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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we introduce a new class of operators called $p$-demicompact operators between two lattice normed spaces $X$ and $Y$. We study the basic properties of this class. Precisely, we give some conditions under which a $p$-bounded operator be $p$-demicompact. Also, a sufficient condition is given, under which each $p$-demicompact operator has a modulus which is $p$-demicompact. Further, we put in place some properties of this class of operators on lattice normed spaces.


## 1. Introduction

In 1936, lattice-normed spaces were first defined by L. Kantorovich in [18]. After that, the theory of lattice-normed spaces was studied and then welldeveloped by S. Kutateladze, and A. Kusraev. Many results from ergodic theory, probability theory have been extended to lattice-normed vector lattices (see for instance $[9,15,16]$ ). It should be noticed that the theory of latticenormed spaces was always studied under the condition of decomposability of lattice norm in $[7,10,22,23]$. In this paper, we develop a general approach to lattice-normed vector lattices without requiring decomposability of lattice norm. We recall that a vector lattice $X$ equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|$ is said to be a normed lattice if $|x| \leq|y|$ in $X$ implies $\|x\| \leq\|y\|$. If a normed lattice is norm complete, then it is called a Banach lattice.

Recently, based on the theory of Banach lattice and the class of demicompactness, H. Benkhaled et al. in [6] introduced the notion of order weakly demicompact operator. Note that, the class of demicompactness was used by W. V. Petryshyn in $[25,26]$ to construct and investigate the structure of fixed point sets for nonlinear operators acting on Hilbert and Banach spaces. Let us recall from [25] that an operator $T: D \subset X \longrightarrow X$ is said to be demicompact if every bounded sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $D$ such that $\left(x_{n}-T x_{n}\right)_{n}$ converges strongly, has a convergent subsequence. Further, several results focused on this

[^0]class which contains compact operators $[8,19,20,25]$ and its important role in spectral theory (see $[11,13,17]$ ). Some other analyzes were related with the class of demicompact linear operators (see for instance $[2,12,14,21,27]$ ).

Motivated by demicompactness and related results on bounded linear operators acting on lattice spaces, we introduce in this paper the notion of $p$ demicompact operator. Then, we use the framework of the theory of lattice normed spaces to provide a systematic approach to the demicompactness criteria and generalize some results regarding the characterization of $p$-compact operators between lattice normed spaces, which was introduced by A. Aydin et al. in [4]. These operators generalize several known classes of operators such as compact, weakly compact and order weakly compact. Let us recall that, a linear operator $T$ between two lattices normed spaces is said to be $p$-compact if, for any $p$-bounded net $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$, the net $\left(T x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ has a $p$-convergent subnet.

In what follows, we present some notations and recall some basic definitions that will be used in the sequel. Let $\leq$ be an order relation on a real vector space $X$. Then $X$ is called an ordered vector space, if it satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $x \leq y$ implies $x+z \leq y+z$ for all $z \in X$.
(ii) $x \leq y$ implies $\lambda x \leq \lambda y$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$.

For an ordered vector space $X$ we let $X_{+}:=\{x \in X: x \geq 0\}$. The subset $X_{+}$ is called the positive cone of $X$. For each $x$ and $y$ in an ordered vector space $X$ we let $x \vee y:=\sup \{x, y\}$ and $x \wedge y:=\inf \{x, y\}$. If $x \in X_{+}$and $x \neq 0$, then we write $x>0$. A net $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ in a vector lattice $X$ is order convergent (or $o$-convergent for short) to $x \in X$, if there exists another net $\left(y_{\beta}\right)_{\beta}$ satisfying $y_{\beta} \downarrow 0$ and for any $\beta \in B$, there exists $\alpha_{\beta} \in A$ such that $\left|x_{\alpha}-x\right| \leq y_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{\beta}$. In this case we write $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} x$. A vector $e>0$ is called a strong unit in vector lattice $E$ if, for every $x \in E$, there exists a positive number $\lambda$, depending on $x$, such that $|x| \leq \lambda e$.

