

THE KERNELS OF THE LINEAR MAPS OF FINITE GROUP ALGEBRAS

DAN YAN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group, K a split field for G , and L a linear map from $K[G]$ to K . In our paper, we first give sufficient and necessary conditions for $\text{Ker } L$ and $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$, respectively, to be Mathieu-Zhao spaces for some linear maps L . Then we give equivalent conditions for $\text{Ker } L$ to be Mathieu-Zhao spaces of $K[G]$ in term of the degrees of irreducible representations of G over K if G is a finite Abelian group or G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup H and L are class functions of G/H . In particular, we classify all Mathieu-Zhao spaces of the finite Abelian group algebras if K is a split field for G .

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we will write K for a field without specific note and $K[G]$ for the group algebra of G over K . V_G is the K -subspace of the group algebra $K[G]$ consisting of all the elements of $K[G]$ whose coefficient of the identity element 1_G of G is equal to zero. It is easy to see that V_G is a subspace of $K[G]$ with codimension one. Let L be a linear map from $K[G]$ to K and $L|_H$ means restricting L to H , where H is a subgroup of G . We call H a p' -subgroup of G if $p \nmid |H|$. Let

$$\tau : K[H] \rightarrow K$$

such that $\tau(\sum a_x x) = \sum a_x$. Then $w(K[H]) := \text{Ker } \tau$, which is called the augmentation ideal of $K[H]$. It's equal to $\sum_{h_i \in H} (h_i - 1)K[H]$ for any subgroup H of G and $w(K[H])K[G]$ is $\sum_{h_i \in H} (h_i - 1)K[G]$.

The Mathieu-Zhao space was introduced by W. Zhao in [7], which is a natural generalization of ideals, motivated by a conjecture of O. Mathieu. The term Mathieu-Zhao space was suggested and used by A. van den Essen. We recall

Received January 2, 2023; Revised July 17, 2023; Accepted September 1, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20C05, 20C15, 20C20, 16D60.

Key words and phrases. Mathieu-Zhao spaces, irreducible representations, class functions.

The author is supported by the NSF of Hunan Province (Grant No. 2023JJ30386), the NSF of China (Grant No. 12371020), the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (Grant No. 21A0056), the China Scholarship Council and the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province.

the definitions of Mathieu-Zhao spaces of $K[G]$ and the radical of a subspace of $K[G]$. We say that a K -subspace M of $K[G]$ is called a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if for any $a, b \in K[G]$ with $a^m \in M$ for all $m \geq 1$, we have $ba^m \in M$ when $m \gg 0$. Let S be a K -subspace of $K[G]$. The radical of S is the set of all elements $a \in K[G]$ such that $a^m \in S$ when $m \gg 0$. We say that a subspace of $K[G]$ has MZ-property if it is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$. In [1], J. J. Duistermaat and W. van der Kallen proved the Mathieu conjecture for the case of tori, which can be re-stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)$ be m commutative free variables and V the subspace of the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z]$ consisting of the Laurent polynomials with no constant term. Then V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z]$.*

Let G be the free Abelian group \mathbb{Z}^m ($m \geq 1$). Then the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z]$ can be identified with the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Under this identification, the subspace of V in the theorem is V_G . In [9], W. Zhao and R. Willems proved that V_G is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if G is a finite group and $\text{char } K = 0$ or $\text{char } K = p > |G|$. For finite Abelian group, they proved that if K contains a primitive d -th root of unity and $\text{char } K = p$, then V_G is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\text{char } K = p > d$, where $|G| = p^a d$, $p \nmid d$. In [10], W. Zhao and the author give a sufficient and necessary condition for V_G to be a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if G is a finite group and K is a split field for G . Since V_G is just one subspace of $K[G]$ with codimension one, we first want to consider all subspaces of $K[G]$ with codimension one. Then we want to consider all subspaces of $K[G]$. Hence it is natural to ask the following question.

Problem 1.2. Let G be a finite group with $|G| = n$, $L = (L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r)$ and L_i be a linear map from $K[G]$ to K such that $L_i(g_j) = l_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Suppose that L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r are linearly independent over K . Then under what conditions on L and K , $\text{Ker } L$ forms a Mathieu-Zhao space of the group algebra $K[G]$?

It's easy to see that if $r \geq n$, then $\text{Ker } L = 0$. If $r \leq n-1$, then $\dim_K \text{Ker } L = n - r$ and every codimension r subspace of $K[G]$ is $\text{Ker } L$ for some linear map L . Hence $\text{Ker } L$ are all the codimension r subspaces of $K[G]$.

In our paper, we first prove some properties of $\text{Ker } L$ and $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ in Section 2. In Section 3, we give sufficient and necessary conditions for $\text{Ker } L$ and $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$, respectively, to be Mathieu-Zhao spaces for some linear maps L . Then we classify all Mathieu-Zhao spaces of $K[G]$ if G is a finite Abelian group and K a split field for G in Section 4. Thus, we solve Problem 1.2 if G is a finite Abelian group. In Section 5, we give equivalent conditions for $\text{Ker } L$ to be Mathieu-Zhao spaces of $K[G]$ in term of the degrees of irreducible representations of G over K if G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup H and L are class functions of G/H or L_1, \dots, L_{r-1} are class functions of G/H and

$L_r(\tilde{g}_j h_2) = L_r(\tilde{g}_j h_3) = \cdots = L_r(\tilde{g}_j h_{\bar{i}})$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$, where $G = \cup_{j=1}^d \tilde{g}_j H$, $H = \{1_H, h_2, \dots, h_{\bar{i}}\}$.

2. Some properties of $\text{Ker} L$ and $\text{Ker} L \cap Z(K[G])$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $L = (L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r)$ and L_i be a linear map from $K[G]$ to K such that $L_i(g_j) = l_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n$. K, G be as in Problem 1.2 and g_1 be the identity 1_G of G . Then we have the following statements:*

(1) *If all the $l_{i,j}$ are equal for all $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n$, then $\text{Ker} L$ is an ideal of $K[G]$.*

(2) *If $\text{Ker} L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, then there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $l_{i_0,1} \neq 0$.*

Proof. (1) Let $l := l_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $\text{Ker} L = \{\sum_{j=1}^n c_j g_j \in K[G] \mid l \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n c_j = 0\}$. Since $l \neq 0$, we have that $\text{Ker} L = \{\sum_{j=1}^n c_j g_j \in K[G] \mid \sum_{j=1}^n c_j = 0\}$. It is easy to check that $\text{Ker} L$ is an ideal of $K[G]$.

(2) If $l_{1,1} = \cdots = l_{r,1} = 0$, then $1_G \in \text{Ker} L$. If $\text{Ker} L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, then $\text{Ker} L = K[G]$. That is, $L = 0$, which is a contradiction. Then the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 2.2. We can see from Proposition 2.1 that we can assume $l_{i_0,1} \neq 0$ for some $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ in the following arguments. If $r = 1$ and $l_{1,2} = l_{1,3} = \cdots = l_{1,n} = 0, l_{1,1} \neq 0$, then $\text{Ker} L = V_G$, which is discussed in [9] and [10].

