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Abstract

The study examines the influence of individual characteristics, training content, and manager support on the effectiveness of on-the-job (OJT) training in the banking and finance industry. A simple random sampling technique was used to select the samples. Questionnaires were distributed to respondents in order to obtain the data. Using cross-sectional data obtained from 396 respondents in Bank A in Malaysia, the multiple regression results show that self-efficacy, motivation to learn, training content, and manager support have positive influence on OJT training effectiveness. Among all these factors, manager support is very highly correlated with OJT training effectiveness. The findings have given fruitful insight of the crucial roles of OJT training in the respective bank, particularly to bring forward the roles of systematic design and implementation of OJT training. This study is not only expanding knowledge in OJT and training, but offers managers practical insights in developing good OJT training program by considering employees need, capabilities, skills and job requirement. Furthermore, this study also provides a valuable framework in identifying the effectiveness of OJT training program for certain jobs. Further discussion of the research findings and its implications to theoretical knowledge of training and managers are promised at the end of the article.

Keywords: Training Effectiveness, Self-efficacy, Motivation to Learn, Training Content, Manager Support

JEL Classification Code: M10, M19, M53, O15

1. Introduction

In most organizations, training has been implemented as the most effective method in upgrading employees’ knowledge and skills, so that they are able to perform better at work, which inadvertently lead to organizational success. On-the-job training (OJT) is one of various training methods that focus on a hands-on training of teaching skills, knowledge, and competencies needed for employees to perform any jobs within an organization. This method provides a good training experience to employees because they can learn in an actual environment where they have to practice the knowledge and skills obtained during their training. As noted by Noe and Kodwani (2018), OJT is developed based on the fundamental ideas of applying the existing workplace tools, machines, documents, equipment, and knowledge to teach an employee how to effectively do their job. In short, OJT takes place within the employee’s normal job environment and they will learn all aspects of skills and knowledge required in their actual work.

Banks and financial institutions in Malaysia invest a large amount of money, resources and technology for training purposes in building and enhancing employees’ skills and knowledge. There are two main training approaches commonly used by these institutions to train their employees. It is either by using off-the-job training methods or OJT training methods. However, the effectiveness of OJT training is often questioned due to lack of systematic study that examines its potential benefits to employees in enhancing their job performance. Therefore, there are several factors that need to be studied that may encourage employees to participate in OJT training. Hence, this study...
aims to examine the effectiveness of OJT training among employees in the banking and finance industry in relation to four predictors including self-efficacy, motivation to learn, training content, and manager support.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Conceptual Definition of On-the-Job Training Effectiveness

Training effectiveness is defined as any enhancement or improvement on knowledge, skill, attitude and behavior (EL Hajjar & Alkhanaizi, 2018; Wen & Lin, 2014). There are two common measures of training effectiveness, which includes learning outcomes and job performance. However, the learning outcomes have been used in many empirical researchers (Tziner et al., 2007; Mathis & Jackson, 2010; Wen & Lin, 2014). Learning outcomes are referred as training goals, either in the forms of quantitative (financial performance, training marks) or qualitative goals (knowledge and skills acquisition) (Tziner et al., 2007). The learning outcomes also measure what the trainees have learned and what they have not to further improve future training (Kraiger et al., 1993). In this study, training effectiveness of OJT was measured by learning outcomes. The learning outcome of OJT is to measure trainee’s ability to apply the knowledge and skills learned in the OJT to his or her jobs.

2.2. Individual Characteristics and Training Effectiveness

With regard to individual characteristics and training effectiveness, previous researchers have classified individual characteristics either as trainee’s trait such as self-efficacy, consciousness, and goal orientation, or trainee’s motivation, such as motivation to learn and instrumentality of training (Tziner et al., 2007). In other studies, trainee characteristics are also defined as the qualities, which trainees bring along to the training situations (Sahoo & Mishra, 2019; Wen & Lin, 2014). These include trainees’ capabilities, such as mental ability and intelligence, personality traits motivations, values and interests, as well as emotions and perceptions (Sahoo & Mishra, 2019). For instance, Tracey and Tews (1995) indicated that individual characteristics such as ability to learn and acquire new knowledge and skills have crucial effects on employees’ ability to apply any training outcomes into their actual jobs.