Let $X$ be a vector space, $E$ be a vector lattice and $p: X \rightarrow E_{+}$be a vector norm, i.e.,
(i) $p(x)=0 \Longleftrightarrow x=0$.
(ii) $p(\lambda x)=|\lambda| p(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, x \in X$.
(iii) $p(x+y) \leq p(x)+p(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then the triple $(X, p, E)$ is called a lattice-normed-space abbreviated as LNS. The lattice norm $p$ in an $\operatorname{LNS}(X, p, E)$ is said to be decomposable if for all $x \in X$ and $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E_{+}$it follows from $p(x)=e_{1}+e_{2}$, that there exist $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$ such that $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$ and $p\left(x_{k}\right)=e_{k}, k=1,2$. If $X$ is a vector lattice and the vector norm $p$ is monotone $(|x| \leq|y| \Longrightarrow p(x) \leq p(y))$, then the triple $(X, p, E)$ is called a lattice-normed vector lattice abbreviated as LNVL. We abbreviate the convergence $p\left(x_{\alpha}-x\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0$ as $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} x$ and say that $x_{\alpha} p$-converges to $x$.

In an LNS $(X, p, E)$ a subset $A$ of $X$ is called $p$-bounded if there exists $e \in E$ such that $p(a) \leq e$ for all $a \in A$. An LNVL $(X, p, E)$ is called op-continuous if $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ implies that $p\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Consider LNSs $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y, m, F)$.

A linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be dominated if there is a positive operator $S: E \rightarrow F$ satisfying

$$
m(T(x)) \leq S(p(x)) \text { for all } x \in X
$$

In this case, $S$ is called a dominant for $T$. The set of all dominated operators from $X$ to $Y$ is denoted by $M(X, Y)$.

The sets $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $L^{\sim}(E, F)$ denote, respectively, the space of all linear operators between vector spaces $X$ and $Y$, and the ordered vector spaces of all order bounded operators from $E$ into $F$. Recall that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X ; Y)$, where $X$ and $Y$ are normed spaces, is called a Dunford-Pettis operator if $x_{n} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in $X$ implies that $T x_{n} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$ in $Y$, here $\xrightarrow{w}$ denotes the weak convergence. For an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X ; Y)$, the range of $T$ is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(T)$.

A normed lattice $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is called order continuous if a net $x_{\alpha} \downarrow 0$ in $X$ implies $\|x\| \downarrow 0$ or equivalently $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in $X$ implies $\left\|x_{\alpha}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. A normed lattice $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is called $\sigma$-order continuous if a sequence $x_{n} \downarrow 0$ in $X$ implies $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \downarrow 0$ or equivalently $x_{n} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in $X$ implies $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Every order continuous normed lattice is $\sigma$-order continuous. A normed lattice $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is called a KB-space if for $0 \leq x_{\alpha} \uparrow$ and $\sup _{\alpha}\left\|x_{\alpha}\right\|<\infty$ we get that the net $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ is norm convergent. A positive vector $a \neq 0$ in a vector lattice $X$ is called atom if, for any $x \in[0, a]$, there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x=\lambda a$.

Let $a$ be an atom in a vector lattice $X$. The principal band $B_{a}$ generated by $a$ is a projection band, and $B_{a}=I_{a}=\operatorname{span}\{a\}=\{\lambda a, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $I_{a}$ is the ideal generated by $a$. A vector lattice $X$ is called atomic if the band generated by its atoms is $X$. If a vector lattice $X$ is atomic, then for any $x>0$, there is an atom $a$ such that $a \leq x$. A Banach lattice $E$ is said to be an AM-space if $x \wedge y=0$ in $E$ implies $\|x \vee y\|=\max \{\|x\| ;\|y\|\}$. It is know that, in an AM-space with strong unit, every norm bounded set is order bounded. For more details on lattice spaces, the reader can see ( $[1,3,5,7,22,24]$ ).

An outline of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we introduce a new class of operators, called $p$-demicompact (Definition 2.1). Then, we use our new class to generalize some results regarding the characterizations of the operators $p$-compact. Furthermore, we give relations between demicompact operator on acting mixed norm and $p$-demicompact operators. Note also that under a sufficient condition, we show that each $p$-demicompact operator has a modulus which is $p$-demicompact (see Theorem 2.5). We end this paper by studying the relationship between polynomially $p$-demicompact and $p$-demicompact operators (see Theorem 2.6).

## 2. Main results

Definition 2.1. Let $X, Y$ be two LNSs and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$. $T$ is called $p$-demicompact if, for every $p$-bounded net $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ in $X$ such that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$, there exists a $p$-convergent subnet $\left(x_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{\beta}$.

In the next theorem, we show that if a net of $p$-demicompact dominated operators $p$-convergent to a dominated operator, then it is also $p$-demicompact.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(X, p, E)$ be a decomposable LNS and $(Y, q, F)$ LNS such that $F$ is order complete. Let $\left(T_{m}\right)_{m}$ be a sequence in $M(X, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{R}\left(T_{m}\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ are subsets of $X$. If each $T_{m}$ is $p$-demicompact with $T_{m} \xrightarrow{p} T$ in $M(X, Y)$, then $T$ is a $p$-demicompact operator.

