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ABSTRACT. In this paper, a two species competitive model with stage structure and harvesting is investigated. By using the differential inequality theory, some new sufficient conditions which ensure the permanence of the system are established. Our result supplements the main results of Song and Chen [Global asymptotic stability of a two species competitive system with stage structure and harvesting, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 19 (2001), 81–87].

1. Introduction

The dynamic relationship between predators and their preys has long been and will continue to be one of the dominant themes in both ecology and mathematical ecology due to its universal existence and importance [2]. Dynamic behavior of predator-prey models has been studied by many authors. It is well known that permanence is an important topic in predator-prey models. Moreover, in many applications, the nature of permanence is of great interest. Recently, Fan and Li [12] investigated permanence of a delayed ratio-dependent predator-prey model with a Holling type functional response. Chen [5] studied theoretically on the permanence of a discrete n-species food-chain system with delay. Chen [4] analyzed the permanence and global attractiveness of Lotka-Volterra competition system with feedback control. Zhao and Teng et al. [26] addressed the permanence criteria for a delayed discrete nonautonomous-species Kolmogorov system. Jiang [29] focused on the permanence and extinction for nonautonomous Lotka-Volterra system. For more...
research on the permanence behavior of predator-prey models, one can see [8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 23]. Here we must point out that most of literatures on these predator-prey models are only connected with parameters which are independent of time delay, while in most applications of delay predator-prey models in population dynamics, the need of incorporation of a time delay is often the result of existence of some stage structure [1, 2, 9]. Indeed, every population goes through some distinct life stages [16, 24]. Since the stage survival rate is often a function of time delay, it is easy to conceive that these models will inevitably involve some delay-dependent parameters. Recently, the research work on the permanence of predator-prey systems with delay-dependent parameters is scarce. One can see [3, 6, 7, 21, 27].

In 2001, Song and Chen [25] investigated the global asymptotic stability of the following two species competitive system with stage structure and harvesting

\begin{align}
\frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} &= \alpha x_2(t) - \gamma x_1(t) - \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} x_2(t - \tau), \\
\frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} &= \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} x_2(t - \tau) - \beta x_2^2(t) - a_1 x_2(t) y(t) - E x_2(t), \\
\frac{dy(t)}{dt} &= y(t)(r_1 - a_2 x_2(t) - b y(t)),
\end{align}

where $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ denote the immature and mature population densities of the species one, respectively, to model stage structured population growth, and $y(t)$ represents the density of the species two. The term $\alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} x_2(t - \tau)$ represents the immature who was born at time $t - \tau$ (i.e., $\alpha x_2(t - \tau)$) and survives at the time $t$ (with the immature death rate $\gamma$) and therefore represents the transformation from immature to mature. The model is obtained under the assumptions as follows:

(A1) The species one: the birth rate into the immature population is proportional to the existing mature population with a proportionality constant $\alpha > 0$; the death rate of the immature population is proportional to the existing immature population with a proportionality constant $\gamma > 0$; the death rate of mature population is of a logistic nature, i.e., it is proportional to the square of the population with a proportionality constant $\beta > 0$. Only the mature population has competitive ability, and competitive coefficient $a_1 > 0$. $E$ is the harvesting effort.

(A2) The species two: the growth rate of the species is Lotka-Volterra nature, $r_1 > 0, a_2 > 0, b > 0$.

(A3) $x_i(0) > 0, x_i(t) \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) on $-\tau \leq t \leq 0$, $y(0) > 0$.

We know that any biological or environment parameters are naturally subject to fluctuation in time [28]. In 1977, Cushing [10] pointed out that it is necessary and important to consider models with periodic ecological parameters or perturbations which might be quite naturally exposed (for example, those due to season effects of weather, food supply, mating habits, hunting or harvesting seasons, etc.). Thus the assumption of periodicity of the parameters
is a way of incorporating the periodicity of the environment. In addition, we consider that the sum of prey species born at time $t - \tau$ is $\alpha(t - \tau)x_2(t - \tau)$, the sum of prey species that still alive at time $t$ is $\alpha(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}x_2(t - \tau)$. Based on the discussion above, system (1) can be modified as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} &= \alpha(t)x_2(t) - \gamma x_1(t) - \alpha(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma\tau}x_2(t - \tau), \\
\frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} &= \alpha(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma\tau}x_2(t - \tau) - \beta(t)x_2^2(t) - \alpha_1(t)x_2(t)y(t) - E(t)x_2(t), \\
\frac{dy(t)}{dt} &= y(t)(r_1(t) - a_2(t)x_2(t) - b(t)y(t)).
\end{align*}
\]

The initial conditions for system (2) take the form of:

\[
x_i(\theta) = \phi_i(\theta) \geq 0, \quad y(\theta) = \varphi(\theta) \geq 0, \quad \phi_i(0) > 0, \quad \varphi(0) > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \theta \in [-\tau, 0],
\]

where $(\phi_1(\theta), \phi_2(\theta), \varphi(\theta)) \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^3_+)$. For continuity of initial conditions, we require:

\[
x_1(0) = \int_{-\tau}^{0} \alpha(s)\phi_2(s)e^{\gamma\tau}ds.
\]

