This study has several limitations that warrant discussion. First, the cross-sectional nature of the present study limits the inferring of causality to the results. Second, the preschool children's data, including dietary behaviors, weight, height, and other lifestyle factors, were collected from their mothers, who could have incurred possible measurement errors in recording their children's data. Nonetheless, mothers have near-complete observational access to their children in a wide range of situations, the extent of which increases with younger age. Substantial evidence supports the accuracy of mothers' reports on their children's diet, weight/height, and other lifestyle factors [50,51]. Third, despite the comprehensiveness of the NQ-P score in incorporating the "balance," "moderation," and "environmental" dimensions of eating behavior, it does not account for the psychological aspects of eating behaviors, such as emotional, restrained, and uncontrolled eating. Fourth, despite the non-consideration of socioeconomic status during the enrollment of study participants, the majority of our study population exhibited relatively high education (> 85% with a bachelor's degree) and income (> 60% 60th national income level) levels, thus possibly limiting the generalizability of our findings. Finally, unmeasured and residual confounding factors, such as those related to the children's appetites, cannot be ruled out.

Nonetheless, the NQ-P score used in the present study originated from culturally adapted, validated questionnaires assessing multiple dimensions of eating behaviors, especially in Korean preschool children. Therefore, it not only ensured the quality of the dietary behavioral data of preschool children but was also able to investigate the overall and sub-dimensional aspects of eating behaviors. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to apply socio-ecological approaches to investigate the determinants of childhood eating behaviors in Korea. Thus, our study's results are at the novel intersection of nutrition, pediatrics, family and behavioral science, and public health. Finally, widespread data collection on child and maternal demographics, socioeconomics, anthropometric factors, and lifestyles can help control both child and maternal risk factors for early childhood obesity.

In summary, the inverse association between the NQ-P score and risk of overweight or obesity in preschool children confirms the significance of healthy eating behaviors in the prevention of early childhood obesity. Healthy eating behaviors among preschool children in Korea, as measured by the NQ-P score, were associated with their mothers' BMI values, parenting and monitoring feeding styles, the physical environments of childcare centers or residential areas, and media environments, particularly online video platforms. These findings underscore the diverse intervention strategies integrating multi-level familial, physical, and media environmental factors to effectively produce desirable eating behaviors in preschool children. Notwithstanding, large prospective studies are warranted to confirm these findings.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Table 1
Mean[1] and 95% CIs of the NQ-P score according to mothers' sedentary media environment (screen time on TV and online platform)

Click here to view

REFERENCES

1. de Onis M, Blössner M, Borghi E. Global prevalence and trends of overweight and obesity among preschool children. Am J Clin Nutr 2010;92:1257-64.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF

2. Lakshman R, Elks CE, Ong KK. Childhood obesity. Circulation 2012;126:1770-9.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF

3. Lee HA, Lee WK, Kong KA, Chang N, Ha EH, Hong YS, Park H. The effect of eating behavior on being overweight or obese during preadolescence. J Prev Med Public Health 2011;44:226-33.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF

4. Birch LL, Fisher JO. Development of eating behaviors among children and adolescents. Pediatrics 1998;101 Suppl 2:539-49.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF

5. Kim SY, Cha SM. Evaluation of dietary behaviors of preschool children in Seoul and Gyeonggi-do associated with the level of parents' health consciousness: using nutrition quotient for preschoolers (NQ-P). Nutr Res Pract 2021;15:248-65.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF

6. Matwiejczyk L, Mehta K, Scott J, Tonkin E, Coveney J. Characteristics of effective interventions promoting healthy eating for pre-schoolers in childcare settings: an umbrella review. Nutrients 2018;10:293.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF

7. Monterrosa EC, Frongillo EA, Drewnowski A, de Pee S, Vandevijvere S. Sociocultural Influences on Food Choices and Implications for Sustainable Healthy Diets. Food Nutr Bull 2020;41:59S-73S.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF

8. Lee HJ, Kim JH, Song S. Assessment of dietary behaviors among preschoolers in Daejeon: using nutrition quotient for preschoolers (NQ-P). J Nutr Health 2019;52:194-205.
   CROSSREF

9. Han G, Yang E. Dietary behavior and nutrition quotient (NQ) scores according to the weight status of preschool children in Gwangju metropolitan city. J Korean Soc Food Cult 2021;36:412-20.