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be any commutative ring and G any group. Suppose that $L = (L_1, L_2, \dots, L_r)$ is a linear map from $R[G]$ to R . If $\text{Ker} L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $R[G]$, then $\text{Ker}(L|_H)$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $R[H]$, where H is any subgroup of G .*

Proof. Assume otherwise. Then there exist $u, v_1, v_2 \in R[H]$ such that $u^m \in \text{Ker}(L|_H)$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $v_1 u^m v_2 \notin \text{Ker}(L|_H)$ for infinitely many $m \geq 1$. Since $R[H] \subseteq R[G]$, we have $u, v_1, v_2 \in R[G]$ and $u^m \in \text{Ker} L$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $v_1 u^m v_2 \notin \text{Ker} L$ for infinitely many $m \geq 1$. Otherwise, $v_1 u^m v_2 \in \text{Ker} L \cap R[H] = \text{Ker}(L|_H)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\text{Ker} L$ is not a Mathieu-Zhao space of $R[G]$, which is a contradiction. Then the conclusion follows. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let L, G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic p , H a normal subgroup of G . If H is a p' -subgroup and $\text{Ker} L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, then $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$.*

Proof. Let φ be the natural surjective homomorphism from $K[G]$ to $K[G/H]$ and $E_H = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{j=1}^{|H|} h_j$. Then $(1 - E_H)K[G] = \text{Ker} \varphi$ and $E_H K[G] \cong K[G/H]$. Thus, we have $K[G] \cong (1 - E_H)K[G] \oplus K[G/H]$. Therefore, $K[G/H]$ can be seen as a subalgebra of $K[G]$. It follows from the arguments of Proposition 2.3 that $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let K and L be as in Problem 1.2 and G a finite group with $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$, $g_1 = 1_G$. If there exists $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} \neq 0$, then $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if all elements of $r(\text{Ker } L)$ are nilpotent, where $M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} = (l_{\tilde{i}, j_1, j_2})_{n \times n}$ and $l_{\tilde{i}, j_1, j_2} = L_{\tilde{i}}(g_{j_1}^{-1} g_{j_2})$ for $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n$.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) It follows from the definition of Mathieu-Zhao spaces.

(\Rightarrow) Let $u \in r(\text{Ker } L)$. Replacing u by a positive power of u , if necessary, we may assume that $u^m \in \text{Ker } L$ for all $m \geq 1$. Since G is finite, by definition of Mathieu-Zhao space, there exists $N \geq 1$ such that $g_{j_1}^{-1} u^m \in \text{Ker } L$ for all $g_{j_1} \in G$ and $m \geq N$. Let $u^N = \sum_{j_2=1}^n d_{j_2} g_{j_2}$. Then we have $g_{j_1}^{-1} u^N \in \text{Ker } L$ for all $1 \leq j_1 \leq n$. That is,

$$(2.1) \quad M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since there exists $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} \neq 0$, we have that $d_1 = \dots = d_n = 0$. That is, $u^N = 0$. Thus, u is nilpotent. \square

Remark 2.6. If $l_{i,1} = 1$ and $l_{i,2} = \dots = l_{i,n} = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, then M_{L_i} is the identity matrix. Thus, we have $\det M_{L_i} = 1$ in this case. It is easy to see that $\det M_{L_i}$ is the group determinant of G up to a sign for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let K and L be as in Problem 1.2 and G a finite group with $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$, $g_1 = 1_G$. If there exists $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} \neq 0$, then $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if all elements of $r(\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G]))$ are nilpotent, where $M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} = (l_{\tilde{i}, j_1, j_2})_{n \times n}$ and $l_{\tilde{i}, j_1, j_2} = L_{\tilde{i}}(g_{j_1}^{-1} g_{j_2})$ for $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n$.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from the arguments of Proposition 2.5 by replacing $\text{Ker } L$ with $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let K , L and G be as in Problem 1.2. If there exists $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} \neq 0$, then $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\text{Ker } L$ contains no nonzero idempotent of $K[G]$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $e \in \text{Ker } L$ be an idempotent. Then $e^m = e \in \text{Ker } L$ for all integers $m \geq 1$, whence $e \in r(\text{Ker } L)$. It follows from Proposition 2.5 that e is nilpotent. Thus, we have $e = e^N = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the conclusion follows.

(\Leftarrow) Since G is finite, we have that $K[G]$ is algebraic over K . In particular, the radical $r(\text{Ker } L)$ is algebraic over K . It follows from Theorem 4.2 in [8] that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let K , L and G be as in Problem 1.2. If there exists $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} \neq 0$, then $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ is a Mathieu-Zhao*

space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ contains no nonzero idempotent of $K[G]$.

Proof. The conclusion follows from the arguments of Proposition 2.8 by replacing $\text{Ker } L$ with $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$. \square

Remark 2.10. If $\text{Ker } L$ ($\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$) contains no nonzero idempotent of $K[G]$, then $\text{Ker } L$ ($\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$) is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ without the condition that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} \neq 0$ for some $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ in Proposition 2.8 (Corollary 2.9).

Corollary 2.11. *Let K be a field of characteristic p and G a p -group. Then $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.*

Proof. Note that $K[G]$ is a local K -algebra. Hence $K[G]$ does not contain nontrivial idempotent. Thus, $\text{Ker } L$ contains no nonzero idempotent of $K[G]$. Then the conclusion follows from Proposition 2.8 and Remark 2.10. \square

Remark 2.12. Corollary 2.11 can also be deduced from Theorem 7.6 in [8].

Lemma 2.13. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2. Then $\text{Ker } L = \{\beta \in K[G] \mid \text{Tr } \beta \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, where $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n l_{i,j} g_j^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

Proof. Let $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j g_j$. Then $L_i(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j l_{i,j} = \text{Tr } \beta \alpha_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Hence the conclusion follows. \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic zero or a field of characteristic p and $p \nmid |G|$. If K is a split field for G , then*

$$\text{Ker } L \cong \{(A_1, \dots, A_s) \in A \mid \sum_{j=1}^s n_j \text{Tr}(C_{i,j} A_j) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

where $A = M_{n_1}(K) \times \dots \times M_{n_s}(K)$ is the product of matrices and $C_{i,j} = \rho_j(\alpha_i) \in M_{n_j}(K)$, α_i be as in Lemma 2.13, ρ_j is an irreducible representation of G , $n_j = \rho_j(1)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ and s is the number of distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible representations of G .

Proof. Since $\text{char } K = 0$ or $\text{char } K = p$ and $p \nmid |G|$, we have that $K[G]$ is semi-simple. Since K is a split field for G , we have that

$$K[G] \cong M_{n_1}(K) \times M_{n_2}(K) \times \dots \times M_{n_s}(K),$$

where $M_{n_j}(K)$ is the ring of $n_j \times n_j$ matrices over K for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let $\tilde{\rho}$ be the regular representation of $K[G]$. Then $\text{Tr}(\beta) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\tilde{\rho}(\beta)) = 0$ for all $\beta \in K[G]$. Let $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_s)$. Then ρ is a ring isomorphism from $K[G]$ to A . Let β be any element in $K[G]$. Then

$$\rho(\alpha_i \beta) = (\rho_1(\alpha_i \beta), \rho_2(\alpha_i \beta), \dots, \rho_s(\alpha_i \beta)) = (\rho_1(\alpha_i) \rho_1(\beta), \dots, \rho_s(\alpha_i) \rho_s(\beta)).$$

Suppose that

$$\rho(\alpha_i) = (\rho_1(\alpha_i), \dots, \rho_s(\alpha_i)) = \begin{pmatrix} C_{i,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & C_{i,2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_{i,s} \end{pmatrix} \in A$$

and

$$\rho(\beta) = (\rho_1(\beta), \dots, \rho_s(\beta)) = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_s \end{pmatrix} \in A$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then we have that

$$\rho(\alpha_i\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} C_{i,1}A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & C_{i,2}A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_{i,s}A_s \end{pmatrix} \in A.$$