The principal object of this article is to explore the dynamics of system (2) with the initial conditions (3). We apply the differential inequality theory to study the permanence of system (2) with the initial conditions (3).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, basic definitions and lemmas are given and some sufficient conditions for the permanence of the two species delayed competitive model with stage structure and harvesting in consideration are established. In Section 3, we give an example which shows the feasibility of the main results. Conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2. Permanence

For convenience in the following discussion, we always use the notations:

\[
f^l = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} f(t), \quad f^u = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} f(t),
\]

where $f(t)$ is a continuous function. In order to obtain the main result of this paper, we shall first state the definition of permanence and several lemmas which will be useful in the proving the main result.

**Definition 2.1** ([20]). We say that system (2) is permanent if there are positive constants $M$ and $m$ such that for each positive solution $(x_1(t), x_2(t), y(t))$ of system (2) satisfies:

\[
m \leq \lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf x_i(t) \leq \lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup x_i(t) \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]

\[
m \leq \lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf y(t) \leq \lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup y(t) \leq M.
\]
Lemma 2.1 ([22]). If \( a > 0, b > 0 \) and \( \dot{x} \geq x(b - ax) \), when \( t \geq 0 \) and \( x(0) > 0 \), we have
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf_{t} x(t) \geq \frac{b}{a}.
\]
If \( a > 0, b > 0 \) and \( \dot{x} \leq x(b - ax) \), when \( t \geq 0 \) and \( x(0) > 0 \), we have
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup_{t} x(t) \leq \frac{b}{a}.
\]

Lemma 2.2 ([19]). Consider the following equation:
\[
\dot{u}(t) = au(t - \tau) - bu(t) - cu^2(t),
\]
where \( a, b, c > 0, u(t) > 0 \) for \( -\tau \leq t \leq 0 \), we have
(i) If \( a > b \), then \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t) = \frac{a - b}{c} \).
(ii) If \( a < b \), then \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t) = 0 \).

Now we state our permanence result for system (2).

Theorem 2.1. Let \( M_2 \) and \( M_3 \) be defined by (5), and (8), respectively. Suppose that the following conditions
\[
\alpha^i e^{-\gamma \tau} > a^n_0 M_3 + E^n, \quad r_1^i > a^n_0 M_2
\]
hold. Then system (2) is permanent, that is, there exist positive constants \( m_i, M_i \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \) which are independent of the solution of system (2), such that for any positive solution \( (x_1(t), x_2(t), y(t)) \) of system (2) with the initial condition \( x_i(0) > 0 \) \( (i = 1, 2), y(0) > 0 \), one has
\[
m_i \leq \lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf_{t} x_i(t) \leq \lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup_{t} x_i(t) \leq M_i, \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
\[
m_3 \leq \lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf_{t} y(t) \leq \lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup_{t} y(t) \leq M_3.
\]

Proof. It is easy to see that system (2) with the initial value condition \( (x_1(0), x_2(0), y(0)) \) has positive solution \( (x_1(t), x_2(t), y(t)) \) passing through \( (x_1(0), x_2(0), y(0)) \). Let \( (x_1(t), x_2(t), y(t)) \) be any positive solution of system (2) with the initial condition \( (x_1(0), x_2(0), y(0)) \). It follows from the second equation of system (2) that
\[
\frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} = \alpha(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma \tau} x_2(t - \tau) - \beta(t) x_2^2(t) - a_1(t) x_2(t) y(t) - E(t) x_2(t)
\]
\[
\leq \alpha(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma \tau} x_2(t - \tau) - \beta(t) x_2^2(t) - E(t) x_2(t)
\]
\[
\leq \alpha^i e^{-\gamma \tau} x_2(t - \tau) - E^i x_2(t) - \beta^i x_2^2(t).
\]

It follows from (4) and Lemma 2.2 that
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup_{t} x_2(t) \leq \frac{\alpha^i e^{-\gamma \tau}}{\beta^i} := M_2.
\]
For any positive constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \), it follows from (5) that there exists a \( T_1 > 0 \) such that for all \( t > T_1 \),
\[
x_2(t) \leq M_2 + \varepsilon.
\]
It follows from the third equation of system (2) that
\[
\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = y(t)(r_1(t) - a_2(t)x_2(t) - b(t)y(t)) \\
\leq y(t)(r_1(t) - b(t)y(t)) \\
\leq y(t)(r_1^b - b^l y(t)).
\]
(7)

It follows from (7) and Lemma 2.1 that
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \sup y(t) \leq \frac{r_1^u - b^l y(t)}{b} := M_3.
\]
(8)