The current study assesses the effects of trainee characteristics in terms of trainees’ self-efficacy and motivation to learn. Self-efficacy is trainee’s attribute, which is defined as the trainees’ belief that they can successfully achieve training goals and applying learned knowledge and skills (Mathieu et al., 1993). Empirical research has established the direct link between self-efficacy and training effectiveness (Mathieu et al., 1993; Tziner et al., 2007; Wen & Lin, 2014). For example, trainees with a strong sense of self-efficacy are seen to be more committed learners and motivated person (Mathieu et al., 1993). The link was justified on the fundamental premise that trainees’ efforts to develop the self-encouragement in the training would encourage them to apply learned skills and knowledge. In other context, previous studies also claimed that individual self-efficacy has strong impacts on creativity (Kim, 2019), social entrepreneurial intention (Akhter et al., 2020), and women self-reliance in productive economic activities (Suminah & Anantanyu, 2020).

According to Tziner et al., (2007) self-efficacy is strongly associated with trainees in any training programs. In a similar vein, Wen and Lin (2014) found positive influence of self-efficacy on training outcomes. In empirical study by Wen and Lin (2014), a surveyed study conducted among full-time employees from broad industries in Taiwan found that motivation to learn was positively related to training outcome such as trainees’ ability to apply knowledge in actual job. Furthermore, such trainees were more self-motivated and aimed for self-improvement in the future job. In the training process, these attributes are crucial to ensure training effectiveness. Trainees with positive characteristics performed well on their jobs and perceived training as useful in improving their performance. Therefore, it is hypothesized that:

\[ H_1: \text{Self-efficacy is positively related to OJT training effectiveness.} \]

\[ H_2: \text{Motivation to learn is positively related to OJT training effectiveness.} \]

2.3. Training Content and Training Effectiveness

Training content is defined as a collection of teaching and learning materials related to the goals and objectives of the training given to the trainees (Mathieu et al., 1993; Velada et al., 2007). Most researchers in the area of training effectiveness insisted that relevance of training contents is important for measuring the effectiveness of training program. Notably, content relevance is importance for ensuring the learning materials are closely relevant to the transfer task and skills or knowledge to be learned (Alias et al., 2019; Burke & Hutchins, 2008).

On the basis of validity of the training contents, Zhao and Namasiavayam (2009) stated that training effectiveness is influenced by the capability of trainees to learn training modules, topics and contents and then apply the learned skills and knowledge in their jobs. Empirically, training content and training effectiveness were found to have significantly
positive linkages (Mathis & Jackson, 2006; Mathieu et al.,
1992; Wen & Lin, 2014). For example, Mathis and Jackson
(2006) found that training purposes and contents were related
positively to training goals. Similarly, a study by Wen and
Lin (2014) found that training content was positively related
to training outcome (training goals).

In addition, a study by Alias et al., (2019) among
managerial employees in the government department also
found that training content was positively related to training
effectiveness. Therefore, it is interesting to mention here that
the effectiveness of training programs is highly dependent
upon the training content. This is because the training content
would help trainees to understand the objectives of the
training, and indirectly will influence their commitment to
learn and apply skills in their jobs (Mathis & Jackson, 2006).
On the basis of these arguments and the aforementioned
literature, the following hypothesis is posited:

\[ H3: \text{Training content is positively related to OJT training}
\text{effectiveness.} \]

2.4. Manager Support and Training Effectiveness

Manager support is described as managers’ attitude,
behavior and action that encourages employees to use
knowledge, skills and attitudes learned in their actual jobs
(Mathieu et al., 1993). Previous research has classified
manager support as one of the workplace environment
factors (Baldwin & Ford, 1988; Mathieu et al., 1993;
Tziner et al., 2007). As pointed by Mathieu et al. (1993),
workplace environment includes such factors as managerial
support, peer support, availability of resources, technical
and administrative support, and job facilities support.
Fundamentally, work environment characteristics focuses on
perception of the manager/ supervisor support, opportunity
to use the skills and knowledge and organizational continuous
learning culture and the transfer of training.