Proof. Let $x_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $X$ such that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since $x_{\alpha}$ is a $p$-bounded net in $X$, there is $e \in E_{+}$such that $p\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \leq e$ for all $\alpha$. Now, we can write

$$
x_{\alpha}-T_{m} x_{\alpha}=x_{\alpha}-T_{m} x_{\alpha}+T x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha},
$$

therefore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
q\left(x_{\alpha}-T_{m} x_{\alpha}\right) & =q\left(x_{\alpha}-T_{m} x_{\alpha}+T x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right) \\
& \leq q\left(x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right)+q\left(T_{m} x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{m} \in M(X, Y)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
q\left(T_{m} x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right) \leq\left|T_{m}-T\right|\left(p\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right) \leq\left|T_{m}-T\right|(e) .
$$

Since $T_{m} \xrightarrow{p} T$ in $M(X, Y)$, by Theorem VIII.2.3 [28] it follows that $\left|T_{m}-T\right|(e) \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in $F$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, by hypothesis, we have $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$, this implies that $q\left(x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Hence, we deduce that $q\left(x_{\alpha}-T_{m} x_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Thus, $x_{\alpha}-T_{m} x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Now, using the fact that $T_{m}$ is $p$-demicompact, we infer that there exists a $p$-convergent subnet $\left(x_{\alpha \beta}\right)_{\beta}$ of $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$. Consequently, $T$ is $p$-demicompact.

In following two propositions, we have relations between demicompact operators on acting mixed norm and $p$-demicompact operators.

Proposition 2.1. Let $(X, p, E)$ be an $L N S$, where $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is a normed lattice and $(Y, q, F)$ be an LNS, where $\left(F,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ is a Banach lattice. Let $T:\left(X, p,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, q,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$. If $T$ is demicompact, then $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(Y, q, F)$ is $p$-demicompact.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $X$ such that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since $x_{\alpha}$ is a $p$-bounded net in $X$, there is $e \in E$ such that $p\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \leq e$ for all $\alpha$. So, $\left\|p\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{E} \leq\|e\|_{E}<\infty$. Hence, $x_{\alpha}$ is norm bounded in $\left(X, p,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$. This allows us to get $q\left(x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{o} y$ or $q-\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{F} \rightarrow y$. Since $T$ is demicompact, there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha \beta}$ such that $q-\left\|x_{\alpha \beta}-x\right\|_{F} \rightarrow 0$ or $\left\|q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-x\right)\right\|_{F} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\left(F,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ is a Banach lattice, by Theorem VII.2.1 [28] there is a further subnet $x_{\alpha \beta_{k}}$ such that $q\left(x_{\alpha \beta_{k}}-x\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Therefore, $x_{\alpha \beta_{k}} \xrightarrow{p} x$. Consequently, $T$ is a $p$-demicompact operator.

Proposition 2.2. Let $(X, p, E)$ be an $L N S$, where $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is an AM-space with a strong unit. Let $(Y, q, F)$ be an LNS, where $\left(F,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ is an order
continuous normed lattice. If $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(Y, q, F)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$ is $p$-demicompact, then $T:\left(X, p,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, q,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ is demicompact.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ be a normed bounded net in $\left(X, p,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ that is $\left\|p\left(x_{\alpha}\right)\right\|_{E} \leq$ $k<\infty$ for all $\alpha$ such that $\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{F} \rightarrow y$. Since $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is an AM-space with a strong unit, $p\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ is order bounded in $E$. Thus $x_{\alpha}$ is a $p$-bounded net in $(X, p, E)$. Thus, we have $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Now, from the $p$-demicompactness of $T$, it follows that there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha \beta}$ such that $x_{\alpha \beta} \xrightarrow{p} x$, then $q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-x\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in $F$. Since $\left(F,\|\cdot\|_{F}\right)$ is order continuous, $\left\|q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-x\right)\right\|_{F} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $\left\|x_{\alpha \beta}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow x$. Consequently, $T$ is a demicompact operator.