Thus, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K[G] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_{n_1}(K) \times M_{n_2}(K) \times \cdots \times M_{n_s}(K) \\ \tilde{\rho}(\alpha_i\beta) \downarrow & & \phi(\rho(\alpha_i\beta)) \downarrow \\ K[G] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \begin{pmatrix} M_{n_1}(K) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & M_{n_2}(K) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & M_{n_s}(K) \end{pmatrix}, \end{array}$$

where ϕ is the natural isomorphism between the two algebras. Thus, we have that $\text{Tr}(\tilde{\rho}(\alpha_i\beta)) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Tr}(\phi(\rho(\alpha_i\beta))) = 0$. Since $\text{Tr}(\phi(\rho(\alpha_i\beta))) = n_1 \text{Tr}(C_{i,1}A_1) + n_2 \text{Tr}(C_{i,2}A_2) + \cdots + n_s \text{Tr}(C_{i,s}A_s)$, we have that $\text{Tr}(\alpha_i\beta) = 0$ if and only if $n_1 \text{Tr}(C_{i,1}A_1) + n_2 \text{Tr}(C_{i,2}A_2) + \cdots + n_s \text{Tr}(C_{i,s}A_s) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus, we have that $\text{Ker } L \cong V$, where

$$V = \{(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_s) \in A \mid \sum_{j=1}^s n_j \text{Tr } C_{i,j}A_j = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.15. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic zero or a field of characteristic p and $p \nmid |G|$. If K is a split field for G and $r = 1$, then $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $n_1\lambda_1d_1 + n_2\lambda_2d_2 + \cdots + n_t\lambda_td_t \neq 0$ for all non-zero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_t)$, $d_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, where $n_j\lambda_j = \text{Tr } \rho_j(\alpha_1)$, α_1 is as in Lemma 2.13, ρ_j is an irreducible representation of G for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and s is the number of distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible representations of G and $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.14 that $\text{Ker } L \cong V$, where $V = \{(A_1, \dots, A_s) \in A \mid \sum_{j=1}^s n_j \text{Tr}(C_{1,j}A_j) = 0\}$ and $C_{1,j} = \rho_j(\alpha_1) \in M_{n_j}(K)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Let ρ be as in Theorem 2.14. Since $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and ρ is an isomorphism, we have that $\rho(\alpha_1) \neq 0$. We can assume that $C_{1,1}, \dots, C_{1,t}$ are not equal to zero and $C_{1,t+1} = \dots = C_{1,s} = 0$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ by reordering the ρ_j for $1 \leq j \leq s$. It follows from Theorem 5.8.1 in [2] or Theorem 4.4 in [4] that V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of A if and only if $C_{1,j} = \lambda_j I_{n_j}$ and $n_1 \lambda_1 d_1 + \dots + n_t \lambda_t d_t \neq 0$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_t)$ and $d_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$. Then the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 2.16. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic zero or a field of characteristic p and $p \nmid |G|$. If K is a split field for G and $r = 1$, then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *$\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.*
- (2) *There exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t \in K$ such that $L_1 = \mu_1 \chi_1 + \mu_2 \chi_2 + \dots + \mu_t \chi_t$ and $\mu_1 d_1 + \dots + \mu_t d_t \neq 0$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$, $d_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, where $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_s$ are the non-isomorphic irreducible characters of G and $\mu_j = n^{-1} n_j \lambda_j$, $n_j = \chi_j(1)$, $n_j \lambda_j = \text{Tr } \rho_j(\alpha_1)$, α_1 is as in Lemma 2.13 and ρ_j is an irreducible representation of G with character χ_j for $1 \leq j \leq s$, s is the number of distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible representations of G and $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. In particular, L_1 is a class function of G .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Since $L_1(\beta) = \text{Tr}(\alpha_1 \beta)$ for any $\beta \in K[G]$, where α_1 is as in Lemma 2.13, we have that

$$n \text{Tr}(\alpha_1 \beta) = \text{Tr } \tilde{\rho}(\alpha_1 \beta) = \text{Tr } \phi(\rho(\alpha_1 \beta))$$

by following the arguments of Theorem 2.14, where $\tilde{\rho}$ is as in Theorem 2.14. Since $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, it follows from Corollary 2.15 that $C_{1,j} = \lambda_j I_{n_j}$ for $\lambda_j \in K$ and for all $1 \leq j \leq s$. We can assume that $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_t \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{t+1} = \dots = \lambda_s = 0$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ by reordering $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_s$.

Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.13 that

$$L_1(\beta) = \text{Tr}(\alpha_1 \beta) = n^{-1}(n_1 \lambda_1 \text{Tr } A_1 + n_2 \lambda_2 \text{Tr } A_2 + \dots + n_t \lambda_t \text{Tr } A_t).$$

Since $\text{Tr } A_j = \chi_j(\beta)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have that

$$L_1 = n^{-1}(n_1 \lambda_1 \chi_1 + n_2 \lambda_2 \chi_2 + \dots + n_t \lambda_t \chi_t).$$

It follows from Corollary 2.15 that $n_1 \lambda_1 d_1 + \dots + n_t \lambda_t d_t \neq 0$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$, $d_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$. Let $\mu_j = n^{-1} n_j \lambda_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then the conclusion follows.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $\text{Ker } L = \{\beta \in K[G] \mid L_1(\beta) = 0\} = \{\beta \in K[G] \mid \mu_1 \chi_1(\beta) + \dots + \mu_t \chi_t(\beta) = 0\}$ and there exists $A_j \in M_{n_j}(K)$ such that $\text{Tr } A_j = \chi_j(\beta)$ for

all $1 \leq j \leq t$, we have that

$$\text{Ker } L = \{(A_1, \dots, A_t) \in M_{n_1}(K) \times \dots \times M_{n_t}(K) \mid \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_j \text{Tr } A_j = 0\}.$$

Then the conclusion follows from Theorem 5.8.1 in [2] or Theorem 4.4 in [4]. \square

Remark 2.17. To prove that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ for $r = 1$ if $n_1\lambda_1d_1 + n_2\lambda_2d_2 + \dots + n_t\lambda_td_t \neq 0$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ and $d_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, we don't need the condition that K is a split field for G in Corollary 2.15 by following the arguments Theorem 5.8.1 in [2], because an idempotent matrix can be conjugated to a diagonal matrix with only 0 and 1 on the diagonal over division rings.

If $L = \mu_j \chi_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$, $\mu_j \in K^*$, then it follows from the arguments of Proposition 2.16 that the condition $n_1\lambda_1d_1 + n_2\lambda_2d_2 + \dots + n_t\lambda_td_t \neq 0$ in Theorem 2.14 is equivalent to $n_j d_j \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq d_j \leq n_j$, which is clearly true if $\text{char } K = 0$. If $\text{char } K = p$, then the condition is equivalent to $p > n_j$. To see this, we can assume that $p \mid n_j d_j$ for some $d_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_j\}$, then $p \mid n_j$ or $p \mid d_j$, which contradicts with $p > n_j$. Thus, if $p > n_j$, then $n_j d_j \neq 0 \pmod p$ for all $1 \leq d_j \leq n_j$. Conversely, suppose that $p \leq n_j$. Then let $d_j = p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_j\}$, we have that $n_j p = 0 \pmod p$, which is a contradiction. Thus, if $n_j d_j \neq 0 \pmod p$ for all $1 \leq d_j \leq n_j$, then $p > n_j$. Therefore, the conclusion is the same as Theorem 5.1 in [8] in this situation.

3. The MZ-property of $\text{Ker } L$ and $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$

Condition 1: Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic p , H a normal p -subgroup of G , $G = \cup_{j=1}^k \tilde{g}_j H$, $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{\tilde{t}}\}$ for $\tilde{t} = p^{\tilde{r}}$ for some $\tilde{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_2) = \dots = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_{\tilde{t}})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let L , G , K , H be as in Condition 1 and $L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_1) = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_2)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$.*

Proof. Let φ be the natural surjective homomorphism from $K[G]$ to $K[G/H]$. Since $L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_1) = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_2) = \dots = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_{\tilde{t}})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, there exists a linear map \tilde{L} from $K[G/H]$ to K such that $L = \varphi^{-1}(\tilde{L})$, where $\tilde{L} = L|_{G/H}$. Since φ is surjective and $\text{Ker } \varphi = w(K[H])K[G] = \sum_{l=1}^{\tilde{t}} (h_l - 1)K[G]$, we have $\text{Ker } \varphi \subseteq \text{Ker } L$. Then it follows from Theorem 5.2.19 in [2] that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let L , G , K , H be as in Condition 1, $|G| = p^a d$, $p \nmid d$, $\tilde{r} = a$, $k = d$ and H a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G . If $r = 1$, then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.