10. Jeong I, Song S. Relationship between the dietary behavior of young children and their mothers in Daejeon, Korea using the nutrition quotient for preschoolers and adults. Korean J Community Nutr 2021;26:12-22.
    CROSSREF

11. Lee CY, Ledoux TA, Johnston CA, Ayala GX, O'Connor DP. Association of parental body mass index (BMI) with child's health behaviors and child's BMI depend on child's age. BMC Obes 2019;6:11.
    PUBMED | CROSSREF

12. Townsend N, Foster C. Developing and applying a socio-ecological model to the promotion of healthy eating in the school. Public Health Nutr 2013;16:1101-8.
    PUBMED | CROSSREF

13. Scaglioni S, De Cosmi V, Ciappolino V, Parazzini F, Brambilla P, Agostoni C. Factors influencing children's eating behaviours. Nutrients 2018;10:706.
    PUBMED | CROSSREF

14. Mahmood L, Flores-Barrantes P, Moreno LA, Manios Y, Gonzalez-Gil EM. The influence of parental dietary behaviors and practices on children's eating habits. Nutrients 2021;13:1138.
    PUBMED | CROSSREF

15. Nicklas TA, Baranowski T, Baranowski JC, Cullen K, Rittenberry L, Olvera N. Family and child-care provider influences on preschool children's fruit, juice, and vegetable consumption. Nutr Rev 2001;59:224-35.
    PUBMED | CROSSREF

16. Lee DY, Roh HW, Kim SJ, Park EJ, Yoo H, Suh S, Shin Y. Trends in digital media use in Korean preschool children. J Korean Med Sci 2019;34:e263.
    PUBMED | CROSSREF

17. Demers-Potvin É, White M, Potvin Kent M, Nieto C, White CM, Zheng X, Hammond D, Vanderlee L. Adolescents' media usage and self-reported exposure to advertising across six countries: implications for less healthy food and beverage marketing. BMJ Open 2022;12:e058913.
    PUBMED | CROSSREF

18. Gilliland JA, Rangel CY, Healy MA, Tucker P, Loebach JE, Hess PM, He M, Irwin JD, Wilk P. Linking childhood obesity to the built environment: a multi-level analysis of home and school neighbourhood factors associated with body mass index. Can J Public Health 2012;103 Suppl 3:eS15-21.
    PUBMED | CROSSREF

19. Qutteina Y, De Backer C, Smits T. Media food marketing and eating outcomes among pre-adolescents and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev 2019;20:1708-19.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

20. Norman J, Kelly B, McMahon AT, Boyland E, Chapman K, King L. Remember me? Exposure to unfamiliar food brands in television advertising and online advergames drives children's brand recognition, attitudes, and desire to eat foods: a secondary analysis from a crossover experimental-control study with randomization at the group level. J Acad Nutr Diet 2020;120:120-9.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

21. Lee JS, Kang MH, Kwak TK, Chung HR, Kwon S, Kim HY, Hwang JY, Choi YS. Development of nutrition quotient for Korean preschoolers (NQ-P): Item selection and validation of factor structure. J Nutr Health 2016;49:378-94.

22. Skinner E, Johnson S, Snyder T. Six dimensions of parenting: a motivational model. Parent Sci Pract 2005;5:175-235.
CROSSREF

23. Birch LL, Fisher JO, Grimm-Thomas K, Markey CN, Sawyer R, Johnson SL. Confirmatory factor analysis of the Child Feeding Questionnaire: a measure of parental attitudes, beliefs and practices about child feeding and obesity proneness. Appetite 2001;36:201-10.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

24. Nowicka P, Sorjonen K, Pietrobelli A, Flodmark CE, Faith MS. Parental feeding practices and associations with child weight status. Swedish validation of the Child Feeding Questionnaire finds parents of 4-year-olds less restrictive. Appetite 2014;81:232-41.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

25. Ward D, Hales D, Haverly K, Marks J, Benjamin S, Ball S, Trost S. An instrument to assess the obesogenic environment of child care centers. Am J Health Behav 2008;32:380-6.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

26. Vaughn AE, Mazzucca S, Burney R, Østbye T, Benjamin Neelon SE, Tovar A, Ward DS. Assessment of nutrition and physical activity environments in family child care homes: modification and psychometric testing of the environment and policy assessment and observation. BMC Public Health 2017;17:680.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

27. Ohri-Vachaspati P, DeLia D, DeWeese RS, Crespo NC, Todd M, Yedidia MJ. The relative contribution of layers of the social ecological model to childhood obesity. Public Health Nutr 2015;18:2055-66.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

28. Kim SK, Kim J, Kim H, An S, Lim Y, Park H. Association between food-related media program watching and dietary behaviors in Korean adolescents. Korean Public Health Res 2020;46:31-46.
CROSSREF

29. Liberali R, Kupek E, Assis MA. Dietary patterns and childhood obesity risk: a systematic review. Child Obes 2020;16:70-85.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

30. Sirkka O, Fleischmann M, Abrahamse-Berkeveld M, Halberstadt J, Olthof MR, Seidell JC, Corpeleijn E. Dietary patterns in early childhood and the risk of childhood overweight: the GECKO Drenthe birth cohort. Nutrients 2021;13:2046.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