It is known that a finite rank operator is demicompact. Similarly, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.3. Let $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y, q, F)$ be LNSs. Let $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow$ $(Y, q, F)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$. If $T$ is a p-bounded finite rank operator, then $T$ is $p$-demicompact.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $T$ is given by $T x=$ $f(x) y_{0}$ for some $p$-bounded functional $f:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|, \mathbb{R})$ and $y_{0} \in Y$. Let $x_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $X$ such that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since $x_{\alpha}$ is a $p$-bounded net in $X, f\left(x_{\alpha}\right)$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. So there is a subnet $x_{\alpha \beta}$ such that $f\left(x_{\alpha \beta}\right) \rightarrow \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-\lambda y_{0}\right) & =q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-T x_{\alpha \beta}+T x_{\alpha \beta}-\lambda y_{0}\right) \\
& \leq q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-T x_{\alpha \beta}\right)+q\left(T x_{\alpha \beta}-\lambda y_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q\left(T x_{\alpha \beta}-\lambda y_{0}\right) & =q\left(f\left(x_{\alpha \beta}\right) y_{0}-\lambda y_{0}\right) \\
& =\left|f\left(x_{\alpha \beta}\right)-\lambda\right| q\left(y_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, by hypothesis, we have $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$, this implies that $q\left(x_{\alpha}-\right.$ $\left.T x_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Hence, we deduce that $q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-\lambda y_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Consequently, $x_{\alpha \beta}-$ $\lambda y_{0} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Thus, $T$ is $p$-demicompact.

Remark 2.1 ([4]). If $X$ is an atomic $K B$-space, then every order bounded net has an order convergent subnet.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Then $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$ if and only if for any subsequence $\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)_{k}$, there is a further subsequence $\left(x_{n_{k_{j}}}\right)_{j}$ such that $x_{n_{k_{j}}} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$.

The following proposition gives information about when an order bounded operator is $p$-demicompact.

Proposition 2.4. Let $X$ be a vector lattice and $(Y, q, F)$ be an op-continuous $L N V L$ such that $Y$ is an atomic $K B$-space. If $T \in \mathcal{L}^{\sim}(X, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset$ $X$, then $T:(X,|\cdot|, X) \rightarrow(Y, q, F)$ is $p$-demicompact.
Proof. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $(X,|\cdot|, X)$ such that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since $x_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $(X,|\cdot|, X), x_{\alpha}$ is order bounded in $X$. The fact that $T$ is order bounded, allows us to get $\left(T x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ is order bounded in $Y$, which is an atomic $K B$-space, so from Remark 2.1 there are a subnet $x_{\alpha \beta}$ and $z \in Y$ such that $T x_{\alpha \beta} \xrightarrow{o} z$. Since $(Y, q, F)$ is op-continuous, $q\left(T x_{\alpha \beta}-z\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. By hypothesis, we have $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$, which implies that $q\left(x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}-y\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Now, we can write $x_{\alpha \beta}=x_{\alpha \beta}-T x_{\alpha \beta}+T x_{\alpha \beta}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-(y+z)\right) & =q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-T x_{\alpha \beta}-y+T x_{\alpha \beta}-z\right) \\
& \leq q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-T x_{\alpha \beta}-y\right)+q\left(T x_{\alpha \beta}-z\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we deduce that $q\left(x_{\alpha \beta}-(y+z)\right) \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Thus, $x_{\alpha \beta} \xrightarrow{p} y+z$. Consequently, $T$ is $p$-demicompact.

In the next proposition, under some conditions, we see that $p$-bounded operator is $p$-demicompact.

Proposition 2.5. Let $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y,|\cdot|, Y)$ be two LNVLs such that $Y$ is an atomic $K B$-space. If $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(Y,|\cdot|, Y)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$ is $p$-bounded, then $T$ is $p$-demicompact.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $(X,|\cdot|, X)$ such that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. From the fact that $T$ is $p$-bounded, it follows that $T x_{\alpha}$ is order bounded in $Y$. Since $Y$ is an atomic $K B$-space, by Remark 2.1, there is a subnet $x_{\alpha \beta}$ and $z \in Y$ such that $T x_{\alpha \beta} \xrightarrow{o} z$. Now, we have $x_{\alpha \beta}=x_{\alpha \beta}-T x_{\alpha \beta}+T x_{\alpha \beta}$, which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{\alpha \beta}-(y+z)\right| & =\left|x_{\alpha \beta}-T x_{\alpha \beta}-y+T x_{\alpha \beta}-z\right| \\
& \leq\left|x_{\alpha \beta}-T x_{\alpha \beta}-y\right|+\left|T x_{\alpha \beta}-z\right| \xrightarrow{o} 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left|x_{\alpha \beta}\right| \xrightarrow{o} y+z$. Thus, $x_{\alpha \beta} \xrightarrow{p} y+z$. Consequently, $T$ is $p$-demicompact.