Empirically, manager support was found significantly
related to training effectiveness (Baldwin & Ford, 1988;
Burke & Hutchins, 2008; Tziner et al., 2007). They also
asserted that work environment is a significant predictor
of training effectiveness in which work environment is a
proximal factor of training motivation while it is a distal
factor of training effectiveness. According to Holton
(2005), a wide array of studies related to work environment
suggested other related factors that may influence training
effectiveness. With regard to manager’s supports and
training effectiveness links, empirical studies have proved
positive relation between these two variables (Mathieu et al.,
1993; Tracey & Tews, 1995).

The positive relation could be explained with a premise
assumption that encouragement and positive attitude and
behavior of manager are a particularly crucial element in skill
application in the job (Mathieu et al., 1993). This is because
trainees’ skills and knowledge could be applied if a manager
believes that their learned skills in a variety of ways such as
on the job training and coaching approaches. This stand is
supported by Tracey and Thews (1995). Their argument is
based on the principle that the benefit of training may be seen
immediately in improving employees’ performance when
managers sincerely give continuous support to employees to
apply acquired knowledge and skills. Based on above, the
following hypothesis is posited:

\[ H4: \text{Manager support is positively related to OJT}
\text{training effectiveness.} \]

3. Research Method

3.1. Description of Research

This study used quantitative approach and questionnaire
as a main data collection tool. A survey approach used in this
study to seek the self-report data from respondents. This is
because the study focused on collecting factual information
about individuals and their opinions regarding OJT training,
thus, the survey is a highly appropriate approach. In addition,
the time needed to prepare a survey form is quick and it can
be manageable well also.

The population of the study is 500 staffs from Bank
A located in Kuala Lumpur. Simple random sampling
technique was used in this study. Bank A has organized the
OJT training for their new staffs and also for those staffs
who have been with the company over past years to ensure
they are still aware of the OJT concepts. Thus, large cost
has been allocated to accommodate these trainings among
the staffs the create awareness about the OJT training, and
the value for money for their expenditure can be measured
by the effectiveness of the training provided to them. This
can be helpful to the management as well as they can ensure
the return of the expenses on providing this OJT training on
a monthly basis and ensuring that all the newly-appointed
staffs attend this training as a mandatory step in their career
progression.

3.2. Research Framework

The research framework of this study is based on the
discussion of the variables in the literature review. The
research framework consists of three independent variables,
which are individual characteristics, training content, and
manager support. Individual characteristics consist of two
dimensions, which are self-efficacy and motivation to learn.
Meanwhile, the dependent variable for this study is OJT
training effectiveness. The research framework of this study
is illustrated in Figure 1.
3.3. Data Collection

Data was collected by handing over the questionnaire to the bank staffs of Bank A and they were given period of one week to complete the form and return to the researcher. The distribution was done by hand as the researcher can easily meet the respondents and ask for their favor to participate in this research study. During the distribution, the researcher has explained the sections in the questionnaire briefly.

3.4. Measurement

**OJT Training effectiveness**

OJT training effectiveness is operationalized as employee perceptions of their ability to apply skills and knowledge gained and learned in the OJT to his or her jobs. This construct was measured using 13 items adapted from Campion et al. (1994). ‘Improve my knowledge of department’s role’ and ‘enhance my knowledge about organization policies, procedures and practices’ were examples of items used in the present study. All the items were measured by using a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The Cronbach’s Alpha was .825.