31. Fernández-Alvira JM, Bammann K, Eiben G, Hebestreit A, Kourides YA, Kovacs E, Michels N, Pala V, Reisch L, Russo P, et al. Prospective associations between dietary patterns and body composition changes in European children: the IDEFICS study. Public Health Nutr 2017;20:3257-65.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

32. Rashid V, Streppel MT, Engberink MF, Weijs PJ, Nicolaou M, Verhoeff AP. Weight development between age 5 and 10 years and its associations with dietary patterns at age 5 in the ABCD cohort. BMC Public Health 2020;20:427.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

33. Reilly JJ, Armstrong J, Dorosty AR, Emmett PM, Ness A, Rogers I, Steer C, Sherriff A; Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children Study Team. Early life risk factors for obesity in childhood: cohort study. BMJ 2005;330:1357.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

34. Verduci E, Bronsky J, Embleton N, Gerasimidis K, Indrio F, Köglmeier J, de Koning B, Lapillonne A, Moltu SJ, Norsa L, et al. Role of dietary factors, food habits, and lifestyle in childhood obesity development: a position paper from the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition Committee on Nutrition. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2021;72:769-83.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

35. Bae JM, Kang MH. Age difference in association between obesity and nutrition quotient scores of preschoolers and school children. J Nutr Health 2016;49:447-58.
CROSSREF

36. Kim JW, Ha AW, Yoo KS. Mothers' nutrition knowledge and their preschoolers' obesity and dietary habits. J Korean Soc Food Cult 2008;23:646-54.

37. Koivuniemi E, Laitinen K. Overweight and obesity associates with diet quality in mothers of newborn babies. Curr Dev Nutr 2022;6 Suppl 1:678-78.
CROSSREF

38. Coto J, Pulgaron ER, Graziano PA, Bagner DM, Villa M, Malik JA, Delamater AM. Parents as role models: associations between parent and young children's weight, dietary intake, and physical activity in a minority sample. Matern Child Health J 2019;23:943-50.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

39. Birch LL, Fisher JO. Mothers' child-feeding practices influence daughters' eating and weight. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71:1054-61.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

40. Ahn J, Shin N. The use of child care center for infants of dual-working families in Korea. Child Youth Serv Rev 2013;35:1510-9.
CROSSREF

41. Leis A, Ward S, Vatanparast H, Humbert ML, Chow AF, Muhajarine N, Engler-Stringer R, Bélanger M. Effectiveness of the Healthy Start-Départ Santé approach on physical activity, healthy eating and fundamental movement skills of preschoolers attending childcare centres: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Public Health 2020;20:523.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

42. Reitzel LR, Okamoto H, Hernandez DC, Regan SD, McNeill LH, Obasi EM. The built food environment and dietary intake among African-American adults. Am J Health Behav 2016;40:3-11.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

43. Kebede A, Jirström M, Worku A, Alemu K, Berhane HY, Turner C, Ekström EC, Berhane Y. Residential food environment, household wealth and maternal education association to preschoolers' consumption of plant-based vitamin a-rich foods: the EAT Addis survey in Addis Ababa. Nutrients 2022;14:296.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

44. Duran AC, de Almeida SL, Latorre MR, Jaime PC. The role of the local retail food environment in fruit, vegetable and sugar-sweetened beverage consumption in Brazil. Public Health Nutr 2016;19:1093-102.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

45. Gangrade N, St Fleur K, Leak TM. Factors that influence snacking behaviors of adolescents from urban communities: a qualitative study. J Nutr Educ Behav 2022;54:521-31.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

46. Dake FA, Thompson AL, Ng SW, Agyei-Mensah S, Codjoe SN. The local food environment and body mass index among the urban poor in Accra, Ghana. J Urban Health 2016;93:438-55.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

47. Kang E, Lee J, Kim KH, Yun YH. The popularity of eating broadcast: Content analysis of "mukbang" YouTube videos, media coverage, and the health impact of "mukbang" on public. Health Informatics J 2020;26:2237-48.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

48. Tan L, Ng SH, Omar A, Karupaiah T. What's on YouTube? A case study on food and beverage advertising in videos targeted at children on social media. Child Obes 2018;14:280-90.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

49. Kim J, Choi S, Kim H, An S. Binge drinking and obesity-related eating: the moderating roles of the eating broadcast viewing experience among Korean adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:8066.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

50. Livingstone MB, Robson PJ. Measurement of dietary intake in children. Proc Nutr Soc 2000;59:279-93.
PUBMED | CROSSREF

51. Livingstone MB, Robson PJ, Wallace JM. Issues in dietary intake assessment of children and adolescents. Br J Nutr 2004;92 Suppl 2:S213-22.
PUBMED | CROSSREF