In the next examples, we see that we can not omit the atomicity in Propositions 2.4 and 2.5.

Example 2.1. We consider the identity operator

$$
I:\left(L_{1}[0 ; 1] ;|\cdot| ; L_{1}[0 ; 1]\right) \rightarrow\left(L_{1}[0 ; 1] ;|\cdot| ; L_{1}[0 ; 1]\right)
$$

The sequence of Rademacher functions, that is the function $r_{n}:[0 ; 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
r_{n}(t)=\operatorname{sgn} \sin \left(2^{n} \pi t\right) \quad \text { for } t \in[0 ; 1]
$$

is order bounded by 1 and has no order convergent subsequence. Indeed, let $r_{n k}$ be a subsequence of $r_{n}$ such that

$$
r_{n k} \rightarrow f
$$

Then

$$
r_{n k}(x) \rightarrow f(x) \text { a.e. for each } x \in[0 ; 1] .
$$

But, for each $x \in[0 ; 1]$, there are infinitely many $n$ 's such that $r_{n k}(x)=1$ and infinitely many $n$ 's such that $r_{n k}(x)=-1$. So, $I$ is not $p$-demicompact.
Example 2.2. The identity operator $I:\left(l_{1} ;|\cdot| ; l_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(l_{1} ;|\cdot| ; l_{1}\right)$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 2.4, where $X=l_{1},(Y ; m ; F)=\left(l_{1} ;|\cdot| ; l_{1}\right)$, $Y=l_{1}$, which is an atomic $K B$-space, because $l_{1}$ has no copy of $c_{0}$ (note that $x=\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \in c_{0}$ but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}=\infty$, so $\left.\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \notin l_{1}\right)$, and also $\left(l_{1} ;|\cdot| ; l_{1}\right)$ is $o p$-continuous, so $I$ is $p$-demicompact. This shows that the identity operator on an infinite dimensional space can be $p$-demicompact.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS with $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ be an order continuous Banach lattice. $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(X, p, E)$ is p-demicompact if and only if $T$ is order weakly demicompact.
Proof. Assume that $T$ is $p$-demicompact. Let $x_{\alpha}$ be an order bounded net in $E$ such that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. We have $\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$, since $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is a Banach lattice, it follows that there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}$ such that $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in E. From Theorem VII.2.1 [28], we get $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ in $(X, p, E)$. Now, taking into account that $T$ is $p$-demicompact, there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}$ such that $x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Now, we can write $T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}=T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}-x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}+$ $x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right) & =p\left(T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}-x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}+x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right) \\
& \leq p\left(T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}-x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right)+p\left(x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which implies that $p\left(T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right) \rightarrow 0$. So, $T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}} \xrightarrow{o} 0$. Thus, since $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is order continuous, we obtain that $\left\|T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, from Lemma 2.1, we get $\left\|T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Further, we have $x_{\alpha}=x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}+T x_{\alpha}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} & =\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}+T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E}+\left\|T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we deduce that $\left\|x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, $T$ is order weakly demicompact. To prove the converse. Assume that $T$ is order weakly demicompact. Let $x_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $E$ such that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ in $(X, p, E)$. This implies that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in $E$. Since $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is an order continuous Banach lattice, $\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Now, from the fact that $T$ is order weakly demicompact, we get $\left\|x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, since $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is a Banach lattice, there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}$ such that $x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in $E$ and so $x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ in $(X, p, E)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS with $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is a Banach lattice. Let $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(X, p, E)$ such that $T$ is Dunford-Pettis. If $T$ is $p$-demicompact, then $T$ is order weakly demicompact.
Proof. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ be an order bounded net in $E$ such that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. We have $\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$, since $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is a Banach lattice, there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}$ such that $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in $E$. So, we get $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$ in $(X, p, E)$. Now, since $T$ is $p$-demicompact, there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}$ such that $x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}} \xrightarrow{p} 0$. Since $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $T$ is DunfordPettis, we obtain $\left\|T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$, so we have $\left\|T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Now, we can write $x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}=x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}+T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right\|_{E} & =\left\|x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}+T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right\|_{E} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right\|_{E}+\left\|T x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we deduce that $\left\|x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Now, applying Lemma 2.1, we infer that $\left\|x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, $T$ is order weakly demicompact.