(2) There exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t \in K$ such that $L_1 = \mu_1\chi_1 + \mu_2\chi_2 + \dots + \mu_t\chi_t$ and $\mu_1d_1 + \dots + \mu_td_t \neq 0$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$, $d_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, where $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_s$ are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of $K[G]$ and $\mu_j = d^{-1}n_j\lambda_j$, $n_j = \chi_j(1)$, $n_j\lambda_j = \text{Tr } \rho_j(\alpha_1)$, $\alpha_1 = \sum_{j=1}^d l_{1,j}\tilde{g}_j^{-1}$ and ρ_j is an irreducible representation of $K[G]$ with character χ_j for $1 \leq j \leq t$ and $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$. Since $p \nmid |G/H|$, the conclusion follows from Proposition 2.16. \square

Remark 3.3. Let the notations be the same as Corollary 3.2. Then $J(K[G]) = w(K[H])K[G] \subseteq \text{Ker } L$ if and only if $L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_1) = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_2) = \dots = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_{\bar{i}})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq d$.

Proposition 3.4. Let L , G , K , H be as in Condition 1 and $h_1 = 1_H$. Then we have the following statements:

(1) If there exists $\hat{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\hat{i}}|_{G/H}} \neq 0$ and $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$, then $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, where $M_{L_{\hat{i}}|_{G/H}} = (\tilde{l}_{\hat{i}, j_1, 2})_{k \times k}$ and $\tilde{l}_{\hat{i}, j_1, 2} = L_{\hat{i}}(\tilde{g}_{j_1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{j_2})$ for $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq k$.

(2) If there exists $\hat{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\hat{i}}} \neq 0$ and $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, then $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$, where $M_{L_{\hat{i}}} = (l_{\hat{i}, j_1, 2})_{n \times n}$ and $l_{\hat{i}, j_1, 2} = L_{\hat{i}}(g_{j_1}^{-1} g_{j_2})$ for $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n$.

Proof. Let φ be the natural surjective homomorphism from $K[G]$ to $K[G/H]$.

(1) Let E be an idempotent of $\text{Ker } L$. Then

$$E = \tilde{g}_1 \cdot a_1(h) + \tilde{g}_2 \cdot a_2(h) + \dots + \tilde{g}_k \cdot a_k(h),$$

where $a_i(h) \in K[H]$, $h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{\bar{i}})$, $\tilde{g}_j \notin H$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$ and $\tilde{g}_1 = 1_{G/H}$. Let $b \in H$ and $b \neq 1_H$. Then b is a p -element. Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.7 in [6] that the sum of coefficients in E of the G -conjugacy class of b is equal to zero. Then $\varphi(E) = \tilde{g}_1 \cdot a_1(1) + \tilde{g}_2 \cdot a_2(1) + \dots + \tilde{g}_k \cdot a_k(1)$. Let $a_j(h) = a_{j1}h_1 + a_{j2}h_2 + \dots + a_{j\bar{i}}h_{\bar{i}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then we have that $a_j(1) = a_{j1}$ and $L_i(\tilde{g}_j \cdot a_j(h)) = a_{j1}L_i(\tilde{g}_j)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Thus, we have that $L_i(E) = a_{11}L_i(1) + a_{21}L_i(\tilde{g}_2) + \dots + a_{k1}L_i(\tilde{g}_k) = L_i(\varphi(E))$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Therefore, we have that $E \in \text{Ker } L$ if and only if $\varphi(E) \in \text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$. That is, $\bar{E} = \varphi(E)$ is an idempotent of $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$. Since $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$, it follows from Proposition 2.8 that $\varphi(E) = 0$ in $K[G/H]$. That is, $E \in \text{Ker } \varphi = w(K[H])K[G]$. It follows from Lemma 2.8 in [6] that E is nilpotent. Thus, we have $E = 0$. Hence it follows from Proposition 2.8 and Remark 2.10 that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.

(2) Since $\text{Ker } \varphi = w(K[H])K[G]$, it follows from Lemma 2.8 in [6] that $w(K[H])K[G]$ is a nilpotent ideal and $K[G/H] \cong K[G]/\text{Ker } \varphi$. Let \bar{u} be any idempotent of $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$. Then there exists a $u \in K[G]$ such that $\bar{u} = \varphi(u)$. It follows from Lemma 3.7(i) of Chapter 2 in [6] that there exists an idempotent

$e = \tilde{u}b\tilde{u}$ such that $\varphi(e) = \tilde{u}$ for some $\tilde{b} \in K[G]$. We have that $e \in \text{Ker } L$ by following the arguments of Proposition 3.4(1). Since $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, it follows from Proposition 2.8 that $e = 0$. Thus, we have $\tilde{u} = \varphi(e) = 0$. Hence it follows from Proposition 2.8 and Remark 2.10 that $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let L, G, K, H be as in Condition 1, $|G| = p^a d$, $p \nmid d$, $\tilde{r} = a$, $k = d$ and H a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G , $h_1 = 1_H$ and K is a split field for G/H . If there exist $\tilde{i}, \hat{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}|_{G/H}} \neq 0$ and $\det M_{L_{\hat{i}}} \neq 0$ and $r = 1$, then $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $n_1 \lambda_1 d_1 + n_2 \lambda_2 d_2 + \dots + n_t \lambda_t d_t \neq 0$ for all nonzero vectors $\tilde{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t)$ and $d_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, where $n_j \lambda_j = \text{Tr } \rho_j(\alpha_1)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $\alpha_1 = \sum_{j=1}^d l_{1,j} \tilde{g}_j^{-1}$, ρ_1, \dots, ρ_s are distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible representations of $K[G]$ and $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, $M_{L_{\tilde{i}}|_{G/H}} = (\tilde{l}_{\tilde{i}, j_1, j_2})_{d \times d}$ and $\tilde{l}_{\tilde{i}, j_1, j_2} = L_{\tilde{i}}(\tilde{g}_{j_1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{j_2})$ for $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq d$, $M_{L_{\hat{i}}} = (l_{\hat{i}, j_1, j_2})_{n \times n}$ and $l_{\hat{i}, j_1, j_2} = L_{\hat{i}}(\tilde{g}_{j_1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{j_2})$ for $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq n$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.4 that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$. Since $p \nmid |G/H|$, the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.15. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic zero or a field of characteristic p , $p \nmid |G|$. Suppose that $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ with $g_1 = 1_G$ and χ_1, \dots, χ_s are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of $K[G]$. Then we have the following statements:*

(1) *If there exists $q_{i_1, \dots, i_l} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^l \chi_{i_j}(1) \chi_{i_j}(g_i^{-1})) \cdot l_{q_{i_1, \dots, i_l}, i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq s$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, then $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.*

(2) *If there exists $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}} \neq 0$ and $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, then there exists $q_{i_1, \dots, i_l} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^l \chi_{i_j}(1) \chi_{i_j}(g_i^{-1})) l_{q_{i_1, \dots, i_l}, i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq s$.*

Proof. (1) Let $e_{\tilde{k}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\tilde{k}}(1) \chi_{\tilde{k}}(g^{-1}) g$ for $1 \leq \tilde{k} \leq s$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.12 in [3] that e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s are the primitive orthogonal idempotents of $Z(K[G])$. It follows from Theorem 3.11 in [5] that every idempotent of $Z(K[G])$ is some sum of e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s . Since $\sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^l \chi_{i_j}(1) \cdot \chi_{i_j}(g_i^{-1})) l_{q_{i_1, \dots, i_l}, i} \neq 0$, we have that $L_{q_{i_1, \dots, i_l}}(e_{i_1} + e_{i_2} + \dots + e_{i_l}) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq s$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. That is, any nonzero idempotent of $Z(K[G])$ is not in $\text{Ker } L$. Thus, $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ has no nonzero idempotent. It follows from Corollary 2.9 and Remark 2.10 that $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.