**Self-efficacy**

Self-efficacy is operationalized as trainee’s belief that he or she can successfully achieve training goals of OJT training and succeeds in the training. Self-efficacy was measured using seven items adapted from Schwarzer and Jerusalem (1995). A 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all true) to 5 (exactly true) was applied to answer each item. Examples of the items are ‘I can always manage to solve difficult problems if I try hard enough’ and ‘I am confident that I could deal efficiently with unexpected events’. The Cronbach’s Alpha was .89.

**Motivation to learn**

Motivation to learn is operationalized as trainee’s desire to participate in OJT training. Four items adapted from Yi and Davis (2003) were used to measure motivation to learn. Examples of items are ‘I am very excited to participate in OJT training’ and ‘I will try to learn as much as I can from OJT training’. All the items were measured using a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The Cronbach’s Alpha was .711.

**Manager support**

Manager support is operationalized as the perceived support that a trainee receives from his or her immediate manager for applying knowledge and skills gained from OJT training to his or her job. Six items adapted from Tracey and Tews (2005) were used to measure manager support. Examples of items are ‘my manager gives recognition to those who apply new knowledge and skills to their work’ and ‘I agree that feedbacks from the managers are very useful for the transfer of knowledge and skills to my job’. All the items were measured by a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The Cronbach’s Alpha was .718.

**Training content**

Training content is operationalized as a trainee’s perceived match between the training material used and the training needs and objectives of OJT training. The training content construct was measured by using six items developed by Axtell et al. (1997). Examples of items are ‘the training contents of OJT training are related to the needs of my duties’, ‘the training modules was well programed and completed’, and ‘I feel enough time was devoted to learning each on the modules’. All the items were measured by a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The Cronbach’s Alpha was .718.

**Training content**

Training content is operationalized as a trainee’s perceived match between the training material used and the training needs and objectives of OJT training. The training content construct was measured by using six items developed by Axtell et al. (1997). Examples of items are ‘the training contents of OJT training are related to the needs of my duties’, ‘the training modules was well programed and completed’, and ‘I feel enough time was devoted to learning each on the modules’. All the items were measured by a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The Cronbach’s Alpha was .89.

**Manager support**

Manager support is operationalized as the perceived support that a trainee receives from his or her immediate manager for applying knowledge and skills gained from OJT training to his or her job. Six items adapted from Tracey and Tews (2005) were used to measure manager support. Examples of items are ‘my manager gives recognition to those who apply new knowledge and skills to their work’ and ‘I agree that feedbacks from the managers are very useful for the transfer of knowledge and skills to my job’. All the items were measured by a 5-point Likert scale, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The Cronbach’s Alpha was .825.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Respondents’ Profile

The respondent’s demographic is shown in Table 1. Out of 396 respondents, 70% were female while 30% were male. The age of respondents was categorized according to six groups: less than 21 years old, 21 to 25 years old, 26 to 30 years old, 31 to 35 years old, 36 to 40 years old and more than 40 years old. The results also indicated that 53% respondents were in category of 31 to 35 years old, followed by 22 to 25 years old (23.5%).

Most of the respondents were married (67.3%), followed by single (22.8%) and others (10%). For the question about years of service in Bank A, the list of given answers was grouped into seven categories: 0-5 years, 6-10 years, 11-15 years, 16-20 years, 21-25 years, 26-30 years and more than 30 years. The findings showed that most of the respondents have been working in Bank A for more than 16 years and less than 20 years (52.0%). There was only one respondent who worked more than 26 years and less than 30 years (0.3%). Ten respondents were new to Bank A, placed in less than 5 years of service category. Around 84.3% respondents were from middle management followed by supporting level, which were 10% of them and lastly top management (5.8%). Among them, many respondents had experience in banking sector more than 16 years and less than 20 years. As regards the education level, respondents with diploma qualifications constituted the biggest group, followed by STPM/Matriculation levels.
Table 1: Respondents’ profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents’ Profile</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 21 years old</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-25 years old</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30 years old</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35 years old</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40 years old</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 40 years old</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 years</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 years</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 years</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20 years</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25 years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31+ years</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Management</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Management</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Level</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Years of Banking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5 years</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 years</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-15 years</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-20 years</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25 years</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30 years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31+ years</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHD</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Degree</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor Degree</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STPM/Certificate/A-Level</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Descriptive Statistic, Scale Reliabilities and Correlations Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>ML</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy (SE)</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>(.755)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to learn (ML)</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>.231* (.711)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training content (TC)</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>.218** .267** (.718)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager Support (MS)</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>.168** .311** .161* (.825)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJT training (OJT)</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>.431* .371** .472* .255** (.89)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p<.05, **p<.01.