Theorem 2.4. Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS with $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is order continuous. Let $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(X, p, E)$. If $T$ is order weakly demicompact, then $T$ is p-demicompact.
Proof. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net, then order bounded in $E$ such that $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} 0$. We have $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} 0$, then $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{o} 0$ in $E$. Since $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{E}\right)$ is order continuous, we get $\left\|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Now, from the fact that $T$ is order weakly demicompact, we obtain that $\left\|x_{\alpha}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Thus, using Lemma 2.1, there is further subnet such that $\left\|x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}\right\|_{E} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, we deduce that $x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} 0$. Consequently, $T$ is $p$-demicompact.

A linear mapping $T: E \rightarrow F$ between two vector lattices is called disjointness preserving if $|T(x)| \wedge|T(y)|=0$ for all $x, y \in E$ satisfying $|x| \wedge|y|=0$. Now, we give a sufficient condition under which each $p$-demicompact operator has a modulus which is $p$-demicompact.
Theorem 2.5. Let $(X, p, E)$ and $(Y, q, F)$ be LNSs. Let $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow$ $(Y, q, F)$ such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$ be $p$-demicompact. Then the modulus of $T$ is p-demicompact if $T$ is a p-bounded disjointness preserving operator.
Proof. $T$ is a $p$-bounded disjointness preserving operator, so it is an order disjointness preserving operator, then a theorem of Meyer Nieberg ([24], Theorem 3.1.4) implies that $|T|$ exists and that $|T|(x)=|T(x)|$ for all $x \in E^{+}$. Now, let $x_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $E$ such that $x_{\alpha}-|T| x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. This implies that $x_{\alpha}-\left|T x_{\alpha}\right| \xrightarrow{p} y$. Hence, $q\left(x_{\alpha}-\left|T x_{\alpha}\right|\right) \xrightarrow{o} y$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
q\left(x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right) & =q\left(\left|x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right|\right) \\
& \leq q\left(x_{\alpha}-|T| x_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{o} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain that $q\left(x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{o} y$. Hence, $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Since $T$ is $p$-demicompact, it follows that there is a $p$-convergent subnet $\left(x_{\alpha_{\beta}}\right)_{\beta}$ of $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$. Consequently, $|T|$ is a $p$-demicompact operator.

Theorem 2.6. Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS and $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(X, p, E)$. Then, $T^{m}$ is $p$-demicompact for some $m \geq 1$ if and only if $T$ is $p$-demicompact.

Proof. We assume that the assumption holds and let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $E$ such that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Now, we have the following equality

$$
I-T^{m}=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{j}(I-T)
$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$
\left(I-T^{m}\right) x_{\alpha}=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} T^{j}(I-T) x_{\alpha}
$$

From hypothesis, we have that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$, and this implies that ( $I-$ $\left.T^{m}\right) x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} z$. Now, using the fact that $T^{m}$ is $p$-demicompact, we infer that there exists a $p$-convergent subnet $\left(x_{\alpha_{\beta}}\right)_{\beta}$ of $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$. Consequently, we deduce that $T$ is a $p$-demicompact operator. The converse is similarly.

Theorem 2.7. Let $(X, p, E)$ be an LNS and $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(X, p, E)$ be a p-demicompact operator. If $S:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(X, p, E)$ is p-compact, then $T+S$ is a p-demicompact operator.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $E$ such that $x_{\alpha}-(T+S) x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p} y$. Using the fact that $S$ is $p$-compact, it follows that there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}$ such that $S x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} z$. Thus, from hypothesis, we obtain that $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} y+z$. Now, since $T$ is $p$-demicompact, we infer that there is a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta_{k}}}$ of $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}$ $p$-convergent. Consequently, $T+S$ is a $p$-demicompact operator.

Remark 2.2. (i) Every p-compact operator $T:(X, p, E) \rightarrow(X, p, E)$ is $p$ demicompact. Indeed, let $x_{\alpha}$ be a $p$-bounded net in $E$ such that $x_{\alpha}-T x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{p}$ $y$. Since $T$ is $p$-compact, it follows that there exists a subnet $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}$ such that $T x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} z$. Thus, we get $x_{\alpha_{\beta}}-T x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} y$, which implies that $x_{\alpha_{\beta}} \xrightarrow{p} y+z$. Consequently, $T$ is $p$-demicompact.
(ii) Let $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{Y}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ be normed spaces. Then

$$
T:\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}, \mathbb{R}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y,\|\cdot\|_{Y}, \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

such that $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset X$ is $p$-demicompact if and only if $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is demicompact.
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