(2) It follows from Corollary 2.9 that $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ has no nonzero idempotent. Hence there exists $q_{i_1, \dots, i_l} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $L_{q_{i_1, \dots, i_l}}(e_{i_1} + e_{i_2} +$

$\cdots + e_{i_l}) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_l \leq s$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. That is, $\sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^l \chi_{i_j}(1) \chi_{i_j}(g_i^{-1})) \cdot l_{q_{i_1, \dots, i_l, i}} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_l \leq s$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let L , G , K , H be as in Condition 1 and $h_1 = 1_H$. If there exists $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}|_{G/H}} \neq 0$ and $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H}) \cap Z(K[G/H])$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$, then $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, where $M_{L_{\tilde{i}}|_{G/H}} = (\tilde{l}_{\tilde{i}, j_1, 2})_{k \times k}$ and $\tilde{l}_{\tilde{i}, j_1, 2} = L_{\tilde{i}}(\tilde{g}_{j_1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{j_2})$ for $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq k$.*

Proof. Let φ be the natural surjective homomorphism from $K[G]$ to $K[G/H]$. Then it's easy to check that if $E \in Z(K[G])$, then $\varphi(E) \in Z(K[G/H])$. Thus, the conclusion follows by following the arguments of Proposition 3.4(1). \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let L , G , K , H be as in Condition 1, $|G| = p^a d$, $p \nmid d$, $\tilde{r} = a$, $k = d$ and H a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G and $h_1 = 1_H$. If there exists $\tilde{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}|_{G/H}} \neq 0$ and there exists $q_{i_1, \dots, i_l} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^d (\sum_{j=1}^l \chi_{i_j}(1) \chi_{i_j}(\tilde{g}_i^{-1})) \cdot l_{q_{i_1, \dots, i_l, i}} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_l \leq s$, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, then $\text{Ker } L \cap Z(K[G])$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, where χ_1, \dots, χ_s are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of $K[G]$ and $G/H = \{\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_d\}$, $M_{L_{\tilde{i}}|_{G/H}} = (\tilde{l}_{\tilde{i}, j_1, 2})_{d \times d}$ and $\tilde{l}_{\tilde{i}, j_1, 2} = L_{\tilde{i}}(\tilde{g}_{j_1}^{-1} \tilde{g}_{j_2})$ for $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq d$.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.6(1) and Proposition 3.7. \square

4. Mathieu-Zhao spaces of finite Abelian group algebras

Proposition 4.1. *Let $B = K \times \cdots \times K$ be a K -algebra and*

$$V = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in B \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{i,j} a_j = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

where $\gamma_{i,j} \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. If at least one of $\gamma_{i,j}$ is nonzero for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, then V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of B if and only if $\gamma_{i,1} d_1 + \gamma_{i,2} d_2 + \cdots + \gamma_{i,t_i} d_{t_i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for all nonzero vectors $\tilde{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$ and $d_{j_i} \in \{0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq j_i \leq t_i$, $t_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. We can assume that $\gamma_{i,j} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $\gamma_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $t+1 \leq j \leq n$ by reordering $\gamma_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and then we have

$$\overbrace{0 \times \cdots \times 0}^{t \text{ columns}} \times K \times \cdots \times K \subseteq V$$

and

$$0 \times \cdots \times K \times 0 \times \cdots \times \overbrace{0 \times \cdots \times 0}^{n-t \text{ columns}} \notin V,$$

where $t = \max\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r\}$.

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\gamma_{i,1}d_1 + \gamma_{i,2}d_2 + \cdots + \gamma_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} = 0$ for some nonzero vector $\tilde{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$, $d_{j_i} = 0$ or 1 for $1 \leq j_i \leq t_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, then $e = (d_1, \dots, d_t, 0, \dots, 0)$ is an idempotent of V . Since V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of B , we have that $Be = Kd_1 \times \cdots \times Kd_t \times 0 \times \cdots \times 0 \subseteq V$, which is a contradiction. Then the conclusion follows.

(\Leftarrow) Let $I = \overbrace{0 \times \cdots \times 0}^{t \text{ columns}} \times K \times \cdots \times K$. Then I is an ideal of B . We claim that V/I contains no nonzero idempotent. Suppose that e is a nonzero idempotent of V/I . Then we have $e = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_t)$, where $e_j = 0$ or 1 for $1 \leq j \leq t$. Let $\tilde{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_t) = e \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\gamma_{i,1}d_1 + \gamma_{i,2}d_2 + \cdots + \gamma_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, which is a contradiction. It follows from Theorem 4.2 in [8] that V/I is a Mathieu-Zhao space of B/I . Then it follows from Proposition 2.7 in [8] that V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of B . \square

Remark 4.2. In Proposition 4.1, if $\gamma_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, then $V = B$. Clearly, V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of B .

Corollary 4.3. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic zero or a field of characteristic p and $p \nmid |G|$. If K is a split field for G and G is Abelian, then $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\gamma_{i,1}d_1 + \gamma_{i,2}d_2 + \cdots + \gamma_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for all nonzero vectors $\tilde{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$ and $d_{j_i} \in \{0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq j_i \leq t_i$, $t_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $\gamma_{i,j} = \rho_j(\alpha_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and ρ_j is an irreducible representation of G for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and α_i be as in Lemma 2.13 for $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

Proof. Since G is Abelian, we have that all the irreducible representations of G are of degree one. It follows from Theorem 2.14 that $\text{Ker } L \cong \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in A \mid \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_{i,j}a_j = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, where A is n times product of K , $\gamma_{i,j} = \rho_j(\alpha_i) = \text{Tr } \rho_j(\alpha_i) \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since $L \neq 0$, we have that at least one of $\gamma_{i,j}$ is nonzero for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then the conclusion follows from Proposition 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let R be an integral domain of characteristic p and G a finite Abelian group with $|G| = p^\alpha d$, $p \nmid d$. Then every idempotent of $R[G]$ is also an idempotent of $R[\tilde{G}]$, where $G = H \times \tilde{G}$ and $|H| = p^\alpha$. In particular, the idempotent elements of $R[G]$ are the same as the idempotent elements of $R[\tilde{G}]$.*