4.2. Means, Internal Reliability and Correlations

Table 2 presents the mean, standard deviation, scale reliabilities and correlation coefficient of the study. Cronbach’s Alpha values for the adapted scales were self-efficacy = .755, motivation to learn = .711, training content = .718, manager support = .825 and OJT training = .89. In terms of correlations amongst variables, as shown in the table, all four independent variables, namely, self-efficacy (r = .431, p<.05), motivation to learn (r = .371, p<01), training content (r = .472, p<.05), and manager support (r = .255, p<.01), were found positively correlated with OJT training.

4.3. Multiple Regression Result

Four hypotheses were tested to identify the relationships between self-efficacy, motivation to learn, training contents, manager supports, and OJT training effectiveness. In order to test the relationships, a multiple regression analysis was carried out. As shown in Table 3, the value R square (.643) explains about 64.3% of the variability of the dependent variable. The adjusted R square, adj. R² can be used to explain the accuracy of the data as well. The results show that the independent variables (self-efficacy, motivation to learn, training content, and manager supports) were significantly related to OJT training effectiveness, F (3, 396) = 238.127, p < .0005. Table 3 shows that all independent variables were found to be significantly and positively related to OJT training effectiveness, which are self-efficacy (β=.212, p<.05), motivation to learn ((β=.182, p<.05), training content (β=.307, p<.05), and manager supports (β=.871, p<.05),
thus supporting the hypotheses H₁, H₂, H₃ and H₄. All these variables statistically predicted OJT training effectiveness, F(3, 396) = 238.127, p < .0005, R² = .643, and added statistically significantly to the prediction, p < .05. Among all the three factors, manager support shows highly correlated with the effectiveness of the OJT training.

5. Discussion

Regarding the relationship between self-efficacy and OJT training effectiveness, the findings show a positive relationship between these two variables. The positive influence of self-efficacy on OJT training indicates that trainees’ self-regulation and self-discipline play crucial roles in encouraging employees to apply skills and knowledge learned in OJT training. Seemingly, the findings also imply that employees’ judgment of their capabilities to perform successfully in OJT might influence their ability to apply learned skills and knowledge to their actual jobs. Besides that, employees also need to know that in order to succeed in OJT training, employees with high capability to learn and confidence are more likely to be better employees in the workplace. These findings are in line with the idea pointed out by Martin (2010) who posits that employees’ efforts to develop self-encouragement in the training would encourage them to apply learned skills and knowledge in the actual jobs.

In examining the relationship between motivation to learn and OJT training effectiveness, the results show that motivation to learn has a significant positive relationship with OJT training effectiveness. This finding implies that trainees’ motivation to explore and learn new skills and knowledge during OJT might make positive effects to employees’ career progression in the short term or in the future. A reasonable explanation for this positive relationship is that learning-oriented motivation has a positive association with employees’ desire to apply skills and knowledge learned in OJT to their actual jobs in organization. As pointed out by Wen and Lin (2014), OJT is a good training method for enhancing motivation among employees to learn new skills and knowledge that are interesting or useful to their career, whether it is short-term skills development or future job-related requirement.