For any positive constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \), it follows from (8) that there exists a \( T_2 > 0 \) such that for all \( t > T_2 \),
\[
y(t) \leq M_3 + \varepsilon.
\]
(9)

For any positive constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( T_3 > T_2 \), from the second equation of system (2), we have
\[
\frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} = \alpha(t) e^{-\gamma \tau} x_2(t - \tau) - \beta(t) x_2^2(t) - \alpha_1(t)x_2(t)y(t) - E(t)x_2(t)
\]
\[
\geq \alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} x_2(t - \tau) - [a_1^u(M_3 + \varepsilon) + E^u]x_2(t) - \beta^u x_2^2(t).
\]
(10)

Thus, as a direct corollary of Lemma 2.1, according to (10), one has
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf x_2(t) \geq \frac{\alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} [a_1^u(M_3 + \varepsilon) + E^u]}{\beta^u}.
\]
(11)

Setting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), it follows that
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf x_2(t) \geq \frac{\alpha e^{-\gamma \tau} - [a_1^u(M_3 + \varepsilon) + E^u]}{\beta^u} := m_2.
\]
(12)

For any positive constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( T_4 > T_1 \), from the third equation of system (2), we have
\[
\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = y(t)(r_1(t) - a_2(t)x_2(t) - b(t)y(t)) \\
\geq y(t)(r_1^l - a_2^u(M_2 + \varepsilon) - b^u y(t)).
\]
(13)

Thus, as a direct corollary of Lemma 2.1, according to (13), one has
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf y(t) \geq \frac{r_1^l - a_2^u(M_2 + \varepsilon)}{b^u}.
\]
(14)

Setting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), it follows that
\[
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \inf y(t) \geq \frac{r_1^l - a_2^u M_2}{b^u} := m_3.
\]
(15)

Noting that the first equation of system (2) is equal to the following integration form
\[
x_1(t) = \int_{t-\tau}^t \alpha(s)e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)} x_2(s) ds.
\]
(16)
For any small positive constant \( \varepsilon > 0 \), without loss of generality, we assume that \( \varepsilon < \frac{1}{\gamma}m_2 \), it follows from (5) and (12) that there exists a \( T_3 > T_3 \) such that
\[
m_2 - \varepsilon < x_2(t) < M_2 + \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t > T_3.
\]
Thus for \( t > T_3 + \tau \), it follows from (16) and (17) that
\[
x_1(t) \leq \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \alpha^u(M_2 + \varepsilon)e^{-\gamma(t-s)}ds \leq \frac{3\alpha^uM_2}{2\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}) := M_1
\]
and
\[
x_1(t) \geq \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \alpha^l(m_2 - \varepsilon)e^{-\gamma(t-s)}ds \geq \frac{3\alpha^l m_2}{2\gamma}(1 - e^{-\gamma\tau}) := m_1.
\]
Obviously, (5), (8), (12), (18) and (19) show that system (2) is permanent. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. \( \square \)

3. Example

To illustrate the theoretical results, we consider the following example:

Example 3.1.

(20)
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1(t)}{dt} &= (60 + \sin t)x_2(t) - \ln 3x_1(t) - [60 + \sin(t - 1)]e^{-\ln 3}x_2(t - 1), \\
\frac{dx_2(t)}{dt} &= [60 + \sin(t - 1)]e^{-\ln 3}x_2(t - 1) - (60 + \cos t)x_2^2(t) \\
-\frac{dy(t)}{dt} &= (5 - \cos t)x_2(t)y(t) - (4 + \sin t)x_2(t),
\end{align*}
\]

Corresponding to system (2), one has \( \alpha(t) = 60 + \sin t, \ \gamma = \ln 3, \ \tau = 1, \ r_1(t) = 50 + \cos t, \ \beta(t) = 60 + \sin t, \ a_1(t) = 5 - \cos t, \ a_2(t) = 10 + \cos t, \ b(t) = 40 - \sin t, \ E(t) = 4 + \sin t \). It is easy to see that \( \alpha^u = 61, \ \alpha^l = 59, \ a_1^u = 6, \ a_1^l = 11, \ r_1^u = 51, \ r_1^l = 49, \ \beta^u = 59, \ b^u = 39, \ E^u = 5 \). Then \( M_2 = 0.3446, \ M_3 = 1.3077, \ a_1^l e^{-\gamma \tau} = 19.6667, \ a_1^u M_3 + E^u = 12.8462, \ a_1^u M_2 = 3.7906 \). Then \( \alpha^l e^{-\gamma \tau} > a_1^u M_3 + E^u, \ r_1^l > a_1^u M_2 \). Therefore all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied which means that system (20) is permanent.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have investigated the dynamical behavior of a two species delayed competitive model with stage structure and harvesting. Sufficient conditions which ensure the permanence of the system are derived. It is shown that delay has influence on the permanence of system. Thus delay is an important factor to decide the permanence of the system. An example shows the feasibility of our main results.
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