Proof. Since G is a finite Abelian group, we have that $G = H \times \tilde{G}$ and $|\tilde{G}| = d$. Let e be an idempotent of $R[G]$. Then e can be written as

$$e = \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_h h$$

with $\alpha_h \in R[\tilde{G}]$ for each $h \in H$. Since $|H| = p^\alpha$, we have $h^{q^m} = 1$ for any $m \geq 1$, $h \in H$, where $q = p^\alpha$. Thus, we have

$$e = e^{q^m} = \sum_{h \in H} \alpha_h^{q^m} \in R[\tilde{G}].$$

Then the conclusion follows. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic p . If K is a split field for G and G is Abelian with $|G| = p^a d$, $p \nmid d$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.
- (2) $\gamma_{i,1}d_1 + \gamma_{i,2}d_2 + \cdots + \gamma_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$ and $d_{j_i} \in \{0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq j_i \leq t_i$, $t_i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, where $\gamma_{i,j} = \rho_j(\alpha_i) = \text{Tr } \rho_j(\alpha_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq d$ and ρ_j is an irreducible representation of G/H for $1 \leq j \leq d$, H is a Sylow p -subgroup of G and α_i is as in Lemma 2.13 by replacing G with G/H for $1 \leq i \leq r$; $l_{i,1}, l_{i,2}, \dots, l_{i,n}$ satisfy the following equations:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \chi_j(\tilde{g}_1^{-1})l_{i,1} + \chi_j(\tilde{g}_2^{-1})l_{i,p^a+1} + \cdots + \chi_j(\tilde{g}_d^{-1})l_{i,(d-1)p^a+1} = 0, \\ \chi_j(\tilde{g}_1^{-1})l_{i,2} + \chi_j(\tilde{g}_2^{-1})l_{i,p^a+2} + \cdots + \chi_j(\tilde{g}_d^{-1})l_{i,(d-1)p^a+2} = 0, \\ \vdots \\ \chi_j(\tilde{g}_1^{-1})l_{i,p^a} + \chi_j(\tilde{g}_2^{-1})l_{i,2p^a} + \cdots + \chi_j(\tilde{g}_d^{-1})l_{i,dp^a} = 0 \end{cases}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $t+1 \leq j \leq d$, where χ_j is the irreducible character according to ρ_j for $t+1 \leq j \leq d$ and $G = \cup_{k=1}^d \tilde{g}_k H$ with $\tilde{g}_1 = 1_{G/H}$ and $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{p^a}\}$ with $h_1 = 1_H$, $L_i(h_k) = l_{i,k}$ and $L_i(\tilde{g}_k h_q) = l_{i,(k-1)p^a+q}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, $1 \leq q \leq p^a$ and $t = \max\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r\}$.

Proof. Since G is Abelian, we have that $G = H \times \tilde{G}$, where $\tilde{G} \cong G/H$ and $|\tilde{G}| = d$.

Note that

$$\gamma_{i,j} = \text{Tr } \rho_j(\alpha_i) = \sum_{k=1}^d \text{Tr } \rho_j(\tilde{g}_k^{-1})l_{i,(k-1)p^a+1} = \sum_{k=1}^d \chi_j(\tilde{g}_k^{-1})l_{i,(k-1)p^a+1}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq d$. Let $e_j = d^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^d \chi_j(\tilde{g}_k^{-1})\tilde{g}_k$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.12 in [3] that e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d are the primitive orthogonal idempotents of $K[\tilde{G}]$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\gamma_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $t+1 \leq j \leq d$ and $\gamma_{i,j} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ by reordering $\rho_j(\alpha_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq d$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) It's easy to see that if $\gamma_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $t+1 \leq j \leq d$, then e_{t+1}, \dots, e_d belong to $\text{Ker}(L|_{\tilde{G}}) \subseteq \text{Ker } L$. Thus, the ideal I generated by e_{t+1}, \dots, e_d belongs to $\text{Ker } L$. Since \tilde{G} is Abelian, it is easy to check that $e_j \tilde{g}_k = \chi_j(\tilde{g}_k)e_j$ for all $1 \leq j, k \leq d$. Hence we have $e_j \tilde{g}_k \in \text{Ker } L$ for all $t+1 \leq j \leq d$, $1 \leq k \leq d$. Note that $e_j h_q \in \text{Ker } L$ for all $t+1 \leq j \leq d$, $1 \leq q \leq p^a$. Then we have equations (4.1) for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $t+1 \leq j \leq d$. It follows from Proposition 2.3 that $\text{Ker}(L|_{\tilde{G}})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[\tilde{G}]$. That is, $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$. Since $p \nmid |G/H|$, the conclusion follows from Corollary 4.3.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) If $\gamma_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $t+1 \leq j \leq d$, then $e_{t+1}, \dots, e_d \in \text{Ker}(L|_{\tilde{G}}) \subseteq \text{Ker} L$. It is easy to check that $e_j \tilde{g}_k = \chi_j(\tilde{g}_k) e_j$ and $e_j \tilde{g}_k h_q = \chi_j(\tilde{g}_k) e_j h_q$ for all $t+1 \leq j \leq d$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, $1 \leq q \leq p^a$. Therefore, we have $I \subseteq \text{Ker} L$, where I is an ideal generated by e_{t+1}, \dots, e_d . Since e_1, \dots, e_d are the primitive orthogonal idempotent elements of $K[\tilde{G}]$ and there are 2^d idempotent elements in $K[\tilde{G}]$, we have that any idempotent of $K[\tilde{G}]$ is a sum of some of the e_j for $1 \leq j \leq d$. Note that the condition that $\gamma_{i,1}d_1 + \gamma_{i,2}d_2 + \dots + \gamma_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$ and $d_{j_i} \in \{0, 1\}$ is equivalent to that any sum of some of the e_j is not in $\text{Ker}(L|_{\tilde{G}})$ except zero for all $1 \leq j \leq t$. Hence any sum of some of the e_j is not in $\text{Ker}(L|_{\tilde{G}})$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$ if it contains e_{j_0} for some $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Thus, any sum of some of the e_j is not in $\text{Ker} L$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$ if it contains e_{j_0} for some $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Otherwise, the sum of e_j belong to $\text{Ker} L \cap K[\tilde{G}] = \text{Ker}(L|_{\tilde{G}})$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$, which is a contradiction. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that $K[G]$ and $K[\tilde{G}]$ have the same idempotents. Hence $\text{Ker} L/I$ has no nonzero idempotent. It follows from Theorem 4.2 in [8] that $\text{Ker} L/I$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]/I$. Hence it follows from Proposition 2.7 in [8] that $\text{Ker} L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$. \square

Remark 4.6. If G is cyclic in Theorem 4.5, then all the primitive orthogonal idempotent elements of $K[G]$ are $e_j = d^{-1}(1 + (\xi^{d-1})^{j-1}\tilde{g} + \dots + \xi^{j-1}\tilde{g}^{d-1})$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$, where ξ is a d -th root of unity and \tilde{G} is generated by \tilde{g} , where \tilde{G} be as in Theorem 4.5.

5. The kernels of the class functions of finite group algebras

Condition 2: Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic zero or a field of characteristic p , $p \nmid |G|$, L_2, \dots, L_r are class functions of G and K is a split field for G

Proposition 5.1. *Let L , G , K be as in Condition 2 and L_1 is class functions of G . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Ker} L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.
- (2) $a_{i,1}d_1 + a_{i,2}d_2 + \dots + a_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$ and $d_{j_i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_{j_i}\}$ for $1 \leq j_i \leq t_i$, $t_i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, where $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j}\chi_j$ and χ_1, \dots, χ_s are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of G and $n_j = \chi_j(1)$, $a_{i,j} \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Proof. Since L_1, \dots, L_r are class functions of G , we have $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j}\chi_j$, where $a_{i,j} \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$. Hence we have

$$\text{Ker} L = \{\beta \in K[G] \mid \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j}\chi_j(\beta) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Since $K[G]$ is semi-simple and K is a split field for G , $K[G]$ can be written as the product of matrices over K . That is, $K[G] \cong M_{n_1}(K) \times M_{n_2}(K) \times \cdots \times M_{n_s}(K) := A$. It's easy to see that there exists $A_j \in M_{n_j}(K)$ such that $\text{Tr } A_j = \chi_j(\beta)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then we have

$$\text{Ker } L = \{(A_1, \dots, A_s) \in A \mid \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} \text{Tr } A_j = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