In terms of the relationship between training content and OJT training effectiveness, the result demonstrates a positive relationship between these two variables. This is in line withAlias et al. (2019) who claim that training content is crucial to ensure the training content matches the training needs, objectives, skills and knowledge to be taught. Seemingly, the positive result implies that OJT training contents are matches with the job requirements, skills and knowledge that would be applied to employees’ jobs. The positive nature of this relationship is also consistent with a justification by Holton et al. (2000) who believe that when the training content is similar to the actual work, the effectiveness of OJT training rises. Similarly, as pointed out by Velada et al. (2007), the effectiveness of OJT could be measured by examining similarity between training contents and job descriptions. Thus, it is not surprising that high similarity and matches between training contents and employees’ actual jobs could be used to assess OJT training effectiveness.

In testing the relationship between manager support and OJT training effectiveness, the result reveals that manager support has a positive influence on OJT training effectiveness. This finding indicates that manager’s support would have positive effects on their desire and ability to transfer skills and knowledge learned in OJT to their actual jobs. Therefore,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>.076</td>
<td>.101</td>
<td>2.331</td>
<td>.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self -efficacy</td>
<td>.212</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>5.036</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to learn</td>
<td>.182</td>
<td>.041</td>
<td>4.416</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training content</td>
<td>.307</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>4.329</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manager support</td>
<td>.871</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>6.136</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Multiple Regression Result

R = .802
R² = .643
Adj. R² = .641
F value = 238.127
it is crucial for managers to ensure they understand the importance of their involvement, encouragement and support to employees to apply skills and knowledge learned in OJT to their actual jobs. This reasonable explanation is in line with the argument by Mathieu et al. (1993) that positive attitude and behavior of manager is a particularly crucial element in skill application in the job.

Numerous practical implications can be suggested from the study results, particularly for managing OJT training in the banking sector in Malaysia. The findings of the study have expanded knowledge towards the influences of individual characteristics, training content and managers support on OJT training. Additionally, the current study presents an empirically-verified framework for engaging organization’s top management in dealing with OJT training, and outlining the crucial roles of employees’ self-efficacy and their motivation to learn for encouraging them to apply skills and knowledge gained in the OJT training to their actual jobs. Moreover, the findings showcase precise channels such as training content and manager support through which OJT training can be managed and amplified to foster further mutual benefits between employees and employers. Therefore, the study pinpoints that in order to get employees to apply the best of their skills, knowledge and capabilities at work, training content features are crucial, followed by the perception regarding the manager’s support. Following this, HR managers can start their initiative to focus on ways to develop a supportive OJT training environment and engage in activities to continuously support employees for developing skills and knowledge in the workplace.

In spite of achieving its research objectives and offering significant findings, the present study has some limitations. At first, the study adopted cross-sectional design, which restricts drawing causal explanations. Notably, longitudinal study design provides times intervals hence, a longitudinal design may be considered for future to further strengthen the findings of the current study. Moreover, this study also adopted self-reporting. Self-reporting can be seen as another limitation of the present study, which may have inflated the relationships among the variables. This is because self-reports can result in common method variance in behavioral research. Thus, future researchers may possibly employ other strategies such as qualitative techniques or focus group approach.

6. Conclusion

This study provides interesting findings on the influence of individual characteristics, training content and manager support on the effectiveness of OJT training. The results indicate that all the factors have positive relationships with OJT training among the staff in Bank A. On the basis of employees’ perceived OJT training outcomes, the findings reveal that manager’s support has a stronger influence on OJT training effectiveness, followed by self-efficacy, training content, then motivation to learn. This implies that, in an effort to implement OJT training inside an organization, managers should prioritize employees’ continuous support, especially through consistent support for encouraging them to apply skills and knowledge learned in OJT training to their actual jobs. The findings also are crystal clear on the importance of employees’ ability and desire to learn new skills and knowledge, which are explained and described in the training contents or training modules. Putting all together, the findings in the present study offer valuable knowledge on the influence of employee’s factor, training content and manager support on OJT training.
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