We can assume that $a_{i,j} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ and $a_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $t+1 \leq j \leq s$ by reordering χ_j for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then we have $0 \times \cdots \times 0 \times M_{n_{t+1}}(K) \times \cdots \times M_{n_s}(K) \subseteq \text{Ker } L$ and

$$0 \times \cdots \times M_{n_k}(K) \times 0 \times \cdots \times \overbrace{0 \times \cdots \times 0}^{n-t \text{ columns}} \not\subseteq \text{Ker } L,$$

where $t = \max\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r\}$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $a_{i,1}d_1 + a_{i,2}d_2 + \cdots + a_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} = 0$ for some nonzero vectors $\tilde{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$ and $d_{j_i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_{j_i}\}$ for $1 \leq j_i \leq t_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $e = (A_1, \dots, A_t, 0, \dots, 0)$ is an idempotent of $\text{Ker } L$, where

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} I_{d_k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\text{Tr } A_k = d_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq t$. Since $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$, we have $K[G]eK[G] \subseteq \text{Ker } L$. That is, $(M_{n_1}(K)A_1M_{n_1}(K), \dots, M_{n_t}(K)A_tM_{n_t}(K), 0, \dots, 0) \subseteq \text{Ker } L$. Since $M_{n_k}(K)A_kM_{n_k}(K)$ is a submodule of $M_{n_k}(K)$ and $M_{n_k}(K)$ is simple, we have $M_{n_k}(K)A_kM_{n_k}(K) = 0$ or $M_{n_k}(K)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $A_1 \neq 0$. Then we have $M_{n_1}(K)A_1M_{n_1}(K) = M_{n_1}(K)$. That is, $M_{n_1}(K) \times 0 \times \cdots \times 0 \subseteq \text{Ker } L$, which is a contradiction. Then the conclusion follows.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Let $I = 0 \times \cdots \times 0 \times M_{n_{t+1}}(K) \times \cdots \times M_{n_s}(K)$. Then I is an ideal of A . We claim that $\text{Ker } L/I$ has no nonzero idempotent. Suppose that e is a nonzero idempotent of $\text{Ker } L/I$. Then we have $e = (\tilde{A}_1, \dots, \tilde{A}_t, 0, \dots, 0)$ and \tilde{A}_k is similar to A_k for all $1 \leq k \leq t$, where A_k is defined as above. Thus, we have $\text{Tr } \tilde{A}_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_k\}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq t$ and at least one of $\text{Tr } \tilde{A}_k$ is nonzero for $1 \leq k \leq t$. Let $\tilde{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t) = (\text{Tr } \tilde{A}_1, \text{Tr } \tilde{A}_2, \dots, \text{Tr } \tilde{A}_t) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $a_{i,1}d_1 + a_{i,2}d_2 + \cdots + a_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, which is a contradiction. Hence the claim follows. It follows from Theorem 4.2 in [8] that $\text{Ker } L/I$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of A/I . Then it follows from Proposition 2.7 in [8] that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let K be a field and $V = \{(A_1, \dots, A_s) \in A \mid \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} \text{Tr } A_j = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, where $A = M_{n_1}(K) \times \cdots \times M_{n_s}(K)$. Then V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of A if and only if $a_{i,1}d_1 + a_{i,2}d_2 + \cdots + a_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for all nonzero vectors $\tilde{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$ and $d_{j_i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_{j_i}\}$ for $1 \leq j_i \leq t_i$, $t_i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from the proof of Proposition 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic p . If G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup H and L_1, \dots, L_r are class functions of G/H and K is a split field for G/H , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.
- (2) $a_{i,1}d_1 + a_{i,2}d_2 + \dots + a_{i,t_i}d_{t_i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ for all nonzero vectors $\vec{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{t_i})$ and $d_{j_i} \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_{j_i}\}$ for $1 \leq j_i \leq t_i$, $t_i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, where $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j}\chi_j$ and χ_1, \dots, χ_s are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of G/H and $n_j = \chi_j(1)$, $a_{i,j} \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Proof. Let $|G| = p^a d$, $p \nmid d$ and $G = \cup_{j=1}^d \tilde{g}_j H$, $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{\tilde{t}}\}$ with $\tilde{t} = p^a$. Then we have $L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_1) = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_2) = \dots = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_{\tilde{t}})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, $1 \leq j \leq d$. Hence the conclusion follows from Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 5.1. \square

Remark 5.4. It's easy to see that $\text{Ker } L = V_G$ if $L = n_1\chi_1 + n_2\chi_2 + \dots + n_s\chi_s$ and χ_1, \dots, χ_s are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of $K[G]$. If G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup H , then Theorem 5.3 implies Theorem 1.5 in [10].

Proposition 5.5. *Let L , G , K be as in Condition 2. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.
- (2) For all $0 \neq b = (b_1, \dots, b_s) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_1\} \times \dots \times \{0, 1, \dots, n_s\}$ with $a_{i,1}b_1 + a_{i,2}b_2 + \dots + a_{i,s}b_s = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, the following are true:
 - (a) there exists a $\lambda_m \in K$ such that $C_m = \lambda_m I_{n_m}$ for all $m \in T_b$,
 - (b) $\sum_{m \in T_b} n_m \lambda_m b_m + \sum_{m \in S_b} n_m \text{Tr}(C_m) \neq 0$,

where $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j}\chi_j$, χ_1, \dots, χ_s are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of $K[G]$ and $n_j = \chi_j(1)$, $C_j = \rho_j(\alpha_r)$, $\alpha_r = \sum_{j=1}^n l_{r,j}g_j^{-1}$, $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$, ρ_j is an irreducible representation according to χ_j , $a_{i,j} \in K^*$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $T_b := \{1 \leq m \leq s \mid b_m \neq 0, n_m\}$, $S_b := \{1 \leq m \leq s \mid b_m = n_m\}$.

Proof. Since L_1, \dots, L_{r-1} are class functions of G , we have

$$L_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j}\chi_j$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Since $a_{i,j} \in K^*$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have

$$\text{Ker } L = \{\beta \in K[G] \mid \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j}\chi_j(\beta) = 0 \text{ and } L_r(\beta) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq r-1\}.$$

Since $K[G]$ is semi-simple, we have $K[G] \cong M_{n_1}(K) \times M_{n_2}(K) \times \cdots \times M_{n_s}(K) := A$. It's easy to see that there exists $A_j \in M_{n_j}(K)$ such that $\text{Tr } A_j = \chi_j(\beta)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$. It follows from Lemma 2.13 and Theorem 2.14 that $L_r(\beta) = 0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^s n_j \text{Tr}(C_j A_j) = 0,$$

where $A_j = \rho_j(\beta)$ and $C_j = \rho_j(\alpha_r)$, $\alpha_r = \sum_{j=1}^n l_{r,j} g_j^{-1}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Since $\text{Ker } L \cong V$ and

$$V = \{(A_1, \dots, A_s) \in A \mid \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} \text{Tr } A_j = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^s n_j \text{Tr}(C_j A_j) = 0 \\ \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq r-1\}$$

and for all $0 \neq b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_1\} \times \cdots \times \{0, 1, \dots, n_s\}$ with $a_{i,1}b_1 + a_{i,2}b_2 + \cdots + a_{i,s}b_s = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, we have that:

(a) there exists a $\lambda_m \in K$ such that $C_m = \lambda_m I_{n_m}$ for all $m \in T_b$,

(b) $\sum_{m \in T_b} n_m \lambda_m b_m + \sum_{m \in S_b} n_m \text{Tr}(C_m) \neq 0$.

Now suppose that V contains a nonzero idempotent (E_1, \dots, E_s) and $b_j = \text{Tr}(E_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then we have that $a_{i,1}b_1 + \cdots + a_{i,s}b_s = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and (a), (b) hold. Hence we have

$$\sum_{m \in T_b} n_m \lambda_m b_m + \sum_{m \in S_b} n_m \text{Tr}(C_m) \neq 0,$$

which contradicts with $(E_1, \dots, E_s) \in V$. Thus, V does not contain any nonzero idempotent and hence is Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$. Then the conclusion follows.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ and there exists a $0 \neq b = (b_1, \dots, b_s) \in \{0, \dots, n_1\} \times \cdots \times \{0, \dots, n_s\}$ with $a_{i,1}b_1 + \cdots + a_{i,s}b_s = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ such that (a) does not hold. Then there is an $m \in T_b$ such that C_m is not a multiple of the identity matrix. Let E_j be the matrix with ones on the first b_j diagonal entries and zeros on all other entries for all $1 \leq j \leq s$ with $j \neq m$. Then E_j is an idempotent of rank b_j . It follows from Lemma 4.6 in [4] that there exists an idempotent E_m of rank $b_m \neq 0, n_m$ such that

$$\text{Tr}(C_m E_m) = -\frac{1}{n_m} \sum_{j \neq m} n_j \text{Tr}(C_j E_j).$$

Since $\text{Tr } E_j = \text{rank } E_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have that (E_1, E_2, \dots, E_s) is a nonzero idempotent which contained in V . This contradicts with that V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of A .

Suppose that there exists a $0 \neq b = (b_1, \dots, b_s) \in \{0, \dots, n_1\} \times \cdots \times \{0, \dots, n_s\}$ with $a_{i,1}b_1 + \cdots + a_{i,s}b_s = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ such that (1) does hold but (2) does not hold. Let E_j be the matrix with ones on the

first b_j diagonal entries and zero on all other entries. Then E_j is an idempotent of rank b_j . Since $\text{Tr } E_j = \text{rank } E_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$, we have

$$\sum_{m \in T_b} n_m \lambda_m b_m + \sum_{m \in S_b} n_m \text{Tr}(C_m) = 0,$$

which exactly means that (E_1, \dots, E_s) is contained in V . As $b \neq 0$, we have that V contains a nonzero idempotent, which contradicts with that V is a Mathieu-Zhao space of A . Then the conclusion follows. \square

We can remove the condition that $a_{i,j} \in K^*$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s$ in Proposition 5.5 by introducing a new set $X := \{a_{i,j} \mid \text{there exists } i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r-1\} \text{ such that } a_{i_j,j} \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Let L, G, K be as in Condition 2. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(1) *$\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.*

(2) *For all $0 \neq b = (b_{k_1}, b_{k_2}, \dots, b_{k_t}) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_{k_1}\} \times \dots \times \{0, 1, \dots, n_{k_t}\}$ with $a_{i,k_1} b_{k_1} + a_{i,k_2} b_{k_2} + \dots + a_{i,k_t} b_{k_t} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, the following are true:*

(a) *there exists a $\lambda_m \in K$ such that $C_m = \lambda_m I_{n_m}$ for all $m \in T_b \cap X$,*

(b) *$\sum_{m \in T_b \cap X} n_m \lambda_m b_m + \sum_{m \in S_b \cap X} n_m \text{Tr}(C_m) \neq 0$,*

where $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} \chi_j$, χ_1, \dots, χ_s are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of $K[G]$, $a_{i,j} \in K$ and $n_j = \chi_j(1)$, $C_j = \rho_j(\alpha_r)$, $\alpha_r = \sum_{j=1}^n l_{r,j} g_j^{-1}$, $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$, ρ_j is an irreducible representation according to χ_j for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s$ and $T_b := \{1 \leq m \leq s \mid b_m \neq 0, n_m\}$, $S_b := \{1 \leq m \leq s \mid b_m = n_m\}$, $X = \{a_{i,j} \mid \text{there exists } i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r-1\} \text{ such that } a_{i_j,j} \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1, 1 \leq j \leq s\} = \{a_{i,k_1}, \dots, a_{i,k_t} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1\}$.

Proof. The conclusion follows by following the arguments of Proposition 5.5. \square

Proposition 5.7. *Let L and G be as in Problem 1.2 and K a field of characteristic p , $|G| = p^a d$, H a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G and $G = \cup_{j=1}^d \tilde{g}_j H$, $H = \{1_H, h_2, \dots, h_{\tilde{t}}\}$ for $\tilde{t} = p^a$. Suppose that $L_r(\tilde{g}_j h_2) = L_r(\tilde{g}_j h_3) = \dots = L_r(\tilde{g}_j h_{\tilde{t}})$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$ and K is a split field for G/H . If there exist $\tilde{i}, \hat{i} \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $\det M_{L_{\tilde{i}}|_{G/H}} \neq 0$ and $\det M_{L_{\hat{i}}} \neq 0$ and L_1, \dots, L_{r-1} are class functions of G/H , then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(1) *$\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$.*

(2) *For all $0 \neq b = (b_{k_1}, b_{k_2}, \dots, b_{k_t}) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_{k_1}\} \times \dots \times \{0, 1, \dots, n_{k_t}\}$ with $a_{i,k_1} b_{k_1} + a_{i,k_2} b_{k_2} + \dots + a_{i,k_t} b_{k_t} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, the following are true:*

(a) *there exists a $\lambda_m \in K$ such that $C_m = \lambda_m I_{n_m}$ for all $m \in T_b \cap X$,*

(b) $\sum_{m \in T_b \cap X} n_m \lambda_m b_m + \sum_{m \in S_b \cap X} n_m \text{Tr}(C_m) \neq 0$,
 where $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} \chi_j$, χ_1, \dots, χ_s are the distinct (up to isomorphism) irreducible characters of $K[G]$, $a_{i,j} \in K$ and $n_j = \chi_j(1)$, $C_j = \rho_j(\alpha_r)$, $\alpha_r = \sum_{j=1}^d l_{r,j} \tilde{g}_j^{-1}$, $G/H = \{\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_d\}$, ρ_j is an irreducible representation according to χ_j for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $M_{L_i|_{G/H}}$, M_{L_i} be as in Proposition 3.4; T_b , S_b , X be as in Theorem 5.6.

Proof. Since L_1, \dots, L_{r-1} are class functions of G/H , we have $L_i(\tilde{g}_j 1_H) = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_2) = \dots = L_i(\tilde{g}_j h_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Then it follows from Proposition 3.4 that $\text{Ker } L$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G]$ if and only if $\text{Ker}(L|_{G/H})$ is a Mathieu-Zhao space of $K[G/H]$. Since $p \nmid |G/H|$, the conclusion follows from Theorem 5.6. \square

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to professor Wenhua Zhao for some useful suggestions. She is also grateful to the Department of Mathematics of Illinois State University, where this paper was partially finished, for hospitality during her stay as a visiting scholar. The author is very grateful to the referee for some useful suggestions and comments.

References

[1] J. J. Duistermaat and W. van der Kallen, *Constant terms in powers of a Laurent polynomial*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **9** (1998), no. 2, 221–231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-3577\(98\)80020-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-3577(98)80020-7)

[2] A. van den Essen, S. Kuroda, and A. J. Crachiola, *Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture—new results from the beginning of the 21st century*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-60535-3>

[3] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Dover, New York, 1994.

[4] A. Konijnenberg, *Mathieu subspaces of finite products of matrix rings*, Master’s thesis, Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 2012.

[5] G. Navarro, *Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 250, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511526015>

[6] D. S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1977.

[7] W. Zhao, *Generalizations of the image conjecture and the Mathieu conjecture*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), no. 7, 1200–1216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2009.10.007>

[8] W. Zhao, *Mathieu subspaces of associative algebras*, J. Algebra **350** (2012), 245–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.09.036>

[9] W. Zhao and R. Willems, *An analogue of the Duistermaat-van der Kallen theorem for group algebras*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **10** (2012), no. 3, 974–986. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11533-012-0028-4>

[10] W. Zhao and D. Yan, *Existence of nonzero trace-zero idempotents in the group algebras of finite groups*, J. Algebra Appl. (2024).

DAN YAN
MOE-LCSM
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY
CHANGSHA 410081, P. R. CHINA
Email address: yan-dan-hi@163.com